

**ALEXANDER FEDOROV**

**FILM CRITICISM**

**FEDOROV, A. FILM CRITICISM. MOSCOW: ICO “INFORMATION FOR ALL”.  
2015. 382 P.**

COPYRIGHT © 2015 BY ALEXANDER FEDOROV

1954ALEX@MAIL.RU

ALL RIGHT RESERVED.

109 8 7 6 5 4 2 1

FEDOROV, ALEXANDER. 1954-.

FILM CRITICISM / ALEXANDER FEDOROV.

P. CM.

INCLUDES BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES.

1. FILM CRITICISM. 2. FILM STUDIES. 3. MEDIA LITERACY. 4. MEDIA  
EDUCATION. 5. MEDIA STUDIES. 6. FILM EDUCATION. 7. STUDENTS. 8.  
CINEMA. 9. FILM. 10. MASS MEDIA. 11. STUDY AND TEACHING.

## *Contents*

The Mystery of Russian Cinema .....	6
Phenomenon of Russian Film-Hits .....	10
Russian Screen Distribution .....	12
Video-pirates from Russia .....	15
Film Criticism and Press in Russia .....	17
Russian Cinematography and the Screen Violence .....	21
The Gloom of Russian Fantastic Movie-Land .....	27
Sex-Cinema: Made in Russia .....	29
America, America.....	31
French Motives and Russian Melodies .....	33
Fistful of Russian Movies .....	41
Nikita Mikhalkov before XXI Century .....	78
Karen Shakhnazarov: a Fortune's Favorite? .....	86
Pyotr Todorovsky: Dramatic Romance .....	92
Oleg Kovalov, Former Film Critic .....	99
How to Shoot the “True” Film about Russia .....	102
Locarno Film Fest: The View of a Film Educator .....	103
The Analysis of Detective Genre at Film Education in Students’ Audience .....	106
Hermeneutical Analysis of the Soviet Space Fantasy Genre of the 1950s – 1960s and Its American Screen Transformation at Film Education in Students’ Audience .....	118
Hermeneutical Analysis of the Soviet Military-Utopian Films of the Second Half of the 1930-s at Film Education in Students’ Audience .....	133
Hermeneutic Analysis of Soviet Feature Films of 1941-1942 on the Military Theme .....	150
Nazi Feature Films on the Russian Topic .....	165
Positive image of the USSR and Soviet characters in American films in 1943-1945 .....	172
The Image of Russia on the Western Screen in the Ideological Confrontation Epoch (1946-1991) .....	178
The Image of Russia on the Western Screen: the Present Stage (1992-2015) .....	221
Russian Image on the Federal Republic of Germany screen .....	244
The analysis of stereotypes of politically engaged media texts in media studies in student audience (by the example of Renny Harlin’s films ‘Born American’ (1986) and ‘Five Days	

of War' (2011) .....	258
The Ideological, Structural Analysis of the Russian Image Presentation on the Western Screen in the Epoch of Ideological Confrontation (1946-1991). Example: Film 'White Nights' Directed by T. Hackford).....	263
Steven Spielberg's "Indiana Jones and the Kingdom of the Crystal Skull" as a Burlesque Transformation of Media Stereotypes of the "Cold War" Within the Framework of Mass/Popular Culture of the XXI Century .....	274
Ideological and Structural Analysis of the Interpretation of the Image of Russia on the Western Screen in the Post-Soviet Period (1992-2015) (by the example of the film "Duska" by J. Stelling).....	279
Analysis of Media Stereotypes of the Russian Image in Media Studies in the Student Audience (example: the screen versions of Jules Verne's Novel "Michael Strogoff") .....	286
Grigory Rasputin in the Mirror of Western Screen .....	295
Analysis of the art house media texts on media studies in the student audience (by the example of films by Alain Robbe-Grillet).....	301
"The Little School Orchestra": a Sample of the Hermeneutic Analysis of Media Texts in Student Audience .....	317
The Mystery of Two Oceans: The Novel and its Screen Version: Ideological and Structural Analysis .....	326
Amphibian man – The Novel and the Film Adaptation: Cultural Mythology Analysis of Media Text .....	335
"The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin": the novel and its adaptation to media education lessons in the student audience .....	342
Analysis of the stereotypes of Soviet film image of the war in a media education classroom .....	351
Ukrainian rebels of the 1940s - 1950s in the mirror of modern Ukrainian screen .....	361
References .....	367
About the Author .....	376

## *Introduction*

The first publications of some of these texts:

1. in the American magazines *Cineaste* (1997, Vol. XXII, n 4, p. 62), *Audience* (1994, n 179, p.20-22; 1995, n 180, p.13-17; 1995, n 181, p. 24-28; 1995, n 182, p. 4-7; 1995, n 183, p.15-17; 1995, n 184, p.40-42; 1995, n 185, p.14-16; 1996, n 186, p. 14-16; 1996, n 187, p.2-4; 1996, n 188, p. 21-23; 1996, n 189, p. 14-15; 1997, n 197, p. 19; 1998, n 198, p. 29; 1998, n 199, p. 19-21; 1998, n 201, p. 20);
2. in the Norwegian magazines *Media I Skolen* (1992, n 5, p.55-57; 1993, n 1, p. 55-58; 1993, n 2, p. 58-61; 1993, n 3, p. 51-55; 1993, n 4, p. 46-50; 1994, n 1, p. 36-39; 1994, n 2, p. 21-24; 49-51; 1994, n 4, p. 41-45; 1994, n 5, p. 48-50; 1994, n 6, p.50-52; 1995, n 1, p. 55-58; 1995, n 2, p. 40-42; 1995, n 4, p. 54-60; 1996, n 1, p. 25-32; 1996, n 6, p. 26-30; 1997, n 1, p. 39-41; 1995, n 2, p. 26; 1997, n 3, p. 12-13; 1997, n 4, p. 34-35; 1997, n 5-6, p. 39; 1998, n 1, p. 30-31; 1998, n 2, p. 22,33; 1998, n 3, p. 40-42; 1998, n 4, p. 39; 1998, n 5, p. 58-59; 1999, n 1, p.36-37; 1999, n 2, p. 45-47; 2000, n 2, p. 6-7, 45-47; 2000, n 4, p. 38-41; 2001, n 1, p. 36-37).
3. In *European Researcher* Journal (2012-2015).  
<http://www.erjournal.ru/ru/archive.html>

## *The Mystery of Russian Cinema*

Russian cinema today is, like Russia itself - chaotic, unpredictable and full of contrasts. No one can tell if the country will become an equal among equals on the world's professional stages by the beginning of the XXI century, casting off its poor role as a supplicant to Western artistic leaders.

Anyone who knows even a little history is aware that Russia was virtually outside European civilization for 75 years of XX century. The Communist regime firmly controlled all spheres of life for a sixth of the planet's citizens. In spite of totalitarian pressure, however, Russian culture managed to survive. The best books of Mikhail Bulgakov and Anna Ahmatova, the symphonies of Dmitry Shostakovich and Alexander Prokofiev, the films of Andrei Tarkovsky and Vassily Shukshin were created in the years of the most rigid censorship.

Despite bans, prisons and gulags, the artists leaned to speak to their readers and spectators in some sort of "language of initiates". Music, without clearly defined plot, made it much easier to do this. Writers, directors and actors were forced to talk about many things in hints and symbols, taking advantage of legends, fairy tales and parables.

Russian authorities of the 1960-s through the 1980-s officially supported the publication and distribution of classical literature - the works of Lev Tolstoy, Alexander Pushkin, Nikolai Gogol, Ivan Turgenev, Anton Chekhov, etc. The best film directors knew this, and were aware of weakened censorial control applied, at times, to screen adaptations. Consequently, the period saw *The Nest of Noble Family* (1968) based on Turgenev novel and *Uncle Vanya* (1971) based on Chekhov's play, directed by Andrei Konchalovsky.

There were also *Station's Employee* (1972, using Pushkin's prose) directed by Sergey Soloviev, *Dead Souls* (1984, from the Gogol novel) directed by Mikhail Schweitzer, and others. Nikita Mikhalkov, making films based on Chekhov (*Unfinished Piece for Mechanical Piano*, 1976) and Ivan Goncharov (*Several Days in the Life of Oblomov*, 1980), succeeded in telling more about the situation in Russia - and the national character - than the majority of his colleagues whose pictures dealt with the country's modern life. Oblomov embodies the paradoxes of mysterious Russian soul: intelligence, talent and an innate sense of beauty go poignantly hand in hand with passivity, laziness, sleepy inaction and abstract dreaming...

The Russian cinematic fairy tale also has old traditions, founded by Alexander Row (*The Frosty Fire, Water and Cooper Trumpets, Morozko*, etc.) and Alexander Ptushko (*The Stone Flower, Sadko*). Until recently, however, fantasy films had to submit to two unwritten rules: all except a few

were made for a children's audience, and the action had to take place in ancient times, in a faraway kingdom. The first rule dictated an understandable style for the fairy tale, with vivid, clear pictures and vocabulary, and villains looking not very fearful but on the contrary, usually, funny and harmless. The second rule was very seldom infringed, because magicians, witches, demons and other fairy characters - according to «highly placed» thought - could be perceived as an embodiment of the authors' mysticism intruding on a modern background. In these cases, when magic and witchery were admitted into our days (as in *The Snowy Fairy Tale* by E.Shengelaya and A.Saharov), unintended associations and parallels appeared.

In the word, the production of films similar to *The Omen* by Richard Donner and *The Shining* by Stanley Kubrick for the Russian screen couldn't be even imagined until 1980-s. Now the situation has turned 180 degrees. Russian screen are full of foreign and indigenous horror films and fearsome tales that chill the blood. Vampires, demons, witches and others evil spirits have become frequent guests on video/DVD and cinema circuits from Moscow to the very frontiers...

Remarkable Russian actors - Oleg Dal (1941-1981), Vladimir Vissotsky (1938-1980), Anatoly Solonitsin (1934-1982), Vladislav Dvorzecki (1937-1978), Nikolai Grinko (1920-1989), Alexander Kaidanovsky (1946-1995) - very often played heroes who stood beyond the usual circle of life on the screen of the 1960-s and 1970-s. The Fairy Ivans, fools and intelligent outsiders of Dal. The hot-tempered, contentious, furious romantics of Vissotsky. The inspired, always doubtful or cynical, devastated heroes of Solonitsin (Andrei Tarkovsky's favorite actor)... These were in opposition to the artificial characters distilled in the retort of Socialist Realism.

Censorship was ruthless to the filmmakers. Important scenes, phrases and frames were cut out of many movies. Yet Tarkovsky's *Andrei Rublev* (1966), despite all the alterations, extolled Russian culture and closely connected with the Orthodox faith, while Elem Klimov's *The Parting* (1981) remained an angry accusation of the political system of the time, aspiring to destroy this same culture and religion.

After the widespread destruction of temples and churches in the 1920-s and 1930-s, Russian culture became a peculiar national religion; as the only source of spirituality, it allowed people who could not stand slavery to maintain a dream of Beauty during the hardest years.

Indisputably, politics had a highly negative influence on the development of Russian culture and education, but the classical legacy of art helped people to survive. Every new truthful book or film of the masters was

perceived throughout the country as a desirable breath of cool wind. I remember how the books of Alexander Solzhenitsyn were handed around, how the films of Marlen Hutsiev or Gregory Chuhrai, in the 1960s, were discussed till voices became hoarse. And what events for Russian viewers in the 1970s were screenings of masterpieces by Federico Fellini (*Amarcord, Orchestra Rehearsal*)!

Another paradox of Russian life is that all people hoped for and aspired to the “light future”, yet their ranks included dissenters who were Slavophiles, craving a return to the Russia of 1913, and dissenters of Western orientation who wanted a rapprochement with America, while the majority of the so-called *common people* faithfully waited for a near-Socialist paradise of well-being and, in the name of this, were ready to tolerate “temporary” hardships.

Today a lot of Russian politicians try to find some “middle way” between capitalism and socialism where, to trust the premises of fashionable leaders, harmony will reign. In the political, economical currents some Russian filmmakers thoroughly lost their bearings, becoming victims of the whirlpools, submerged stones and shallows. Having got rid of censorship and having been given *carte blanche* in freedom of thought, they began to throw onto the screen what they apparently believed were commercial and brave statements, but which in fact were monotonous, non-competitive films. The freedom didn't evoke the expected abundance of masterpieces, because bitter truth alone isn't enough for the creation of a work of art. Talent is also needed, and it is everywhere in deficit.

More and more Russian cineastes, finding it harder and harder to work in the Motherland in a condition of permanent economic problems, are gathering under Western's roofs. Almost all Russian masters (Nikita Mikhalkov, Pavel Lungin, Valery Todorovsky, Gleb Panfilov, Andrei Konchalovsky, Alexei German and others), even if they make films in China or in Moscow, nevertheless do it with the help of Western money, on Western film stock, with the Western sound system. Western producers willingly stake these talented directors who capture prizes at prestigious festivals.

For nearly a year the preeminent actor of Russian cinema - Oleg Yankovsky (Nostalgia by Andrei Tarkovsky) - appeared on stage in a Paris theater. It is rather logical: Russian filmmakers hope that West will become a gate to the world screen for them; at home indigenous movies are being forced out by American production everywhere. Only the most entertaining Russian films manage to survive the competition in such conditions, but they, as usual, copy U.S. pictures and don't hold any special interest as art. Undoubtedly, such work in the West (by Andrei Konchalovsky and Nikita

Mikhalkov, for example) requires a certain attention to the producers' wishes and an orientation toward middle-of-the-road European and American viewer's tastes. Well, don't judge and you will not be judged...

The words of Russian great writer Nikolai Gogol about the “Bird-troika” - Russia - therefore turned out to be really prophetic: *Russia, where are you rushing to? Give the answer. No answer.*

### *Phenomenon of Russian Film-Hits*

Modern screen art over its success to the use of folklore, myth, synthesis of the natural and supernatural, and a consistent orientation toward the most popular plot schemes. Their metaphorical appeal is not to the rational but to the emotional. Through identification with the magic power of heroes and standardization of ideas, situations, characters and so on. In compensation for dreams not realized in life, there are illusions - happy endings. In movies, TV shows, and music videos' rhythmic organization, viewers' feelings are influenced as much by the order of changing shots as by the content of productions.

American critic Richard Corliss notes that for the creators of many Hollywood movies plot is a thing of past, and these movies are more thrilling than satisfying. Their main impact on most of the youthful public lies in the expect special effects making spectators gasp in surprise or freeze with fright. This "dynamic cinema", according to Corliss, put higher demands on viewers, because we have to follow every frame of a shot waiting for the trick. These features of mass culture reveal themselves in some favorite movies of the Russian audience. They are clear embodiments of the above-mentioned "phenomenon of mass success" tendencies.

The action in these films moves from one short episode to another (in order not to be boring to viewers) with sensational informativeness: event take place at various exotic locations in a cruel world of pirates drug dealers, Mafia men, racketeers and prostitutes. Psychological pressure is active - throughout the stories the idea that sly enemies (inner and external) are scheming is repeated over and over. Now something mean is planned, now somebody is robbed; now positive heroes are attacked...

The main hero of these movies is an almost magical, fairy-tale character. Cute, strong and smart, he comes out of all supernatural situations safe and sound (an excellent motif for identification and compensation). Many episodes touch human instincts and emotions (such as fear). There's even continuity, as each story supposes an endless number of sequels. In spite of an absence of technical shine and the presence of numerous mistakes of taste or sense, the common components of these motives are rather professionally presented: fights, chases, shootings, pretty women, alarming music, strong feelings, minimum of dialogue, a maximum of movement, and other attributes of action films. Other favorites of Russian public are made with similar attitudes and qualities...

Much more firmly than in cinema, these features of mass culture show themselves on Russian TV. Ideally, television should be various, unobtrusive, rich in visual information, and pluralistic without dull teaching

and officiousness. Only lately has Russian TV started developing aesthetics for its entertainment packages, rejecting the different demands of the public. There are some intellectual and game shows - even some mass-culture programming - made on professional level. But the border between artistic and inartistic is often erased in a tendency toward documentary, one-day value, «open» formats that reproduce something in its process of becoming an event. This peculiarity of mass communication is an obstacle in determining the aesthetic distance. For examples, platitudinous music videos are show all the time on Russian TV; if a viewer didn't have taste preferences; this could penetrate deep enough into his mind to unconsciously determine them...

### ***Russian Screen Distribution***

Russian press doesn't feel the shortage of the articles about the sad situation in the Russia cinema market, and in the culture on the whole.

I'll try to sum up the main lines:

- the repertoire of the cinemas consists basically of the American films;

- the art house films (Russian or foreign) can be seen in the Moscow's special art-cinema or during the film festivals;

- the basic TV time of the telecasts is given to the endless serials and pop-musical programs. The show of the films of the remarkable masters (Andrei Tarkovsky, Alexei German, and so on) are very rare and are shown, usually, nearly midnight;

- the repertoire of the cable TV is made in a such unsystematic, chaotic way, that the real worthy pictures of the foreign directors are lost in the stream of the production of "z" class;

- the isolation between the cinema magazines (like *Film Art*) and the readers' mass is widened very quickly, because the leading critics of Russia write about the films, which don't reach the on-lookers (with the rare exception).

Let's try to understand. The situation, which happened to the repertoire of the cinemas, requires the separate talk. However even now, we can say that there is the reserved circle: the laws of the cinema market dictate its repertoire conditions, by which it is easier to buy 2-3 cheap American pictures of "B" class, than to buy one Russian film. Judge yourself - the production of US cinema has repaired itself long ago in the countries of the "third world", therefore they sell it to Russia "for a song" while Russian pictures cost in 2 or 3 times expensive.

In these rigid conditions we can only be glad that the pictures of Louis Bunuel, Francis Ford Coppola, Liliana Cavani, Nagisa Oshima sometimes come to the Russian TV-spectators nevertheless.

The cinema halls are filled in only on the 20-30 percent, in Russia. For all that the adult audience prefers to stay at home TV-screen, while the youth got used to wait from the cinema the amusements only in last years. The spectators of the older age write the letters to the editorial offices of the newspapers and magazines, in which they protest against the cinema production of low standard on the screen, but they themselves don't go to the cinemas even if there are famous films on. And 13-20 year old spectators usually spend 2-3 hours in the 3D Dolby cinema-hall, melancholically chewing the bubble gum during the next tooth-crushing scene.

Now even the so sensational action movies have not the great demand yet. The times of the prohibitions, when the every foreign action film was perceived as tasty, but inaccessible dish of the half-underground video-seeing, have gone. The "gold" 1980s years, when the crowds of the spectators stormed the cinemas in order to see the rare films of Federico Fellini or Milos Forman on the Moscow cinema festivals, passed also. Today the ticket to the formerly most deficient cinema theatre of Russia - the Moscow "Illusion" can be bought without any line.

I can't hope that the distributors of the films in the province, specially for the admirers' of the art house films, will buy the western experimental movies. The dreams to see the new films of Sokurov or Muratova on the screens of the central cinemas looks very utopian too.

The only way out is the creation of the all-Russian cinema-clubs' distribution, when one and the same copy of art house film will travel to one town from another, where the centers of the film culture are, made. But the means, the premises and the organization are needed for that. The federation of the Russian cinema-clubs disposes of the solid fund of the films of the famous masters. For the certain rent pay the federation gives these pictures to any Russian town. And there, where there are the enthusiasts, ready to make the circle trips Moscow - town N it is possible to see the best works of Russian and foreign directors. In some Russian towns it exist the film clubs with the screening of masterpieces of the world cinematograph, but in some there is a chaotic cable TV system.

The Moscow television, as I have marked yet, can't gladden the "cinema-aesthete" by the thought out repertoire plan, orientated on the genuine culture. However a lot of interesting and useful information can be found in the special TV-programs. But... in order to see all these films, one must read the TV-program very carefully and purposefully. Otherwise, the soap operas' stream can hide all other programs.

Nevertheless the television today is one of the few opportunities to introduce the Russian spectators to the Russian cinema - documentary and feature. For example, the great viewers' interest is evoked by the programs of channel *Culture*, in which the cineastes, historians, journalists, philosophers watch and discuss the films.

Certainly, I can't say, that no attempts were made to create the centers of the film culture, the clubs movement. The media / film education have been conducted in some Russian schools. For example, since 2002 the special media education courses included into teaching system of Taganrog State Pedagogical Institute.

The correlation of the screen arts in ideal supposes the harmonious interaction of the cinematograph, television, video/DVDs and Internet. This cooperation is seemed to me as the following:

- the creation of the club's cinema centers, realizing the straight contacts with the Russian Federation of the Film Clubs (the special distribution of the high-class films);

- the regular issue of the TV-programs (not only in Moscow, but in other towns also) devoted to the best work of Russian and foreign screen;

- the creation of the municipal centers of the DVDs-distribution with the repertoire, consisting of the films of the famous masters, and with the prices lower, than in the common rental places;

- the systematical education of the problems, connected with the screen arts and media education in the press and Internet;

- the orientation of the school establishments (of the new type especially) towards the audiovisual culture and media education.

Undoubly the earring out of such programs will take much time and certain material expenditures. However the easiest way - to sit doing nothing - will lead to the deadlock result: to the gradual cultural degradation. But, I may be mistaking...

### *Video-pirates from Russia*

Undoubtedly, Russia today takes one of first place in the world's number of video-pirates. The Kremlin has signed the Bern international authors' rights convention. But Russian authorities doesn't control the pirates' audiovisual productions. Countless booths sell thousands CD, CD-ROM, videos and DVDs with Western films - mainly the newest which have just appeared in America, France or Italy. Of course, nearly 80% of this audiovisual production are American action films. The adroit shopmen, as a rule, have neither licences to the copyrights nor the right to sell or rent foreign DVDs, but the trade is very successful.

The purchase price of one videocassette or DVD is nearly \$2-4 dollars in the black market. The same DVD can be rented in hundreds of Russian cities and towns for half a dollar a day.

One Russian video-pirate revealed to me the secret of his "firm's" operational efficiency. Once a month - or more often - Moscow agents leave for America to buy as many new DVD in the biggest video shops of New York, L.A. and others cities (videocassettes are old format and less desirable because of their larger size, which makes it difficult to transport them abroad). Having gotten the batch, the agents return to Moscow where in several underground studios the American DVDs are copied on a mass scale. In the course of this, the U.S. video system is transformed into Russia's adopted system. The DVDs are translated into Russian by a staff of experts in English, a lot of whom have been occupied with this profitable business for 10-20 years.

Sometimes it happened that Russian videopirates can't buy a laserdisc of the latest screen hit quickly. Then the executive agent arms himself with a camcorder, goes to an American movie theater where, for example, Spielberg's new production is showing, and photographs the film straight from the screen. The quality of such a recording is, of course, much worse than that of a laserdisc, but the salable result can be brought to the Russian video market with maximum speed.

Audiovisual-pirates across the country know well in Moscow "offices" the converted DVDs or CDs can be bought. Two or three times a month they come to Moscow, pick up the next lot of transfers and then copy them for consumers in their cities and villages. Piracy is not only the selling or renting of stolen DVDs, CDs or CD-ROMs, however. There is wide broadcast of Western cinema novelties by little private TV channels. (Even small Russian towns have two or three local private TV channels.) Each shows some pirated videos also. Besides, the cable owners get monthly

income from subscribers, and the private-TV owners meet expenses by inserting commercials during the piratical video's broadcasts.

The broad development of audiovisual-piracy in Russia has, to my mind, one characteristic peculiarity. Being in a difficult economic situation, many Russian viewers find in an everyday exposure to pirated films the only opportunity to feel themselves in another world even for a few hours, to escape from the surrounding misfortunes, hardships, etc.

Watching the screen adventures of Harrison Ford or Bruce Willis characters who, in peaceful well-being, enjoy ownership of cozy two-storied American cottages while they busy themselves with clarification of love affairs, Russians can admire the power of foreign technology in fantastic special-effects super-shows and, if only in dreams, find a place as heroes of an inaccessible life.

Some 30 years ago Russian authorities struggled severely not only with the audiovisual-pirates, but even with common spectators - anyone who had bought abroad an erotic cassettes or one containing Rambo's latest adventures. People could be imprisoned for illegally watching the Godfather or Caligula. Today audiovisual censorship in Russia is practically unknown. Up to 1987, the audiovisual stream in Russia was almost 100% controlled by strict regime. At the end of 1980s the system, in place for 70 years had begun to disintegrate; in the early 1990s it finally collapsed.

Russian audiovisual pirates now reign boundlessly and completely, cutting into profits of the ordinary cinemas whose attendance is down. Russian viewers basically prefer the screen of their home TVs. Once Russia was called the Empire of Evil. I can only hope it will newer be the Empire of Audiovisual-Pirates...

## Film Criticism and Press in Russia

The history of Russian film critics will be written some day, including the main stages, currents and directions, «the revolution's romanticism» of the 1920s, *the ideological conservatism* of 1930s-1940s, *the thaw* of the 1950s-1960s, *the stagnation* of 1970s and begin of 1980s, the problems the capital and provincial film critics, etc.

My intentions here are more modest - to chronicle the modern situation, when the former leaders of the profession were removed for various reasons, or became TV-journalists (Boris Berman, Sergey Sholokhov and Petr Shepotinik). Others (Victor Demin, Georgy Bogemsky, Vladimir Baskakov, Valery Turovskoy, Rostislav Urenev, Georgy Kapralov, Alexander Karaganov), left us for a better world...

Journal *Reading Hall* (editor-in-chief of Alexander Troshin) - vastly simplifies the access to the statistics of the articles published by Russian cinema-critics. From the Russian «old guard» of cinema-critics, only a few preserved their positions: Lev Anninsky, Jury Bogomolov, Kirill Razlogov and Alexander Braginsky, each of them publishing an average of ten (and more) articles a year. The leading Russian cinema-columnists (Jury Gladiltsikov, Leonid Pavluchik, Victor Matisen and others) publish 30-40 articles per annum. The *critics-stars* of the *perestroika* Alexander Timofeevsky, Viacheslav Shmyrov and Sergey Lavrentiev have less articles to their credit, despite the fact that given their nontrivial method of criticism, each of them could, probably, be at the head of his own cinema magazine.

The new names on the firmament of Russian film critics include Statislav F. Rostotsky, Elena Telingator, Dmitry Savosin, Georgy Samsonov. Their articles are more frequent than ever in the Russian press. However, only Dmitry Savosin tends to continue the glorious tradition of «francophone» Alexander Braginsky. A big group of young critics works now in the new Russian glamour magazines for young readers.

But the only true leaders of film criticism in the modern times are Andrei Plakhov and Sergey Kudriavtsev. They publish annually about 100 articles, reviews, portraits of actors and directors. Andrei Plakhov published 10 about cinema process. Sergey Kudriavtsev published 3 volumes of video/cinema/catalogue-encyclopedias and the special books «All is Cinema» & «Our Cinema»...

Since the mid-eighties, Andrei Plakhov has become the most active participant in international film festivals. He did not miss, probably, any important cinema events during that period. His reports are analytical, ironical and professional. Sergey Kudriavtsev, as a rule, sees the films in Moscow, but his efficiency is astounding. He writes thousands of

voluminous reviews and portraits, including detailed lists of all prizewinners, be it the *Oscars*, *Cesars*, *Palmes d'Or*, *Golden Lions*, etc.

Many other Russian journalists, less known, lacking the necessary preparation, with modest baggage of knowledge, abilities and talent, systematically write about cinema and travel to festivals. But Kudriavtsev does not enjoy this privilege...

The articles of Sergey Kudriavtsev and Andrei Plakhov stand out due to their high degree of professionalism (the lucky absence «scientific» style) and the love for Cinema Art.

Certainly, in the age of computers and satellite, television tends to be more prestigious than cinema. The audience for TV-critics is now enormous. And many critics find it much easier to speak or to interview than to write the articles. Which makes «non-television» people such as Sergey Kudriavtsev and Andrei Plakhov, look like old-fashioned traditionalists. But since when are all critics supposed to be avanguardists!

Else 20 years ago the situation in Russian cinema press thread seems stable: for mass-audience was released magazine «Ecran» with million by circulations and advertising review *Satellite of Cinema-Viewer*. For elite audience - fat magazine *Cinema Art*, for cinema-distributors - monthly magazines *Projectionist* and *New Films*, for amateurs of the dramaturgy - magazine *Screenplays*. Materials about movies regularly emerged on the leaves ordinary press is and all...

Compared with dozens French or American periodical cinema-press this is was, certainly, drop in sea. That is why Gorbachov's liberalization immediately led to appearance the new cinema magazines. With the emergence of Petersburg's *Seance*, with European style and the intellectual reflection of Russian cinema-critics of the young generation, Moscow lost its old monopoly in cinema-press. But in the early 1990s Moscow's critic Vladimir Borev made the publishing home *Video-Ace Magazine's bouquet*: *Video-Ace*, *Video-Ace Premier*, *Video-Ace Express*, *Video-Ace Sunrise*, *Video-Ace Favorite*, *Video-Ace Satellite*, *Video-Ace Crown*, *Video-Ace Dandy*, etc.

Truth, the first outputs of this magazines had very modest polygraphy, but soon financial backing of several Moscow's banks and working agreement with one of top French publishers carried out *Video-Ace* on entirely European level color photo-design and scope about 200 pages of big format. In that or another key magazines of *Video-Ace* from the very beginning were oriented generally on Hollywood cinema, the portraits of top directors, interview, hit parades, reportages from the largest festivals, information about video-techniques, video-pirates and legal video-firms.

Almost simultaneously with *Video-Ace* other cinema press appeared in Moscow: *Video-Digest*, weekly newspaper *Ecran and Scene*, newspaper *Cinema Home*, magazine *Cinema-Eye* (about cinema-business) based by the group of the authors of *the youths of outputs* in *Ecran*, professional and academic *Cinema-critics' Memos* (Editor Alexander Troshin from Scientific Institute of Cinema, Moscow) and modest little magazine *Opinions* about new Russian films.

The boom of the periodical press of end 1980s - early 1990s also concern film press. For account of the several sensational publications increased the circulation of *Cinema Art*. Magazine *Ecran* losing at new redactor Victor Demin (1936-1993) its prior adjective *Soviet*, as before retained auditorium of readers. How mushrooms after rain, unfortunately, with the same duration of existence, steel to emerge another issues of cinema-press (*Cinema-Video Review*, *Film and Video Reporter* and so on.): let with pore by bad quality seals, but with great titles.

But everything was changed after the beginning of Eltsin's reforms. Existing state budget *Opinions* closed. Due to the same financial causes not get till ninth number, was gone in history *Video-Digest*. Was concealed with banking money, the magazine's bouquet of *Video-Ace* (200 pages) fading directly on eyes. With larger temporary intervals steel to come out *Ecran* (despite the heroic attempts of new editor Boris Pinsky) and *Cinema-Eye*. Obviously not from good life were poured out under one binder *New Films* and *Projectionist*. Last issues of *Video-Ace* and *Ecran* was published in summer of 1998. It is very difficult to publish something without of money...

Only *Cinema Art* (though even greatly losing in circulation: from 50,000 to 2,000) was successful publishes (with the grant's help). Thread seem, situation existing in Russian cinema press, logically reflected common painting in domestic cinema (blunt abbreviation film production, economic difficulties, etc.).

And, contrary to all forecasts, in end of 1990s Alexander Semenov founded the new *Video-magazine* (for distributors of videos) and old editor of *Video-Ace Express* Georgy Samsonov founded magazine *Film*. New Russian cinema paper for professionals were born at the end of 1998: *SK-News (The News of Union of Russian Filmmakers)*. Also gave to start to right away several new magazines about movies and video. In may 1997 appeared of Russian edition *Premiere*, a la French-American samples: qualitative paper, excellent colors, the absence of pirates' photos... To the editorial office honor must badge, what she was not was limited by the translations of the clauses of its foreign partners: the better half of 100-pages scope occupied material about Russian cinema, video, sound and computer (it is pity, but Russian *Premiere* is not published now).

Another new magazine (60 pages) is *Cinema-Park* at the same colors and Hollywood orientation. Incidentally, unlike *Western Premiere*, *Cinema-Park* has more Russian. However on today's Russian market they do not only compose serious competition, but also residing as to essence on one genre-thematically floor of bulks each other for right of to be the most popular Russian cinema-press. What it: rejuvenation of Russian cinema-press, or artificial reanimation of detrimental business?

Will-see...

## *Russian Cinematography and the Screen Violence*

Russian society and state censorship has historically treated violence on the screen more tolerantly than erotic or pornographic scenes. Violence on the Russian screen first frequently appeared in detective, mystery, and criminal dramas and melodramas in the 1910s. Since the 1920s screen violence in Russia has been concentrated in war films and so-called “historical and revolutionary” drama and adventure films. Mystery and horror films were completely excluded from the Russian screen. This pattern continued until the middle of the 1980s.

Since the beginning of “perestroika”, Russian censorship has gradually lost power. Russian filmmakers are beginning to address genres and themes that were previously forbidden. The number of films containing violent episodes is growing steadily, as is the degree of realism in its representation. From the beginning of the 1990s, in the epoch of “reforms”, violence became a basic attraction in Russian thrillers, criminal dramas, and horror and detective films.

I conducted a content analysis of the Russian film repertoire of the 1990s-2000s. The purpose of the analysis was to measure the number of Russian films from the 1990s-2000s that included scenes of violence (fights, beatings, murders, executions, shots of dead people, accidents, etc.). The data from this content analysis is in the Chart 1.

My calculations show that as many films including the word “death” in their titles were produced from 1990 to 2010 as were produced from 1919 to 1989!

Many aggressive words, such as “murder”, “kill”, “war”, “enemy”, or “shoot” appear in the titles of Russian films of the 1990s-2000s. The amount of violence is impressive: on the average **30,4%** percent of Russian feature film/serials productions contain scenes of violence.

**Chart 1. Violence in the Russian Feature Films in the 1990s-2000s**

<b>Year:</b>	<b>Number of Russia feature films and serials:</b>	<b>Number of Russia films/serials with scenes of violence:</b>	<b>Russian films/serials with scenes of violence (%):</b>
<b>1990</b>	300	88	29,3%
<b>1991</b>	213	102	47,9%
<b>1992*</b>	189 (166 + 23)	79	41,8%
<b>1993</b>	161 (146 + 15)	65	40,4%
<b>1994</b>	97 (83 + 14)	28	28,9%
<b>1995</b>	67 (58 + 9)	29	43,3%

<b>1996</b>	54 (42 + 12)	12	22,2%
<b>1997</b>	64 (43 + 21)	17	26,6%
<b>1998</b>	79 (58 + 21)	18	22,8%
<b>1999</b>	74 (43 + 32)	22	29,7%
<b>2000</b>	88 (46 + 42)	22	25,0%
<b>2001</b>	155 (59 + 96)	36	23,2%
<b>2002</b>	156 (61 + 95)	21	13,5%
<b>2003</b>	147 (75 + 72)	38	25,8%
<b>2004</b>	197 (83 + 114)	57	28,9%
<b>2005</b>	223 (82 + 141)	65	29,1%
<b>2006</b>	258 (106 + 152)	56	21,7%
<b>2007</b>	288 (146 + 142)	99	34,4%
<b>2008</b>	290 (162 + 128)	79	27,2%
<b>2009</b>	298 (194 + 104)	96	32,2%
<b>2010</b>	289 (160 + 129)	94	32,5%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>3687</b>	<b>1123</b>	<b>30,4%</b>

\* from the 1992 year the lists also include full-length fiction video / TV movies and serials.

Of course, violence episodes do exist in such artistic films as *The Inner Circle* by A.Konchalovsky, *Krustalev, the Car!* by A.German, and others. If violence, alas, is an integral part of Russian life, then art has the indisputable right to reflect this on the screen. In fact, Russian “art house” not only represents but also condemns violence. However, my content analysis of the Russian film repertoire of the 1990s and begin of XXI century shows that the bulk of “film violence” has a low artistic level (and low commercial potential): *The Wolves in the Zone*, *Hunting the Souteneur*, *Charged by Death*, etc.

The majority of the hundreds of Russian films of the 1990s-2000s did not reached the “big screen”, but nearly all were broadcast on television and many were shown in prime time (8 to 10 p.m.). Prime time is the most accessible viewing period for children. So while erotic *9 ½ Weeks* was shown at midnight in Russia, many channels still played violent films in both the morning and evening.

For example, the very popular Russian television series *Cops (Menty: The Street of the Broken Lanterns)* contains some very real murders, fights, and close-ups of dead bodies. This serial is broadcast during prime time. Of course, this is an accessible time for Russian children.

The genre spectrum of the Russian films containing episodes of violence was rather wide in the 1990s and begin of XXI century: dramas, detective films, thrillers, horrors, melodramas, parables, parodies and even

comedies. Content analysis has shown that the basic plots of violent Russian films are the following:

1. *Terror in the army and prisons*. A common man enlists in the army (variant: is thrown in a prison, an asylum, etc.), where he sees severe violence (*Cane Paradise, Ivin A, Do - One!, 100 Days till the Demobilization, etc.*). The action of these films, as a rule, takes place in unattractive interiors, such as dirty cells, half-destroyed buildings, and flooded cellars. The Russian army is shown as a typical model of the state, where violence is the main instrument of power. This is very good material not only for realistic dramas, but also for gloomy parables, pathological visions, and shock visual images.

2. *War terror*. People at war in a “trouble spot”, where violence becomes their livelihood (*Caravan of Death, Afghani Break, To Survive, The War, etc.*).

3. *Criminal terror & the revenge of good guys*. A man with big muscles returning home from the army (Afghanistan, Chechnya, etc.). He discovers that gangsters/mafia run the whole city/village. These “bad guys” kill/rape his friend/sister/girlfriend/relative. The brave “good guy” fights the bad guys. Violence (murders, explosions, etc.) ensues. Variant: Gangsters, maniacs or terrorists hijacking a ship (bus, plane, train, circus), terrorizing the passengers and the crew (*A Mad Bus, Gangsters at the Ocean, Countdown, etc.*). But the hero takes his revenge on the serial maniacs, gangsters, killers, aggressive drug addicts, and other “bad guys”.

4. *Criminal terror and bad / good cops*. A dangerous gang or murderer devastating a city in which the police are powerless (*Satan, Snake Spring, The Contract with Death, Brigade, etc.*). Alternatively, rather than an “independent” murderer, the killer may be a hired hitman (*Dead Line, Brother, etc.*). Occasionally we encounter a revival of the traditional detective plot: a criminal vs. an honest policeman (*Kamenskaya*).

5. *Holocost terror (From a Hell to a Hell, etc.)*

6. *Sexual violence as a part of Russian life*. In these films, the protagonist’s sexual relationships of are on the verge of sexual violence. Some very talented people have produced Russian movies of this sort, including N.Hubov’s *The Body*. He reproduces an atmosphere of provincial Russia with great accuracy. He describes a poor and hopeless life: A “normal” love between a young girl and her boyfriend transforms into crime. The boy rapes his girlfriend together with his friend, and the girl subsequently takes her revenge.

7. *Mystical terror*. Vampires attacking defenseless people (*Drinking Blood, Family of Vampires, Witch, etc.*).

8. *Violence as humor* (such as exists in Quentin Tarantino’s films). The problems of morals are rejected as ridiculous and old-fashioned (*The Sky*

in *Diamonds*, *The Body will be in the Ground...*, *Mom, don't cry!*, *Hitler Kaput!*, etc.).

9. *Communist terror*. The heroes of the film endure executions and violence in concentration camps and prisons. The styles of communist terror films are rather diverse: traditional realistic, grotesque, ironic, etc. Some of these films produce a very shocking impression upon the audience (*Khrustalev, the Car!*).

The prevailing models of the contents are:

- *Mass terror during war, such as communist terror*: the communist regime deforms and transforms people into hangmen and victims. This is especially evident in pictures about mass terror of Caucasians in the 1940s (*Cold*, *The Road on the Edge of Life*, etc.);

- *A common man trying to avoid politics and to stay impartial becomes a victim of terror*, only then the "enemies of the Soviet state" and realize that everything they believed in was nothing but a understanding the anti-human essence of the communist authority; (variant: people, sincerely believing in communist ideas and Stalin, experience the horrors of being lie (*The Inner Circle*, *Burnt by the Sun*, *Khrustalev, the Car!*, *Children of Arbat*, *Facination of Evil*);

- *"Revolutionary terror"*. The "ideological terror" attracts people with aggressive thirsts for power and people with mental diseases who desire to leave a bloody trace through history (*The Killer of the Emperor*, *Trotsky*, *Romanov: The Tsar's Family*, *The Rider Named Death*).

10. *Violence in relation to children*. Having received freedom, the Russian cinema has produced many hard and violent films about children. The action in these films often takes place at school or in prison. Such films are filled with scenes of dark restrooms, violence, drug addiction, and cruelty. In one of these films a tutor in an orphanage, aware of the unofficial laws, chooses not to notice fresh blood on a mirror in a children's bedroom. In another a strong bully terrorizes a weak child. Twenty years ago, Russian movie-goers enjoyed sentimental stories about thoughtful and tender tutors. But nearly every other film about children and youth made in the 1990s-2000s was an indictment. On the Russian screen there are terrible images of hostile state houses, where the teachers are only additional tools for the violence.

There are the stereotypes of Russian cinema plots on the theme: "Domestic & Non-Domestic Violence on the Mirror of Russian Screen":

1. *Public schools, boarding schools, children's shelters, educational-training establishments*. Action of films in this category always alternates between bathroom and punishment room, between ruined shed and small, dark cell. Under the narrator's "My address is neither a house nor a

street..." there is violence, drug addiction and cruelty - when a teacher, knowing the customs of his group, prefers not to notice fresh blood on the dresser mirror in a child's bedroom, or when the strong mock with pleasure the weak. Somebody stark naked is sitting on the toilet, somebody in the same state of dishabille is running down and up stairs...

Russian moviegoers once watched sentimental, touching stories about careful, kind tutors trying to create an illusion of homey coziness for poor orphans. That was ages ago. Now, whatever the film, it's a severe and ruthless accusation, saying we can do nothing - over the last 80 years the whole country turned into an unfriendly state institution whose inhabitants, from early childhood, are doomed to endless humiliation, indignity, discomfort and stress, poverty and constraint. In the boarding school, as in a drop of water, all the evils and vices of life are reflected, where a 15-year-old boy knifes to death a strong, drunken man. This is not only revenge for the raped girl of the same age, it is furious and irrational retaliation for a crippled childhood, for a friend who became the victim of drugs, for the false slogans of adults, for their indifference, for...

The teachers in Category N 1 are only administrative appendages of the formal mechanism of management. Hypocritically, they can suddenly cry with the power of a fire-engine siren then, in a moment, smile as if nothing had happened. By the way, this is a fact noted by authors of the pictures' source books: workers in Russian boarding schools, with the help of a system of instruction in "standard educational training", acquired the strange - for normal people - ability to drive themselves almost to hysterics (outwardly) with absolute coldness and indifference in their hearts. On screen, portraits of these tutors are well matched by characterizations of the destitute boys' and girls' parents. They don't mind letting fall a tear - over glasses of vodka - to lament a son or daughter given away to the boarding school. Audiences pity the children, abandoned by this scum to live at this scum to live at the expense of the weak Russian state, as they pity some mad father, drunk, wandering at night under the windows of a boarding school in order to see his child.

2. *Sanitariums, hospitals and other medical establishments.* "All the world's a nuthouse, and all its people are mad". Rephrasing Shakespeare is probably the best way to express the main idea of film in this category.

For example, all characters - wives and children, neighbors and passersby - cooped up in their communal flat wish the main hero to kill a bureaucrat who for years hasn't maintained normal housing. It is for this mission the hero is brought from a mental hospital: a psycho is a psycho, he can't answer for his acts. Once freed, however, the hero finds himself still in a world of madmen: there is the former cavalryman with naked sword, the bald

athlete who is glutton and drunkard, some mountaineers, some people from an underground organization singing a song about "the black raven", etc.

Having got into the office of the hateful chief at last, our hero is again part of a crazy-show, this one scripted by the sly bureaucrat. There are machine-gun firings and explosions of grenades, poisoned coffee and the staff's pretended pity for the freezing children. The film ends with the escape of the real psychos who capture the main municipal building while troops and tanks are called against them, and demagogic speeches are made. In a word, everyone wears fashionable political dressing; with their exposes and social accusations, cinema mediocrities - who were quietly making nonsense films before this time - now are trying to dash forward as leaders of the "fighters" and "truthful people". Their operative principle is: I'll roar, if nobody will hurt me.

The setting for these films from my second category are, as a rule, unpleasant interiors... dirty walls painted with cheerless colors, semi-submerged basements, filthy hospital cots and soon. Numerous conversations are staged, but their dialogue is empty and unintelligible for viewers with more or less stable nervous systems. Indisputably, the nuthouse as a model for the totalitarian state, were every display of normal mentality and human individuality is suppressed, is good material for the creation of gloomy parables, pathological visions, shocking naturalistic images and surrealistic symbols. If only these films had less of the epigone's features.

*3. Prison colonies and other reformatories.* A typical scheme: some sort of remake of action pictures of the 1960s-1970s about war. Added will be homosexual passion and, certainly, scenes of cruelty and violence with dozens of accusatory speeches. But today's on-screen "bad guys"(fascists) and "good guys"(heroically struggling prisoners preparing a protest action or an escape) are caricatures. In short, after watching several of these films, you could easily gain the impression that all of them make up one gloomy and monotonous serial about the Russian State House. It can be located anywhere, the main point is the same. But the stream still flows, as Russian screenwriters and directors continue to gladden our hearts with cinema theses about what is wrong. All this makes me sick. Yet in spite of it... we live! I wish, though, that my collection of Russian State Institutional Films didn't keep replenishing itself.

Of course, other genre of films may contain violence. But these pictures are not intended for preschoolers and children under 10 years of age with sensitive psyches. Therefore it would be better to show these films on television after 10 p.m.

### *The Gloom of Russian Fantastic Movie-Land*

One might think, after the gloomy films of Constantine Lopushansky (Russian Symphony, Letters from a Dead Man) and other supporters of the genre usually called futuristic fantasy with element of horror, that the fashion would have faded. Russian cinema and video viewers prefer the technically perfect American scare movies to our boring and indistinct mix. In contrast with the old Romantic stories about men-fish and astronauts, however, the heroes of many Russian films of 1990s continue their agonizing, hard traveling across *The Zone*, and if they leave the surface of the Earth, they do so only to hide in another planet's gloomy caves or dungeons.

Often the action of these pictures takes place under some dictatorship. On the land and in the air the services of liquidation move, armed with lethal weapons. For photography dirty and deserted streets are chosen, with decayed houses, the walls of which are covered with mold as turbid water slowly drops from the ceiling. Hysterical characters with matted hair and eternal bags under eyes rush about the ruined labyrinths and sandy ridges. They may keep silent for a long time, staring into cracked mirrors or, contrariwise, burst out in endless superintellectual monologues. Here dark old oaken doors creak vilely and swampy puddles stick underfoot (a variant: the unsteady sand is creaking). The beautiful and mysterious women from time to time throw off their covers, and their naked bodies shine in the semi-darkness...

Central scenes of such films are episodes of contact with the strange and forbidden Zone where, in imitation of Andrei Tarkovsky's works (*Solaris*, *Stalker*), a lot of extraordinary things happen to the heroes. There is uncertainty at every step: malicious mutants, werewolves, dog-cannibals, maniacs, and so on.

The motives "inspiring" authors of this *Russian fantastic movie-land* are understandable. They want to create something epochal on the theme of humankind's responsibility for its actions on the planet; to condemn the principle of "the end justifies the means"; to think about the problems of ecology and nature, psychology and intellect. As a rule, however, philosophical concepts are hardly visible through the steam of cinema cliches, rented for the occasion.

The authors of such films often claim famous literary origins. But their modest "based on" postscript only affords an opportunity to make a middling movie out of any original story or novel once it is provided with meaningful pauses. These, deprived of a psychological basis, serve only to lengthen the picture.

It's hard for even talented actors to play in these films, because their heroes are submitted to the firm laws of the marionette. It's easier for less-gifted actors but that, obviously, doesn't add artistic pluses. Perhaps only cinematographers and designers feel themselves free there, hoping to surprise spectators with defined compositions, whimsical plays of light and color. Unfortunately, poor budget are quite clearly evident. The technical backwardness of Russian cinema is obvious in the productions' primitive shooting; their horrors don't frighten. Fantasy today can't be made with ancient means: the gap in effects, tricks and technology is too great between Russian *fantastic movie-land* and any of the works of Robert Zemeckis, James Cameron or John Carpenter.

One way out for Russian fiction is as old as cinema world - studying the films of Spielberg and Lucas - but the disorder of our economics does not evoke optimism...

### *Sex-Cinema: Made in Russia*

Vassily Pichul's drama *Little Vera* (1988) is considered to be the first Russian film officially, which escaped the limit of censorship in the sex's sphere. After Pichul the Russian directors began to include the erotic (or pseudo-erotic) scenes in their films as often as possible.

The years passed, It's the occasion to sum up the intermediately totals of sex-revolution in the Russian cinema.

The first conclusion: Russian cinematography couldn't offer (with the rare exception) the model of "high erotic" which the beauty of passion for the film-goers - without politics, violence, disorders of life, etc.

The second conclusion: Exactly because of this Russian on-lookers prefer American, French and Italian erotic melodramas, in spite of the tempting publicity of Russian sex-films.

The third conclusion: The typical plot's situations of Russian sex-cinema are classified easily for the similar clichés pass from film to film with the evident constancy.

In my opinion, the former two conclusions don't need the special arguments; therefore I turn the readers' attention to the clichés of Russian cinema-erotic at once.

*The plot n 1.* Good and sympathetic heroine becomes the prostitute and drug addict. She evokes the authors' pity. It's may be the most wide-spread variant in Russian cinema. Alas! All in the scheme had annoyed for the last years: the noble prostitute, who dreams about marriage with the foreigner or the rich man, the сутeneurs, the bath-houses, the mafia-men with the pocket, which are swell of money and so on.

The examples: *Inter-girl* by Piotr Todorovsky, *The Sisters Liberty* by Vladimir Gramatikov, *High Class* by Mikhail Melnichenko, *The Wife of Maitre D'Hotel* by Alexander Chechulin, etc

*The plot n 2.* The principal heroes of the films sleep near the TV-set or dream in their waking hours with the quotations from the West sex-films. The erotic fantasies for the poor... Unfortunately, even the similar films, based of the good books (it's the truth with the screen-version of *The Tale* by Vladimir Nabokov), as a rule look absolutely unemotional. Besides that, actors' play is very inexpressive, the sound is recorded badly, the cutting is slack. In short, it's the mortal boredom...

The examples: *The Poison of Scorpion* by Vladimir Pangev and Oleg Baraev, *Sex Tale* by Elena Nikolaeva, etc.

*The plot n 3.* The heroine becomes the victim of the sex-maniacs, the barflays, the rockers, the aggressive drug addicts and so on. The violence is showed rather naturalistic in the majority of cases.

In the ironical stylization *The Day Before* by Oleg Boretsky and Alexander Negreba appears the exotica! violencer-mastourbante. In *The Band of Lesbians, or Merry Christmas in Paris* by Olga Jukova the men become the victims of dirty girls...

Others examples: *My Name is Arlecchino* by Valery Ribarev, *The Tragedy in Style of Rock* by Sawa Kulish, *The Hangman* by Victor Sergeev, etc.

*The plot n 4.* The hero makes love with his wife, or with his mistress so aggressively and animal that she, probably, has the same feeling with the victims of the group violence from the films with the scenes of plot n 3. Many films with the erotic's plot n 4 are directed by tainted masters. For examples, in *The Body* Nikita Hubov reproduces the atmosphere of the Russian province with the deadly exactness. Poor, without any touch of the spiritualness, life of the heroes is shown in its who whole typical nests and the routine. And the aspirations of heroes lead to the sexual amusements, the drinking and the dancing party... Frame after frame, that still waters run deep: the hatred accumulates like the reaction of the heroes contrary the disorders and the unperceptive "normal" love story between the young post-office girl and the simple fell low becomes the crime. At first "the fiancé" (made his own sweetheart creep in the mud, and after he violates her with the help of the uncomplaining friend. The heroine wishes the revenge...

Other examples: *The Incident of The District's Scale* by Sergei Snejkin, *The Taxi-Blues* by Pavel Lungin and so on.

*The plot n 5.* Sex as the comical trick (in the cabin of the crane, for example). For this aim (in order not to fall from the vogue) Sergei Nikonenko directed the comedy *The Brunette for 30 Cents* about, the first Russian bordel of the 1990-s.

Why not? But the affair don't go further the funny title and the sensational plot's application. The story about the major-bureaucrat, who decided to open the bordel in the museum, and his wife, who try to take revenge upon him: by becoming the prostitute is narrated with the dejection of the social realism... The remarks of the characters aren't witty, the play of the actors is very poor. . .

The examples: *Expensive Pleasure* by Leonid Mariagin, *The Little Giant of Big Sex* by Nikolai Dostal, etc.

The films of the another plan were shown on the Russian screens only during the last time. It's the films about love without mafia, gangsters racketeers, fights, rapes, and violence, political declarations and the unmasking of all the evils of society.

### *America, America...*

Consider these titles – *I Want to go to America, We Are Going to America, The American Boy, Our American Borya, The American Grandpa, The American Daughter, The Groom from Miami...*

These are the titles of a few of the many Russian films of the 1990s that have the ‘American Dream’ as their theme. Basically, these are entertainment films that are not made for festival awards or critical acclaim, but deal with the dream of many Russians to visit the U.S. one day.

In Russia now, as in the West, directors and producers must find money to produce a movie. Having announced their intention to make a movie that takes place in New York, Miami, or Hawaii, Russian filmmakers of this ‘American Series’ assume that they will more easily find a backer. For one thing, a backer is more likely to think that an American theme will bring theatrical success. Also, filmmakers themselves want to visit the world across the ocean. Besides, shooting on location in the U.S. encourages the participation of popular Russian actors, who like to have a good time for free.

The basic interests of these Moscow film crews, then, are from art and close to partying and shopping. Russian actors waste little time in America. Aside from making the movie, they get a tan, go shopping, and put on some shows for Russian immigrants living in Brighton Beach or in other parts of New York and U.S. It’s kind of funny that the plots of some of these ‘American Series’ Russian films are about the adventures of Moscow actors, artists, singers, et al., who come to the U.S. to make money by any means.

Other plots are popular as well: an ordinary Russian guy gets an inheritance; or a Russian guy gets an inheritance; or a Russian returns from America and learns that a gang has killed his best friend, and now he must seek revenge. But probably the most popular stories are about prospective grooms (less frequently, brides, grandfathers, and grandmothers) who come from the U.S. to Russia searching for a loving and faithful spouse. This is certainly understandable – it’s much cheaper to make such movies because the action takes place principally in Moscow.

Comedies about American grooms (as a rule, of Russian origin) come in two basic varieties. In one version (e.g. *Our American Borya*), a shy young man comes to Moscow from the U.S. to visit his relatives. His hosts begin searching for a bride at once. Almost immediately, young women are besieging ‘the man of their dream’ and he tries to get rid of them. In another version (e.g. *The Groom from Miami*), a self-confident young man comes to

Moscow to visit relatives. He begins a search for a young woman himself, and ends up finding the woman of his dreams.

Name actors are what filmmakers bank their money on. And sometimes this works. In *The Groom from Miami*, Larissa Udovichenko, with her uniquely naughty, diva-like manner, plays a sly woman who attract men with her classy appearance, then robs them of everything. There is a lot of charm and irony in her performance. On the whole, however, such comedies resemble amateur drama-club productions in which the quickly-written then acted script seems like a collection of pointless, often vulgar episodes. Sometimes not only professional actors performs in these films, but also their wives, children, and other relatives. It's as if the filmmakers have decided that, since the relatives have traveled to America, why shouldn't they appear in the movie, too?

Having had a lot of fun on location, filmmakers of this 'America Series' often like to show off their patriotism. Their characters reject the American dream in the film's finale, and choose to stay in unlucky and troubled Russia. But by the mid-1990s, when this kind of plot became a cheap cliché, Russian directors started to change the minus sign to plus more frequently. The makers of *The Groom from Miami*, for example, frankly suggest to Russian audience that they leave for U.S. Forever. Not a bad suggestion, perhaps. But if they were to follow it, who would be left in Russia?

### *French Motives and Russian Melodies*

Recently under the Paris's roofs are gathering more and more Russian cineastes, for whom it's getting harder and harder to work in the Motherland in the conditions of the permanent economic crisis. It seems to me that almost all Russian best masters, even if they make films in China (*Urga* by Nikita Mikhalkov) or in Moscow (*Taxi-Blues* and *Luna-Park* by Pavel Lungin, *Prediction* by Eldar Riazanov, *Moscow Parade* by Ivan Dykhovichny) nevertheless do it with the help of French money, on the French film, with the France sound-system.

Very willingly, do the French producers make their stakes on the talented directors from Russia, who brings them the prizes of the prestige festivals (*Mado* by Alexander Adabashyan, *La Vie independant* by Vitali Kanevski, *Sauve et maintene* by Alexander Sokurov, *Le passport* by George Danelia). The actress Elena Safonova married the French actor and works in France too. St.-Petersburg's studio of the director Igor Maslenikov made the whole series of films based on the Russian literary classics to the order of the French television. The famous petersburger's director Alexei German (*The Control on the Roads*, *20 Days without War*, *My Friend Ivan Lapshin*) made his film *Khustalev, the Car!* with co-production of Paris also.

It is rather logically: Russian cineastes hope that Paris will become the gates to the European screen for them, while in Russia Russian films are forcing out by the American production everywhere. Only very entertainment Russian films manage to endure the competition in a such conditions, but they, as usually, copy the same American comedy-fantastic series and don't represent the special art interest...

Undoubtedly, the work in the West (Andrei Konchalovsky in America, Alexander Adabashian, Igor Minaev in France) requires the certain attention to the producers' wishes and orientation to the middling European and American viewer's apprehension.

OK. Let me to give you some French motives in the Russian cinema, and Russian "melodes" in the French movies...

*Tell her that I love her...* Having broken the odd traditions of the previous decades, Russian actors work in the West more and more often. Elena Safonova also played the main part in the film of the famous French director Claude Miller - *Accompaniatrice* based on the novel of Nina Berberova.

... 1943. France, occupied by the Nazis. The famous opera-singer (Elena Safonova) hirer the young pianist-accompanist, and the girl from the poor family, who had never been in the houses of the "high society" finds in the salons of the Paris's elite. The husband of the singer (Richard Bohringer)

is the prominent businessman, finally he decides to go to England, but there the mistrustful treatment of the authorities it waiting for the heroes, they are suspected in collaboration with Nazis.

I had some doubts at the beginning of the film, if Elena Safonova could enter the French story, in which it's quite felt the stylistic influence of the legendary *Last Metro* in the work of Claude Miller, the faithful apprentice of Francois Truffaut. However, the actress harmoniously enters the cineaste's ensemble since the first shots. Her French pronunciations with the slight Slavonic accent (grounded by the Russian origin of the singer) make glad the hearing, and the aristocratic manners, elegance and charm of the actress excite the admiration. Elena Safonova leads her role psychologically subtly, her sparkling eyes reflect the change of the moods - from the naughtiness and the slight irony to the dramatic passion and loving tenderness. The ambiguous situation, in which the singer finds herself, giving the concerts in the halls, which are filled by the men in Nazi's uniforms almost, is peculiar for her husband also. The commercial ventures in the occupied France are perceived by the majority of the Frenchmen with the contempt.

The daughter of Richard Bohringer, Romane, of 19 years old, having performed the young accompanist in the film of Claude Miller, entered the actor's trio as the professional. Her heroine is overwhelmed by the contradictory senses of joy (it's possible to eat to the heart's content!), senses of pride (she plays in the concerts of the great singer!), jealousy (why does the whole fame fall to her hostess's lot?), love (she makes the refusal to the lad of the same age and follows the love dates of the heroine of Elena Safonova of sinking heart). Brilliantly does Romane Bohringer play the growing-up of the modest and naive girl, possessing the musical and spiritual talent.

The expressive large plans, filmed by the director of photography, give us the opportunity almost to read the thoughts of the actress by the facial expressions, by the expressions of her eyes, by her involuntary gesture...

Richard Bohringer plays in *Accompaniatrice* not a one-sided character also. Much in this life can be endured, if you have the love: the war, the contempt of the antifascists, the blame of the conformists, the hardships of the crossing the Spanish border, the wound, mistrustfulness of the England security service. But when the unfaithfulness stands on the way of love, the hero of Bohringer loses the sense of the existence on the sinful earth...

In a word, Claude Miller together with his bright, actor's crew replenished the European cinematograph by another worthy work.

*Fantasy in "Big Style"*. The previous films of Ivan Dyhovichny (*Tester*, *The Black Monk*) clearly showed his possibilities of the outstanding

stylist, sparing to the plastic, light and color design of the picture the important place. In the *Moscow Parade* (filmed with help of French money) Ivan Dyhovichny creates the original symphony of "big style", which is also called as "Stalin's". Moscow of the end of 1930s is presented on the screen as the indescribable sunny and brightly copy of the main fountain of the capital: with its gilded state, massive luxury, monumental grandeur. The director deliberately doesn't mention the time of the creation of some architectural buildings, shown in his films. The famous multi-storeyed buildings, underground palaces of the subway, marble halls of KGB... All these put together make the image of the epoch, the image of the capital of totalitarian state, about whom dreamed not only thousands of builders-professionals, but also millions of common people in the Country of Soviets, embraced by the aspiration to the light future.

Ivan Dyhovichny brilliantly shows how in the elite, approximated to the open-handed manager of the regime, ever combined the fear and confidence, that, they wouldn't be arrested because only they were truly faithful to the leader and ideals of revolution. How the desire of luxury life and ecstasy of power made them sometimes to do the giddy ventures. Taken away somewhere on the third plan the comical story of preparation for the Moscow holiday's parade, will which the obstinate horse of the marshal was changed by the quiet mare, Ivan Dyhovichny completely devoted himself to the element of the fatal love of soviet Marlene Dietrich (her role is brilliantly performed by German actress, singer and dancer Ute Lamper) and the railway worker...

Conditional, hyper-realistic style of *Moscow Parade* allows the director to perform many scenes in the choreographic manner. Using the musical and plastic talents of Ute Lamper, Ivan Dyhovichny together with excellent director of photos Vadim Usov (who filmed half of Andrey Tarkovsky and George Danelia' films) achieved the magic attraction of the many episodes of "the feast during the plague". He makes hints about German cinema of 1930-s, reveals the likeness and obvious parallels of Soviet-Nazi regime. Calling up to suppress and at the same time to excite the admiration, to impress the worship and proudness of the Motherland...

So, it is the unusual look on the epoch. Without boring political exposures, commonplaces, aspiration to the documentary description of condition of life.

*Russian Vamps? It's not Terribly!* The director Eugeni Tatarski decided to adopt the novel of A.Tolstoy *Vamp* (the film got a name *Those, Who Drink the Blood*) very-very seriously. There can be no doubt, the horror clearly -without any mixes, which racks on the different levels of perception, without cinematic hints and others postmodern thing's as Roman Polanski's -

has the whole rule on the screen status. However absolutely awful horrors like *The Evil Dead*, at Tatarski, on my point of view, nothing came of it. In some episodes, the irony can be found.

The idea, itself it looks funny enough: to turn unforgettable *Sorceress* of Marina Vlady into Russian princess-vamp! The French accent, bring into the film the special charm with which speaks on the screen Marina Vlady's heroine, perfidiously luring in her country estate angel-looking grand-daughter.

It's a pity, that another vamp played by Lithuanian D.Banionis is deprived of his natural voice. Imagine to you, how it would be wonderful, if besides French also Lithuanian accent would be heard! Vamps-strangers in Russian aristocratic metropolitan XIX century!

Finally, the authors didn't suffice the knowledge specific of the genre. Besides, in the many scenes help the whimsical music - there are a mysterious and dark voluptuous and devil's state of anxiety dreams. All in all, needn't find fault - on the background of viscous swap "black film" & "expose-film" *Those, Who Drink the Blood*, in spite of very horror name can, probably, become for Russian spectators the spisy opportunity to keep safe from the social problems...

*The Provincial Romance*. The director's début of Alexander Adabashian (he earlier was famous as a screen writer and designer in Mikhaikov's films) took place in France, where his film *Mado* won the prize at Cannes's festival. However, in the story of fat and plain country girl-postman, who fell in love with to their village called on the way director-failure there are so much of subtly Russian sadness and depression, that it hardly can't be noticed. The film is made with surely professionalism. Quotations from films of Russian and French masters are dissolved there in the details of life conditions of modern French province. The character of Oleg Yankovsky only conditionally can be taken for Western intellectual: his evidently Slavonic roots, gestures, monologues are not hidden by the authors at all.

Oleg Yankovsky, offering to the spectators the export variation of his character's them in *The Flights in the Dream and in Reality* (1982), plays wonderful. However, young Mariane Grove, playing the role of postman Mado, undoubtedly, is the casting's leader in this poetic movie. The actress finds for her character many psychological colors, shades, nuances of conduct, facial expressions, and gestures. The naivety, delight combines in her with practical cleverness, the unprotected frank soul with eccentric... The changes of the emotional conditions, of the colors are well perceptible in the impressionistic work of famous director of photographs Levan Paataashvili (he worked with Andrei Konchalovsky and Mikhail Kalik). In a word, *Mado*

- is undoubtable, the success of the talented group of people, who are a good judge of cinema.

*Not Too Fanny Comedy.* After the premiere of Andrei Konchalovsky's movie *The Hen Rjaba* (with French producer) a lot of accusations appeared in press and TV. The authors were accused of blackmailing on Russian nation, not knowing the Russia's modern life, in darkening the colors, etc. Declining such sorts of claims, Andrei Konchalovsky asked to be judged by the laws of his genre - fairy tale comedy, allowing some straightforwardness of characters and some sort of preaching.

Actually, the story of how the heroine of the old Konchalovsky's movie *The Story of Asya Klachina* (1966) almost 30 years later became a communist, admirer of Brezhnev, drunkard, and found the gold hen's egg, has its folklore roots. The sights of the modern Russian country rural life (bad roads, drinking, hatred toward the rich farmer-neighbor), are shown in the movie, though in the over painted, but pretty truthful. The other thing is worse: the press writes about problems of Russian villages more profound and true to life, and the director, claiming far the comedy genre, can't find, really funny gags and dialogues to turn the "paper" information into the bright comedy show. Made in a documentary style, "*Story of Asya...*" organically mixed the real dramatism of the country woman's fate with the lyric and the exact sense of time. "*The Hen...*" tries to make you laugh by the episodes of the falling of one character to the toilet, by the village demonstration meeting where people are earring Brezhnev's & Khrusehev's portrait, by dumb criminals who came for the gold egg.

I don't know about you, the reader, but after seeing "*The Hen...*" I definitely came to decision that Andrei Konchalovsky doesn't have the comedy talent.

*The Unblowing Lights of Paris.* The eternal lights of Paris attract Russian cinematographers. In the comedy of Otar Dugladze *The Bride from Paris*, the young woman comes to Saint-Petersburg in order to find the material for her research paper, but falls in love with the young cute lawyer. The movie is simple, easy, not claiming for the deep thought irony. Petersburg's streets of the 1990's are shown as the place for endless meetings, actions of protest. The lawyer's neighbor looks like the cartoon strip hero, who has robbed and cheated his whole life, and now dreams to get away to France. The poor lawyer in his thirties, with tired eyes he watches the optimistic enthusiasm of his Paris guest, for whom all the Russian problems seem like some fun roller coaster: everything is new to her, everything is interesting - dumb faces of militia men, taking her to the police station,

because she was so careless to walk without her identification card, the absence of cold and hot water, etc.

It's a pity, that the authors didn't (or couldn't because of finance) invite the French actress for the main part. Alexandra Zakharova performs the French sometimes funny, however, only the a little number of audience would believe she came from Paris. Known by the *Little Vera* (1988) actor Andrei Sokolov plays the Russian lawyer-melancholic without special effort, maybe, having logically decided that he needn't work out on 100% for the comedy of such level. The standard patriotic final of the movie (having the chance live in France, the heroes, got married, decided to stay in Russia) doesn't make the rating of *The Bride from Paris* higher.

*To See Paris and Die...* Having made the successful TV-career, Alexander Proshkin makes movies for the big screen also. His western *The Cold Summer of 1953*, telling the story of fighting against a gang of criminals was a success with Russian audience. Another interesting film of this director - psychological drama *To See Paris and Die*.

... The 1960's Moscow. Single mother (performed by Tatiana Vassilieva) gives all her love and energy to her son – piano player. She tries hard that it was he who will go to the prestigious musical competition in Paris. Being afraid of the anti-Semitism, all these years she concealed from her son that his father is Jewish. But a new neighbor appears in their building, which learns her secret.

With all this, the plot sounds like the Mexican soap opera however, the director managed to fill it with many psychological details; lifestyle trifles, not making the special accent on retro style. However the main success of the movie is the highest of Tatiana Vassilieva. The complicated bunch of feelings can be seen in her character. From the proudness for her outstanding son, to the fear, from love to hatred, from boldness to collapsing, from mocking irony to the defendless tenderness.

A "small man" trying to go against his fate. Love, ruined by the regime, suppressing the freedom of an individual. These themes not new to the Russian art but their version in the movie of Alexander Proshkin doesn't leave one indifferent. For a long time it was common for Russian critics to accuse cinema of absence of the professional, three-four star movies: like there's masterpieces of Tarkovsky or not professionalism. Movies like *To See Paris and Die* prove that the spectrum of the "gold middle" in Russia has widened a lot.

*To / From Paris*. As I told, French motifs are very popular in Russia. "To see Paris and die" – the title of a Alexander Proshkin film – become the theme of a lot of Russian films. And the characters from Yry Mamin's comedy fantasy *The Window into Paris* can be instantaneously transported

between St-Petersburg communal houses and the center of modern Paris. Yry Mamin plays up the essential difference between Slav and Western mentalities rather successfully.

One unlucky Frenchwoman, who finds herself almost naked in a dirty Petersburg yard, is absolutely unable to get used to situations that surround all Russians from childhood, while Russian citizens – having discovered a magical route to France – in several days begin to trade in the French stock market and steal whatever isn't fastened down. Against such a background, the figure of a failed musician, an aged romantic who just wants to get pleasure from the sudden gift of fate, seems funny and odd.

Maybe the best joke of the film, in which Mamin sounds the highest note of pitiless sarcasm, is the sequence about a restaurant musician who moved to France about ten years ago. Lazily offering cognac to a former friend, he abuses Frenchmen and their customs, sentimentally recalls Russia and almost cries while saying that he would give everything for an opportunity to return to St-Petersburg just for one minute. As a gag, his friend fulfils this wish (via the magical "open window"). But instead of the expected ecstasy, the emigrant – seeing an armored car in front of the St-Petersburg railway station – falls into despair.

The fact is that modern Russia is good only in sentimental dreams and in conversations before the cozy foreign fireplaces of restaurants with a view of the Sein, the Thames or the Hudson.

I can't say that Mamin's film is as funny as the early comedies of Leonid Gaidai. There are brilliant comedy scenes and pointedly devised details (in the principals office of a private college for young businessmen, hanging portraits of political leaders have been replaced by gigantic dollar symbols), but they are side by side with useless dialogue and events.

The finale of the film – driven by the slogan "We don't need French shores" – isn't, frankly speaking, new. There are, however, more successes in *The Window into Paris* than stereotypes.

*To Believe the Prophecy for a Moment...* The film of Eldar Riazanov get sadder form year to year. *The Prophecy* is perhaps his most sorrowful. It even has a gloomy outset: a famous writer (O.Basilashvili) learns from a Gypsy fortune-teller that only a day is left for him to live and he is to meet with an unexpected man.

In that mystical tone a young man (A.Sokolov) with the same name and same temple scar appears in the writer's flat. Who is this mysterious double – phantom or guardian angel? The answer remains open throughout the film.

So the time of summing-up comes for the tired writer, shaken by life. He is well-to-do in Russian terms: he has an apartment in the center of

Moscow, a car and video camera, and his books are published in Paris. But, characteristically for a man living in a country of endless admonitions, distress his look reveals the effect of freedom's absence. And it's not because of the peculiarities of his biography (his father perished during the repressions, his mother is Jewish – which he couldn't mention for a long time – and his wife died in a car accident). The brand of unfreedom is stamped on practically everybody in Russia, except those under 20.

In that regard, the choice of actress for the leading female role was perfect: French star Irene Jacob. Though her character is just a modest cashier in a bank, she can be at once distinguished from the surrounding Russian fuss by her uncommon expression. She becomes a fairy princess and, probably, the writer's last love... for this princess is colored by the shade of nostalgia for unrealized dreams.

In contrast with Riazanov's previous works (*Dear Elena Sergeevna*, etc.), there is little topical populism – although the conclusion is connected with one of the most widespread script devices in Russia today (escaping from Mafia pursuit, the hero tries to leave for Israel). Sensitive to his audiences' mood, Riazanov couldn't but feel that a mass interest in cinematic political investigations and revelations has almost disappeared, while the need for melodramatic love stories is great.

Actually, *The Prophecy* can't be called melodrama. There are comedy episodes (a visiting fanatic suggests that the writer burn himself in Red Square as protest against something – it's not important against something – it's not important against what, the main thing is to perform the action), and there are elements of a parable. I don't find such a genre alloy organic and convincing. This seems to be the director's attempt to get a second wind.

## *Fistful of Russian Movies*

### *Retro-styles*

*The System's Typical Product.* 1934 was one of the most fateful years for our suffering Russia. The shooting of Communist leader Kirov was the cause of a new wave of mass murders. Ex-cameraman and now director D.Dolinin, in his eighth movie *The Myth of Leonid*, tries to catch the sense of that time, to investigate the phenomenon of “the small man” Leonid Nikolaev – one of the screws in the Party’s machine constructed by the Bolsheviks. Like I.Dyshovichny in *Moscow Parade*, Dolinin doesn’t want to make everything happening on screen into documentary. Remaining within the framework of realistic narration, the director tries to investigate the character of a hero, interpreting him as the typical product of a totalitarian system. The ambitious, pitiful, odd, self-loving Nikolaev doesn’t evoke compassion, though there is nothing to hate him for... there were plenty of such people in those days. He was just the one to whom that lot was cast, and with his help Stalin’s intelligence corps played its bloody game, using his extreme, odious suspiciousness.

Had *The Myth of Leonid* come out about 20-30 year ago, its appearance would probably have raised viewers’ interest and tempest in the Russian press. But, unfortunately, the movie is late. Readers and moviegoers in Russia have already been exposed to a storm of information about different aspects of the Soviet totalitarian regime. Their fed-up feelings can be overcome only by a masterpiece. *The Myth of Leonid* doesn’t claim this title.

*Lost in the Kremlin...* *The Inner Circle* directed by A.Konchalovsky developed a certain reputation in Russian cinema press: one after another, critics said that its aim was to cater to Western viewers’ preferences by means of American marketing techniques.

There are reasons for such a conclusion: The main roles in the film are played by the American Tom Hulce and the British Bob Hoskins; the story of Ivan Sanshin, Stalin’s private projectionist, is developed on the screen in a style close to the traditions of melodrama. Konchalovsky, an expert in psychological drama (*Uncle Vanya*, *Duet for One*), turns up the volume in *The Inner Circle* while deliberately declining to apply a European depth – a penetration of thought – to his characters; that, of course, makes them understandable to an audience not versed in the twists of Russian history through the Thirties and Forties.

Many Russian directors, probably inspired by A.German’s *My Friend Ivan Lapshin*, would try to focus on the tragedy of the bitter understanding of truth by a man who, a cog in Stalin’s totalitarian machine, became the obedient executor of another’s orders. But this Russian directors of an

American film accentuates the love story of Ivan and his wife who passed through the dirty, lusting hands of the killer Beria. In another move, Konchalovsky demotes her memories in favor of the usual plot constructions of standard transpacific cinema. And, frankly speaking, I don't see anything bad about this. The internationalism (not of class, but common human values) of the cinematic language in *The Inner Circle* is a necessary bridge between different mentalities and cultures.

Moreover, Konchalovsky managed to gather a wonderful acting team. Tom Hulce (the legendary *Amadeus* in M. Forman's film) plays Ivan in such a way that there is nothing for us but to wonder how this star of Western screens captured Slav naivety, enthusiasm and childlike defenselessness.

B. Hoskins, in the role of Beria, scores no less of an exact hit with the buttery look of this funny fat man from whose eyes sometimes blows a cold, ominous wind. Maybe the role is played slightly grotesquely, yet it is brightly convincing. Against this background, A. Zbruev loses in the role of Stalin; he hasn't got much kick or an actor's original vision.

A whole constellation of Russian actors play minor characters in *The Inner Circle*, and in spite of their short appearances on screen stay in memory even more than in their previous roles. Brilliantly does I. Kuptchenko lead her episode as a teacher in orphanage for children of the "people's enemies", revealing contradictory feelings of fatigue, fear, compassion, pain and devastation.

A sense of the real nature of a Russian woman who doesn't understand how it is possible for a man to love Comrade Stalin more than a wife and a poor child exists in the performance of the performance of the American actress L. Davidovich also.

In *The Inner Circle* Konchalovsky aspires to show that despite all hardships the people felt themselves happy in the faraway Thirties, though their happiness was possible only while they trusted leaders infinitely and dispensed with questions and doubts. As soon as they began to ask questions, the whole of their prosperity was ruined, drawing them into the currents of morally and physically crippled Fates.

*Those Years...* Summer 1957. Moscow. International festival of youth & students. The rhythms of banned jazz. Smiling young faces...

V. Moskalenko rather carefully recreates the romantic atmosphere of those years, when Russia was creeping slowly out of Stalinism's ice age. The love story of a Moscow student and his new girlfriend – French with Russian origin – seems natural against this background. The authors of the film *The Way to Paradise*, however, don't seem to want to please us with retro-melodrama: the lovers are between two fires. On one hand, the KGB wants the Russian boy, nephew of an academician-chemist, to be its

informer. On the other, the girl has been sent by the French side to learn the chemical secrets of her boyfriend's uncle.

Obviously, it's an unexpected change after a lyrical beginning. I would have liked the film just to tell the love story... sentimental, a little bit sad, with its 1950s teenage hits. But I'm sure this spy's version of the plot will find its admirers, especially since in this conflict the authors are obviously on the side of love, not the interests of this or that intelligence or secret service.

*The Way to Paradise* is made with a sense of style, the actors' play is rather convincing. Like S.Ursulyak's *Russian Ragtime*, Moskalenko's film doesn't claim psychological depth and analysis. It's a moody sketch, invoked by nostalgia for the end of the 1950s.

*Melodramaland' 1966*. The late Russian poet and screenwriter G.Shpalikov had a wise line: "Never come back to the old places". I won't say that's a strict rule, but director B.Frumin's melodrama *Viva, Castro!* Convinces from its first episodes that nostalgia for his youthful experiences in the 1960s didn't help him create some special piece of art. The attraction of "the time of good hopes", brightly reflected in M.Hutsiev's 1962 *I'm 20* and G.Danelia *I Am Walking in the Streets of Moscow* (both movies, by the way, made from G.Shpalikov scripts) is lost in *Viva, Castro!* The young actors are dull and stiff, the love story is unemotional and weary against the background of a 1966 visit by Cuban leader Castro to Moscow. The spirit of those days is evoked only by the soundtrack's songs from archival tapes.

Some years ago B.Frumin could make much better melodramas. But having captured the attention of audiences with *The Diary of the Principal* (1976) and *Family Melodrama* (1977), he became a victim of censorship. His 1978 film *Mistakes of Youth* was banned; he emigrated to the USA where he couldn't manage to find success. After making *Black and White* in 1991 he has attempted, with this film, to return to his Russian roots, not listening to Shpalikov's advice. Unfortunately.

*Detective Tricks' 1983*. Y.Moroz's film *The Black Square* is based on the detective novel by F.Neznansky, *The Fair in Sokolniki*, whose action takes place in 1983. For Russia that year was extraordinary, as ex-KGB leader Andropov tried to fight the Mafia in the highest State spheres. The novel's main character, a young investigator, gradually understands that the trail of an apparently ordinary murder leads to the Kremlin, where plans of world control involve seizing the planet's main oil resources.

This could have been filmed as a serious traditional detective story. Moroz chose what I find a more successful approach – half parody, with an accent on the detective's humor, and half tricks. The cast, understanding the director's aim very well, enjoyed acting, making fun of commonplace details

in past Russian life (like so-called “grocery requests” with were the privilege of the authorities only, because of the lack of food in stores).

Not placing any special stylistic emphasis on 1983, Moroz nevertheless recreates the atmosphere of that time pretty convincingly... a time when Russia fought not only with the Mafia, but with its own ordinary people, too, if they happened to be outdoors during working hours.

Watching these characters from a 1990s point of view, the film’s authors certainly understand how naive and unrealistic dreams about victory over corruption were. That’s where the bitter feeling radiating through the comedic action comes from. Famous Russian abstractionist Kazemir Malevich’s canvas *The Black Square* becomes a symbol of unbeaten Evil, whom the Good is doomed to forever fight.

#### *Crime on the Russian Screen*

*Agatha Christie’s Arithmetic.* Dmitry Svetosarov, who likes showy cinema, is not a very consistent director. Now he flashes with European professionalism `a la Claude Lelouch in *The Speed* (1983), now he sags into dull naturalism with *The Dogs* (1990). In *The Arithmetic of Murder* Svetosarov decided to stay with the traditional detective format. The crime in the film is investigated with all rules of the genre: detailed questioning of witnesses and suspects, the appearance of some convincing alibis and so on.

A Petersburg public flat, at first sight very common, turns from episode to episode into a mysterious tangle of criminal threads in Agatha Christie’s favorite method: any character could have committed the crime. The film doesn’t limit itself, however, to the arithmetic of a detective thriller. S.Bekhtirev plays the main role of armchair-bound invalid. Never destroying suspense and other attributes of the genre, he creates a contradictory image of the man, aspiring to the... But I shall not reveal mystery. There are many surprises, and the film, although far from a Hitchcockian masterpiece, is psychologically convincing, never dull. And cinematographer S.Astahov demonstrates great skill working in feebly lighted rooms.

*Feeling Cheated. The Day Before,* from the viewpoint of this writer who is very tired of unprofessional movies about the Mafia, starts riskily. A group of actors, sitting in armchairs, speaks in wooden, false voices about some machinations. In a minute, however, you understand that it’s a sharp parody of Russian F-class action movies.

After this prefatory trick the film’s debuting directors, former actors O.Boretsky and A.Negreba, take an abrupt turn into stylization. The story becomes one of nice, handsome young men and women trying to preserve the ambience of 1970 “kitchen talks” in the 1990s: sociable jokes, romantic attractions, intelligent discussions. In a word, praise to friendship. In this main part of the movie the attentive viewer will find a lot of cinema

quotations from films of the 1970s by O.Ioseliani, K.Muratova, etc. It's a playful stylization in many ways. Not for a minute does it become the fruit of cold calculation, or lose its free, elegant spirit of improvisation.

Then the alarming signals of other words intrude on the movie's intellectual lyricism: a sex maniac attacks one of the heroines; the other charming woman, aiming to prevent a rape, plucks out the eye of a street beggar. After such encroachments the final events of the film, with all their unexpectedness, have a certain logic. Feeling cheated, as were we all in that time, the intellectuals do not become nice heroes. Donning masks and taking up guns, they engage in murder and robbery "to get to the West". In this way the film reflects the old story of some of Tbilisi's youthful elite who tried to fly an airplane away from the hated USSR.

After this mutual directorial debut, Boretsky and Negreba decided to go their own ways, though their duet, to my mind, turned out to be organic and united.

*Thirst for a Thriller.* Former actor A.Haritonov proves, in his directorial debut, that he wants and is able to make thrillers. In *Thirst for Passion* Haritonov didn't hide quotations from other films (for example, Kubrick's *The Shining*), he built them precisely into the action. The story, about a phantom-twin chasing a young aristocratic lady, is taken from Valery Brusov's prose and is told according to the rules of classic thrillers in the spirit of Hitchcock: ominous pauses, presentiments of terrible events, and a coldly erotic elegance... all giving the film a necessary style.

Surely, Haritonov is not Kubrick. He isn't even Brian De Palma. He does have a command of his profession, though, and his actors are good. A.Vertinska is very effective in both role, real and illusory, while I.Kostolevsky, as the police commissar, can compete with the inspector in any American crime-detection TV series.

*A Toy-Brick Game.* Director and actor I.Okhlobystin likes to astonish the Russian public. I can't remember the last time some cinema personality as famous as he declared an attachment to drugs. But Okhlobystin has made it several times (now he is very religious man). In his detective story *The Arbiter* he also spites tradition, splintering stereotypes and playing with them at the same time, as a child does with toy bricks. His characters – a freshman detective with his gray-haired colleague – chase a serial killer. The standard plot becomes the basis for cinematic hints by the director/leading man. Single shots and full episodes periodically quote or resemble the films of Alan Paker (cameraman M.Mukasey doesn't miss a chance to play with light rays penetrating the blades of a gigantic ventilator), Hitchcock, Friedkin, Lynch and Scorsese.

These ironical quotations and hints help the director turn the film into some kind of retrospective, proving that the style of French post-modernists Luc Besson (*Subway*) and Leos Carax (*Mauvais Sang*, *Boy Meets Girl*) are close to the interests of modern young Russian cineastes. Not accidentally, maybe, many members of *The Arbiter*'s team resemble (in their creative style) famous parents in some way: actor Kirill Kosakov, composer Artem Artemiev, etc.

*The Arbiter* counts on aficionados. It's hard to surprise somebody in the West with this kind of movie. American, French and British cinema, to my mind, has polished such style till it shines. In Russian, I.Okhlobystin's work is doomed to the TV heading "Not for Everybody".

*Devilish Speculation.* Nikolai Stambula's film *Operation Lucifer* is made with clear intention: to add to gossip about the mysterious murder of Russian pop star Igor Talkov. Stambula offers his own version of the death of the singer, composer and poet: that neither jealous competitors, the Mafia nor racketeers are guilty, but Devilish power, the same evil creatures who – in Stambula's plot – want to kill an actor playing the role of Talkov in some movie by a gloomy director. There is a subplot about a woman who buried her husband in a suit, one of whose pockets held a lucky lottery ticket for a prestigious car (this story was printed in all Russian newspapers some time ago). The action is interrupted by erotic scenes in a pool and out of it. In a word, it's pure speculation.

However, who knows? – if Stambula had the talent of Alan Parker, director of the 1987 mystical thriller *Angel Heart*, this might have been something artistic. But as it stands there is nothing going on.

*Boring Story.* Elena Yakovleva has been given a lot of parts in criminal movies recently. In *Russian Roulette* she plays the girl friend of the Russian Clyde (hey, Arthur Penn!), in *The Wild Woman* mafia kidnapped her chide. In the Inessa Selezniova's film *Upbringing of Cruelty of Women and Dogs* Elena Yakovleva is robbed of her cute dog, which disturbed her mafia-lover while he was in bad.

Finally, the owner begins the real investigation, finds her dog, revenges cruelly to the new owner, who states that bought it in the market.

In a word, the script events development is going on the order to underline once more the title phrase of the film - that atmosphere of cruelty and uncontrol in society has reached the point when it's able to evoke the answering, maybe no less cruel reaction of, at first sight, rather harmless and peaceful people and animals.

Well, the screenwriter Valentin Chernykh, who attracted the target of the viewer's sympathy by melodrama *Moscow Doesn't Believe to Tears* (1980), can evoke sympathy for his characters. However, this time, it seems

to me that his professional calculation has failed to him: for the last years, viewers have been rather tired of the criminal and everyday life gloomy movies and because of it even its "soft" versions with the melodrama love story of the main heroine and the cute X-ray doctor, who is drawing up the emigration documents, to my point of view, will hardly evoke the enthusiasm of public.

Besides the directorship of Inessa Selezneva lacks the psychological delicacy of her best works (*The Day Train*). For melodrama, *Upbringing...* is not very emotional, even cold sometimes, for the detective story, it's not very interesting, for predictable beforehand.

Elena Yakovleva, of course, with the usual for her screen truthfulness, performs the loneliness, life unsteadiness and destituteness of her character. However her heroine is the only living thing in the film (not considering the dog) because all other actors try to escape the frames of the comics' hero characters in vain.

In *Moscow Doesn't Believe to Tears*. I remember the expressive dialogues; every single character had his/her individual personality. In *Upbringing of Cruelty of Women and Dogs*, alas, everything is deprived of individuality...

*Love for American Action Movies*. In the movie *Day of Love* Alexander Polynnikov clearly exhibit his love for American action. For example, in one of the first movie episodes a girl is watching how Sylvester Stallone's hero fights his enemy, pushing him to the bowl of boiling metal, and in the final scene her step-father does the same with the criminal... The situation itself, when the armed mob, frightening a city, is raping the most pretty young women, is borrowed from American movies about "wild angels", "hell's creatures" and so on.

But the problem is the imitation of American thrillers and suspense movies come out to be pretty diligent, but not very professional: the effects lack spectacular, the physical form of actors is far from Van Damme's, action scenes are filmed slowly, without imagination. Well, to love American action movies and to make them is not the same.

*Alain Delon doesn't Drink Eau de Cologne*. And this drink isn't favored by his screen heroes either, among which are hired killers (*Le Samourai* by J.-P. Melville, *Traitement de choc* by R. Davis, etc.). Actor and director V. Shilovsky decided to try on one of the established Delon's roles. In *Deadline* Shilovsky plays a liquidation professional making Mafia people uncomfortable. His next victim becomes respectable, and sets out to destroy the superbosses. Shilovsky's hero kills a "client", then wants to be out of the game, but...

All in all, the standard plot of *Deadline* doesn't shine with specially dramatic passages. It's not actually bad, though, until Shilovsky tries to give the actions of his character a psychological basis. As a child, he saw during the war how some died of hunger and others enjoyed a glut of apples and peahens. That's when he began to hate the masters of life. Therefore, he is not an everyday hired gun, but a man with firm ideological principles – the killer-avenger. This is another Russian attempt to complicate things, to make a murderer not a murderer but some sort of victim of the social environment.

Pity, but there is none of Delon's charm in Shilovsky's hero. And he drinks, alas, eau de Cologne instead of bourbon and Napoleon brandy...

*Instead of the thriller...* The raped woman, deciding to revenge of the offenders, turns to service of the hire-killers. The script of the film *The Hangman*, perhaps, could be the basic for the normal thriller. Could. But, on my point of view, it didn't... The picture of Victor Sergeev's *The Hangman* found itself, it seems to me, somewhere on the middle amid the two genres. For the thriller it didn't suffice the elastic dynamics and the cutting of some episodes (that is not strange for the nearly 3 hours lasted picture). *The Hangman* doesn't attain to the psychological drama because of its monosyllabically worked out characters-masks, banality of many scenes, far away from the convincing actor's play.

With all that, it feels like the authors went in the contrary of the modern Russian film motion in something. For example, they refused from, oh, how tempting in the defiant treatment the scene of the group raping of the main heroine, leaving it "behind the scenes". It's clear, that without that shocking the three violenters evoke more sympathies as the sacrifices of the cruel mafia...

The theme of the hangman and sacrifice, which often can change places, or paradoxly live together in the same person - one of everlasting in art. Especially brightly it appears in the world cinema of 1970s years: in the films of Stanley Kubrick, Louis Malle, Liliana Cavani... *The Hangman* presents, probably, comics-version of the theme. Though for me, that'll be better if authors instead of such adaptation directed the firm film without pretension of the philosophy...

*Primitive Scripting.* The plot of B.Grigoriev's *The Confession of the Mistress* is simple: the Mafia kidnaps a businessman, one of the so-called New Russians, and demands money from his mistress and companion. A police detective tries to free the hostage with the woman's help.

Most of the movie takes place in the heroine's gorgeous apartment, where she and detective are sitting beside the phone on which criminals call her from time to time. Under these conditions only excellent directorial effort

and well-developed acting could have saved the movie. But neither M.Zudina nor M.Zhigalov manages to bring life to the primitive script scheme. Their characters are monotonous and unattractive, their dialogue is boring. The action develops very slowly, and by the middle of the movie only determined perseverance keeps one from walking out for a breath of fresh air.

*Belief in a Right to Kill.* Kidnapping themes are as common in Russian cinema as American. The suspense movie *The Nonhuman* tells of the kidnapping of a 13-year-old boy whose mother had a high office in City Hall. Contrary to some other versions of such events, director Y.Ivanchuk puts the main accent not on details of investigation, chases and fights, but on the family's moral situation. The kidnapping is presented as a harsh revenge for the mother's sins (bribery, corruption, lying). Here the talented actress L.Gurchenko had material for creation of an interestingly complicated character. She played it, however, for half its potential, without the psychological truth she brought to *The Five Nights* (1979) by N.Mikhalkov and *Sibiriada* (1980) by A.Konchalovsky. S.Bragarnik, who performed a similar role in V.Aristov's drama *Devil*, managed to create a more convincing and interesting character.

The criminal in *Devil* was scarier, too. Actually, he was kind of a Raskolnikov from Dostoevsky's *Crime and Punishment*, fixated on the belief that he was superhuman, having a right to kill for some higher aims. In *Devil* the criminal didn't get punished and the evil was his celebration of a devilish victory. In *The Nonhuman* the criminal is killed by an assassin's bullet. Happy ending? Or evil just passing on its bloody baton?

*Elena and a Russian Clyde.* *Russian Roulette*, a film by V.Chikov, is made for spectators who love the American cinema of the 1960s-1970s. A couple of gangsters-outlaws rob racketeers, thieves and at last just suspicious-looking rich men until the dramatic ending. Chikov doesn't conceal the origin of his movie in Arthur Penn's 1967 *Bonnie and Clyde*. But his action takes place in Russia of the 1990s, and instead of Faye Dunaway and Warren Beatty there are Elena Yakovleva and Denis Karasev. They are not bad actors but they play in too "soviet" a way. The vivid music of A.Kozlov, with its rich saxophone tunes, from time to time evokes a moody, stylish variation on the theme of gangsters' Eros, grown dim in a romantic fog.

It would be ridiculous to demand that a common criminal movie rise to the level of Dostoevsky, so let's enjoy at least *Russian Roulette's* good music.

*Class of 199?* If I am not mistaking, in the 1980's it was pretty popular in American cinema to make movies about high schools as the models of violence and dictatorship - sometimes frankly in style of the

science fiction anti-utopia of George Orwell. The most vivid example of such sort of movies for Russian audience was Mark Lester's *Class of 1984*.

With the 10 years tardy something similar to that, has appeared in Russian cinema of the 1990's. The gloomy movie by Pavel Fatkhundinov *The Chocolate Uprising* tells a fiction like story of some provincial high school, where the principal together with his helpers runs a mafia business and turns the school into the strict-disciplined barracks, with the eavesdropping, denunciations and other nasty things. The final is the big fire of the school and uprising of students.

The visual side of the movie is made of the combination of balance, brown and red colors, because the main events happen in the dark rooms, in the ninth alleys and the building caught on fire. Perhaps, the impressing show could have come out of it, if *The Chocolate Uprising* had some interesting characters, and special effects had been on the sufficient level.

Alas, but the author's idea didn't get the interesting life: the movie action develops slowly and monotonously, the actors act unexpressive and the director is too obsessed with the gloomy ominous color and light effects.

*Abuse, Song, Fighting, Sex and Guns*. It seems like only yesterday that Russian authorities didn't want one of outstanding director K.Muratova's films exhibited because its main female character uttered a couple of "bad language" words in one scene. In Nikina Dzhgurda's film *Superman Against His Will*, or *The Erotic Mutant* the characters are swearing in nearly every scene, and it's O.K. – the movie is circulating without restriction.

Were there indisputable artistic values in Dzhgurda's auteur effort – he is the screenwriter, co-director (with S.Gaiduk), singer, poet and actor playing the role of an engineer-inventor in a constant fight with the Mafia – to be compared even a little with Muratova's films, no one would be paying attention to its vocabulary. You can hear more of it in real life. Unfortunately, besides the trumped-up "bad language" Superman... can attract attention only through numerous soft-porn scenes wherein N.Dzhigurda apparently acted without a "body double", while shy Alena Hkmeľnitska used the services of a young photomodel from Moscow men's magazine Andrei. The film's sexual-acrobatic episodes are, however, rather monotonous, and no more creative are its action scenes' skirmishes.

Dzhgurda with his hoarse voice reminiscent of Vysotsky, flashes on Russian TV screen in assorted music videos, concerts and commercials. Superman..., obviously, was planned by him as a 1 1/2 –hour self-promotion, counting on million-ruble box-office profits. And here it is – an old, greasy, obscene story with an unbridled pop-music soundtrack.

*Comedies à la Russe*

*Identifying with Images.* Until only recently it was hard to even imagine a comedy about the life and activities of Lenin appearing on Russian screens. His persona remained sacred through all the years of 1920s –1980s. But two talented directors - V.Studennikov & M.Grigiriev – have ventured to destroy a stereotype and defy the censors' ban with *A Comedy of Strict Regime*. Those between age 50 and 100 certainly remember the unforgettable spring days of 1970, when the whole great country of Soviets prepared to celebrate the 100th anniversary of this legendary workers' leader. Press, TV and radio sent an endless stream of information blockbusters at the public. From Moscow to the very east a great wave of holiday celebration was rising.

The central characters of this movie swam in it, unfortunately for them. They, the officers of a rigidly organized prison colony, decide to surprise the authorities with an amateur-theater production, *The Light of October*, casting convicts in the roles of the first world state's workers and peasants. It might seem that nothing could be stupider than this! But the more the ex-thieves and murderers identify themselves with their images, the clearer a resemblance becomes. Sitting in the theater, you understand that in spite of obvious differences (in education, for example) the actors and the prototypes are people with similar moral values. For them the life of an individual is worth nothing ("no man, no problem"), the aim justifies all means.

The seriousness of its material notwithstanding, the film is a real comedy, with excellent satirical skits on the colony's life (a huge poster says, "Lenin is more alive than everybody living even now – V.I.Lenin") and a perfect understanding of funny elements. It is not accidental that the role of this leader is given to the plainest, most insignificant convict, who day after day begins to identify with it, arming himself with quotations from the books and films of M.Romm – *Lenin in October* (1937) & *Lenin in 1918* (1939) – and becoming himself a real leader, able to make the mob follow him wherever... even to escape from the colony, distracted by the celebration.

There is no Lenin-movie cliché that is not ironically remade in *A Comedy of Strict Regime*. In a fountain of quick-witted gags and dialogue the action develops dynamically; without extended or repeated tricks. This is humor behind which lies a bloody and terrible history of "dictatorship of the proletariat" and civil war, mass terror and violence. But there is a saying in the holy book of Marxism: "Mankind parts with the past laughing".

*The Same, with a Difference.* Remake is not a very word in Russian cinema yet. It applies to America, where they like to shoot the same script several times. Often, it's done without a wish to parody the original; yet

attempts to use cinema classics as background for ironic reWondering happen too.

Such appears to be the goal of Igor & Gleb, the Aleinikov brothers-film, ex-editors of handwritten paper, Cine-Phantom, and authors of the 1980's *Underground Cinema*. They took the script of a famous I.Pyriev comedy, *Tractor-drivers* (1939), and made a parody in the spirit of amateur action films about Russian Mafia. At first it's funny. Why not? The female tractor-driver Mariana lives in a luxurious villa, drives an American car, shoots every kind of weapon expertly. Rivals from a competing farm resemble a gang of terrorists and assassins. The ex-soldier Klim has to make an uneasy choice between these two armed, warring groups.

Unfortunately, the authors' imagination and fantasy are sufficient for a 30-minute movie only. In 15 to 20 minutes the film's action stops going anywhere, the tricks and gags are being repeated, and it doesn't look funny at all. In a word, 85 minutes of *The Tractor-drivers 2* are too much. And what was forgivable in enthusiastic amateurs, on the big screen looks like unprofessionalism.

*A Russian Shveik*. Recently a lot of movies have shown, with realistic thoroughness, the horrors of Russian army life: violence, cruelty, crimes, murders. Y.Volkogon's *Saluting!*, for what may be one of the first Russian film, tells about the same problems in the comedic tradition of novelist Gashek's unforgettable hero, *The Good Soldier Shveik*.

The comedy evolves with some bitterness, but it is funny at the same time. A.Androsov brightly plays Ivan, the recruit who manages to make fools of stupid authorities and even Ministry commissioners with his untamed optimism and idiotically thorough completion of orders. Half Shveik, half hero of folk tales, Ivan comes safe and sound through dead-end situation to win the love of his commander's daughter.

Viewers who know Russian army life will probably get genuine pleasure from how the movie turns into gags so many barracks customs, from the cleaning of latrines to the thousand repetitions of the same drills. Reality, however, can be glimpsed in each absurd episode. Wouldn't it be great if everything shown in *Saluting!* Were just a fantasy!

*Country Clumsiness*. The star of V.Chikov's comedy *About Businessman Foma*, M.Evdokimov, used to be famous in Russia as a music-hall comic, reading humorous and satirical monologues in the character of a rural athlete who from time to time comes out of a bathhouse with "a red face and vodka inside the shirt". Director Chikov decided to adapt this character for the big screen by making Evdokimov into Foma, a tractor-driver who, having sunk his tractor while drunk, decides to open a pay-restroom in his native village. The film obviously expects laughter to be evoked by this odd

situation itself. Really, though, what is a public toilet for in this tiny village where everybody has his own house? The gag is simply not enough for a full-length comedy. Aware of that, the script adds racketeering and a mad Communist who decides to protest this form of private property by burning himself in the new toilet.

Sometimes it gets laughs, but on the whole it's too monotonous and clumsy. Evdokimov's original monologues, told from the scene, were much funnier.

*With Maternity in Mind.* A young, single, pretty woman wants to have a baby without marrying its father. It's not so easy, however, to find a suitable man. In *A Baby for November* director Alexander Pavlovsky develops this idea in the comedy genre (though the events can be easily imagined in a dramatic version). A line of male characters, all unsound for our heroine's purpose, passes episodically before our eyes. Finally, a married friend lets her borrow her stupid husband (one of the most popular actors of today's Russian cinema, S. Makovetsky, is very good as this infantile fellow).

There are plenty of spicy situations which, I suppose, would be likable if directed by French masters for erotic comedies. But Pavlovsky is neither Michel Deville nor Roger Vadim. Erotic here lack charm, and there is no improvisational delicacy in the performances of the majority of actors. A sex comedy doesn't have to be so serious.

*An Author Acts.* Nearly every famous actor in Russia today has decided to try directing. So have screenwriters and even film critics. More often, though, music-hall comics and pop singers become movie actors – and the screenwriters are taking a turn. They used to write scripts. Now they perform in film. In leading roles. You want an example? Here you are: a film by Sergei Nikonenko (also an actor, by the way), *I want Your Husband*, in which the man of the title is played by writer-humorist M. Zadornov, who decided to transfer his own monologues to the screen.

One day a wife opens an apartment door and there stands some lady declaring that she wants to buy her precious spouse. This start is rather intriguing. But as soon as the husband appears the movie turns into a kind of radio show or TV performance of Zadornov reading his stories. This famous writer lacks the acting skills to keep viewers' attention for an hour and a half. And the director hasn't helped him at all; action, taking place primarily in one room, is filmed uncreatively, on the level of a common news report.

The great Chaplin, as we know, was a screenwriter, director, actor and composer all at the same time. But he was Chaplin...

*Not Quite a "The Sting".* In its script and style, V. Mishatkin's crime comedy *We Will Meet in Tahiti* resembles George Roy Hill's famous

*The Sting* and its Polish variation *Va-Banque* by U.Mahulski. This director's level is undeniably lower, and the movie came out not brilliant, but there are many funny episodes and the gags are no worse than any of Mel Brooks'. Young actors play – with visible pleasure – the roles of the smart rogues; L.Kuravlev is excellent as their elder colleague, a lover in the guise of a thief-pensioner...

*Service Compris?* It is common to give tips to waiters in a restaurant. That's a rule all over the world. The protagonist of R.Zurzumia's comedy *The Waiter with the Gold Tray* decides to break the rule and step out of the game. This is dangerous: his colleagues, not wanting "the good guy" around, call him a traitor. The restaurant's customers, surprised by this waiter's unusual behavior, almost kill him.

The situation of the "white crow" is not a new one for art. Yet it's one thing when authors of a film depict, for example, someone standing up against a totalitarian regime, it's another when they just tell about a man who doesn't want to take extra money from clients.

Zurzumia pays no attention to this difference, making the waiter (played by the popular Russian actor A.Abdulov) almost a hero, one worthy of the Honored Legion awards. This could be forgiven if the movie had shone with artistic fantasy, gags, quick-witted dialogue. Unfortunately, the script of *The Waiter with the Gold Tray* is another one failing to justify a full-length film.

*Almost a Fairy Tale.* Kira Muratova's film *The Asthenic Syndrome* (1989) was strict uncompromising, even ruthless in its aesthetics and vocabulary. Her *The Sensitive Militiaman*'s style is completely opposite: imitative conventions harmonize with a fairy-tale plot.

Anatoly, a nice young soldier, finds a baby in a cabbage patch one night and wants to adopt him. This idea might have been taken from the half-forgotten Russian cinema of the late 1950s and early 1960s, when there were very popular lyric films about sweet lovers and handsome babies. And, in fact, at first sight *The Sensitive Militiaman* seems to be a naive, bright movie about love and compassion awakening in its hero.

But K.Muratova remains faithful to herself. Her film is a subtly stylized, unusual toying with mythology, ironic quotations and eccentric characters... all making it impossible not to notice a connection with her previous works – *The Long Seeing Out* (1971), *Learning the World* (1978), *The Change of Faith* (1988) and others.

The slightness and transparency of this picture may be a surprise for those who expected a new *Asthenic Syndrome*. Muratova's talent, however, was always unpredictable, original, mobile. For some, her cinema is affected; for others, this writer included, it is attractive and masterly.

*Crazy Comedy*. In Russia,, as well as all over the world, planes, buses, cars, and bikes are stolen pretty frequently. But you don't hear very often that terrorists demand to fly to Moscow. In the adventure comedy of the debutant Alexei Kapilevich *The Plane Goes to Russia* there is a funny trio: the drama college student, Siberian guy, and the manager of the of the big state plant happen to be in the plane, driving away to some Arabian country by ugly criminals. That's where adventures of the funny trio starts: they are dying of thirstiness, fight with drug mafia and finally make the plane fly to Russia.

There are enough fights, chases, shooting in the movie, some gags are really funny. Sure, the idea of this crazy comedy is not the original, obviously, besides old silent movies Alexei Kapilevich had in mind the Russian example of the genre of 1960s – 1970s (*The Caucasus Captive* by Leonid Gaidai, *Gentlemen of Luck* by Alexander Sery, etc.). But the movie is not so bad for the debut...

*The Mad Story of the Socialist Realism Operetta*. On the face of it the film of director Dmitry Tomashpolski *Of Folly Love, a Sniper, and an Astronaut* represents the absurd conglomeration of the quotations from the cinema operetta's like *Mister X* and cosmic-and-aviation dramas like *Choice of the Aim*, *The Poem of Wings* and *Taming of the Fire*, which were the glory of the Russian cinematograph of 1950s - 1970-s. After the everyday TV seeing the romantic and fairy love of the princess of circus and opera baritone could easily mix in the head of the impressionable viewer with the heroic purposefulness and selflessness of the pilots-testers and cosmonauts with the modestly shining stars of the heroes pinned to their uniform...

As if wanting to give the pleasure to the lovers of the socialist realistic cinema entertaining, Dmitry Tomashpolski made the parody-fantasy, where the jealous opera star makes the dirty tricks to the naive lovers, who dream to create their own theatre, where they could sing the arias of Verdi, where kind wizard helps this dream to come true, where the stranger in the mask, which look like the guise of Freddy from *Nightmare on Elm Street*, wanders near the theatre, and the commander of the spaceship with the blue eyes suffers from the indivisible love and the general constructor consoles him.

Evidently, the characters of the film for Dmitry Tomashpolski are the marionettes of the some kind of the cinema puppet — show, whom he manipulates, proceeding from his parody's plan, sometimes, lighting them up with the decorative colored beam, sometimes hiding them into the semi-darkness, lighting up with the disk of the mysterious moon.

I can't say, that the sight came out the inimitably original and really funny on the whole, but some episodes can cause the viewer's smile by the exactness of the parodies.

*The Sting-3?* Screening the story of his brother, Maxud Ibragimbekov, the scriptwriter and director Murat Ibragimbekov, obviously, having put away all ambitions, decided to make the entertaining movie honestly. The criminal comedy *The Waltz of the Gold Oxes* is made in a good tempo and based on the fascinating story about two friends, who decided to forward the gold ingots, being found in the North gold-fields, to Moscow in . . . the toilet of the plane.

The main advantage of the movie - bright actors' performance, Alexei Zharkov, as the genre's framework's permitting, creates rather truthful image of the avia-sanitary technician, whose life passes during the business trips to the provincial airports, and has no privacy, and his doubts about the suggested sting by his old friend are on the whole - only the poorly hidden attempt to hide the inner feeling that that's it - the only and unique chance! Several flight hours - and he turns the millionaire, master of fortune out of the modest worker of "Aeroflot"...

Vladimir Steklov in his part as an experienced gold-seeker makes the emphasis on the external picture of the role, accenting the strength of his character, who is tired to work on the "boss", having the gold under the feet. And Larissa Udovichenko decently completes this men duet buy the coquettish manner of her heroine, unsuccessful small actress, who has to keep another work as a lecturer of another's cinema successes. The part of Leonid Yarmolnik, though small, but rather expressive, too. He is playing in the some manner as that of his TV shows and skits...

*The Waltz...*, sure, is not *The Sting*, but Murat Ibragimbekov seems to be able to tell even the old joke story very amusing.

*Small or Big?* There are films in Russian cinema, based on the in on the talented stories. Nikolai Dostal made the screen version of well-known writer Iskander, having got the support of the main actor - Gennady Hazanov.

What has come out of it? To my mind, the rather *Small Giant of the Big Sex*, sometimes even funny, ventures of the beach camera man, who accidentally has an affair with the mistress of Stalin's friend Beria, and becomes an impotent because of it, then opens the "underground" erotic photo-studio and turns to get to the luxe-house with 5 lesbians, are made on the screen not Boring, professionally.

However, as in the previous case of screening the Iskander's stories, the sub-sense of the book is missing; a lot of purely literature advantages lost their charm having got the screen image.

Frankly speaking, after Dostal's *A Cloud-Paradise* I expected something more...

*The Mystery of the Two Captains*. The viewers who kindly prepared themselves for the seeing the remake of the famous screen adaptation of Kaverin's novel *Two Captains* will be severely deceived by the screen writer and director Sergei Debizhev. His *Two Captains-2* may seem as the remake only to the man who drunk very much. I would define the genre of Debizhev's work as the parody cinema-falsification. Defining more clearly the clichés of the so-called "historical revolutionary", "war and patriotic", "documentary-propaganda" of the Russian cinema of the past decades, the authors of the *Two Captains-2* got the necessary parody effect.

The whimsical cutting from the old news-reel and filmed episodes is accompanied by the ironical commentaries in which the numerous historical catastrophes are explained by the mystery of the disappearance of the ship "Saint Helen" in 1913. All this on the whole reminds of the TV-joke made by one of the leading performers and composer of the film Sergei Kurekhin, who while making a speech during the Petersburg's TV program *The Fifth Wheel* several years ago stated with the most serious scientific look that the leader of the world proletariat was the disguised... mushroom and just because of this he organized the revolution of 1917 in Russia. In the far away 1950-s one of the cinema champions in Russia was adventure film *The Mystery of Two Oceans* - the mixture of the spy action film submarine fantastic and operetta *Mister X*. The film, of course, was made very seriously, but now its episodes evoke the spectator's reaction consonant with the parody by Sergei Debizhev, which is the stream of the artist's consciousness in the forms of the conceptual creative work. As for me, I see in this film the straight hitting the target by its authors. Saying good-bye to the cinema myths of the past we must laugh.

And, finally, *Lokh - the Conqueror of Water* by Arkady Tigai - is the brilliant example of the parody style using the themes of mob thrillers. Sergei Kurekhin in the main role of a quiet inventor is amazingly ironic and supple. The clichés of the action movies with Stallone, Van Damme, and Schwarzenegger turns into the funny tricks. The movie is made like playing a game, gracefully and not boring at all.

*Fantasies and Parables...*

*A Fearsome Story*. The authors of *Gongofeer* speak frankly and ironically about the old and new clichés of fearful cinema tales. I wouldn't, however, call this film, directed by B.Kilibaev, a clear parody. It is a fantasy on the theme, with hints of the stories of Nikolai Gogol, its style in the spirit of the genre's aesthetics.

Kolka, a young Cossack, comes to the capital with his uncle to buy a bull for breeding. Initially the film recalls *Pig-Woman and Shepherd* (1941) with its pompous fountains and frank, intellect-unburdened faces of the heroes that look as if they were created especially for the cinema, glorifying the best collective farmers in the world. But soon after, the unpretentious comedy about provincials in Moscow for the first time breaks off as the ill-fated Kolka meets the blond beauty Hanna – who turns out to be a witch and exchanges eyes with the guy during their love ecstasy.

Kilibaev deliberately makes this perfidious substitution shocking and natural. The camera keeps our attention on the spreading eye slime in the palm of treacherous Hanna, surrounded with a hellish glow. And then a chain of funny and rather frightening episodes begins, in which Kolka and his uncle try to get his stolen eyes back.

*Gongofer* can be reproached for its eclectic lack of style. But despite that Kilibaev managed to make it a dynamic show, whimsically combining myths of the epoch of Socialist Realism with special effects like Joe Dante's.

*Shock Treatment?* Andrei I (It's pseudonym), a director with the unusual Mannjuran family name, consisting of one letter, made the film *Engineering Red*. In one of the advertising annotations the genre of this film is determined as the "intellectual thriller", in which with the help of the psycho-reconstruction method, the "unpublished chapter" from Tomas Mann's *Magic Mountain* is created.

As a matter of fact, in the film of Andrei I the inner monologue of one dead Mann's heroes is heard. However the unusual even the shocking provocation of *Engineering Red* is defined not by this at all. Using his rich VGIK's (All-Russian Cinema Institute) practice in the masterly of the popular science film (Andrei I studied there in the late 1980-s), with the help of the rare shots from the former secret archives, director tells, the horror naturalistic story about the attempt of the Russian medicine of the 1940-s-1950-s to creation of the so-called bio-robots, which can be used during the war actions.

The experiments on the corpses in a morgue, Siam twins, monsters, consisting of different body parts of dead people... That is the pitiless knife of the engineering red, guided by ideas of the word's most advanced teaching!

Made on the borderline of the documentary and feature cinema, shock by its material, film of Andrei I is quite different from the debut pictures of young directors. It is about the viewers' reactions. Some reproach *Engineering Red* with cruelty and absence of the Christian compassion; others (to tell the truth, I didn't to see them myself) faint during the show. In the press-conference after the premiere of the film in Moscow, Andrei I

declared that *Engineering Red* - is only the first part of the planned trilogy. Well, we'll wait for the continuation and not hurry with the final conclusion.

*Fantastic without Fantasy*. The director Evgeny Kotov decided to make a screen version of the fantastic novel of one of the most popular Russian science-fiction writers Alexander Beliaev - *Ariel* - the legend of the flying man, whose talent was wanted to be used by some evil powers.

It's clear that it's impossible to make such sort of a movie without special effects, and maybe, if Evgeny Kotov had Hollywood technical means, the flights of the main hero would look naturally. However the set was in Russia and India, and because of it all FX are made with the bad technology, far behind the best world's ones for 25-30 years at least.

However the technical weakness of the movie is a half of problem, all the rest (actors work, directorship, filming, etc.) is no better. The plot developing itself is so boring that you can only wonder how people deprived of fantasy decided to make a science-fiction movie.

Comparing to that *Lumi* takes an advantage for its obvious parody style. Remaking the famous fairy-tale of Charles Perrot about *Red Redding Hood* and *Grey Wolf*, the script writer and director Vladimir Bragin creates the strange world, where in the modern times the ordinary forest village loses its everyday features with every shot, and the viewers are turning out to be in the atmosphere of semi-tale - semi-parody on the horror movies about vampires.

*What Boredom!* Elena Nikolaeva's film *Sextale* is derived from Vladimir Nabokov's airy, refined story *The Tale*, as is clear to any admirer of the works of famous Russian-American writer. But I'll avoid comparing screen and prose because during all the action of *Sextale* the original plot's development is absent. The filmmakers, probably, isn't want to write more dialogue than Nabokov did and decided to fill in the pauses (the story is short and film is long) with displays of whimsical decorations, costumes, smoke and fog. The set decorators and artists really worked hard on this. It needed something else, however... such as actors with skill.

On one hand L.Gurchenko is supple and musical in the role of The Devil, tempting a pretty young man with displays of erotic desire. (It is the tempter's whim that the fellow can choose – until midnight – any number of the most beautiful women, providing this number is odd.) On the other hand, there are inexpressive performances, in unemotional erotic scenes, by all the other actors. Add to this an unjustified reserve of action, slack cutting, and badly recorded sound. In short, it is very boring – despite the participation of the bright Gurchenko with her playful expression, biting irony, and natural sense of style.

Rather than seeing the movie, it's better to read Nabokov.

*Video Dreams*. One can dream of whatever of having seen too much video. The heroine of the film *Poison of Scorpion*, for instance, fell asleep by the lullaby dialogue from Russian TV-spies-serial *The Seventeen Moments of Spring*, and turned out to be in some semi-mystical place of suicides, where, before committing a suicide, young men are having sex. There are shots from *Caligula* and *Story of O* on the screen, winded with ivy and reptiles, and right beside the scenes from *9 ½ Weeks* of Adrian Lane, *The Tin Drum* of Volker Schlöndorff and *Night Porter* of Liliana Cavani are played, *The Snake's Eggs* of Bergman is mentioned, the music from *Fantomas* of Andre' Hunebelle is played.

Obviously, the directors of *Poison of Scorpion* - Vladimir Pangev and Oleg Baraev are a great, video fan, sparing the film for the creation of collection of quotations from famous movies. But these quotations don't match in the parody line, they are used in the film too straightforward, and besides them there is nothing also in *Poison of Scorpion*. I mean it really: the drama story is almost zero, the actors play on the level of bad student amateur performance, the erotic scenes are shown in the usual post-soviet manner; evoking disgust for the happening on the screen, the cutting seems to be understood by the authors as the most primitive operation of gluing the film. In a word, the show is boring and professionally very poor. Comparing to it, *Story of O* by Just Jacken would seem to be the outstanding instead of ordinary, at least there is the beautiful actress in it.

It would be better if director left his video dreams with himself.

*Too Obvious an Allegory*. A rain of festival awards and unanimously enthusiastic opinions greeted the film *Drumaniada* by S.Ovcharov practically from the first days of its release. "A unique contribution to the development of Russian cinema", "faithful to the theme of love for life" – those were some phrases praising the picture. My voice, I'm afraid, will be omitted from the chorus. *Drumaniada* seems to me the weak work of a talented director.

Previous fantasies by Ovcharov – *Clumsy* (1979), *Flight of Fancy* (1983), *Left-hander* (1986) and his version of Saltykov-Schedrin's *The Story of the One Town* under the title *The It* (1989) – were created in an atmosphere of strict censorship that began to weaken and die only at the end of the 1980s. Using the traditions of Russian folklore and comedy tricks from the great silent films, Ovcharov created a world built on eccentric allegory. I can't say that director openly presented puzzles and symbols to his viewers, but the satirical sharpness of his films (*The It* especially) probably was read by every attentive admirer of the tenth muse.

In contrast, unnecessarily straightforward, newspaper-style satire can be felt in *Drumaniada* in spite of its allegorical plot. The premise itself is

interesting: to make a one and a half-hour parable – about the misadventures of a funeral orchestra’s drummer who inherits an enchanted drum labeled “Stradivarius” with which he travel around Russia – without the characters speaking a single word.

But... again there’s a captious “but”... the story of this poor wretch is good enough for a short film only. Forty minutes into the picture one feels the exhaustion of the method, as one monotonous episode follows another. Even a scene in which the wonderful drum turns into a TV set for several minutes is just boring. And the climactic sequence of the visit of foreign homeless people to Russia, taking place in a town’s rubbish heap, is rather crudely made, and the actors’ performances are inexpressive.

An image of this country as a rubbish heap populated by homeless beggars has become the Russian media’s most widespread cliché. The film’s other symbols are equally straightforward and shallow. The signing of treaties for collaboration between Russian and foreign beggars won’t impress anybody as a satirically courageous fantasy. And there are a great number of such scenes. The behavior of the main character – the sad clown, a pale reflection of Buster Keaton – and the development of early episodes become too predictable. The only good thing about *Drumaniada* is the music on the soundtrack: Beethoven, Mozart, Mahler – this is forever!

*Ivanov after Godard.* For his directorial debut in feature cinema, E.Ivanov chose an ambitious project requiring a subtle stylistic gift: anew version of Jean-Luc Godard’s brilliant 1959 *‘A Bout de souffle (Breathless)*. Ivanov’s film is called *Nicotine*, and its action takes place not in Paris at the end of 1950s, but in Petersburg of 1990s. On the whole, the plot’s lines – and even several details of the characters’ dress – are retained. But something like the fantasies of Leos Carax and Jean-Jacques Beinex breaks the style of the “new wave” at times. In general, this film is close to the classical understanding of the word “remake” without parody, admixtures or eccentric pranks.

It’s a pity that Ivanov insistently demands we pay attention to his source, the legendary Godard’s debut with Belmondo and Seberg in the leading roles. He does this by making the characters attend a lecture by cinema critic and director O.Kovalov, who introduces the film *‘A Bout de souffle* to Petersburg’s movie fans; and he also restages one of Godard’s press conferences with the help of a double.

This persistence is worthy of a better application for two reasons. First, viewers who know the creative work of Godard very well, or who at least saw *‘A Bout de souffle*? Guess the family tree several minutes into *Nicotine* without any oral prompts. Secondly, viewers who don’t know who Godard is will be helped neither by lecture episodes nor by stills of his old

masterpiece to perceive *Nicotine* as a remake: the visual associations, cutting and plot parallels remain “unreadable”.

Yet Ivanov’s biggest mistake, it seems to me, is in the unfortunate choice of actors who very much let him down. It’s hard to suppose, certainly, that a young director might miss the target and find Russian performers whose scale of personality and charm would live up to Belmondo’s and Seberg’s. But having cast actors deprived of not only inward charm also attractive appearance, Ivanov had to use them as visual effects, simply opportunities to underline – in strange, long passages of light and shade – the black and white style of the film.

The emotional influence *‘A Bout de souffle’*? In which the reckless Michel, having accidentally killed a cop, tried to fight his fate till the tragic realization of the exhaustion of his life, is left below the surface by the director of *Nicotine*.

That is why, to my mind, this is not a warm declaration of love to the French “new wave” but the fruit of cold, professional calculation.

*Post-Tarkovsky?* Filmed by the screen play of Yury Arabov (the screenwriter of Alexander Sokurov’s film), the parable *The Presence* resembles the digest of Andrei Tarkovsky’s films by director’s manner. Alexander Dobrovolsky in a slow-liquid tempo develops on the screen the story of some lonely diver (Alexei Petrenko), in the not cozy dwelling of whom the himself that he tried to forget long ago.

There is a theme of imprisonment, environmental catastrophe, and moral responsibility of a man for his conscience also.

The outstanding actor Alexei Petrenko, sure, is the point of the film – it’s he who attracts the viewers’ attention to the plot by his nature talent and charm. But he is not all-powerful. Unimaginative imitation of the directorship, not original idea doesn’t give chance for the creation of the artistically important image. *The Presence* is a typical non-screening film, trying to give ‘elite’, meaning to the, as a matter of fact, simple work.

*And I’m Again Walking about Moscow...* Thirty years ago, whistling happily, the hero of young Nikita Mikhalkov walked through Moscow streets wet with rain. It was a time of hope, joy was felt there. The Metro stations shone, shady lanes in the park attracted. The heroes of another G. Danelia’s film *Nastyia* are also young, also fall in love, make dates in the Metro and jump on the day’s last bus or streetcar, but the intonation has become sad, and even the funniest moments are tinted with this sadness like maple leaves in autumn.

Telling the fairy tale of a Moscow girl who one fine day turns into the beauty from an advertising poster, Danelia deliberately puts aside the gloomy old song with which modern Russian “expose” films are so rich.

And in this film there are no fights in doorways, no scenes of undressing and no “bold” language of modern Russian cinema.

Danelia has cast charming A. Abdulov as the representative of new “democratic power”. Yet the film doesn’t fall into the expected wrathful pathos. Abdulov’s hero is petty in his nouveau riche manners, fussy, boastful, infinitely proud of his position as prefect and his participation in big-time politics, but he hasn’t lost his wonderful outbursts of soul.

The main success of the film is a duet of actresses playing the role of the 18-year-old stationery clerk. Before the magic change Nastya was a nice girl, unhampered by men’s attention, who tried to break out of the solitude, poverty and grayness of surrounding life with its mother-wardkeeper, small flat and a brightly made up shopgirl colleague who, month after month, suggested dubious entertainments with “cool guys”.

Nastya after the miracle is a beauty. With surprise she discovers how much appearances influence the life of a man... not, often, in the best way. Happening upon an art show in the subway where “men of culture” get very drunk and petty thieves pretend to be businessmen or wealthy sponsors., Nastya feels herself a stranger in this festivity of pseudo-life.

*Playing the Movie Fool.* When you watch the film of Vladimir Shterianov *Shooting Angels* you can easily imagine, with what pleasure did the authors of the horror film stereotype using fantasy play the cinema-foals. Stephan Mikhalkov and Fedor Bondarchuk together with the more famous actors of the older generation, probably, from the bottom of their heart relaxed and had a great fun on the set, improvising on the themes of the mystical stories about vampires, magic crystal, beauty witches and other attributes of the entertaining genre.

At this background the acting of Rupert Everett stands out by the serious attitude to the trade of the horror-film. Maybe the famous English actor, who played in screen adaptation of *Quiet Don*, thought that he had taken part in the film similar to *Omen* by Richard Donner.

The style of *Shooting Angels* is close to the advertisement clips of not the best level. The visual world of the film looks slovenly, the gags are used very seldom, the parodies hints are too straight-forward. For the West viewers this film will be absolutely boring, because they are acquainted with the more ingenious parodies of Mel Brooks and brothers Zuckers. The organizers of the festivals and common cinema fans wait not the repetition of the backs of beyond of the American hits but the original cinema world, or at least the ethnographic exotica from the Russian cinema. And the Russian spectators now became fastidious: they saw the films of Steven Spielberg and Robert Zemeckis and different *Nightmare on Elm Street* and side-streets...

*One More About Love...*

Now Russia goes through the not best times. But in spite of all difficulties Russian directors make not only the gloomy films which expose social evils, but the films on the eternal theme of love...

*Passion: Russian Story.* Valery Todorovsky, certainly, belongs to the number of the most noticeable Russian directors of the latest years. His *Hearse* impressed by, rear for young director, skill of making "genre" movie, by sharpened style, the immaculate artistic taste, the skill to discover new talents in the, as it seems, well known actor. And there is his *Love* - the film is simple in form, figurative language, with the dialogues, look like a improvisation, in the facing...

The story of two friends-students, one of whom is very luckily in the questions of sex, and another, is very unfortunate... This failure is played by Eugeny Mironov. He plays sincerely, fascinate. His charm and temperament evoked be able to keep spectator's attention, in fact, without any plot's help. However, Valery Todorovsky prepared for his hero and for us on-lookers plot surprise: the girl, who answered, at last, by reciprocity on his feelings, turns out to be a victim of anti-Semitism's baiting. Her family is going to depart. Forever...

So in the no problem's , almost comedies' atmosphere of film bursts into a serious theme, which Russian cinema for a long time by those or another causes aspires to avoid. The duet of Mironov and Tatiana Skorohodova, in this part of film, consists of the contrast, coming to the emotional outburst scenes, which are sometimes on the borderline of the permitted in Russian native cinema vocabulary. The young actors well feel the author's plan. The film, really comes out about love - with it's unpredictability, frenzy, tenderness, erotic attract. However, there are no end of epithets...

What kind of film about love will be in the Russian cinema? Will the time for Russian cinema-erotic in the pure variant 'a la *Emmanuelle* came? Or Russian directors will borrow the American stereotype of erotic thrillers?

*And God Created Kiss.* Director A.Karpikov, the pupil of Sergei Soloviev, is talented, flashy, and skillfully stylized. His *The Fish in Love* (1989) was an elegant fantasy on themes of the French 'new wave', transformed in the atmosphere of Kazakh's nighttime capital. *Air Kiss* continues a search in the same direction. The film can seem an affected melodrama about how a beautiful nurse prefers a lame gardener and a bandaged moto-racer to her respectable fiancé, the chief doctor of her hospital. Yet it is bright and ironical, with a hint of the aesthetics of Roger Vadim and the unforgettable image of Brigitte Bardot. In short, it's postmodernism with a parodic layer that is not very intensified and does not

disturb the emotional atmosphere at all. And to their credit, the young actors play sincerely, animatedly.

A doubtful spectator, after seeing Karpikov's film, may ask: What about something Kazakhian? All the characters are played by European actors – where is national vividness? But who says Russians must make movies just about Russians, and Kazakhs about Kazakhs?

*Only Love.* This film about love only. The author of *Awake in Shanghai* — Nikolai Sednev went more farther, having refused of all usual plots without love.

... Imagine the town near the sea. 15 years-old girl falls in love with the contemporary boy. "Is that all?", - may say reader disappointed. The fact is: the love is as old as the world. And the thousands of the films, been shouted about the first love, about, how someone becomes the man or the woman. But Nikolai Sednev wasn't confused by the trite situation. He found the organic unprofessionals, who managed to be sincere and natural on the screen. Really, the film turned out to be about the love: in it's in prophecy, histories, frenzy, erotic attraction, with the light of hope for the better humane feelings that makes the way through the dirty mode of life. Unity of tempo and rhythm and the music adds the particular fascination to that film.

*A Day Without Arguments.* In *You're My Only One* director D.Astrakhan succeeds in expressing the sensations of average Russian who for one wonderful day experience a "holiday of life" in which there is no place for nostalgic sentiments and hot arguments on spirituality, in which businessmen accompanied by suave friends drive about in Fords and Mercedes, lazily count wads of dollar notes, buy foreign delicacies and telephone New York right from their cars.

The life of 40-year-old Eugeny (A.Zbruev) resembles thousands of others. He has a modest occupation as engineer in some institution, a flat in a standard tall block, a wife (M.Neyolova) dreaming of escape from the closed circle of humiliating poverty, and a 16-year-old daughter for whom her ill-provisioned parents are a vivid demonstration of how one mustn't live – the embodiment of her dread of destiny.

The film's opening episodes create a familiar sketch of "common family of intellectual workers": reproaches of Eugeny by wife and daughter, unmistakable hints that he is a typical failure, that all others managed to do better long ago, that he ought to join a number of fellow employees in a Russian-American joint venture, etc. And then, dreams... about trips over the ocean, Hawaiian beaches, Dior perfume and Cardin dresses...

Zbruev and Neyolova play this without pressing, without relishing the muddle of their characters' lives. Even scenarist O.Danilov's move into fantasy doesn't make their performances less truthful. It turns out that the

firm organizing the joint venture is headed by one of Eugeny's former schoolmates whose younger sister Anna comes to Russia from USA. Anna has loved her "only one", her "unique Uncle Eugeny" since childhood. Now she is ready to become his fairy godmother – or princess: buy him a smart suit, make him the representative of the American firm in Russia, drive him in a Mercedes along the Petersburg streets.

But pride prevents Eugeny from becoming dependent on his old friend, although pride is not the main problem in his affair with Anna: "I don't love you, you see! Don't love!" he cries to his benefactress in a riveting sequence. A lot of things are mixed in Zbruev's expression. It would be good if he spoke so because he was deeply in love with his wife, but not at all... love has smoothly changed into habit. And if it's possible to live without rapturous love with one woman, then why is it impossible with another? There is quite another thing, too – fatigue: hopeless awareness of the fact that his life is over, that he has no strength to restart everything from zero.

The bitterness of this feeling doesn't disappear after either Eugeny's return to his wife or a Felliniesque postscript with a birthday celebration in the snowy garden of his house. Having escaped the turn of fate, the heroes of *You're My Only One* will, several days after the touching departure of Anna for America, again poison each other's lives with mutual criticism... and dream about a separate room for their daughter.

The film reminded me of the best works of E.Riazanov (*Beware of the Car, Irony of Fate*) and G.Danelia (*The Autumn Marathon*). D.Astrakhan can tell a story emotionally, vividly and with psychological truth, in spite of its fantastic turns.

*Identification of Cliché*. Antonioni, Taviani, Wenders... The *Identification of Wishes*, director T.Hamidov's movie, is obviously made for people who know cinema. Quotations from famous directors' classic films (slow plot development, psychological pauses, etc.) are spread among pseudo art-house movies.

The story – about three teenagers who, learning that a friend's mother works as a prostitute at night, decide to "visit her" – is presented, for the most part, naturalistically. The people, though not convincing, are sufficiently developed to show Hamidov's thoughts about the necessity of moral borderlines... which the characters don't have, and which lack marks them inhuman. Yet there's not much kick to the film, no discovery. Instead of postmodern stylization, it is a dull collection of clichés. Hamidov doesn't seem to have prospects.

*Though He is Clever and Handsome*. Petersburg's atmosphere seems to create in movie critics and cinema scientists the wish to show directors how real films must be made – not only in theoretical articles but on

the set. Following O.Kovalov (*The Gardens of the Scorpion, Island of the Dead*), another Russian film critic in St-Peterburg – Y.Pavlov – has decided to try his hand at directing.

Pavlov's philosophical *The Creation of Adam* can be regarded as you please, but to my mind it has one great advantage. The film is beautifully made. In its world are yellow sandhills, the play of Baltic waves, the deserted streets of Petersburg's outskirts, the fashionable costumes of the main characters... shots that seem to belong in a picture gallery.

Unfortunately, for me, this is the only attractive aspect of the film, because the story – of a handsome, 30-year-old homosexual who finds clarity in life and love after meeting an effeminate guardian angel – left me indifferent. The fashionable Gay theme evoked only weak surprise because the characters didn't invite a sharing of emotions with them, while the slow development of action reminded me of Wim Wenders' late films and brought boredom.

I can watch the "slow" films of Michelangelo Antonioni for hours, charmed again and again by the silent pauses of *L'Avventura*, *La Notte* or *L'Eclisse*, so my dislike of *The Creation of Adam* is not due to its pace and cautionary plot, but to a serious discrepancy between its author's perception of film and the aesthetic preferences of this spectator.

It happens sometimes in life: you meet a man who is dressed with taste and seems to be clever, but it's boring to speak with him. Antipathy arises in a moment... sometimes at first sight. The same holds true for films; you watch some with pleasure, you can't wait for others to end.

It was bad luck for me to see *The Creation of Adam*. This is not my cinema, this is the cinema of Y.Pavlov, corresponding to his ideas of how stylish directors' films should look.

*Red Riding Hood & Bluebeard*. Despite its trendy modern-Mafia story, A.Chechulin film *A Wife for the Maitre d'Hotel* is in fact a free fantasy on the theme of two famous fairytales by Charles Perrot.

A young, really naive beauty (A.Nemolyaeva), though foolishness and the effects of alcohol, finds herself in the room of a professional maitre d'hotel – a University graduate who knows eight languages. He spends the night with her and, untrue to stereotype, proposes to her. That's the point where the story of *Red Riding Hood* being eaten by the wolf turns into the story of *Bluebeard*. Showering his wife with presents, luxurious outfits and awesome travel tours, the intellectual maitre demands only one thing: that she not interfere with his criminal deeds. But, of course, the temptation is too powerful, and she has secret affairs with her husband's best friends – a gangster and cop – whom he cold-bloodedly kills when he learns the truth.

You say in the original tales Bluebeard killed not his wives's lovers, but the overly curious ladies themselves? But that's Chechulin's fantasy, modernizing Perrot. His finale follows suit: disappointed in her husband, our heroine returns to her mother's house and... becomes a prostitute.

So it's better to go into the streets than to live with a loveless husband! If only this idea had been presented to us as humorous parody. But Chechulin just retells Perrot's story using the language of Emile Zola.

*The Time Has Passed.* V. Bogachev's *Dark Alleys* is based on the novels of Ivan Bunin, classic of Russian literature. The best thing about the film is the duet of actors O. Bogacheva and D. Lubshin – she with the slightly mocking eyes, he with the shyness of a tutor-student, both in their days of transient happiness, all shown with appropriate respect for the Nobel Laureate's work and a will to re-create the atmosphere of Russia at the beginning of the 20th century.

Episodes framing the dramatic story, however, turn out badly. Roughly naturalistic, reformed with extreme theatricality, they resemble the tricks of a roving street circus. You don't believe these characters could be related to the Russian elite of Nikolai II's epoch.

It's hard for today's filmmakers to get rid of the post-Soviet outlook and create anything slightly resembling the images of Bunin's heroes. *Dark Alleys* is another unrealized attempt to relinquish the Russian "cinema of gloom" for the beautiful world of passionate love evoked by classic literature.

*The Unbearable Lightness of Being.* It's been more than 30 years since Valery Rubinchik is considered to be one of the most aesthetic directors in Russia. His movies (*The Wreath of Sonnets*, *The Wild Hunt of King Stakh*, etc.) always were distinguished by the high class of the picture. He was accused of imitating Fellini (he got the pin nickname - "Fellinchik"), but it had nothing to do with the plagiarism. Worshiping Fellini, Rubinchik organically brought together the symbolism of his pictures with the visual motives of the great director. His little orchestra from *The Wreath of Sonnets* looked not like the student's citation of the 8 ½ (1963) but like the declaration of love...

In the 1990s Valery Rubinchik is still devoted to his style for him the cinema is the picture in the first place. That's why his *Unlove* is so refined, in style. Black and white film, on which it's made by the excellent camera man Oleg Martynov, shows very clearly the light streams, coming through the oval windows in the dark apartments of the old mansions, the silver touches in the light, night shadows, whose gloomy thickness is sometimes torn apart by the weak lights of cars.

And suddenly the shots are filled with the bright color sports of old comedies with the sexy Marilyn Monroe; and then same movie scenes become colorful.

The setting of *Unlove* is Russia at 1990's. The main heroine is the young woman named Rita that feels some kind of soul relation with her favorite Marylin. She watches her pictures thoroughly, her movies. Almost in the majority of scenes Rita is naked, however her awkward teenage body doesn't have the sexuality, while Monroe didn't have to take her clothes off for the audience to feel her sex-appeal.

The movie of Valery Rubinchik is named *Unlove* for the reason. In the triangle with the grey-haired photographer and a cute boy without definite character, Rita feels herself lost.

Not experiencing the real love passion in life, Rita longs for the screen love stories of Monroe, where in the sweet Hollywood cover her heroines were in love with the most attractive men of the planet. But the fairytale world, where wind sways the shirt of the blond beauty, showing her perfect legs, as it's known, didn't coincide with the life of Monroe who experienced a lot of love dramas. Having cut Merylin of all the pictures he had, Rita commits suicide.

The script writer and actress Renata Litvinova wrote the good monologues for the heroine. In the strange and capricious manner of which her private, individual way of speech is seen. It's a pity that Litvinova (the star of *Fascination* by Kira Muratova) didn't act the part of Rita herself. But the young actress Kseniya Kachalina tries to imitate the manner of speech and behaviors of the screen writer pretty successful.

The film of Valery Rubinchik is made of uncertainty of the human life. This movie is a mood, its sketch style attracts by the unbearable lightness of being...

*"Morality is the Truth"*. These words of Vassily Shukshin come to mind after the viewing of Panfilov's *The Theme*. The controversial figure of Kim Esenin, brilliantly embodied by Mikhail Ulianov, is very far from the canonical depictions of the esteemed soviet writers one is used to encountering in school literature. Kim Esenin, who for many years has been wasting his talent by pleasing the public opinion, is the embodiment of the process that is born out of perpetual compromises in life and art, out of desire to hide the shortcomings and paint the reality with bright pink colours.

Mikhail Ulianov plays a complex character, a strong personality. Kim Esenin is very different from his ordinary colleagues novelists, who'd put their pens on the product line, with the only intent of gaining money, untroubled by the guilty consciousness. The drama

of Ulianov's character is constituted by the fact that he sooner or later realises that he cannot go on living like this, writing to fulfil someone's request, and not his personal creative yearnings. The actor brilliantly demonstrates that his protagonist is torn between the two: the bitter realisations and self-reproach, and the deeply rooted habit of being the 'laureate' of some state prize.

The habit of leading a double life – an intimate and a public – became the very essence of his existence.

Director Gleb Panfilov always writes and directs the female character exceptionally well. One of the key roles in *The Theme* is played by Inna Churikova. Her character Alexandra (Sasha) is an art historian, who works at a local provincial museum. Modest and focused, she wears a smart suite and speaks French fluently; Sasha is the embodiment of the best qualities of Russian intelligentsia. She is the only person in Kim Esenin's life, who tells him the truth about the genuine value of his works; as she is incapable of lying by nature.

The theme of love for one's country, for its endless snowy expanses, which are shot with tenderness and inspiration by Kalashnikov's camera, for the Great Russian antiquities, is presented in the film not as an abstract concept, but as an inseparable part of human lives, of human conflicts, of Good and Evil, of sincerity and hypocrisy, of truth and lies...

It is this kind of courageous creations, diametrically opposite to the grey faceless mass of worthless films that we need today. Though the unfortunate practice demonstrates that this type of films has to fight its way to the screens with maximum hardships.

#### *The Outsiders*

*Two Films by Sergei Bodrov.* S. Bodrov, well reputed as a commercial screenwriter in the 1970s, in the 1980s became the real revelation among new directors. His films – *I Hate You* (1984), *The Sweet Sap of the Grass* (1985), *Unprofessionals* (1985), *SIR: Freedom Is Paradise* (1989) – received prizes in many Russian and foreign festivals. They told viewers about the problems of a generation of teenagers with unusual – for those times – frankness and artistic power. Bodrov showed that he could work with unprofessional actors; the reality of his films was enhanced by improvisation on the set, and by the subtly elaborated psychology of the leading characters.

Unfortunately, Bodrov's *Cardsharp* (1990), a dashing story about professional card players, somewhat surprised his admirers with standard situations and diminished directorial effort. His *I wanted to See the Angels*,

however, refutes the pessimists who hurried to relegate him to a level of minor importance.

*I wanted to See the Angels* can be linked to a fashionable stream of “unmasking” films with naturalistic themes. There are rockers on roaring bikes, Mafia gunmen, dirty basements, scenes of morgues and police, and the cold, comfortless nighttime Moscow’s streets. Moscow itself is shown from its black side. You do not see here the bright lights of New Arbat and fashionable supermarkets, but rather the plain outskirts whose houses sullenly twinkle with the weak-sighted windows of communal flats... nearly the film’s only scenery. There are also familiar main characters: the novice hired killer and street girl. In short, a number of dull clichés are present.

But it seems one can make a good film with such ordinary – for Russian cinema – characters and settings. Of course, it depends on the director’s talent. Bodrov managed to imbue this story of the bitter love of a Saratov boy (who comes to the capital to kill a Mafia debtor) and a rocker’s girl (who dreams of writing a letter to Madonna) with the sincerity of real feelings.

The general sensation after the film is hopelessness. Young outsiders can’t “find themselves” in a life that holds no prospects. Being romantics in their souls, they aren’t satisfied to sit as clerks in commercial shops for many hours or sell bubble gum in the Metro stations. One woman is attracted to the image of an “easy rider” flying on a bike along the freeway; another dreams about warm American beaches and communications from the famous pop-star. But these dreams stay unrealizable, as castles in the air; each of the characters has a better chance of going to the heavens by way a lover of women’s caresses – a hospital attendant – will out with the neatness of a professional, fill out the last medical report on the “client”.

This had no chance of becoming a Russian screen bestseller. As well as its heroes, the film itself was condemned to be an outsider. There are too many dramas and sad stories in Russian modern life to hope that a film telling about such joyless things in earnest and without sentimentality could achieve mass success.

In the same year of the release of the *forlorn I wanted to See the Angels*, Sergei Bodrov produced *White King, Red Queen*. The main character was played by French actor Andre' Dussolier who became known for roles in the films of his more famous compatriot Alain Resnais.

*White King*... begins as a biting comedy of temperaments. A small Russian trade-union delegation comes to a Swiss town for a conference and stays in a little hotel. This gives the director cause to show the charms of poor Russians who once in a blue moon can fall greedily upon the West. There are dinners with tinned fish in the room, the sale of vodka “for a song”, wild joy

upon the receipt of 20 or 30 dollars, an occasion for free refreshment, and so on. The heroine is a mature woman with sings of former beauty who dully begins a flirtation with an ex-TV commentator while their colleagues drink spirits from morning till evening. The situation of Russians who find themselves shameful beggars in prosperous Switzerland may be a little exaggerated; taking into account the almost comedic plot, however, it doesn't seem a falsity.

Further on, the comedy turns smoothly into melodrama: an elegantly dressed man (Dussolier) appears in the hotel; 20 years ago he was a famous Russian chess player who moved to the West, and he has learned that his old love, by the whim of fate, is in Europe for several days... but, alas, one can't step in the same river twice, the previous love can't be renewed, and the Red Queen doesn't find enough strength to stay with the White King.

This sad story with a gay beginning, although not claiming the psychological depths of Bergman or Antonioni, is made with European mastery. Bodrov skillfully observes the laws of the melodramatic genre with its heightening of emotions and expectant pauses, while accenting the differences in mentality, habits and image of his characters so as to make the film understandable and accessible to a European audience. Because of this some things at once obvious to Russian viewers are explained more distinctly and straightforwardly than we might expect, but this perspective takes into account the film's distribution in the West.

*The Sentimental Story.* The director debut of ex-actress Elena Cyplakova *Reed's Paradise* was talked about as a "male film". As a matter of fact, the story about homelessness, which had been hired in the Middle East deserts and became there slaves or prisoners of the new feudal lords, was told strictly, with confidence, without sentimental sighs. In *I Hope to You* Elena Cyplacova, it seems to me, decided to prove (to her foes, to herself or somebody else) that she can make not only "male" but "female" cinema as well.

If to consider the female cinema as something tearful, pitiful, then the authors of the film succeeded greatly. The events of such sort are taking place from the beginning till end.

...The drug addict, having abandoned her just, born child, was taken to the forced medical treatment, and then after a sincere talk with a clergyman, goes to work in the orphan kindergarten... A prostitute, again with the purpose of self re-education, some to the same children's home, marries the foreigner, adopts the boy-orphan and takes him to the husband's America... Having made friends with each other, these young women began to fight with the other staff of the children's home. And these people are the severe, drinking; they don't like children, take bribes, take child's food home.

The viewers are sorry for drug addict & prostitute, they feel more pity for little children, abandoned by parents on the weak neck of state, and they pity a mad father, who, having drunk a few glasses of alcohol, at night walked about the children's home, hoping to see his daughter.

In order to turn these numerous events of the film into the artistic & psychologically convincing drama, to my mind, the professionalism of high quality is needed: with Bergman's actors' works, with sarcastic Bunuel's irony and self irony & so on & so forth.

Alas, as a matter of fact the film is the usual set of "hard life films". And even the good actresses Evgeny Dobrovolska (in the role of the former drug addict) and Irina Rosanova (in the role of wicked tutor with the unsuccessful private life), try to revive the plot, but they succeed in several episodes only.

The films *Incendiaries* and *State House* made not long ago, told about the same problems much more convincing, without Mexican touch of "soap opera". However, maybe Cyplakova by the film *I Hope to You* made an attempt before the creation of grandiose TV-play about the story of re-educated whore & drug addict who lead the lost country to the true way.

*Petersburg: The Provincials and Bohemia*. The film of Alexander Burtsev *The City* is fuelled of clichés of Russian cinema: a young pretty provincial girl, comes to St-Petersburg, but doesn't get profitable marriage and becomes a prostitute for foreign clients. The young artist, from the lost little town is amazed watching the life of the city "art men", spending the long winter nights in the drunk talking. A lot of representatives of the "men of art" played themselves in *The City* and because of that some episodes look like the parts of a concert. But on the whole, to my mind, the show came out pretty boring - the action atmosphere is not vivid, the characters are close to the newspaper comics' strip, and the attempts to make the landscape episodes poetic, colored with the nostalgia for the gone epoch, don't change the final impression.

The authors conception, made on the opposition of the city and country mentality, according to which one can't officially move to Moscow or St-Petersburg from the provincial towns and villages is trite by the idea and doesn't evoke the compassion because its cliché form.

*An Experience of Film Protocol*. The film title: *An Experience of Ravings of the Love Affection*. Directed by Valery Ogorodnikov.

The place of action: Russia of the 1990s, mental clinic. Old walls, painted with the dull formals colors, half-flooded basements, hospital beds, etc.

The costumes: white doctors' coats weird dresses of patients.

Dialogues are: pretty numerous, but not making any sense for viewers with the stable nervous system.

The actors: there are a lot of them, but the acting of only one of them is remembered afterwards - of Alexander Romanzov, who rather convincingly played the chief doctor of the mental clinic - half Devil, half... getting great pleasure of power over the helpless people, who got the fatal label of nuts.

Summary: the rewards of Milos Forman, obviously, don't allow the screen writer and director of *An Experience...* to sleep calmly. In the *Burglar* Valery Ogorodnikov tried to make his version of *Competition* (1963). Now he is making a fantasy on the *Flight over the Cuckoo's Nest* (1974).

Sure, the mental clinic as the model of totalitarian state, where any performance of different thinking and human individuality is suppressed, is a good material for gloomy parables, pathological visions, and naturalistic-shocking visual images. However there is a definite stamp of epigones on the movie of Valery Ogorodnikov.

It's a pity that unlike of *Paper Eyes of Prishvin*, Ogorodnikov didn't cast our talented film critics in the *An Experience...* (or he did somewhere in the back, so I didn't see?). There's nobody to write an article, born right on the set, it's known that, the inside process is much more interesting.

One of the *Paper Eyes...* team told me that the movie would be absolutely masterpiece, if the director had agreed to cut 40 minutes out of it. I don't know if it would work for *Paper Eyes...*, but *An Experience...* doesn't need the cutting out, to my mind. Cutting out added meters of film wouldn't make any difference for the artistic value or success of the movie.

#### *Movie that's not for Everybody, or Cheap Entertainment*

I watched the movie *Swamp Street, or The Means Against Sex* because of the patience of a professional only. All because this movie can be called a comedy only conditionally. However, I can assume that jokes of the story about burnt house, inhabited by the famous actors, demand the special sense of humor, not given to me. I repeat, that maybe it's funny to watch Sadalsky chasing a little Tatiana Bojok in love fever. But is funny for everyone?

The authors of the comedies *Game for Millions*, *The Stingers*, *Charming Aliens* for sure, laughed a lot while watching the movie, because the principles of the laughter tricks are similar in their works, so are the level of production.

Well, love passions still attract filmmakers, wishing to make the public laugh. In the *The Deceived* of Arkady KrasiIchikov, the character of Vitaly Solomin suffers because of the adulteries of his wife, and he is comforted by the sad madame... Most probably, the authors were thinking about the movie as a sort

of another *Irony of Fortune* and *Office Affair* by Eldar Ryazanov, but, alas, it turned out to be boring, too heavy.

The idea of another comedy *Damming Us* by the director Alexander Pavlovsky was more original: the alive Stalin is visiting modern city Odessa and... But after this idea things turned down. Obviously, having done too much for the start of the movie (with the help of Dmitry Kharatian, who played one of the leading parts), the authors started making something very boring...

There is no Stalin in the movie of Vladislav Shamshurin *Made in USSR*, but the performance of Armen Dzhigarhanyan, playing the school principal who treats common high school like a dictator, is much more convincing. Laughter is actually, bitter, and the sight of a school, where "young patriots" punish their classmates — dissidents, leads to the somber thoughts.

The director Uri Kuzmenko made movie based an antique Greek myth of *Dafnice and Hloya*, trying to make it an erotic melodrama by the way. Probably it seemed to him that it takes only to bring over young cute actors to Crimea, take clothes off and make them kiss, to make a sexy show on the screen. And what came out of it is something traditional for the Crimea and other seaside resorts, like the Neptune Holliday, when people dress up in multicolored dresses and according to their abilities play the cheap show with swimming, kisses and fake beards. But maybe, shooting a movie was only the cover up for the summer rest?

Another Ury Kuzmenko's movie *Joker* is pitiful and helpless imitation of *Indiana Jones* by Steven Spielberg; there are no even little grains of humor. Valery Storozhik is far behind the charm of Harrison Ford. But the main disadvantage of the movie is that it doesn't have really good guy. Spielberg's plot about searching for treasures, made up in the style of Sergio Leone's westerns, but with the absence of professionalism.

The name of this film - *The Deluxe Apartment for General with Girl* - it is OK for erotic melodrama, or an easy comedy. However the director Alexander Alexandrov (and he is the screen writer, also) having used the title for commercial purpose, actually deceives the audience who like the sexual adventures on the screen. Of course there are some comedy episodes and erotic one in the story of the 15-year-old girl, who ran away from home and has fun on the beach with the 60-year-old gambler, who pretends to be a general. But on the whole, the movie claims for something more, like the psychological drama with a philosophical moral. That's why the father's feeling awake in a gambler, and easy-going girl turns out to be almost a classical positive heroine, deceived and pushed off her path.

Some time ago Alexander Alexanrov was a script writer of lyrical, true to life films about teenagers - *100 Days After Childhood*, *The Blue*

*Portrait*. God know, where are his sense of the sincere feelings, style gentleness now? *The Deluxe Apartment...* looks like the ordinary TV-play with its manner of filming, straightforwardness, and predictable plot, annoying persuasion of morals, like well-known truths "don't steal", or "don't with a wife of your neighbor". Was that worthy of the deceiving of the audience expectations, wishing to see *an* easy comedy about pretty girls adventures? The director should have taken one sick, or another - either make a melodrama, and o cheesy comedy.

No better is the *Gone Mad Bus* by Georgy Natanson – the extremely boring version of the real incident, happened in Russia, where the terrorists group captured the bus with the kids as hostages. As it seemed, the material gave the opportunity to make the action thriller, but the action lacks tension, the movie is filmed not carefully, positive characters don't evoke the interest, and bad guys are like form the comic strip.

As it's known, there are no explicit and indisputable grandees with reference to art. But as for me, I didn't, like the picture of Anatoly Granatov, *My Neighbor*. To my mind, all that's happening on a screen is behind the verge of art. Starting with the unprofessional director work (primitive scenes, unablensness to work with the actors, an absence of so-called audiovisual mentality, and so on) and finishing with the absolute genres; confusion.

The movie starts as a simple every day life comedy (the new apartment renter comes to the old building - a young pretty Lady, who so on is being terrorize by the indelicate anonymous letters), then tries to transfer into the fatal drama (the lady decides to make the investigation and to revenge the offender), and the final is really dramatic, (a teenager dies in an accident). The mixture of genres is, certainly, rather natural thing for cinema, however, when the authors, trying to make a cocktail don't know how to use its components, and helplessly copy the ideas of the other, the result is he same as in *My Neighbor*. Good actors are no help then. Without a good script, good directorship there is no good movie.

My advice to those who haven't seen the wonderful melodrama of François Truffaut *The Neighbor* (1982) is to spend this movie. That is the real genie cinema!

Used. That means the movie, which doesn't fresh... Such obvious conclusion springs to mind after seeing the criminally erotic melodrama by Mickail Melnichenko, though the picture has the proud name *High Class*.

Alas! To hi-fi of the spectacular cinema from this film far away, to my mind. All that was shown on the screen already had set the teeth on edge: the noble prostitute (Irina Alferova), dreaming to marry wishes the foreigner, her over-free daughter, having a lot's fun by seeing the cassette *Emmanuelle*

end cigarettes *Marlboro*... The aged сутенеure, businessmen and Mafiosi with swollen with money pockets...

And when the perfidious representative of KGB compellers the main heroine to busy with the industrial espionage with profit to Russia, in spite of the author's plan, there are no desire to sympathize with her, poor thing...

Unprofessional level of the creators of *High Class*, as it seems to me, plays a bad service to actress Irina Alferova. Even her doubtless beauty they photographed without special effect: whether the film wasn't good, or they economized on the make-up and lighting-up...

Besides, the whole picture directed economically - the number of clichés, in turn with demonstration the luxe life of prostitutes in the Crimea's hotel...

It's bored, ladies and gentlemen!

### *Nikita Mikhalkov before XXI Century*

I think I won't be mistaken if I say that just like his elder brother, Nikita Mikhalkov was one of my favourite directors of the Russian cinema of the 1970's. His fine western *Our Man's Among the Strangers, a Stranger's Among Us* (1974), divine melodrama *The Slave of Love* (1975), the screen version of Anton Chekhov's *The Unfinished Piece for the Mechanic Piano* (1976), the retro-melodrama *Five Evenings* (1978), and *A Few Days of Oblomov's Life* (1980), based on the Ivan Goncharov's novel, raised a huge interest of the audience and critics. These films were argued about and written about, they, undoubtedly, were in the center of the cinema life.

The main reason for that sure was the talent of the director. However, there was, as it's known, one more reason. During that time one film after another was banned. It happened with the movies of Andrey Tarkovsky, Alexei German, Elem Klimov, and Kira Muratova, Gleb Panfilov, Marlen Hutsiev and Grigory Chuhrai almost didn't make any movies. Sergei Paradzhanov couldn't work at all.

Nikita Mikhalkov, probably, was right when in the 1970's he didn't start playing with fortune with the uneasy "actual material" and tried to answer the questions, important to the society, through the harmless in the opinion of the authorities) reflections of the retro. And as soon as he attempted to go for the contemporary in the satirical comedy *Relatives* in the 1981, the artillery from the art bureaucrats fired.

Lots of the directors' debuts start from the modern theme. If not in the first movie, so in a second, third one, young people are eager to tell about today's world. The creative fate of Nikita Mikhalkov turned out in a different way. *The Unfinished Piece for the Mechanical Piano* takes place in the end of the XIX century. *Oblomov's* action is taking place even earlier. *The Slave of Love* and *Our Man's Among Strangers...* - in the early 1920's. *The Quiet Day at the End of the War* - the middle of the 1940's. And, finally, *Five Evenings* - 1958. N.Mikhalkov moves towards the modern theme through the reflections of the past on the today's problems.

*Five Evenings* evoked the interest long before it came out. Right after the end of the work (filming time was extremely short - 26 days!) the enthusiastic articles of critics appeared in press. As usually, N.Mikhalkov together with his cameraman P.Lebeshev and artist A.Adabashjan is looking for a original artistic scenery of the movie. Yellowish-brown shots (the more impressive the color at the end of the movie looks) look like pictures from the old albums, or, more likely, like the old movies who lost their color because of the numerous shows. Little camera movements, limited space of the interior. Music is contributing to the picture-full of life, enthusiastic tunes of the 1950's plus TV and radio pieces in which the pulse of the time is reflected.

Only that is enough to attract the attention. The actor's ensemble is good, too. Yes, the ensemble, not the separate brilliant parts. Tamara and Ilijin, who have met after the long time, are performed by Ludmila Gurchenko and Stanislav Lubshin.

Lately, the words about good acting of L.Gurchenko looks almost like the stamp, cliché. Her talent opens up more and more with every new role. She amazes with the contrasts of characters she plays (from the musical *Goat in Mother* to the dramatic part in *The Twenty Days Without War*). Nevertheless, Ludmila Gurchenko hasn't become the only leader of *Five Evenings* (though her performance of Tamara can be included into the acting schools textbooks). S.Lubshin, V.Telichkina, L.Kuznetsova, A.Adabashjian are the worthy partners.

Presenting their characters with the complicated, sometimes contradictory traits, mixing absolutely natural, very realistic performance with almost eccentric episodes, altogether actors make something indivisible, creating the spirit of the movie.

Tamara's boyfriend had left for the was in the early 1940's. For the 18 years she's been living "full life": her job, taking care of her nephew, and lonely very long evenings in the small apartment. Iljin has been very far from Tamara, all those years after the war, keeping love and hope somewhere in the bottom of the heart, he tried to prove to himself and other people that he is "the master of life".

At the end of the film Tamara and Iljin have found each other finally, got over the mutual offences and the burden of the years passed. But having seen the whole film, it's wrong to claim that their happiness is final - only five nights have passed after their reunion.

And then the comedy about serious things followed - *Relatives*. The elder couple - the farmer Konovalova and a man on a business trip Lyapin are walking in the park, and decide to make a picture in a photo-machine. Something has elicited. Then it gave some strange sounds, but the pictures didn't, come out. A minute, another... The couple got fed up with waiting and is leaving. And the camera stays. It's waiting patiently. And suddenly - with a low noise the wet pictures are coming out of the machine. And... Use director Nikita Mikhalkov, camera man Pavel Lebeshev and artist Alexander Adabashjan are in the pictures. They are smiling.

The above is the episode from the film of Mikhalkov. The title of this movie wasn't found at once. At first - *Come What May*, then *Back and Forth*, and finally, *Relatives*. The first comedy of Nikita Mikhalkov.

The plot of *Relatives* can be told in several sentences: mother is coming from a countryside to visit her daughter in the town. Mother - Maria Vasiliyevna Konovalova is played by Nonna Mordukova. Maria is enthusiastic, but not always nice person. Sometimes she is rude, vulgar, she interferes into the life of her daughter Nina. Nina's part is played by Svetlana Kruchkova. Nina doesn't care much about her family, her passion is stuff-expensive clothes, jewelry, etc. But behind this eagerness to seem to be "in style" is the spiritual emptiness.

Nina's husband - Stas - left her and went off with another woman. He couldn't stand the dictatorship of his wife. Who is he? Maybe some quiet, not. very

smart loser? The actor Ury Bogatyrev doesn't leave us any doubts - Stas is just like Nina. The same careless attitude to daughter. The same passion for stuff.

The daughter of Nina and Stas, seven-year-old girl Irina, is performed by... Fyodor Stukov - a boy! It seems that this choice was made for a reason. The image of any child with the unhappy childhood is created on the screen. Rude, with no manners, obsessed with TV and *Boney M* tapes, Irina looks more like a nervous machine, something out of this world, not like a child.

The scene, in which one of the characters, the ex-husband of Maria, is passed on the road by bikers, packed in the glass of the helmets, is characteristic. People are fencing off from each other by the glass of helmets and sunglasses, noise of the machines and stereo. In this way some family ties are getting lost along with the human ties. *Relatives* - there is no irony or mockery in this title. The authors want us not to forget about, its real meaning. Blaming, mocking the unspirituality, vulgarity, meanness, the film of Nikita Mikhalkov from time to time is leaving the comedy genre, and getting out of the crowded apartment full out the dumbest stuff, changes the style, getting up to the poetic nights. Like the' sip of the fresh air, the image of the native nature appears in the movie. The thought about the necessity of a man to go for his goal, faithfulness to his duty is the appeal of the film.

The ringing, like a string, music by Edward Artemiev, and the camera of Pavel Lebeshev, has left the balcony of the house and approach the stadium. The seats are empty. But the circle after the circle, the runner is running to the record aim.

The film turned out to be funny, and serious, deep. Plus the excellent actors ensemble. Plus the high artistic job. Plus the fullness, development of every comic or eccentric episode, cascade of the director's ideas.

The problems of the morals, family concern Nikita Mikhalkov of the experimental film *No Witnesses* (1983). There are only two characters in this psychological drama. Her & Him, her ex-husband. The director has limited the movie space within the two-room apartment. He uses the background of the cinematographic means so skillfully that the audience, ready for the serious, uncompromise talk, are getting involved in the world of the movie characters.

The authors of the movie (the cameraman Pavel Lebeshev & the artist Alexander Adabashian are among them) use effectively every detail of the interior. As it's known, things can "talk", sometimes they help to learn about the heroes almost everything.

However the directors, camera man's, artists' talent doesn't make actor's work less important. The performance of Irina Kupchenko and Mikhail Ulianov is above the praise. Their duet point out vividly the spiritual gap between beautiful, pure woman, who had mistaken in her sincere feelings, and a man, who had betrayed, exchanged his old ideals and belief for the career and money.

In the meeting with the audience Nikita Mikhalkov said that he wanted to express his sincere love and gratitude to a Woman. Her and Him. The immortal art theme...

Mikhaikov is considered to be one of the best modern Russian directors. However, he doesn't break up with his first profession - of an actor. It's almost 30 years that Mikhaikov is acting. By the way, he takes the leading parts (*I Walking in Moscow* by Georgy Danelia, *The Roll-Gall* by Daniil Khrabrovitsky, *Sibiriada* by Andrei Konchalovsky, etc.), and the small parts as well (*The Nobility Nest*, *The Flight in Dreams and in Reality*, *The Slave of Love*, etc.). With all his busy work as the director, screen writer, he still finds time for acting.

As a sample, here are only two movies with Mikhaikov's playing - *The Railroad Station for Two* by Eldar Riazanov and *Road Inspector* by Eldor Urazbaev. Different movies of the different director. The sad comedy of Riazanov is about the love story of the elder people, started with the funny-dramatic accident in the railroad station in a small town. The ironic drama of Eldor Urazbaev is about the struggle of the very honest auto Inspector Zikin with the malicious driving rules breaker - Trunov.

In *The Railroade...* Mikhaikov doesn't have leading part, but it's very important for the idea of the movie. The film of Riazanov is not only the brilliantly played comedy – lyrical story. But wider - it's the serious, sometimes with the sharp satirical episodes, talk about life. Riazanov has been bringing up the satirical theme in his work for a long time. In *The Railroade...* the satire aim is Andrei, the conductor in the train, whose part was gotten by Mikhaikov.

... Two pretty big suitcases in the muscular arms, jeans, uniform cap. Very self-confident smile, with bushy moustache, over-free addressing. Dirty-greasy look of his eyes. That's how he is presenting his character at the opening scenes. And then step after step, Mikhaikov reveals very limited in a spiritual way, would of his character. He instructs his girlfriend Vera - the waitress in the station restaurant, and then usually - insolently takes her to his compartment in a train ("We've got 20 minutes only!).

There is no doubt that Andrei has such Vera - mistress plus the sales agent - in every big station. In this world people like Andrei are interested in money only. Self-confidence and self-love of Andrei is unlimited. And Nikita Mikhalkov acts out his confusion, inability to understand, when Vera declares that she is in love with Riabinin, very well. How can she be serious to care about love more than business? Andrei is just not able to love sincerely, because for him people are divided as "useful" and "useless" - "authority" and "crowd".

The same division of society is true for another character performed by Nikita Mikhalkov. Trunov is the manager of the station of the technical service in the film of Eldor Urazbaev *Road Inspector*.

Sure, Trunov is not like Andrei. He is sitting calmly in his office and is using his place actively. Gars of the "useful" people are repaired with excellent quality and fast, then Trunov gets everything he needs. It's not amazing that Trunov can afford to fly to the Crimea every weekend and speed on the roads daily.

And then the naive road inspector Zikin doesn't want to take "use-full" friends of Trunov into the consideration and give him tickets for speeding and then takes up his driver's license. And here Mikhalkov changes the pattern of his character's behavior. The lazy-instructive intonations, lazy plastics or movements, ironic look behind the sunglasses - all this is switched to the sincere surprise of a man who doesn't believe that it's possible to live by the honest rules, that there are people who won't give up their principles for their profit or advantages. Trunov seems to think about it for the first time. And something in his facial expression changes, some tuned and winded mechanism in his soul is breaking. It seems that Trunov is really goes through some morals change.

But the movie authors are far from the commonplace ways of dealing with problems: "was bad, was given the explanatory talk, became good". They don't make the problem sound easy, don't smooth the real difficulties...

It wouldn't seem strange for someone that American movie is made by German director, or French film made by Italian. Since 1960's lots of the leading East-European director began to make movies in France, Britain, United States.

If I am not mistaken, the first Russian director, who got invited to make a movie for a western company in a post-second world war period, was Sergei Bondarchuk. His epic "Waterloo" (1969) was produced by the Italian Dino De Laurentis. For that time this invitation was the sensation no less than the participation of Oleg Vidov in the Danish-Swedish *Red Grown* (1966).

During the Russian "epoch of stagnation" there was a peculiar idea about international cooperation. The so-called joint productions didn't get disapproval, but the individual "tours" were unwanted. And even in 1982 the work of Andrei Tarkovsky in the Italian production *Nostalgia* seemed strange, uncommon event for lots of Russian people.

Today it doesn't seem extraordinary that Andrei Konchalovsky made six films in the U.S.A. In his interview for a paper, Konchalovsky (brother of Mikhalkov) stood up for the right of the artist for the international collaboration and propaganda of his culture abroad. Of course, it's not the only reason of Russian directors to make contracts with the western firms. It's known that it also happens due to the better quality of technical devices, bigger money, and, finally, the desire to change the set. Creative people who got famous in their country, wait to try themselves in different situation, dream of winning the world's screen. Maybe there are more reasons. For example, the inability for some reason to realize the dream project in the home country. This reason was true for the Russian cinema of 1970s - early 1980's: it's known

that Tarkovsky's project - the screen version of *The Idiot* (F.Dostoevsky novel) - was forbidden.

Right in those years lots of talented directors left for the West - Mikhail Kalik (*The Man Goes After the Sun, Good-Bye, boys*), Henrich Gabay (*The Green Van*), Mikhail Bogin (*The Two, Zosia*), the poet and screen writer Alexander Galich, the cameramen Mikhail Suslov (*The Sixth of July*) and Uri Sokol (*The Roll-Call*).

However, when the socio-cultural situation has changed sharply, the following question can be heard pretty often: why do the Russian famous directors leave for abroad now? Did they run out of the national themes and problems? To my mind, there's a reason in that question, though it doesn't fix the problems of the Russian cinema business and the desire of the artists to try themselves in a new situation. By the way, let's address to the film of Nikita Mikhalkov (*The Black Eyes*, 1987), produced by the Italy.

After the film-experiment *No Witness* (1983) there was a visible pause in the work of the Mikhalkov's direction. At the first sight, the cinema climate along with the social became more favourable for the talented people: take up any theme, any idea and plot. However, surprisingly it seemed that it was this freedom that prevented Nikita Mikhalkov from making the final choice. He had lots of projects: *Dmitry Donskoi, Life and Death of Alexander Griboeov, Tzar-Fish...* The interviews about plans were given, sometimes the actors were chosen. And then after Marchello Mastroianni's visit to Moscow, the script has been written, and soon the film *The Black Eyes* (1987) has come out.

In one of his interviews Nikita Mikhalkov said that in the film *No Witness* he wanted to go the way of the maximum self-limit, denying every director's "tricks", which he had used in his previous works... There're only two characters, they are in a reserved space of one small apartment.

I don't know if it was meant this way, or not, but *The Black Eyes* 10 make the quite different impression. Seems, that the director decided to use every trick, gag, any idea...

For a long time, the cinema men in Russia were complying of the unfair time limit. A film was limited into 1,5 hours. And then Mikhalkov went for the European standard: *The Black Eyes* last for around 2 hours. But, unfortunately, the useless of some episodes is crystal clear. There were lots of oral and written speeches of Russian directors about their right for the free interpretation of the classics. Well, here Mikhalkov, sure got the "carte blanche". And so what? As a result, *The Black Eyes* turned out to be movie a cheap style.

However in a few episodes filmed in Russia, the satirical, comedy talent of Mikhalkov is seen. The one scene where in the small town's station the leader of the local nobles is meeting the foreign guest (Marchello Mastroianni). But in the whole, unfortunately, *The Black Eyes* is one of the brightest examples of the "export

version" of the filmmaking. The talent is losing its vividness in the tinsel of the showy, but empty fireworks.

I'll tell the truth - Mikhalkov – was one of my favorite directors, so it was more sad unexpected to see the lack of the sense of limit taste in *The Black Eyes*. I probably wouldn't write about this film: everybody makes mistakes sometimes - if its author didn't think about his work as the valuable contribution to the propaganda of the Russian culture abroad. The desire of the talented person to talk to all the audience of planet is understandable. And when the interesting problem, uncompromise life state is standing behind the project, nobody would say the phrase "the export version" with a reproach.

So, after a long interval, Nikita Mikhalkov decided to return to the free-breathing cinematograph. Nikita Mikhalkov's *Urga* reached Russia in the glow of a triumph at the Venice film festival. This picture about a possible harmony with nature, about the attempt of a common Russian driver to understand the world of Mongolian nomads, was received in Moscow with restraint, in spite of additional praise from Rome and Paris. There were a lot of things the film was reproached for: An attempt to run away abroad from the difficulties of Russia's troubled time, for a tourist's point of view on Asia and its people, for lacking the intuition of Bertolucci, and so on.

*Urga* it rather vulnerable to such reproaches, though they don't seem to me well grounded. On the other hand, charges against the director's and script's prosaicness (as in a talky restaurant episode about the essence of the Russian nation) are fair. But all this is put aside when you see the wonderful landscapes of the imposing steppes, shot by V.Kaluta's camera, and when you hear the thousands of sounds.

The simplicity and ease of the Mongolian and Chinese actors frees a comical story (how a Mongolian herdsman's wife sent him into town for contraceptives, lest they be punished for violating a law controlling the birth rate) from any bad tone. The professional European actor usually has serious problems when working among Asiatic performers, but V.Gostukhin's hero is well realized and convincing.

Having got the second breath by the Gold Lion of St.Marco *Urga* (1991), Mikhalkov demonstrated once again that he got over the crisis of the late 1980s.

Got moved aside to the second place by the parody movie *Fulp Fiction* by Ouentin Tarantino on the Cannes festival, the film of Nikita Mikhalkov *Burnt by the Sun* (1994), to my mind, got its Oscar rather fairly for the best foreign movie of the year. *Burnt by the Sun* makes you remember his best works. The atmosphere of improvisation, set and light design, full of the colors and light, well developed soundtrack - all that as Mikhalkov's logo makes the movie delicious for the cinema fans.

Russian press commented this work of Mikhalkov in different ways. Together with the positive articles there were some critics' opinion that *Burnt By the Sun* is too political movie (the theme of Stalin's dictatorship) and has an old-fashioned film language. As for me, the movie doesn't seem to be old-fashioned, but stuck to its genre - like the synthesis of the psychological drama and comedy. As for the political situation, Mikhalkov is making a difference from lots of his colleagues, who have hurried to make movies about Stalin in early period of Gorbachev's Perestroika.

Mikhalkov reopened the analysis of Stalin's epoch at the time when this theme was out of style in Russia. With all that Mikhalkov doesn't tend to the primitive prosecution position like: the Reds - implore crimes and evil, and the Whites - goodness and courage. The Red commander Kotov (performed by Mikhalkov himself) is a man not only burnt by the blinding rays of the revolutionary sun, but a man who believed truly in the idea of the great and good communist future. Mikhalkov's character is a strong, self continent man but at the same time he is naive, couldn't believe that the bloody loop of terror will touch him.

However in spite of the - real charm of Mikhalkov's performance (especially in the scenes with his little daughter Nadia), leading actor of *Burnt By the Sun* is Oleg Menshikov (*Pokrovski Gates* by Mikhail Ko-zakov, *Duba-Duba* by Alexander Khvan). His character is the ex-officer of the White Guard. He agrees to cooperate with the red department against espionage, hoping to save his life this way and come back to Russia. In the summer house of Kotov he is playing the old Dmitry - quick-witted, funny, the perfect guy for any company. But from time to time Menshikov's character takes off his mask and reveals his real face of tired, condemned, self hating for the weak will man. Dmitry still loves Kotov's wife, he used to be a friend of the family before their marriage. He can't understand how, in the middle of 1930s, in Stalin's Russia, this almost Chekhov's summer house world has survived. He doesn't believe that's it's possible to live this way, being happy from little family joys, to grow a kid, to read his fairy tales, hoping that everything will be fine.

Oleg Menshikov played the contradictory and charming character brilliantly, with the cascade of the reincarnations, original plastics, gestures and facial expressions. With all unusual eccentric manner of that character with double nature the Russian history knew some real "Dmitrys" (one of them, unfortunately, was the husband of the famous poet Marina Tsvetaeva, Sergei Efron).

So, the ex-white becomes the red secret service soldier, and the ex-red - "public enemy". The unipityful machine of Stalinism breaks the people's lives, and those Russians who couldn't find the common road were doomed to the mutual confrontation and hatred. And both sided are guilty in what has happened.

Maybe in some scenes the Mikhalkov's thoughts about the tragedy of nation, who has chosen the class intolerance instead of searching for peace, are given too "heavy". For example, the huge portrait of Stalin, appearing in the sky with the dreadful grin of Dmitry on the background, looks a little bit straightforward. But on

the whole, *Burnt By the Sun* seems to me as the profound and original work of art. And though the history can't be changed or turned backwards, I can understand the bitterness of Nikita Mikhalkov and his nostalgia for the times when there was no Reds or Whites in Russia.

### *Karen Shakhnazarov: a Fortune's Favorite?*

In the 1970's a filmmaker's occupation became one of the most prestigious in Russia, therefore it was pretty tough to start off as a director in one's 1920's. The graduates of the Cinematography Institute in Moscow had been waiting for years to get their chance. Karen Shakhnazarov was lucky: his father was an academician and one of the most important counselors for Kremlin, so the career of the "fortune's favourite" got its start pretty successfully. In his first movie - the satirical comedy *The Kind People* (1978) about an untalented and swindler, who using the kindness of the University scientists, gets his doctor's degree, Shakhnazarov has proved himself to be a professional. Unlike some other "kids of the VIP's", Karen showed that he is talented.

His musical *We Are From Jazz* (1983) was a great success with Russian audience, it made a star of the leading actor Igor Sklar. In every episode of the movie one could see the improvisation, freedom of the performance of the young and experienced actors. The authors of the movie were really fond of the legendary sense of the 1920's. The picture came out to be a good show joyous and enthusiastic. Shakhnazarov cited some episodes of the old musicals, though sometimes the sense of harmony and taste was missing, and actors' job was not sincere enough.

Karen Shakhnazarov tried to continue working in genre of a musical in *The Winter Evening in Gagres* (1985). This film's story is about an old king of eter, who is teaching the charming impudent fellow from the country. In his movie there were some pretty good musical scenes, brilliant actor's performances, a smile was followed by the sorrow, but on the whole, the show was just what the Russian audience needed at that time. Unfortunately, Shakhnazarov is not Bob Fosse and *We Are from Jazz* is not *All This Jazz*. However, with the dull production in the background Karen's works were noticed, the Russian press wrote about him, he was popular with the audience.

In perception of the art often the stereotype of waiting for the usual works out. Karen Shakhnazarov got his fame for the musicals, so audience's expectations are clear. Having learnt that the next director's work *Courier* (1987) is about the youth, they tune to the melodies of late 1980's.

But the movie is different. It's not more comedy than drama, like in real life. And has the music just as much as the everyday life has. The plot of *Courier* is pretty simple. It can be told in a few words. Once upon a time there lived a seventeen-year-old Moscow guy Ivan. He graduated from high school. He applied to the Teacher's Training College accidentally. Didn't get in. Plus his parents divorced. And he got a job as a courier for one of the

scientific journals. Met a girl – a freshman of the University, professor's daughter. Fell in love. But her father was not happy about it.

As you see in this reproduction the movie seems to be the trivial repetition. However it's different from lots of films about teenagers. In *Courier* the sharp problem of young people who don't accept the dark sides of the society, sounds loud for the first time.

Meanwhile, in this genre, far from the musical, Shakhnazarov makes some sort of a musical background, the conflicts and characters are opening up through it.

Here is the break-dance, popular in the 1980-s as a protest against the conservative adults. Here is the openly primitive song about a goat, comparing it with the well known *Dog's Waltz* the latter seems to be the masterpiece of the musical culture. This tune is played by Ivan in the respectable house of the professor. Ivan teaches his cute daughter this bold poem. And she's getting the rule of this game at once. She's been brought up by the caring and well-to-do parents, wants to break the rules of her "programmed" life of a girl from a "good family".

The kind of protest for Ivan was the performance of *The Nightingale* by Alyabiev during the party at professor Kuznetsov house. Contrary to the expectations of the hosts and guests, the *Courier's* hero is singing out of tune on purpose, imitating the excitement of a kindergarten kid who's trying to make adults like him. Hero is the heart of the matter of Ivan's character. Instead of rushing to fight the narrow mind & hypocrisy, like the heroes of the movies in the 1960's did, he prefers the ironic smile under the mask of the simple and naive fellow.

Sometimes through in Ivan's soul the mysterious tune is playing, where the rhythms of "tam-tams" are heard. The camera finds the island in the African desert, dark people with spears are walking slowly.

But perhaps the main musical scene of the movie is the surprising duet of the son and mother. Ivan starts singing the song of the pop band, playing the guitar, and somewhere in the middle of a song mother joins in. She's singing pitifully and slows down, so the song loses its original rhythm and becomes like the sad melodies about unhappy love and life, like Russian folklore. This unity of mother and son, fragile and short, to my mind is the psychological tuning fork of the musical score of the film.

So the main personage's character is being opened widely in the conflict situation: in the family where father left mother for some young and pretty Natasha, in the newspaper office, where the clerks are busy with tea and talks more than with the real business. Unfortunately, the lyrical scenes, with no eccentric attitude and sarcasm, don't, come out easy for the young

actor. While Anastasia Nemolyaeva acting the complicated love story of Katya and Ivan seems to be comfortable and natural.

*Courier's* hero is far from the traditional image of a "good teenager". His protest against the hypocrisy of some adults and society lacks the definite life program. But this is absolutely typical, though the character itself isn't like some people imagine them. For example, like the old woman who claims that she knows all about teenagers because she is watching TV every day. This episode always evokes a burst of laughter in the people are laughing mostly.

It is them who see the wide gap between the real interests, deeds and life of teenagers, and how they are being imagined by the mass media and adults.

Thus, step by step this gap between the generations has appeared, leading to the bunch of so-called informal organizations: fans, rockers, bikers. Karen Shakhnazarov keenly noticed one trait of the teenagers of the 1980's - the active protest against one of the biggest vices of people - the divergence between the word and deed, double morals. Maybe that why Ivan is gazing at the face of the 20-year-old guy, military dressed, who came back not from the romantic African deserts, but from the mountains of Afghanistan, where ex-teenagers have to pass the; exam of courage in the dirty war. . .

*It is easy to be young?* - there is a documentary under this title. And the movie of Shakhnazarov answers this question. Life of the teenagers doesn't have to be hard, and it's the adults' business to keep them from dying young at wars...

I've heard a lot of opinion that next Karen Shakhnazarov's film *Town Zero* (1989) is not original and uses the plot turns, that are common for the world culture since the ancient times till our days. And the hero who from the "normal world" gets into the world where there's no things he's used to, is the cliché by itself.

I'll try to prove the opposite. I'll start with the personage. Leonid Filatov seems to me an ideal actor for the part of the modest engineer Alexei Varakin, who came to the town Zero on a business trip. Here Shakhnazarov managed to break down stereotypes of Filatov's images. That's to say a lot of characters of this outstanding actor are active and enthusiastic working men, but feel themselves pretty uncomfortable and shy in love scenes. The director turns these circumstances into the merits of the film. Depriving Filatov's character of any strength and will, he is like making the minutest melancholy and not-caring of the super active heroes of *The Forgotten Flute Tune* by Eldar Riyanov and *A Step* by Alexander Mitta as the leading trait of the behavior of Varakin.

In a result there's the perfect, character for a movie, made by the principle of the merry-go-round, where everything comes to the start point.

The myth roots of this circle are mostly seen in the episode in the underground history museum. The grey-haired guide in a monotonous tone tells the accidental visitor Varakin about the dramatic events of the local history - since the ancient Tsar Darlan and one of the armies of the Roman emperor Neron, up to the visit of Stalin in 1904 (with his famous saying: "The Dawn is coming, the sun is rising, this Sun will shine for us!") and the brave dance of the local pioneers of rock-and-roll. In this openly exaggerated museum of the wan figures the director created a perfect collection of political, ideological and cultural clichés ..

But myths, alas, are not just embodied by the museum fake people, but in real life of this weird and so recognizable town Zero.

The manager of the plant, who has no idea about, what's happening outside his office. The prosecutor who's dreaming of a crime. The elderly writer, who in spite of his recent conjuncture becomes childishly happy because finally the dance of his youth is officially approved. The obstinate police inspector assuring Varakin that in the name of the country he just has to declare that he is Makhmud - the son of the late cook Nikolaev, who had been a policeman and a pioneer of rock-and roll. What's that the crazy idea of a script writer? It better be.

Almost every, from the first sight fantastic, unreal event in this movie has its real twin. And when some boy tells Varakin that he will never leave town Zero, will die in 2015 and that the writing on his tomb will say: "From daughters Yulia, Natasha, Tamara and Zinaida with love", one understands that it's not a joke. The Filatov's character is doomed to stay in Zero as all of Russians had to live in a society with a lot of ghosts...

The authors of *Town Zero* have used the wandering plot framework, but they managed to fill it up with the modern, clever and sharp contents . The show turned out to be vivid and thrilling.

There are several movies based on a story of the horrible crime of the Bolshevism - the murder of the Tsar's family. But none of them were Russian. The film of Karen Shakhnazarov *Tsar's Murderer* (1991) is the first attempt to speak about this tragedy from "inside". Well, not exactly from inside, as the part of a murderer was performed by Malcolm McDowell, and the movie was filmed using some Western investments.

Because of the strength of McDowell's talent almost in a few minutes after his appearance one believes that Yurovsky could have been just like it - unlimitedly ambitious, fanatic, with the sick shining of his eyes, which hide the sharp pain.

So there is a riot of a small man, the photographer, who once found out the bloody, but an easy way for him to enter the Russian history. The two-lever story helps to develop the image of Yurovsky as the victim and the butcher at the same time. Beside the scenes of Ekaterinburg exile and murder of Nikolai II, his wife Alexandra, their children and servants, in the movie there is a story of the psychiatrist who is living in the 1990's and trying to analyze the strange illness of his patient, who thinks he's Yurovsky. And in these scenes McDowell is the soloist, indeed, he pushes all his partners to the background, including Oleg Yankovsky (who performed parts of the Tsar and psychiatrist).

The film's conception fully corresponds to its title: other characters are dotted, not vivid. The episodes without Yurovsky (or the madman who fancies he's the one) are not very expressive. And on the whole, if there were no amazing performance of McDowell, the movie, to my mind, would be loud, not emotional show, like *Nikolas and Alexandra* (1971) by Franklin Sheffner...

... he end of the XIX century - Russia. The beautiful countess Prosorova has the same dream every night: that she is not the countess, but a dish washer girl in the restaurant in Moscow in the 1990's.

This fantastic plot allows the directors of the movie *Dreams*(1993) by Karen Shakhnazarov and Alexander Borodiansky to show the foolish and funny sides of the post-Perestroika life in Russia. Here the authors, using the style of the "revealing wave" in mass media, make fun of the foolishness of the Russian government who's main aim is to get the Western creditors; the fake show-business, and so on. In short, everything that seems to be a weird dream for the people in the XIX century becomes a reality for Russians in the end of the XXth.

In the dreams of the countess her husband is constantly changing his jobs. He is the director of the movie about the coup d'etat of 1991, and the porno-seller, and prostitutes' peddler, and rock-singer, and the showman one smile (for example, the conference of the Ministers, where among the other problems of the "state importance" the football match is discussed), but as the movie went on, I had this feeling that I've seen it before. The filmmaking process as it's known is pretty long, and while the script was being written, and the movie shooting went on, the satirical injections of the *Dreams* lost its novelty: the everyday press made them a cliché.

Besides,, *Dreams*, to my mind, lacks the script unity of the best Shakhnazarov's films (*Courier*, *Town Zero*). The composition disharmony, unnecessary scenes turn *Dreams* into some TV information newsreel. There're no bright actors' performances. But the music written `a la Ennio

Morricone, is touchy and lovely. If there was a tradition in Russia to make soundtracks of the films, I'd buy this music of dreams.

In spite of the financial difficulties of the modern Russian cinema, Karen Shakhnazarov got money for his next project - *American Daughter* (1995). It tells the story of a Russian guy who is searching for his daughter in America.

And many another films were after: *Poisons*, *Room N 6*, etc. What will be next? We'll see...

### ***Pyotr Todorovsky: Dramatic Romance***

When Nazis in June 1941 attacked Russia the future director Pyotr Todorovsky (1925-2013) was only 16, but he got into the army. His war experience has its reflection in his films in this or that way.

Having returned after the war Pyotr Todorovsky graduated from the Moscow Institute of Cinematography and became the cameraman. In the middle of the 1950's he worked in Odessa, where he filmed Marlen Khutsiev's films *Spring in Zarechna Street* and *Two Fedors*. The desire to express his creative personality fully made Todorovsky take up career of a director. His debut was *Never* in 1962. In a year his war-drama *Faithfulness* got a prize in Venice. After the sad comedy *The Conjurer* (1967) Todorovsky turned to the genre of melodrama *The Town Romance* (1970). The film was a great success with Russian audience.

In the 1970s films of Pyotr Todorovsky didn't have much of a popularity - neither with public, nor with critics. However, having overcome the long 10-year crisis, Todorovsky got the sympathy of people back by the comedy melodrama *The Beloved Woman of Mechanic Gavrilov* (1981), with brilliant actors casting. The main part is performed by Ludmila Gurchenko. The movie is made of the short stories. There is lot of humor, some things from the everyday life art shown close to reality. Very good panoramas of Odessa's ancient streets. The climax of the story is the mute final scene with the mechanic Gavrilov (Sergei Shakurov). In spite of his presence in the title of the film he appears in the screen just in very end. The film is made professionally. It's easy to watch it. Still, to my mind, the lightness can be explained not just by smart script and actors' performances sharpened to the perfection, but also by the beaten track to this theme and problem. It goes without saying that the director of *The Town Romance* can create the true-to-life atmosphere of the action, he is very attentive to the details of the set and details of the people's relationship. But on the whole, it seems that *The Beloved Woman of Mechanic Gavrilov* is just another, though a good one, version of the beaten theme.

There are some films that are like shrunk into themselves. Sometimes they made very professionally. However for some reason they don't rouse the emotional response. They are perceived just on a brain level, rationally.

On the other hand, there are films that have neither an outstanding plot nor form. But after the film is over, it bothers the soul with the warmth of the emotional wave.

Every one of us has such a special movie. For me *The Martial Romance* (1984) by Pyotr Todorovsky unexpectedly became the one.

Way unexpectedly? The thing is that the author (he was the script writer and the director as well) managed, to my mind, to make his best movie yet, and to create the very special movie as far as its music concerns. It's difficult, moreover, it's impossible to express with words the way the film is connected with music. This connection is performed not just by the actual music. This playing or the waltz-refrain of Todorovsky himself. It's deeper.

One can feel the element of music in the story and the set, the directorship and the actors' performance. Here the "element of music" attends for the simple "amateur" song of the town folklore. These songs tell the story of the unhappy love, lovers that are apart, another meeting, feelings get back, but there's no return to the past.

It all is in *The Martial Romance* - very emotional, lofty melodrama. But there's more the precise reproduction of the 1940s -1950s, the accurate, vivid work of the camera, the inspiredly made portraits of the main characters.

The movie has not just good, but an excellent actors' ensemble. All actors are performed brightly and emotional.

Nikolai Burlyaev (Alexander), Natalia Andreichenko (Lyuba) and Inna Churikova (Vera) made a great trio. In their performances the dramatic and melodramatic notes subtly transform into the comedy and eccentric: ones. The actors behave naturally but at the same time everything is subordinated to the director's united idea.

Yes, the movie is about love? Still the important place is taken by the theme of the contact, mutual influence of the two different spiritual worlds, and the possibility of their understanding each other. The same theme could be found in one of the earliest Todorovsky's film - *The Town Romance*, but here is sounds much more sharp and delicate.

In the war prologue of the film Andreichenko's character is the beauty. The woman is absolutely happy. She loves, and she is loved. Though her love story was not long - the brave officer is killed in the war. Still, she did love! Those war years were the happiest and unhappiest in Lyuba's life.

Natalia Andreichenko plays like two parts. Back in the 1943 she is be a beautiful woman with the slipshodly but gracefully thrown on the shoulders, major's jacket, proud bearing, smooth movements, the depth of happy eyes. Here, in the early 1950's - the dim look, a little bit rude and vulgar manners of the sharp street, vender dressed in the torn half-length fur coat.

At the same time the feeling of the hidden hope, longing for the saving miracle doesn't leave her even for a moment.

For Lyuba this miracle happened when she met Alexander who used to love her hopelessly at the front.

Nikolai Burlyaev, on the contrary, performs the absolute constancy of nature of his intelligent, shy character, who had graduated from the Historical Department of the University, who loves music and who works in the old cinema-theatre. Alexander is married with the somewhat funny, because of her over politeness of a school teacher, Vera (the brilliant job of Inna Churikova makes one remember her early work in *The Start* and *There's no Ford in Fire* by Gleb Panfilov). What about meeting with Lyuba? Another love triangle? The answer is "yes" and "no". Behind trio common life situation Todorovsky sees something else - the opportunity to make his characters maximally nearer to the audience. So as to break the border between the screen and people. The open emotions, active shaming of feelings and caring for the fate of Alexander, Lyuba, and Vera. The contact with the time, compassion and love...

Pyotr Todorovsky is one of the most "musical" directors in Russia. He is not just writing the music for his movies. His films bear the traces of the musical genre's influence. The nature of lyrical and emotional songs with their light sadness and nostalgia is not just present in the plot, but is also seen in the structure of the cinema narration.

That's why it seems to me natural that the hero of Todorovsky's film *Walking Down the Main Street with the Band* (1986) is a musician. Probably in this movie producers managed to find the slight border line between drama and comedy. These lines allowed them speak easily and lightly about serious things.

It's in way that the friendship story of two person close by spirit and blood, but who had no idea about it for years. The part of Father, a professor of the technical University Vasily Pavlovich, is performed by Oleg Borisov. His grown-up daughter Ksenia is performed by the young actress Marina Zudina. This duet is two different temperaments, different emotional temperatures.

Todorovsky's film confirms the belief in a personality, in the opportunity of understanding his spiritual longings. When after long estrangement Vasily Pavlovich and Ksenia got to know each other, they found strength not just sympathize, with somebody's misfortune but also to help them gain happiness.

At first Oleg Borisov's character is a nervous, tired and irritable man. He is sick of his job, where he has to do the same routine day after day teach the same formulas. His business-like wife, - surgeon constantly reproaches him for not being able to make a career. Vasily finds the escape during the minutes of creative inspiration ... in the bathroom with the dear guitar in his hands.

Finally the harmless seems to be found: Vasily's tunes are recorded in the studio, they are played on the radio, but... they have been stolen by Konstantin,, an ex-friend of Vasily, who put his name as the author's.

By the way, all heroes of the movie are the people who didn't find the right place or job for themselves. Vasily's wife is efficient at her job, but the main aim for her is this financial side of business, the new boss of Vasily obviously cares not of science, but for sports and love affairs, even the young policeman dreams of playing the guitar. So when Vasily meets the nice smart guy (Oleg Menshikov), who, just like him many year ago, got back from the army and dreaming of the conservatoire, entered the technical University (because he didn't have any professional music education), Vasily wants to change his fortune at any cost. They are united by the music of soul.

This soul music is also felt by Ksenia, beautifully played by Marina Zudina. Almost simultaneously she appeared on the screen in several films at once. However just Todorovsky made her talent shine wide and brightly. Marina Zudina plays not "Miss Perfect", but a real character, which combines reckless love and sensible calculation, tender sincerity and defiant eccentricity. The character of this girl amazingly harmonizes with the musical refrain, with has the light sadness and anxiety, loss of illusions and hope.

There are also some different melodies in the film. Songs are accompanied by the pretentiously filmed dreams of the main hero. However, unfortunately, they add nothing to the artistic reality of the film. On the opposite, they seem to be out of theme, alien in the complicated hand of human characters and fates.

When the "composer" Konstantin played by Valentin Gaft with wise irony, develops the solo tune of his friend in to the huge orchestra composition, it looks much bigger and important, but the intimacy of intonation is lost. Something like this happened with the movie on the whole; some overloading is seen. Music of soul is always playing quietly. Often it's hardly heard and only once, maybe just once in one's life, as it's shown in the movie, it's played with orchestra in the street...

In *Inter-girl* (1988) by Pyotr Todorovsky one can't but notice the authors' compassion, even love for their characters, who work hard making their money... Though those who liked *The Town Romance* and *The Martial Romance* may find the script pretty poor, and some episodes of this Russian-Swedish movie way too long, it's clear that Todorovsky filmed *Inter-girl* not so as to tickle viewer's nerves by the spicy details of lives of prostitutes working for foreign clients.

He ponders on the subject of how could it happen that by the end of 1980's this profession became the prestigious one? Why is a woman so

humiliated and worn out in Russian society? Why does the life over any European country except for Albany and Romania seem so fantastic?

In a word, these problems, touched upon in the movie, are extremely pressing, they limited by the framework are not of the life of a single representative of the “oldest world profession”. Plus the authors had calculated the audience's preferences, having chosen the genre of the traditional melodrama. *Inter-girl* was the “perestroika” film hit, because it's made by the strong rules of perception: the logical change of the emotional states, the usage of Cinderella story, the character extremely opened for identification with the common woman's fate. The fact that *Inter-girl* doesn't have a happy end, traditional for melodramas, looks not sufficiently convincing, but still wasn't taken into consideration by the people who watched the movie.

Frankly speaking, Pyotr Todorovsky's, film *Inter-girl* very much disappointed me. A subtle psychologist, director of the wonderful *The Martial Romance* and imperfect but ingenious *Walking Down the Main Street with the Band*, Todorovsky suddenly was carried away by V.Kunin's shallow story that showed – in an accessible, mass-language style – how prostitutes could love. Of course, thanks to the director's professionalism, the straightforward script began to look rather profound and sometimes even psychologically convincing, but on the whole it was not suited to Todorovsky's personality.

Thank to God, in his *Encore, More Encore* (1992) Todorovsky has returned to his own style. He himself wrote the script about the life of Russian military town in 1946, he wrote the touching music, and he chose the same title as that of canvas by the famous Russian artist Fedotov.

I spent my childhood in one such town for Army personnel. And during the screening I remembered the past with a sad nostalgia. The closed community: a reserved world where everybody knows each other, where even a needle in a haystack could never hidden from the curious eyes of the officers' wives, but where nevertheless all kinds of extraordinary events take place. Now the handsome major brings a whole bunch of frivolous beauties from the city in his smart car; now several drunks fight; now the senior lieutenant, pistol in hand, chases his unfaithful wife...

Gathering these stories together, and inviting Mel Brooks to direct, a very funny comedy could be made. But Todorovsky, as is well known, isn't Brooks. So in his film the funny episodes (for example: a husband comes home after work to find his wife sleeping with his chief) are mixed with a dramatic plot. The ominous signs of those times are in evidence – when the authorities could send a boy, who was counting days till the end of his military service, to prison simply for carelessness in writing several

superfluous words to a civilian friend; when the colonel, a wartime hero, had to submit to a miserable KGB captain; and so on.

One Russian critic declared in TV program that *Encore...* evokes brutal laughter among audiences, that there is no love in the film, and primitive instincts triumph. From my point of view, only a man who didn't watch attentively could have such an opinion. True, there is no refined, intellectual love here; the love scenes are loaded with humorous detail. You believe, however, in the sincerity of the characters' feelings. You believe that while the colonel, who was in the whole war, loves his wife whom he met at the front, he can't forget his pre-war wife too. You believe that the colonel's young wife had fascinated the charming lieutenant and then he lost courage. You believe in the love of the unfaithful wife, who receives her husband's supervisors in her bed for the sake of his service career.

This film appeals because it does something the Russian cinema of late years has pretty much forgotten is possible: Todorovsky tells about life through love... even if it sometimes looks funny and is not what you'd call spiritual.

After *Encore, More Encore* Todorovsky directed film *What a Wonderful Game* (1995).

... Snowy winter of 1951. Students of the Moscow University decided to play a practical joke and their roommates at the dorm. They attached a microphone to the radio set and on behalf of the Kremlin government declared the announcement about abolition of the censorship, reduction of prices, and lots of other happy news. The punishment came fast: "the rioters" were arrested and killed in the KGB basement.

This is the story of Pyotr Todorovsky's film *What a Wonderful Game*. Just like in the previous works of the director it's another comedy drama in retro style. It's a pity, however, that this time the script lacks the volume of characters and the original plot turns. During the whole movie the college friends party drink vodka, make love, make fun of each other, and so on. However after the show is over-one is hardly able to remember in what way the characters differ from each other. It occurs that actually one young man was a shy guy in glasses, the other was a funny stout guy. There are no characters, as the previous Todorovsky's films had, thought good actors arts doing their best to give their characters at least some personality.

Moreover, the situation of a political joke seems to be taken out of the Krushev's "thaw" time. During the severe Stalin years (when the story takes place) people were afraid even to tell some pretty innocent jokes. Fear of 1951 was much different, from the fear of 1957 or 1959, the time of so-called "good hopes".

.. While the majority of Russian directors of the old generation make movies very seldom, Pyotr Todorovsky is working constantly. Lately he became a "rival" of his son, Valery, who is also a director. The family tradition goes on...

### ***Oleg Kovalov, Former Film Critic***

*The Gardens, were we gew up...*

As far as I know Oleg Konovalov was the first Soviet film critic who dared to follow the way of the brilliant line of French critics - Jean-Luc Godard, Francois Truffaut and Eric Romer. Oleg Kovalov became well known thanks to his fundamental article on cinematography which was published in the 1980s in the magazine *Art of Cinema*. He also wrote a book about the work of film director Viktor Tregubovich which I consider to be very interesting. At first Oleg Kovalov was an actor in V. Ogorodnikov's experimental film *Paper Eyes of Prishvin*. Later he became the script writer and the director of a montage film *Gardens of Scorpion* (1991).

In my opinion the debut was very successful. Oleg Kovalov not only managed to use his considerable cinematographic experience (for example, it can be seen in his explicit and implicit references to the motives of the Italian and French cinema), but he also showed the purely director's qualities: subtle understanding of the structure of audiovisual sequence, original montage thinking where philosophical generalizations and polysemantic metaphors seamlessly harmonize with the emotionality of the sincere nostalgia for the 1950s.

This film could have easily been turned into a parody of the old detective and adventure films of the times of the "thaw". Rudiments of this kind of version can be seen in the prologue of *Gardens of the Scorpion*. However in the end Oleg Kovalov came to a different result. Having taken the long forgotten Alexander Razumny's "military patriotic" film *The Case of Corporal Kochetkov* (1955) he included it into the context of the "era of unfulfilled hopes", rethought it and...

I'll try to state everything step by step, though. Alexander Razumny's film was straightforwardly didactic and consisted of the usual literary/theatrical/cinematographic plot clichés of that time: a perfect soldier, "excellent in combat and political training" fell in love with a cute shop assistant who turned out to be an insidious spy. Of course Kochetkov honestly fulfilled his civic duty and reported to the relevant authorities...

But I repeat that all this was in the film of 1955. Oleg Kovalov turned this hackneyed story into a half-mystical parable about a man in a psychiatric hospital who is trying to remember what had happened to him (here he used the footage from a medical propagandistic video with the same actor V. Grachev). And there's no exposure of espionage, there is pure love of a modest and kind guy. Like Orpheus from the famous Jean Cocteau's film he once looked in the mirror and crossed the threshold of the ordinary world where everything was simple and clear, and ended up in Wonderland where

he was swept over by the look of an ox-eyed beauty, inevitable as fate... But the vigilant “services” intervened with their love and convinced the poor corporal that he got into the vile enemy nest...

And all around the Moscow Festival of Youth and Students (1957) was shining with festive lights. The eyes of Yves Montand and Simone Signoret were filled with tears of tender emotion when the soloist of the exemplary chorus of vocational schools was industriously singing the popular song “When the distant friend is singing” in French. Charming and mischievous Shirley McLaine was shaking hands with Khrushchev who was the first Russian leader who risked traveling overseas...

But then vast deserts and fierce dinosaurs appear to the accompaniment of disturbing music. Hungary, 1956. Charred corpses hanging upside down on the streets of Budapest... Bursts of fire...

And once again the festive Moscow. Leonid Utesov’s concert and another parade... and the final of *Le Notti di Cabiria* with the magic music of Nino Rota...

Probably this material would have made a film accusing the totalitarian system once again. However in spite of its stinging and poisonous title, I think that *Gardens of the Scorpion* is rather the director’s lyrical attempt to recall his childhood with its myths, mass mysteries and illusions...

Oleg Kovalov was able to do something seemingly impossible — he breathed life into Alexander Razumny “poster” characters. Suddenly you even begin to sympathize with the main character and his beloved (in Kovalov’s version she somewhat resembles the “femme fatale” from L.Visconti’s “*Obsessione*”). And this is no accident. In fact many of us, Russians, had something of the naïve corporal. It was us, Russians, who happily marched on May Day demonstrations and sang the ballad about the “commissars in dust helmets” together with the characters of Marlen Khustiev “*I Am Twenty*”. It was us, Russians, who listened to the radio reports of the unprecedented space flights with bated breath. Like the industrious Kochetkov who had full confidence in the authorities, many of us, Russians, didn’t know and understand dissident ideas when we were young. On the contrary, we were convinced that we grow up in the most free and democratic country in the world and not in the “scorpions’ garden”. We were convinced that the famous Anton Chekhov’s phrase that drop by drop he “squeezed the slave out of himself” belongs to the bygone days... To some extent the debut work of Oleg Kovalov is not just a talented remix of an old tape of the times of “ideological confrontation”, but also a talented lyrical confession of the generation which childhood was in 1950s.

*The Cinematheque Feast*

Having discovered in his debut - *The Gardens of Scorpion* (1991) the talent of the cinemathèque experimenter, for whom the forgotten film of the 1950-s had become the base of the nostalgic movie about the forever passed time of the unrealized dreams, Oleg Kovalov again presented the cutting film - *The Island of Deads* (1992), the whimsical collage an the material of the documentary and feature films of the 1910s-1920s. The Great Silent star Vera Kholodnaya,, the song of Alexander Vertinsky, with set to the dance of some couple from the sentimental melodrama. The film can be analyzed for along time, we can guess the quotations, we can surprised at bold cutting transitions, the episodes of the silent pictures with the sound track of the 1990s. One and a half hour without the text of broad-caster, without any titles. The desperate step of the recent film critic can't evoke no respect. Maybe, unlike *The Gardens of Scorpions* his *The Island of Deads* will make the impression of the slightly cold, with the shortage of the emotional attraction (this, probably, can he explained by the fact that the time of *Gardens of Scorpions* was not only gone with the history, but also the personal time, felt keenly through the experience of life). But, as the famous proverb says, one can't step in one and the same river twice. . .

In his film Oleg Kovalov through the synthesis of the part of the silent pictures, succeeded in creation of the impressive image of the epoch of the tragic world war and the October coup d'etat in Russia. The director revealed in the, as it had seemed, familiar stills the hidden senses, unexpected symbols, the gloomy prophecies and forebodings. And only this fact cost a lot.

***How to Shoot the “True” Film About Russia***  
*(Ironical instruction for Western cineastes)*

As a member of the Union of Russian Cineastes, I've worked up a set of brief instructions for Western producers, writers and directors who want to make “true film about Russian life”:

Say you're basing your movie on a Russian story. Give the leading male positive role to an actor with a “manly” appearance. To show his endless attraction to Russian nature, church and children. Have him mouth deep psychological thoughts about “the essence of being”.

Make the principal Bad Guy look nasty with uncommon eyebrows and a curly black wig. His residence must have foreign posters on its flat-painted walls and Cosmopolitan magazine on the table. He should show an eager desire to run off over the border, visit underground clubs, make fun of Russian boldness and - the main thing - have an affair with another's Slavic wife.

It's necessary for the heroine not only to show a bright Russian manner but wardrobe to match... such as big “sarafan” (a female costume in old Russia). She can have her weaknesses, certainly, as does everyone. Even commit adultery. None of it is her fault, however; she is simply a victim of the Mafia.

Between the Bad and Good Guys of a True Film about Russia you can't omit the “intermediate link”: one hesitating character - an alcoholic doctor, for example - who is torn between Good and Evil.

For the creation of action tension it's okay to use: explosion of secret laboratory; a car accident; stripteases in rock club, and location footage in Paris.

Photographically, a Fine Arts representation must be made through poetic contrast: milky fog drifting over green fields and a pensive cow will definitely underline the alienation evoked in the Russian soul by your images of the cold shine of Western skyscrapers, luxurious shops and bottles of White horse (more suggestive of deceitful, negative characters than Stolichnaya vodka).

If, seeing the end result, critics and some spectators are indignant over the primitive drama, dialogue and performances, and the director's pretentious amateurism, they should be rebutted by special advertisements in the mass newspapers and TV-channels.

If that doesn't work, than the last advice is simple as everything that's brilliant: declare publicly (preferably on TV) that your film can be understood and appreciated only by True Lovers of True Russian Culture.

### *Locarno Film Fest: The View of a Film Educator*

Being a film critic as well as a university professor of film and media studies, I was watching films at Locarno International Film Festival 2006 with several items on my agenda: evaluating and comparing them as a FIPRESCI jury member and also imagining working with them in my prospective classes.

From this perspective, I don't think that Michael Mann's film *Miami Vice* will suit for educational purposes because university students habitually watch American action films. And in my opinion, M.Mann's film does not rise above a typical police drama level.

But another action film from Locarno program – *Nomad* (Kazakhstan, directed by Sergei Bodrov and Ivan Passer) - seems to me more useful for film education. It stimulates the discussion of folklore and myths, influence of American 'peplum' movies on the storytelling and visual aesthetics.

I think *Half Nelson* (US) of Ryan Fleck may be of special interest for the students majoring in education because the main character of this modern drama is a high school teacher.

The fact is, Russian cinematography has changed drastically after the collapse of the communist regime, and consequently, the strict censorship, and turned to depicting "dark sides" of life. During the 1990s many Russian films were nicknamed 'chernukha' (from Russian slang: dark and gloomy), thus characterizing the film atmosphere). Some Russian films touched upon the difficult situation in Russian schools. But none of these films showed teachers who use cocaine or talk with female students in the school restroom, etc. It will be challenging to study the thought-provoking American independent film *Half Nelson* which deals with psychological and drug problems of a teacher. But I suppose that *Half Nelson* will be a very good material for discussion in the students' audience because this film gives the non-mainstream American view about modern life of an ordinary school and not quite ordinary, not "politically correct" teacher. Russian students can then compare the dramatic situation in *Half Nelson* with some contemporary Russian films about schools.

Another interesting subject for media education – Russian theme at Locarno Festival Program. For example, how Hollywood traditions of law dramas have their reanimation in the Swiss film *La Traductrice* by Elena Hazanov. In my opinion the film's plot is rather conventional, but Russian actors Alexander Baluev and Sergei Garmash are psychologically and artistically compelling in their roles of new Russian men in power.

I also think that documentary film of Masha Novikova *Three Comrades* (Holland) will give my students opportunity to think critically about the crash of ordinary people's life in the inhumane 'local' war situation... The topic of war in Chechnya is not new for documentary cinematograph. But Masha Novikova found the inner angle for her picture: it is not a political story; it is the story about souls, love and illusions of hope...

And then there's *Ellipsis* by Andrei Eshpai, in fact the unique Russian film in the major international competition in Locarno. The way Andrei Eshpai uses the artistic tradition of drama films of the 1960s makes this movie stylistically remarkable.

And I don't forgot of course the films of well known Russian director Alexander Sokurov, who received the Honor Locarno Festival Prize, especially his experimental film *Russian Ark*, filmed in St-Petersburg museum Ermitage...

It is a pity, of course, that it is impossible to transfer into the classroom the fascinating atmosphere of Locarno screenings at the Piazza Grande – with about 7000 people watching films from all over the world on the giant screen ... But in spite of the smaller size of the screen at the universities it is worth showing even some clips from the Locarno Festival films to give media students the idea of the large scale of the Festival's program.

## **The Analysis of Detective Genre at Film Education in Students' Audience**

The development of skills of critical analysis of media texts is an important task of film / media education. Thereupon I wrote more than once that this process shouldn't be started with difficult art house works. However media educational practice shows that even when analyzing/discussing entertaining genres of media texts, at first senior pupils or students can encounter difficulties in understanding and interpretation of the author's ideas, plot and genre peculiarities.

Collaboration with Chris M. Worsnop, one of the most well-known Canadian media educators, brought me the idea of the comparative analysis of typology of perception of works of these genres in Russian and Canadian student audience.

Our joint Russian-Canadian experiment was based on the following methods (Worsnop, 2000):

- the collective view of Roman Polanski's detective/thriller film *Frantic* by 16-17 year old students;
- subsequent discussion and interview with the students, consisting of three parts (20 minutes each): 1) unstructured, spontaneous interviews with the use of general questions (such as: What can you say about this film? Who else wants to say something?); 2) interview with leading questions (such as: Which episode in your opinion was the main, the key one? Why? What did the filmmakers want to tell the audience?); 3) purposeful interview (for example, with the use of specific questions such as: Can you describe the relationship between the two main characters? What light effect was used in a certain episode and why? etc.). The interviews in Russia and Canada were filmed and then were thoroughly analyzed.

Our analysis showed that even regarding detective and thriller genres which are popular with the young audiences, only a small minority of the students (from 1% to 2% both in Russia and Canada) were able to make a high level analysis. Most of the students (from 67% to 73%) made a low level analysis of media texts, and 26% - 31% made an average level analysis.

Moreover, it turned out that the results of students' analysis of media texts is directly dependent on the types of questions asked by media educators. If the unstructured questions were asked (like "What can you tell about this?") students tended to show weak analytical results compared with those obtained after suggestive, targeted questions.

Table 1 was used for assessment of media competence of the students:

**Table 1. The levels of critical analysis of media texts (as a part of students' media competence)**

The levels of the analysis of media texts	Plot analysis	The analysis of characters	The analysis of author's attitude	The synthesis
<b>High level</b>	Perception and analysis of the plot as a component of the authors' work (script writers, director, actors, cameraman, composer, etc.). The ability to put a particular plot in the context of other works of these or other authors, in genre and / or thematic, socio-cultural, political context.	Comprehensive understanding of psychological characteristics of characters. The ability to explain its relation to other components of the media text. The ability to compare the character of a particular character with the characters from works with similar plot schemes, genre and / or thematic spectrum.	Identifying, understanding the author's point of view on a media text. The ability to analyze such components of audiovisual media text as script, composition, editing, lighting, sound design, image, etc. The ability to compare the author's concept of a particular media text with the concepts of works with similar plot schemes, genre and / or thematic spectrum.	The ability to analyze media texts in the complex of all its constituents. The ability to compare the given media text with other media texts and the real socio-cultural, political context. The ability to make well-grounded conclusions and generalizations.
<b>Average level</b>	Perception and analysis of the plot (the main chain of events in the story) and some of its components.	Identification with the character of a media text, with his psychological feelings, motives of his actions. The ability to analyze the behavior of the	Understanding of some of the components of a media text, simplified interpretation of the author's position.	A media text is analyzed mainly in terms of actions of the characters within the superficial understanding of the plot.

		characters in terms of their compliance with moral norms.		
<b>Low level</b>	Perception of the plot of a media text in the context of its naive identification with reality. Inability to analyze the plot structure (replacement of the analysis with the simple retelling of the plot of a media text)	Identification with the characters of a media text followed by a primitive interpretation of their actions and characters.	Rather trivial understanding of the components of a media text, absence of well-grounded interpretation of the author's position.	A media text isn't analyzed, although some primitive comparisons and assumptions can be made.

Thus we concluded that our scale of the levels of critical analysis (as a part of media competence) of students was effective for the tasks of the experiment.

As a result I came to the conclusion that it is necessary to work out a special method of the analysis of detective genre in media studies in the student audience. The following tasks were the initial ones:

- to introduce the detective and thriller genres to the audience;
- to outline the basic plot schemes of the classical detective story;
- to introduce to the audience the postmodern interpretations and synthesis of the detective and thriller genres in modern media texts.

I will try to illustrate this with concrete examples ready for use in media studies with students' audience.

So what is the difference between a detective and a thriller? Of course there are many different nuances. But the main thing is that at the basis of a detective story lies the plot of *investigating of a crime* whereas a thriller is based on *pursuit* (of a criminal or a victim). Furthermore "no thriller can be represented in the form of reminiscence: there's no point when the narrator covers all the past events, we even don't know if he reaches the end of the story alive" (Todorov, 1977, p. 47).

However this does not prevent the appearance of synthetic genres which to the lesser or greater extent combine the elements of the detective story and thriller.

Having analyzed dozens of Arthur Conan Doyle's short stories about Sherlock Holmes, B.V. Shklovsky described the structural scheme of the classical detective story as follows:

- I. Waiting, talking about the previous cases, the analysis.
- II. The appearance of the client. The business part of the story.
- III. The evidence given in the story. The secondary information is the most important, but it is given so that the reader doesn't notice them. The material for false explanation is provided immediately.
- IV. Watson misinterprets the evidence.
- V. Checking the crime scene, often the crime is not committed yet, thus the effectiveness of the narration is achieved, as well as the introduction of a novel with the criminals into the novel with the detective. The evidence at the crime scene.
- VI. An official detective gives a false clue. If the detective is not present then the false clue is provided by the newspaper, the victim or by Sherlock Holmes himself.
- VII. The interval is filled with Watson's thoughts, he is clueless. Sherlock Holmes smokes or practices music. Sometimes he joins the facts into groups without giving the final conclusion.
- VIII. The denouement is mostly unexpected. Very often an attempted crime is used for the denouement.
- IX. Sherlock Holmes makes an analysis of the facts (Shklovsky, 1929, p.142).

Despite the variety of plot lines, this plot scheme still persists in many detective stories – in literature, on the stage, on the screen.

Another master of the classical detective, Agatha Christie (1890–1976) has advanced much further than her predecessor in the sense of plot diversity. Here D.L. Bykov distinguishes not one (as V.B. Shklovsky did with respect to A. Conan Doyle) but ten key plot schemes (Bykov, 2010):

- 1) the traditional scheme of a “fireplace detective”: the killer is someone from a closed circle of suspects;
- 2) “the gardener is the killer”, when there was somebody unaccounted in the circle of suspects;
- 3) “everybody killed”. An overturn in the genre – usually you have to choose one in a dozen, but here everybody is guilty;
- 4) it is unknown whether the murder took place or not. Everybody is searching for the culprit but the victim is alive;
- 5) the victim is the killer, i.e. he was alive at the moment but played dead so that nobody would think that was him;
- 6) committed suicide and shifted the blame on others;

- 7) was killed due to circumstances or some natural phenomenon but everybody is searching for the guilty one;
- 8) the killer is the one who accuses, gossips and demands the investigation louder than the others;
- 9) the investigator is the killer;
- 10) the author is the killer.

However we can easily notice the difference in the structural approaches of V.B. Shklovsky and D.L. Bykov. The first one reveals the construction of the detective plot with respect to the detective, and the second one – with respect to the criminal. Naturally if we judge A. Conan Doyle's detective stories from the point of view of the typology of crimes, it won't come to just one plot scheme.

On the other hand if we try to approach Agatha Christie's detective novels about Hercule Poirot we shall get something like this:

- 1) By invitation or accidentally detective Hercule Poirot appears at the crime scene, the crime is often not committed yet. In most cases it is a place isolated from random characters (a mansion, an island, a train, etc.) The clues are at the scene. The most important minor information is given so that the reader doesn't notice them. Immediately the material for false explanation is given to the reader.
- 2) the false explanation is given by one of those present, or the reader is supposed to give it himself;
- 3) the interval of action up to its finale is filled with Hercule Poirot's thoughts (unknown to the reader for the time being), his questioning of witnesses; often new crimes are committed on the way;
- 4) the denouement, mainly unexpected, often combined with Poirot's public analytical conclusions.

At the same time “the situations investigated by Hercule Poirot often contain a certain artificiality to force up the tension to the limit. By the character of Agatha Christie's narration for example it is necessary that the mysterious murder took place not just in the train which goes across Europe from Istanbul to Calais, but necessarily in the very moment when the train stops because of the snow drifts, cut off from the world, thereby any external interference in the events are excluded. The manor where the murder described in *The Endhouse Mystery* must be necessarily located at the outskirts, forming a sort of a self-contained little world. Other Christie's detective are like this, including *Ten Little Niggers*, where the events are taking place in a luxurious mansion on an island separated from the mainland by a wide strait, and on top of that a storm breaks out so that the characters were totally isolated.” (Zverev, 1991).

I must also note that the elements of thriller with its usual psychological suspense and sense of pursuit are often present in A. Conan Doyle's and A. Christie's detectives.

Another recognized master of detective and thriller, not in literature but in cinematography, was Alfred Hitchcock (1899-1980), who for the most part didn't need a professional detective like Holmes or Poirot. One of Hitchcock's favorite plot schemes is as follows: an ordinary person (sometimes an American in a foreign country) who is far from the criminal world, by force of circumstance is dragged in a dangerous affair concerning crimes and/or espionage. Moreover, he himself has to fight the criminals and/or prove his innocence at his own risk: *The Thirty-Nine Steps* (1935), *Saboteur* (1942), *North by Northwest* (1959).

It is interesting to see the further transformations of classical traditions of detectives and thrillers in cinematography of late XX – early XXI century. Let's try to do this by the example of three films: *Frantic* (1987) by Roman Polanski, *Taken* (2008) by Pierre Morel and Luc Besson, and *Unknown* (2011) by Jaume Collet-Serra.

In the postmodern era many filmmakers began to make more and more films designed for multi-layer perception. The proofs of this are such works of Roman Polanski as *Pirates* (1986) and *Frantic* (1987). Both are well-designed post-modern films, which hide “underwater reefs” of quotations, parallels, parodies under the mask of spectacular genres. For some viewers *Pirates* is an exciting thriller in the spirit of *Treasure Island* or *The Odyssey of Captain Blood* and *Thief of Bagdad*. For others it is the source of pleasure from playing with rethought motifs of adventure classics.

For instance the scheme of the construction of the classical detective developed by a well-known culture theorist T. Todorov which is very controversial in my opinion is absolutely not applicable to the products of postmodernism. The scheme is as follows:

1. There must be one detective, one criminal and at least one victim (dead body) in a detective.
2. The accused must not be a professional criminal or detective, he must kill for personal reasons.
3. There's no place for love in the detective.
4. The accused must have a certain status:
  - a) he must not be a lackey or a maid in life,
  - b) he must be among the main characters in the book.
5. Everything must be explained rationally, without any fiction.
6. There's no place for descriptions and psychological analyses.
7. A certain homology of storytelling should be kept: “author: reader = criminal: detective”.

8. Banal situations and solutions should be avoided (Todorov, 1977, p.49).

Post-modern detectives and thrillers tend to violate nearly all the “rules” of T. Todorov’s scheme.

*Frantic* (1987) may well be perceived as an ordinary thriller about the disappearance of the wife of an American scientist (Harrison Ford), who arrived at the international congress in Paris. But it also may be perceived as a kind of mischievous “digest” of the rich tradition of detective stories, thrillers and gangster sagas from Hitchcock to the present day. From this, for example, comes the provoking frankness of justification of English-speaking of *all* the characters in *Frantic*, including the French policemen who are intentionally speaking English so that Harrison Ford’s character hiding nearby and all the English-speaking viewers did not feel themselves in linguistic vacuum. “Hitchcock’s ingredients - MacGuffin, a false secret, in this case - a kind of a nuclear device which is meant only to be the cause for the chase; fear of heights (the motif of Hitchcock’s *Vertigo* – A.F.) and the associated significance of roofs; misanthropic humor; formal but necessary romantic line – are arranged by Polanski with his somewhat ostentatious elegance. But the main thing is not the ingredients, it is the heart of the formula: a sense of powerlessness which seizes a lone man in the face of total conspiracy” (Brashinsky, 2001).

Indeed, “the image of a stranger in a strange environment created by Harrison Ford resembles Cary Grant’s, James Stewart’s and other actors’ characters from “the old Hitch’s” detectives and thrillers about innocent characters who often become secret agents against their will” (Kudryavtsev, 1989). However R. Polanski treats the plot as a kind of allegorical autobiography as well – from this is the scenes with the policemen and the staff of the American Embassy who in fact are mocking at Harrison Ford’s character.

One might think that the genre and the entangled plot, and Harrison Ford’s name had to guarantee *Frantic*’s box-office success. But for the audience (especially the American) Roman Polanski’s film apparently seemed to be insufficiently exciting: with the budget of \$20 million it managed to earn only \$17,6 million, and eventually covered its cost only by European distribution.

In Pierre Morel’s detective/thriller *Taken*, 2008, which title was inaccurately translated into Russian as *Hostage*, the main character that also flew from America to Paris is searching for his kidnapped 17 year old daughter and releases her from the clutches of Albanian criminals. The plot scheme is very similar to that of *Frantic*, the only difference is that the main character is not a humble doctor but a former secret agent. But here *Taken*

resembles M. Lester's *Commando* (1985), where a former American commando (A. Schwarzenegger) with "fire and sword" releases his daughter kidnapped by a criminal gang.

"Just imagine what kind of a predictable boredom it could have become if it had been filmed by some big studio with bloated staff and considered marketing on the other of the Atlantic Ocean. Here (thanks to the French producer and scriptwriter Luc Besson – A.F.) every three minute the screen is adorned with some inexcusable and virtuosic violence, crunching neck bones, screeching brakes, rumbling gunfire and joyful lack of political correctness. ... Food for thought was not forgotten as well: the character is searching for the Albanian villains with the use of deduction, clinging at the thread jammed in the broken mirror like Sherlock Holmes" (Kulikov, 2008). At the same time the main character is not a bodybuilder like Schwarzenegger but again a 50 year old intelligent person similar to Hitchcock's C. Grant, J. Stewart or H. Ford from *Frantic*. This time in an effective performance of sad Liam Neeson (*Schindler's List*).

The budget of *Taken* (\$25 million) slightly exceeded the cost of *Frantic*, however, thanks to a much greater dynamics of action and lesser "cineaste", the film of Pierre Morel and Luc Besson managed to get \$145 million bucks in the U.S. And that's not counting the European box-office!

Considering such an impressive box-office there's no surprise that the Spaniard J. Collet-Serra invited Liam Neeson for the lead role in his detective/thriller *Unknown* (2011).

The beginnings of *Unknown* and *Frantic* are as like as two peas: a British biologist and his wife arrive in Berlin for the congress. Like in *Frantic* troubles with the suitcase begin. And...

Then the plot makes a sharp turn in the direction of an old film of Julien Duvivier *Diabolically Yours* (1967) with an unforgettable Alain Delon and its remake *Shattered* (1991) by Wolfgang Petersen.

... Having got into a car accident the biologist partially loses his memory and suddenly discovers that nobody can (or wants to) recognize him, even his wife.

Then we can also see the motifs of classic films of Alfred Hitchcock coupled with Roman Polanski's *Frantic*: desperate to get help of the authorities and the police, the main character tries to prove his case and find identity on his own (also relying on the former special agent of East Germany). An illegal female emigrant from Bosnia willy-nilly helps him too.

However, in the finale it turns out that the authors of the film cleverly played on the perception of the audience familiar with plot schemes of classical and post-modern detectives and thrillers, and prepared an

unexpected ending in the spirit of the famous *Angel Heart* (1987) by Alan Parker.

Nevertheless it turned out that *Unknown* managed to touch not only the viewers experienced in detective genre, but also the mass audience: at the cost price of \$30 million the film of J. Collet-Serra managed to get \$43 million in the USA during the first week. And it seems that its worldwide box-office will be no less than that of *Taken*...

Thus *Unknown* proved once again that in the detective and thriller the plot scheme is not as important as its thorough arrangement based on knowledge of the laws and the classics of the genre.

Thereupon let me express a seditious thought: it is possible that *Frantic* with its cinematic stylishness went ahead of its time for about twenty years. If it was released nowadays it could have got its hundred million bucks...

Plot and structural analysis of the films of R. Polanski, P. Morel/L. Besson and J. Collet-Sera allows us to make the following table (Table 2):

**Table 2. Comparative analysis of the structure of modern detectives/thrillers**

<b>The title of the detective/thriller</b>	<b>Plot scheme</b>	<b>Scene of action and its depiction</b>	<b>Type on the main character</b>	<b>Analogies</b>
<i>Frantic, 1987</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A doctor and his wife arrive to an international conference.</li> <li>2. Suddenly the wife disappears.</li> <li>3. Desperate to get help of the authorities and the police, the main character tries to find his wife on his own. A young Parisian woman willy-nilly helps him.</li> <li>4. Having gone through a chain of dangerous adventures (investigation, pursuit/chase),</li> </ol>	<p>The country (France) and the city (Paris) alien for the main character.</p> <p>Entourage – gloomy night nooks and underground garages, shady nightclubs and gloomy atmosphere.</p>	<p>An ordinary man, an American, about 50 years old, who is far from the criminal world, by force of circumstance is dragged in a dangerous affair concerning crimes and/or espionage.</p>	<p>Films by A. Hitchcock <i>The 39 Steps</i> (1935), <i>Saboteur</i> (1942), <i>North by Northwest</i> (1959).</p>

	the main character returns his wife, although at the cost of the young French woman's life.			
<b><i>Taken, 2008</i></b>	1. A former secret agent flies across the ocean to find his 17 year old daughter who suddenly disappeared in Paris. 3. Desperate to get help of the authorities and the police, the main character tries to find his wife on his own. A young woman willy-nilly help him. 4. Having gone through a chain of dangerous adventures (investigation, pursuit/chase), the main character returns his daughter.	The country (France) and the city (Paris) alien for the main character. Entourage – gloomy night streets, dens, gloomy atmosphere.	An American, about 50 years old, who used to be rather close to the world of criminals and spies, by force of circumstance is dragged in a dangerous affair concerning crimes of mafia.	Besides A. Hitchcock's films <i>Commando</i> (1985) by M. Lester and <i>Frantic</i> (1987) by R. Polanski.
<b><i>Unknown, 2011</i></b>	1. A biologist and his young wife arrive to an international conference. 2. Having got into a car accident the biologist partially loses his memory and suddenly discovers that nobody can (or wants to) recognize him, even his wife. 3. Desperate to get help of the authorities and the police, the main character tries to prove his case and find identity on his own (also relying on the former	The country (Germany) and the city (Berlin) alien for the main character. Entourage – gloomy night streets, poor homes, gloomy atmosphere.	Seemingly an ordinary man, an American, about 50 years old, who is far from the criminal world, by force of circumstance is dragged in a dangerous affair concerning crimes and/or espionage.	Besides A. Hitchcock's films <i>Diabolically Yours</i> (1967) By J. Duvivier <i>Frantic</i> (1987) by R. Polansky; <i>Angel Heart</i> (1987) by A. Parker; <i>Shattered</i> (1991) by W. Pertersen.

	special agent of East Germany). An illegal female emigrant from Bosnia willy-nilly helps him too. 4. Having gone through a chain of dangerous adventures (investigation, pursuit/chase), the main character "finds himself".			
--	---	--	--	--

Our experience showed that this kind of plot and structural analysis of the detectives, albeit rather schematic, allows to significantly improve students' film / media competence in terms of skills of the analysis of media texts, by means of co-work and discussions.

Nevertheless in this case remains a wide field for further research: To what degree can the questions and structural schemes proposed by media educators help students to develop their analytical skills with respect to media texts? How do the first experiences in analysis of media texts help students in their future analytical exercises? What do they rely on in the first place: on leading questions of educators or on the opinions of their coevals which they hear during collective discussions? Do leading students significantly influence others during collective discussions? Do they suppress opinions of more timid, shy students? How much do students' levels of critical analysis of media texts depend on their social background, their families?

### *Filmography*

**Frantic.** USA-France, 1987.

Director: Roman Polanski. Scriptwriters: Roman Polanski, Gerard Brach. Cast: Harrison Ford, Emmanuelle Seigner, Betty Buckley and others.

**Taken.** France-USA-Great Britain, 2008.

Director: Pierre Morel. Scriptwriters: Luc Besson, Robert Mark Kamen. Cast: Liam Neeson, Maggi Grace, Famke Janssen and others.

**Unknown.** Great Britain-Germany-France-Canada-Japan-USA, 2011.

Director: Jaume Collet-Serra. Scriptwriters: Oliver Butcher, Stephen Cornwell. Cast: Liam Neeson, Diane Kruger, January Jones, Bruno Ganz, Frank Langella and others.

### **References**

- Brashinsky M.** *Frantic* // *Afisha*. 2001. Jan, 1.  
<http://www.afisha.ru/movie/170269/review/146557/>
- Bykov D.L.** Christie's Country // *Izvestiya*. 2010. Sept, 14.  
<http://www.izvestia.ru/bykov/article3146076/>
- Kudryavtsev S.** *Frantic*. 1989  
<http://www.kinopoisk.ru/level/3/review/894027/>
- Kulikov I.** *Forget the Albanian*. 2008. Sept, 19.  
<http://www.film.ru/article.asp?id=5454>
- Shklovsky V.B.** *On Theory of Prose*. Moscow: Federation, 1929. pp. 125-142.
- Todorov T.** *The Poetics of Prose*. Paris: Ithaca, 1977.
- Worsnop, C.M.** (2000). *Levels of Critical Insight in 16-year-old Students*.  
<http://www.elan.on.ca/~jfolder/worsnop%20critinsight.htm>
- Zverev A.** *Agatha Christie*. 1991 / <http://www.syshiki.com/agata-kristi.html>

## **Hermeneutical Analysis of the Soviet Space Fantasy Genre of the 1950s – 1960s and Its American Screen Transformation at Film Education in Students' Audience**

*The hermeneutic analysis of cultural context* stands for study of the media text interpretation process, of cultural and historical factors that may have an impact both on the media texts authors/agencies and the audience's viewpoint. The hermeneutic analysis is connected with the comprehension of a media text by matching with the cultural tradition and reality; penetration into the logic of a media text; media text analysis based on artistic images comparison in the historical and cultural contexts. The objectives of the audience's film / media education in this case are the following: development of the audience's media culture; their apprehension, analysis and interpretation skills applied to media texts; critical thinking.

Since nearly all modern universities are equipped with networked multi-media one can make the best use of e-libraries and web-archives in film / media studies. Among them we can name the American non-profit Internet archive founded in San Francisco in 1996 ([www.archive.org](http://www.archive.org)). Its aim is to provide anytime access for researchers and the public at large to various digital historic artifacts (print, visual and audiovisual texts). As the great bulk of media texts presented in the Internet Archive is open and free, it is very convenient for educational aims.

We offer a study guide for using fantasy genre media texts in teaching the media. The teaching methods used at such a lesson are based on the combination of the hermeneutic analysis with the structural, narrative, ethical, ideological, iconographic/visual analyses, the analysis of media stereotypes and media texts characters. We thought it interesting to pursue the transformation of such a typical (and archival) fantastic media text as *Planet of Storm* produced by P. Klushantsev (1961) in the American films – *Voyage to the Prehistoric Planet* (1965) by C. Harrington and *Voyage to the Planet of Prehistoric Women* (1968) by P. Bogdanovich.

The comparative analysis of these media texts will enable us to touch upon not only the socio-cultural, historical, and ideological contexts but also the urgent problem of copyright infringement and counterfeit media products.

A. Silverblatt, an American scholar and media educator (Silverblatt, 2001, pp. 80-81), developed the following set of questions for media text hermeneutic analysis in the historical, cultural and structural contexts. In accordance with this scheme we have developed a method of constructing a lesson in media studies for university students.

A. Historical context (Silverblatt, 2001, pp. 80-81).

1. What does the media text tell about the time of its creation?
  - a) When did the premier of this media text take place?
  - b) How did the events of that time affect the media text?
  - c) How does the media text comment on the events of the day?
2. Does the knowledge of the historic events contribute to the media text understanding?
  - a) media texts created during a certain historic event:
    - What events occurred when the media text was being created?
    - How does the understanding of these events contribute to our understanding of the media text?
    - What are genuine historical allusions?
    - Are there any historical references in the media text?
    - How does the understanding of these historical references affect our understanding of the media text?

P. Klushantsev's *Planet of Storms* was produced in the age when the topic of space was extremely popular thought the world. Hence arose scores of fantastic novels, stories, strip cartoons, sci-fi films about faraway planets, intergalactic flights and extraterrestrial civilizations. It was connected not only with the definite progress in space exploration (in the late 1950s the first Earth satellites were launched, some of them with animals on board) but also with a stiff competition between the two antagonistic state systems – the USSR and the USA – both in the world domination and space leadership.

A year before the shooting of the film *Planet of Storms* – on May 1<sup>st</sup> of 1960, a spy plane of the American pilot F.G. Powers was shot down in the USSR airspace. On April 8, 1961, the then leader of the USSR N.S. Khrushchev sent a note of protest to the USA President J. Kennedy concerning the anti-Castro landing of troops in Cuba. On August 13, 1961, the Kremlin ordered to begin the building of the infamous Berlin Wall. In 1962 (the year of the beginning of successful distribution of *Planet of Storms*) the USSR started the deployment of missiles in Cuba, and in response to this the USA declared a blockade of the island. That led to the politically tense Cuban Missile Crisis which forced the USSR to withdraw the rockets from Cuba in exchange for the promise of the USA to abandon the occupation of the 'Liberty Island'. And namely in the year when the film *Planet of Storms* (1961) was produced – the Soviet Union triumphantly took the lead over the USA on 12 April – for the first time in the world history a ship with a man on board (it was Yuri Gagarin) was put into orbit. Americans managed to launch a spaceship with their astronaut (A. Shepard) only on 5 May of 1961. On 6-7 August of 1961 G. Titov became the second Soviet cosmonaut to fly in space. In 1962 (the year when the film *Planet of Storms* was released) 5 more people flew into the outer space.

It goes without saying that the political developments of that period could not fail to influence the plot of the media text. As the story unfolds, the crew of the first starship was joint – a Soviet astronaut lands on the surface of Venus together with an American colleague and his robot. The authors' of the film *Planet of Storms* never intended to depict the American character as a greedy and malicious product of the capitalist world: Prof. Kern was presented as a pragmatic person who did not believe (at first) in friendship, but generally as a likable character. That is why we would not insist that the incident with F.G. Powers or the Cuban events affected *Planet of Storms* directly. Most likely, the film of P. Klushantsev and his scriptwriter – A. Kazantsev – the author of numerous sci-fi novels, was a sort of commentary to the general political and socio-cultural context of the late 1950s – early 1960s in the framework of the so-called 'peaceful co-existence' of the two ideologically irreconcilable systems that was officially proclaimed by the USSR. On the tide of the USSR's space advancement *Planet of Storms* was bought by dozens of countries including the USA. As regards the re-edited version of *Planet of Storms* which was shown in the USA under the title *Voyage to the Prehistoric Planet* (1965) it got to the transatlantic screens in the different epoch – after the US President J. Kennedy was killed (November 24<sup>th</sup>, 1963) and before (since August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 1964) America began the interminable war in Vietnam...

By that time the first woman – V. Tereshkova (1963) and about a dozen of Soviet and American cosmo/astronauts had already been in the outer space. The relations between the USSR and the USA were far from optimistic, and numerous American outer-space flights had already smoothed the initial shock caused by the Soviet priority in astronautics. That is why it does not seem surprising that the authors of the re-edited version of *Planet of Storms* – film director Curtis Harrington (mentioned in the credit line under the pseudonym of John Sebastian) and producer Roger Corman – by simple renaming and dubbing-in into English transmuted all the characters of the film *Voyage to the Prehistoric Planet* into people of the Western world. No Russians: only Americans plus a Frenchman and a German. G. Zhyonov, Y. Sarantsev, G. Teykh, G. Vernov were mentioned in the credits under Americanized pseudonyms so that American spectators might not guess that the movie used to be Soviet.

However, they went behind renaming and dubbing-in and removed direct visual hints at the Soviet origin of the movie by re-editing, though some of such clues nevertheless remained in the film (for example, the Russian inscription *Sirius* on the cassette recorder), they also cut some episodes that made the action slow (such remarks as: 'We assure the Soviet government, our communist party, all Soviet people that we shall justify the

trust...'). And, contrariwise, the American authors added some new episodes (the scenes with an orbiting station 'borrowed' from another Soviet sci-fi film – *The Heavens Call* (1959) and the scenes shot in addition at R. Corman's studio now with true American actors involved). It is hard to tell why the American cinematographers disliked K. Ignatova acting as astronaut Masha. But in the American version of 1965 American actress F. Domergue replaced her and played a similar part of American Marsha Evans instead of Russian Masha.

As a result, the American spectators of 1965 saw the 'American' movie *Voyage to the Prehistoric Planet* about the 'American' flight to Venus.

However, *Voyage to the Prehistoric Planet* (1965) was not a big hitter and, apparently, discouraged the producers. In 1968, Roger Corman made a decision about remaking (of C. Harrington's version this time), having entrusted this mission to American film critic Peter Bogdanovich who was popular then. As the majority of his colleagues, critic Bogdanovich could not brag of million incomes, that is why he willingly accepted R. Corman's modest offer of \$6000...

P. Bogdanovich did not only remove the elements prolonging the movie (in particular, the by-plot of Masha/Marsha was completely cut) and re-edited it but also added (under the pseudonym Derek Thomas) several huge 'Venusian' scenes to the movie involving some sex-appealing females. That is why the movies deservedly got the enticing title *Voyage to the Planet of Prehistoric Women* (1968).

P. Bogdanovich's version appeared on transatlantic screens a year prior to the American landing on the Moon (though after 1965 another dozen of earthmen flew to space), but almost simultaneously with the invasion of the Soviet troops into Czechoslovakia that nearly returned the relations between the USSR and the USA to the level of the Cuban Missile Crisis. It is quite logical that in this situation the characters of *Voyage to the Planet of Prehistoric Women* kept on having western names and speaking English.

As for the general reason why Americans had an opportunity to alter *Planet of Storms* as they wished, it was quite simple – before 1973 the USSR persistently rejected to sign the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works. That is why the people who purchased Soviet artistic products could use them at their option. On the other hand, the Kremlin enjoyed the same right before 1973. Though western films did not contain additional scenes they were frequently re-edited, cut and mutilated in the Soviet Union.

B. Cultural Context (Silverblatt, 2001, pp. 80-81).

1. Media and popular culture: How does the media text reflect, strengthen, suggest or create cultural: a) relations, b) values, c) conduct, d) concern; e) myths.

Depending on the analysis of the chosen media texts in the course of debate students can come to a conclusion that *Planet of Storms* (though within the conventional framework of the fantastic genre) aimed to mirror the relations, values and conduct of Soviet characters taken from the so-called ‘code of communism builders’. Whereas C. Harrington’s and P. Bogdanovich’s versions represented everything in the pragmatic context promoting at the same time the team spirit. In addition, P. Bogdanovich’s version presented the mythology of existence of extra-terrestrial civilizations more brightly and obviously (with an accent on mysticism). And, certainly, in every case the authors were concerned about a hypothetical problem of crash of various worlds.

2. Outlook: What world is shown in the media text? (Silverblatt, 2001, pp. 80-81).

When answering the question of the ‘cultural context’ section the students can be offered to fill in Table 3:

**Table 3. Philosophy and Outlook of the World Pictured in Media Texts of the Soviet Film Fantasy of the 1950s – 1960s and Its American Screen Transformation**

<b><i>Key questions to media texts</i></b>	<b><i>Planet of Storms (1961)</i></b>	<b><i>Voyage to the Prehistoric Planet (1965)</i></b>	<b><i>Voyage to the Planet of Prehistoric Women (1968)</i></b>
<b><i>What is the ideology of this world?</i></b>	Communist ‘peaceful’ ideology (USSR, Soviet characters) Pragmatic philosophy (American characters)	Pragmatic ideology	Pragmatic ideology
<b><i>What outlook does this world represent – optimistic or pessimistic?</i></b>	Optimistic	Optimistic	Optimistic

<b><i>What is the hierarchy of values in this outlook? What values can be found in the media text?</i></b>	Patriotism – communist values – friendship – professionalism – science – family	Pragmatism – professionalism – science – family	Pragmatism – professionalism – science – family
<b><i>What does it mean to be a success in this world? How does a person succeed in this world? What kind of behavior is rewarded in the world?</i></b>	It means to be a patriot, a skillful and courageous explorer of the cosmos, a true friend and a family man. All the characters without exclusion are stereotypic; their individual traits are feebly presented.	It means to be a skillful and courageous explorer of the outer space, a good professional. All the characters without exclusion are stereotypic; their individual traits are feebly presented.	It means to be a skillful and courageous explorer of the outer space, a Good professional. All the characters without exclusion are stereotypic; their individual traits are feebly presented.
<b><i>Are there any supernatural phenomena in this world?</i></b>	Yes	Yes	Yes

Table 4 will enable the students to better analyze the typology of the characters of the media texts and justify their answers.

***Table 4. Typology of Media Texts Characters of the Soviet Film Fantasy of the 1950s – 1960s and Its American Screen Transformation***

<b><i>Description of the category representation in the media text:</i></b>	<b><i>Gender signs</i></b>	Male and female characters
	<b><i>Age of the character</i></b>	25-50 years of age (men), 25-30 years of age (women)
	<b><i>Race of the character</i></b>	White
	<b><i>Appearance, clothing, constitution of the character</i></b>	Earth's male characters, as a rule, strong-built, dressed in costumes of cosmo/astronauts, people at the space station are dressed in traditional civilian clothes. Shapely Venus f

		emale inhabitants are dressed in something resembling swimsuits made of marine shells and fall trousers. The only woman-astronaut looks quite ordinary in outward appearance.
	<i>Educational level, occupation of the character</i>	The earthmen, apparently, have higher education. The Venus females – spontaneous.
	<i>Marital status of the character</i>	The earth dwellers are married or single. The Venus females, apparently, do not need men...
	<i>Social position of the character</i>	The earth dwellers are astronauts, research scientists. The Venus females seem to live in the primitive-communal system.
	<i>Character traits</i>	Strength, inventiveness, energy, optimism, boldness, purposefulness (earth characters). Beauty, purposefulness, mystical capabilities, vindictiveness, religiosity (Venus characters)
	<i>Value system (ideological, religious, etc.) of the character</i>	Patriotic, communist values (Soviet characters), pragmatic, bourgeois values (western characters), religious values (Venus characters).
	<i>Acts of the character, his/her methods of the conflict resolution</i>	Acts of the characters depend on the development of the media text plot. Right after the landing on Venus the earth characters demonstrate their best professional qualities. The Venus characters show their ability to cause spontaneous storms trying to resolve the conflict with the aliens who killed their god (pterodactyl) with their help.

It is also possible to use the iconographical analysis of a typical scene of media texts by means of Table 5.

**Table 5. Typical Iconographical Scene Codes in Media Texts of the 1950s – 1960s and Their American Screen Transformation**

<b>Conventional codes of a typical scene in media texts</b>	<b>Visual characteristics of these codes manifested in media texts</b>
<i>Habitat of characters</i>	They show only the characters' habitat in the space – at the station and on shipboard: control cabins with panel boards, compartments, mess rooms, berths. Everything corresponds to the

	technology of the 1960s though the action takes place in the distant future.
<b><i>Space stations and rockets</i></b>	Outwardly they look rather ingenious, especially the space stations (there is a version that S. Kubrick used this design in his fantastic film <i>2001: A Space Odyssey</i> , 1969).
<b><i>Venus</i></b>	Something resembling a semi-desert with fleshy plants like cactuses, with dinosaurs of various sizes, the sea, gross vegetation and manifold underwater world. In P. Bogdanovich's version Venus is populated by half-naked sex-appealing blond sirens that use telepathic communication and mystical faculty.

In the last decade the activities of media teachers of different countries are being consolidated due to the usage of the generalized scheme of key concepts of media education given below (Table 6) which is based on the works of C. Bazalgette, (Bazalgette, 1995, p. 48), J. Bowker (Bowker, 1991) and A. Hart (Hart, 1997, p. 202): *Media Agencies, Media Categories, Media Technologies, Media Languages, Media Representations, Media Audiences*.

As a result, depending on these key concepts and the viewed and studied material students can build a generalized structure of stereotypes characteristic of the Soviet film fantasy of the 1950s – 1960s and its American screen transformation.

***The Stereotypes Structure of the Soviet Film Fantasy of the 1950s – 1960s and Its American Screen Transformation***

**Historical period, scene, genre:** relatively far future, the USSR, the USA, Venus. Genre: space sci-fi adventure film. Thematic examples: *Planet of Storms (1961)*, *Voyage to the Prehistoric Planet (1965)*, *Voyage to the Planet of Prehistoric Women (1968)*.

**Setting, household objects:** functional habitat and household objects of the earthmen, unitized structure of the space objects – bases, cabins and compartments of space crafts. The Venus dwellers have no possessions. They are environed by fleshy plants resembling cactuses, dinosaurs of various sizes, the sea (the underwater world of Venus is rather manifold).

**Representation of reality:** life of the earthmen (predominantly, astronauts) is shown, as a rule, relatively verisimilarly and always positively. The Venus dwellers are every time presented with some mystical gauze (visual and musical). Venusian dinosaurs and the carnivorous flower behave rather aggressively and incessantly attack the astronauts.

**Characters, their values, ideas, morality, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** among the earth dwellers there are no negative characters but their values depend on whether it is a Soviet film (*Planet of Storms*) or its American versions. In the Soviet version USSR astronauts propagate communist values and friendly mutual assistance; in the American ones the western astronauts are pragmatists to the backbone. The American professor from *Planet of Storms* is at first an earnest and consistent pragmatist but after the Russians pull him out of the fire he begins to value the significance of friendship and mutual assistance. The Venusian females in P. Bogdanovich's version have mystical and religious values.

The male characters, as a rule, have a strong constitution and are dressed in space costumes and are presented in the positive way: they are purposeful, active scientists and explorers with a commercial lexicon, restrained gestures and facial expressions. Certainly, the characters of the personages are given only in outline, in all the cases without any penetration into their psychology. All the characters speak (for the convenience of the target language audience) either only Russian or only English. However, in the American versions the astronaut who became a Frenchman pronounces the word **voilà**.

A special character – “crazy robot ‘Iron John’ is the envy of Hollywood cinematographers (a real articulated robot actually plays in the film – you won’t meet anything like that even in American movies of the 1940s-1960s!” (Kharitonov, 2003).

**Significant change in the plot of the media text and the characters’ life:** the earthmen land on the surface of Venus after a preliminary preparation and discussing their action plan.

**Incipient problem:** because of the aliens attacks (dinosaurs and the carnivorous flower), volcanic eruptions the lives of the positive characters are under the threat.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** a struggle of the positive characters with aggressive alien creatures and the elements (with the help of the robot and go-anywhere vehicle and without).

**Problem solution:** killing of the aggressive Venusian creatures, overcoming the aftereffects of the raging elements (caused by sex-appealing Venusian females in P. Bogdanovich's version), and a successful flight of the astronauts back home...

Students can make a more detailed splitting of the media texts into subject units using Table 7 (see the Appendix).

**Conclusions.** The principal result of the media education lesson is not only the audience’s comprehension of the historical-political, social-cultural contexts and mechanism of propagandist stereotypes formation in

sci-fi space movies in the USSR and the USA, but also the audience's media perception, analytical and interpretation skills, development of critical thinking.

***Questions for the hermeneutic analysis of media stereotypes in Soviet sci-fi films of the 1950s-1960s and their American screen transformation in media studies with university students*** (Berger, 2005; Fedorov, 2004, pp. 43-51; Fedorov, 2005; Fedorov, 2006, pp. 175-228; Buckingham, 2003, pp. 54-60, Silverblatt, 2001, pp. 107-108 and el.):

*Media agencies:*

Who creates media texts? Who is responsible for creating media texts? What is the purpose of creating media texts? Does the creating of media texts involve some implicit function?

What is the route of a media text – from the author's idea to the audience?

What is the key objective of the media text? To what extent is this objective attained? What feedback from the audience do the creators expect?

Does the media text answer the suggested questions or do they remain unanswered? Who supervises the production and distribution of media texts?

Could you name stereotyped functions of a media agency (in this case – in the film industry)?

*Media/media text categories:*

Are there any other ways of classifying media texts except for genre? If yes, what are they?

What is the difference between live-action (fictional) and documentary media texts?

How do conventions and codes function in these sci-fi media texts? Is here a predictable genre formula? How does your understanding of this formula contribute to your perception of this media text?

What is the function of the genre formula/composition of a media text?

How do media text genres affect cultural relations and values, cultural mythology, somebody's outlook?

Is it possible to trace the evolution of some definite genres, subjects?

What do these genre/subject alterations tell of the cultural transformations in the society?

What stereotyped plots, conventions are characteristic of definite genres/topics?

What does the introduction tell us about the media text? Does it predict the following events and topics of the media text? What is the effect produced by the introduction on the media text?

Could you define the stereotypes of introductions for stereotyped genres/subjects of sci-fi films about the outer space?

*Media technologies:*

How do technologies affect media production?

In what way can the stereotype character of technological solutions become apparent in these media texts?

*Media languages:*

Why did the authors of the media text construct this or that scene in this particular way?

Why are certain objects (including the characters' clothes, etc.) depicted exactly so? What do these objects tell us about the characters, their life style, and their attitude to each other?

What is the role of dialogues, the characters' language/speech in the plot development?

Who relates the events in the scene of the media text? (Who is the narrator?)

How are people and objects shown in this or that scene? Are there moments in the media text when the implied viewpoint promotes the feeling of danger or surprise?

What is the role of lighting, sound, and music in the media text?

Can there be stereotypes of artistic representation in a media text? If yes, where particularly do they become apparent in these films?

Can there be stereotypes of the sound solution in a media text? If yes, where particularly do they become apparent in sci-fi films?

Could you describe stereotypes of visual codes of the fantasy genre about space (space opera)?

*Media representations:*

Is there a specific world outlook in media texts? Are there moral or political values?

How can the media sharpen social, political contradictions or, vice versa, facilitate their solution?

How do the media represent certain social groups? Are these representations accurate indeed? What political, social and cultural stereotypes are reflected in these sci-fi films?

What did the authors include in (exclude from) these media texts? Why?

How do they represent in these media texts: class, gender, life on a different planet, etc.?

What are the key episodes/scenes of the media texts? Why do you consider them so important?

What was selected to make such a shot, do you think? What is the correlation between various subjects that we see in the picture?

Is there an opportunity to insert additional scenes in the media text, do you think? If yes, what scenes? Where exactly can they be added in the media text?

What changes in the character and situation representation contribute to the action development in the media text?

In what scenes and in what way are the conflicts revealed in the media text?

Whom does the author sympathize with? How does he make the audience realize it? Why did you come to this conclusion?

Are there scenes of violence in the media text? If yes, how do they differ from the representation of violence in other media texts familiar to you?

Are there any events in the media text which mirror each other?

Could this story finish earlier? What would have changed in our perception of the media text then? What is the significance of the actual dénouement of the media text?

*Media audiences:*

What is the target audience of the media text? Is it addressed to one or many types of audiences?

How does the choice of the audience affect the strategy, style and the plot of the media texts?

How do the strategy, style and the plot affect the audience's comprehension of them?

What stands for the primary/target audience in media production? How do the media attempt to affect it? What assumption of the audience do the media producers have? What characters does this or that agency want to associate you with? What ideology/philosophy do these characters advocate?

Why does the audience accept some stereotyped media representations as true and reject the others as false? What was the reaction of the audience of the 1960s on these media texts, do you think?

Can there be different interpretations of stereotyped media texts and their characters? Or does the stereotype character initially suggest similar interpretations of media texts?

Do the stereotyped media representations affect our opinion of certain social groups or problems?

What social groups are more liable to influence of media stereotypes? Why?

Is it possible to get rid of the influence of media stereotypes on the society? Give your arguments.

What types of enjoyment does the audience derive from media texts? What values, experience or perspectives are accepted by the audience? Do these common values, experience or perspectives affect the audience's comprehension and interpretation of media texts?

What is the role of gender, social status, age and ethnic origin in the audience's media perception?

How, in what way do we comprehend a media text? How can media information affect our decisions? How does your life experience influence your interpretation of media texts?

How (wherefore) does the audience usually choose/purchase media texts, in your opinion? What helps you choose a media text when you are going to read/watch/listen to a media text? What are the reasons for the popularity of the hits with the audience of late (genre, subject, the system of emotional jump, usage of mythology, happy end, reckoning on maximum coverage of the audience's media preferences, etc.)

How is the audience' interest in the narrative of a media text supported and encouraged?

Can you see how our attention grows from episode to episode?

What aspects (political, social, moral, philosophical, artistic, etc.) should be taken into account when appraising media texts?

What is the typology of the media audience? What typical indicators of media preferences can help one differentiate the audiences?

What skills should one possess to properly analyze media texts?

### *References*

**Bazalgette, C.** (1995). Key aspects of media education. *Report on the Russian-British seminar on media education*. Moscow: Russian Association for Film and Media education, 1995. 51 p.

**Berger, A.** (2005). *Seeing is Believing. Introduction to Visual Communication*. Moscow: Williams, 288 p.

**Bowker, J.** (Ed.) (1991). *Secondary Media Education: A Curriculum Statement*. London: BFI.

**Buckingham, D.** (2002). Media Education: A Global Strategy for Development. Policy Paper for UNESCO. In: Buckingham, D., Frau-Meigs, D., Tornero, J.M. & Artigas, L. (Eds.). *Youth Media Education*. Paris: UNESCO Communication Development Division. CD-ROM.

**Buckingham, D.** (2003). *Media Education: Literacy, Learning and Contemporary Culture*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press, 219 p.

**Fedorov, A.** (2003). Media Education and Media Literacy: Experts' Opinions. In: *MENTOR. A Media Education Curriculum for Teachers in the Mediterranean*. Paris: UNESCO.

**Fedorov, A.** (2004). Media Educational Practices in Teacher Training. In: *Pedagogika*. № 4, pp. 43-51.

**Fedorov, A.** (2006). Media Education: Creative Activities for University and School Students. In: *Innovations in Education*. № 4, pp. 175-228.

**Hart, A.** (1997). Textual Pleasures and Moral Dilemmas: Teaching Media Literacy in England. In: Kubey, R. (Ed.) *Media Literacy in the Information Age*. New Brunswick (U.S.A.) and London (UK): Transaction Publishers, pp.199-211.

**Internet Archive** <http://www.archive.org/>

**Kharitonov, E.** (1909-2002). The Space Odyssey of Pavel Klushantsev. In: Kharitonov, E., Scherbak-Zhukov, A. *On the Screen – a Miracle: Russian Film Fantasy and Film Fairy-Tale. Materials for the Popular Encyclopedia*. Moscow: Research Institute of Cinematography; Journal 'If', 320 p. [http://www.fandom.ru/about\\_fan/kino/\\_st03.htm](http://www.fandom.ru/about_fan/kino/_st03.htm)

**Masterman, L.** (1997). A Rational for Media Education. In: Kubey, R. (Ed.) *Media Literacy in the Information Age*. New Brunswick (U.S.A.) and London (UK): Transaction Publishers, pp.15-68.

**Potter, W.J.** (2001). *Media Literacy*. Thousand Oaks – London: Sage Publication, 423 p.

**Silverblatt, A.** (2001). *Media Literacy*. Westport, Connecticut – London: Praeger, 449 p.

**Usov, Y.** (1989). *Film Education as a Means of the Aesthetic Education and Artistic Development of School Students*. Moscow, 362 p.

**Worsnop, C.** (1994). *Screening Images: Ideas for Media Education*. Mississauga: Wright Communication, 179 p.

### *Filmography*

**The Heavens Call. USSR, 1959.** Dovzhenko Film Studios. Film premiere: September 12, 1959.

Film Directors: A. Kozyr, M. Karyukov. Screenwriters: E. Pomeschikov, A. Sazonov, M. Karykov. Cameraman N. Kultchitsky. Composer Y. Maytus. Art director Y. Shvets. Actors: I. Pereversev, A. Shvorin, K. Bartashevitch, G. Tonuts, V. Chernyak and others.

**Battle Beyond the Sun. USA, 1962.**

Film director Francis Ford Coppola (under the pseudonym Thomas Colchart). Actors: L. Barrett, F. Farley and the actors from the film *The Heavens Call*.

American version of the film *The Heavens Call* (1959).

**Planet of Storms. USSR, 1961.** Leningrad Film Studio of Science Films.  
Film premiere: April 14, 1962.

Film director Pavel Klushantsev. Scriptwriters: A. Kazantsev, P. Klushantsev. Cameraman: Klimov. Composers: J. Admoni, A. Tchernov. Art directors: V. Aleksandrov, M. Tsybasov.

Actors: V. Yemeljanov, G. Vernov, G. Zhzhenov, K. Ignatova, Y. Sarantsev, G. Tajch and others.

**Voyage to the Prehistoric Planet. USA, 1965** (the first American version of the film *Planet of Storms*). Film premiere in the USA – August 1, 1965.

Film director and scriptwriter Curtis Harrington (under the pseudonym John Sebastian). Producers: G. Edwards, R. Corman. The cameraman of the American additional scenes V. Lapeniëks. Actors: B. Rathbone, F. Domergue, the leading actors from *Planet of Storms* under Americanized pseudonyms (except for K. Ignatova).

**Voyage to the Planet of Prehistoric Women. USA, 1968** (the second American version of the film *Planet of Storms*).

Film director Peter Bogdanovich. Producers: Norman D. Wells, R. Corman. Scriptwriter H. Ney. The cameraman of the American additional scenes F. Olsen. Actors: M. Van Doren, M. Marr, P. Lee, the leading actors from *Planet of Storms* under Americanized pseudonyms (except for K. Ignatova).

## **Hermeneutical analysis of the Soviet Military-utopian Films of the Second Half of the 1930-es at Film Education in Students' Audience**

### ***Introduction***

The leading theorists of modern media education [Buckingham, 2002; 2003; Masterman, 1997; Potter, 2001; Silverblatt, 2001; Worsnop, 1994; Usov, 1989 and others] have repeatedly drawn attention to the priority importance of critical analysis of media texts in different age groups. Within the framework of integrated media education such analysis can be successfully combined with hermeneutical analysis, for example it can be integrated into lectures, lessons, practical classes of history.

*Hermeneutical Analysis of Cultural Context* – the research of the process of interpretation of media texts, cultural and historical factors influencing the point of view of the agencies/authors of media texts as well as the audience. Hermeneutical analysis presupposes comprehension of media texts through their comparison with historical and cultural traditions and reality; insight into their logic; the analysis of media texts via comparison of media images in historical and cultural context.

As an example we will use an integrated media education lesson on the historical material, based on media texts of the Soviet military-utopian films of the second half of the 1930-es. The works of historians [Golubev, 2008; Grigorieva, 2008; Kuznetsova, 2005; Margolit, 2002; Nevezhin, 1999; Tokarev, 2006 etc.], as well as the series of DVDs “Cinema Collection “The most important of the arts...” the 1930-es” released in 2010 by “Olimp-tel”, LTD. and “Disk pro plus” may help with this task (viewing these films might be a preliminary homework for students).

### ***Technology of hermeneutical analysis of media texts by A. Silverblatt***

The American researcher and media educator A. Silverblatt [Silverblatt, 2001, p.80-81] proposed the following series of questions for the hermeneutical analysis of media texts in historical, cultural and structural context.

In accordance with these questions we developed a technology of construction of media education classes in students' audience.

A. Historical context [Silverblatt, 2001, p.80-81].

1. What does the media text tell us about the period of its creation?
  - a) when was the premiere of this media text?
  - b) how did the events of that time influenced the media text?
  - c) how does the media text comment on the events?
2. Does knowledge of historical events help to understand the media text?
  - a) media texts created within a certain historical period:
    - what events occurred in the time the given work was created?

- are there any historical references in the media text?
- how does awareness of these events and references enrich our understanding of the media text?
- what are the real historical references?

At the beginning of the integrated media education class the audience gets acquainted with the filmography of soviet military-utopian films of the second half of the 1930-es. The lecture course provides a brief historical overview of the context of their creation. In particular it is said that the appearance of a series of soviet “defensive-offensive” films was connected not only with the establishment of the aggressive Nazi regime in Germany (since 1933), but also with internal changes in the Soviet Union. Within a few years after the Constitution of USSR was adopted in 1936 Stalin held an undisputed victory over his real and imaginary internal political opponents (“individual peasants”, the opposition, the military elite, “the rotten intelligentsia”). The Soviet system has officially lost the features of the transitional period on the way to the world revolution and became a kind of a “socialist canon”. Thus the “hostile capitalist encirclement” became antithesis of this Stalinist canon, and the state frontier turned into the symbol of “the barrier between the two worlds, not just antagonistic, but namely antithetical worlds. ... The hostile antithetical world is built on the Soviet screen like and inverted double of the ideal world. While the Soviet reality is the world of eternal sunshine and perpetual holidays, the hostile world is the world of eternal night and dark dungeons, in full accordance with the traditional mythological constructions. On the one hand there’s the world in the golden age of human personality, conscious feats, the great Soviet democracy, on the other – the world of militarism and barracks” [Margolit, 2002]. Let’s not forget that the Soviet Union and Germany (on different sides) were involved in the Spanish Civil War (July 1936 – April 1939).

Virtually throughout the years of the creation of military-utopian films of the second half of the 1930-es massive repressions were carried out in the USSR, also in relation to statesmen and military leaders of high ranks. This explains the obvious caution of the filmmakers: except the portraits and the names of Stalin and Voroshilov there’s no mention of the real political/commanding Soviet figures of those years. But on the other hand in all the “defense” films the doctrine of the future war is clearly seen: at lightning speed, with small losses, and on enemy territory. “The military-utopian films were shot to prepare the contemporaries morally for the future ordeals; to cultivate all the needed qualities necessary for the future war” [Tokarev, 2006, p.112].

Certainly the real political events significantly affected the concrete interpretation of “enemy image”. The war in Spain (1936-1939), German

annexation of Austria and a part of Czechoslovakia (1938) gave a real occasion to provide the on-screen enemies of the USSR with open or a little veiled German coloration. But after the nonaggression treaty between the USSR and Germany had been concluded (August, 23, 1939, that is four months after the end of the war in Spain and a few weeks before the allotment of Poland between Germany and the USSR), the hypothetical European on-screen opponent acquired (until June, 22, 1941) abstract western features.

B. Cultural context [Silverblatt, 2001, p.80-81].

1. How does the media text reflect, strengthen, suggest or form cultural: a) attitudes; b) values; c) behavior; d) concerns; e) myths.

Communist values and attitudes in their Stalinist interpretation, patriotically and ideologically ideal behavior of the Soviet characters of military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930-es were visually supported by the depiction of the Soviet Union in bright colors and the established mythology of the two confronting titans of the total “good” and the total “evil”. The historical and political context presented above was complemented by the meaningful topography: the grim enemy outpost (“Tankers”) or an “underground fortress where the enemy forces are concentrated (“Squadron Number 5”) as well as the battle with the enemy on the sea bottom, where the Soviet submarine fakes its own wreck to strike the final blow and then to surface victorious (“Sailors”, “The Fourth Periscope”) excite the direct associations with the realm of death. All these motives one way or another vary the main image of Germany as the kingdom of the night. “Night in Germany”, “the darkness of the Middle Ages”, etc., the constant linguistic clichés of the Soviet press of those years, find their literal embodiment in the cinematic image of Germany of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930-es” [Margolit, 2002].

Although some of the Soviet media texts still maintain the mythology about the strong support of the communist ideas of the Western working (see for example “Squadron Number 5”, 1939) in general by the end of the 1930-es “the developers of the myth about the victorious war abandoned the thesis about the dependence of the Soviet defense on the foreign proletariat’s support”. The Red Army was considered self-sufficient. [Tokarev, 2006, p.101]. Of course the forceful Soviet mythology (“Destroy the enemy on his territory”) didn’t foresee neither retreats, nor evacuation of citizens, nor the destruction of cities and villages, nor casualties among the civilians...

At the same time it is interesting to mention that some of the contemporaries didn’t accept these military-utopian films enthusiastically. For example in 1939 the reviewer of the newspaper “Pravda” indignantly

wrote that in the film “Tankers” “the battle goes on without any losses of the Red Army, petrol in our tanks won’t explode even when they are ignited, and the tankers don’t get fire burns. Such lacquering of reality, underestimation of the enemy’s strength, knowledge and sharpness reduces the merits of the film” [Morov, 1939].

The “little brothers” of the films about massive enemy invasions in 1939-es were the films about spies and saboteurs, inevitably liquidated by the brave Soviet frontier guards (“On the Border”, 1936; “Border under Lock”, 1937, etc.). The plot scheme and typology of the characters were roughly the same, but of course there were less enemies, troops and skirmishes.

2. World outlook: what kind of world is depicted in the media text? [Silverblatt, 2001, p.80-81].

When responding to this question the students may be asked to fill the following table (Table 7):

**Table 7. Ideology and outlook of the world depicted in media texts of the Soviet military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930s**

<b>Key question to media texts of the Soviet military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930s</b>	<b>The image of the world of the Soviet Union</b>	<b>The image of the enemy world.</b>
<i>What’s the ideology of this world?</i>	The Communist “peaceful” ideology in its Stalinist interpretation.	Imperialist/Nazi aggressive ideology.
<i>What outlook does this world represent – pessimistic or optimistic?</i>	Solely optimistic during the whole action.	Optimistic at the beginning of the action, pessimistic after the defeat in the ending.
<i>What is the hierarchy of values according to this world outlook?</i>	Patriotism – the Communist party – Stalin – people – hatred for the enemy – family	Aggression – imperialism/Nazism – the leader – contempt for the enemies.
<i>What values can be found in this media text?</i> <i>What values prevail</i>	Patriotic and communist values (throughout the whole media text)	Imperialist, Nazi values. In the ending (after the defeat) – fear for their lives.

<i>in the ending?</i>		
<i>What does it mean to be successful in this world? How does a person succeed in this world? To what degree is it stereotypical?</i>	It means to be a communist, a faithful Leninist-Stalinist, a patriot, a brave and skilful warrior, ruthless to the enemies, a good family man. All the characters with no exception are happy and stereotyped, their individual features a poorly represented.	It means to be an imperialist/Nazi, a professional soldier, ruthless to the enemies. All the characters with no exception are stereotyped; their individual features a poorly represented. They might be called relatively happy only before the beginning of the aggression.

It is also possible to conduct an iconographic analysis of the typical scene of action of the media texts using Table 9.

**Table 8. Typical iconographic codes of the scene of action in media texts of the Soviet military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930s**

<b>Conventional codes of a typical scene of action in media texts</b>	<b>The visual characteristics of the manifestation of these codes in media texts</b>
The enemy's dwelling	Deliberately not revealed to the Soviet audience so that they could not compare it with their own.
The dwelling of soviet characters	Modest, but well-made. There's a phone and a piano in the officers' apartments.
The army headquarters	Functional furnishing – table, chairs/armchairs. In the Soviet variant everything is well-made, but simple, without excesses (though the portraits of the leaders are always present). In the enemy's camp the furniture is more expensive, but somber. Often it's situated somewhere underground, in a bunker. Contrary to the Nazi traditions, there's no portrait of the leader on the wall (to avoid the involuntary placement

	propaganda; it's no accident that from 1934 till November 1940 the photos of Adolf Hitler never appeared in the Soviet press [Grigoryeva, 2008, p.19].
Aircraft, ship, submarine	Strictly functional furnishing – the cabin, evers and control instruments, weapons, compartments, etc. Trenches are never shown and that fully meets the overall Soviet military doctrine – do not defend, do not dig in, but quickly attack and destroy the enemy.

Filling the Table 9 will help students to analyze the typology of the characters of media texts of the Soviets military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930-es and to substantiate their answers.

*Table 9. The typology of the characters in media texts of the Soviet military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930-es.*

<i>Gender characteristics</i>	<i>The description of representation of category in media texts:</i>							
	<i>Age of the character</i>	<i>Race of the character</i>	<i>Appearance, clothing, build of the character</i>	<i>Level of education, profession</i>	<i>Marital status of the character</i>	<i>Social status of the character</i>	<i>Traits of character</i>	<i>Value orientations (ideological, religious, etc.) of the character</i>

<i>Male characters</i>
20-50 years old
Generally white (except the few films about the Japanese aggressors)
The characters tend to have strong constitution, dressed in military uniform, or plain civilian clothes.
Higher education (commanders), primary and secondary (soldiers, civilians).
Commanders are married, their subordinates are single.
Generally – the military, more rarely – the workers of various peaceful professions.
Strength, quick wit, activity, loyalty, optimism, courage, commitment (Soviet characters), hostility, cunning, cruelty, commitment (enemy characters).
Patriotic and communist values (Soviet figures), imperialist, Nazi values (enemy characters), religious values are not present.
The actions of the characters are dictated by the development of the plot of the media text. Immediately after the enemy's aggression the Soviet characters show their best professional/military qualities, brilliantly design and implement the plan of defeating the enemy. The enemy characters initially develop a logical plan of a surprise attack, but fail later due to power and might of the Soviet army.

<i>Female characters</i>
20-60 years old (in all the films only Soviet female characters are present).
Generally white.
The characters tend to have average statistical constitution, dressed in plain, simple civilian clothes, more rarely in uniform.
Primary and secondary.
Women 18 years or older are usually married.
Generally – the workers of various peaceful professions, more rarely – military pilots.
Quick wit, activity, loyalty, optimism, courage, commitment.
Patriotic, communist values.
The actions of the characters are dictated by the development of the plot of the media text. Immediately after the enemy's aggression the Soviet women show their best professional/military qualities.

Students may single out the generalized structure of stereotypes of the Soviet military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930s on the basis of the material watched and studied.

***The structure of stereotypes of the Soviet military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930s***

**Historical period, scene of action, genre:** the second half of the 1930-es, USSR, other countries, mainly a kind of an imperialist enemy country which resembles Germany. Genre – military action adventure

(sometimes with drama elements). Typical examples: “Homeland Calling” (1936), “Deep Raid” (1938), “If the War Is Tomorrow” (1938), “Tankers” (1939), “Squadron Number 5” (1939) etc.

**The setting, household goods:** modest dwellings and household goods of Soviet characters, unified character of Soviet and enemy military sites – bases, headquarters, airfields, aircraft and tank cabins, warship decks, submarine compartments.

**Methods of representation of reality:** the life of Soviet people (mainly the military) is shown as a rule relatively realistically and always favorably, hostile countries are shown solely under martial law, their image is also relatively realistically, though often somewhat grotesque.

Details: In the films “Homeland Calling”, “Squadron Number 5” the Nazi swastika on the wings of enemy planes and the distinctive military uniform allow to make a definite conclusion about their national origin. In the films “Deep Raid”, “Tankers”, “If the War is Tomorrow” the enemy uniform is more relative but indirectly (gothic font, behavior) everything indicates that they are Germans. In “Sailors” (1940), shot after the signing of the treaty of friendship between the USSR and Germany (August 1939), the enemies are the Japanese. For the same reason in “The Fifth Ocean” (1940) the Western opponents of the Soviet Union are deprived of national features (though we can assume that they are Finns). In all the films practically no losses of Soviet troops (and especially civilians) are shown. One of the few exceptions is the death of the son of a Soviet pilot in “Homeland Calling”. The portraits of Stalin and Voroshilov hang on the walls of the Soviet headquarters and institutions. As a rule in the soundtrack of the films vigorous marches and songs are present (“If the war is tomorrow, if the campaign is tomorrow, we are ready to march today...”).

**The characters, their values, ideas, clothing, constitution, vocabulary, mimics, gestures:** good characters (Soviet military men, peaceful citizens) are bearers of communistic ideas; the aggressors (military men, saboteurs, terrorists) are bearers of inhuman ideas. Divided by ideology and world outlook (Nazi/imperialist and communist) the characters as a rule have strong constitution, they are dressed in military uniform and look as imposed by the source of the media text: enemy characters (soldiers, officers, spies) are shown wicked, rude and violent fanatics with primitive vocabulary, active gesticulation and unpleasant voices (though sometimes they seem like clever opponents); Soviet characters (soldiers, officers, their relatives) on the contrary are depicted purely positively – they are purposeful, honest fighters for their homeland and communist ideas, with business or pompous vocabulary, restrained gestures and mimics. Of course in all cases their characters are drawn sketchily, without going deep into their psychology.

Enemy characters speak Russian (for the audience to understand) or sometimes with a German accent. Rarely some phrases are in German.

**Significant changes in the plot of the media text and in the lives of the characters:** The good soviet characters live a peaceful life (from 7 to 30 minutes of the screen time). The bad/foreign characters (as a rule in summer at night) commit aggression/crime (treacherous military attack, sabotage, murder). Thanks to intelligence the Soviet command usually learns about the imminent attack.

**Originated problem:** violation of law – the lives of the good characters or (most often) the whole Soviet country is under threat.

Details: In “Tankers” (1939) before the attack on the Soviet Union an enemy general says the following, a kind of a prophetic monologue: “The offensive doctrine of the Red will do them an ill turn. They preach the offensive, a strong blow, and impetuous attacks. Napoleon’s tactics! But the battle will be where we want it to be...”.

**Searches for the solution of the problem:** the armed struggle of the good characters with enemy aggression. The most typical plotline: the Soviet people unite to fight the invaders; the Soviet High Command orders an air/tank/naval attack.

Details: In the film “Homeland Calling” (1936) a military commander says the mobilization speech interrupting a theatrical performance: “The wolf threw off sheep’s clothing. The enemy has just crossed the border without declaring war, without warning! But he miscalculated, he failed to break through. The enemy encroached upon the revolution and communism! He will be defeated, crushed, destroyed!”. People in the hall begin the unanimous singing of “Internatsional”.

In the film “If the War Is Tomorrow...” (1938) Marshal Voroshilov says a fiery speech which fully reflects the official military doctrine of the USSR: “Workers’ and Peasants’ Red Army is only the vanguard of our valiant people. It must take the first blow, but behind us there are millions of our people! Numerous times we repeated our statement that the war forced on us will take place not in our Soviet lands, but in the lands of those who dared to raise the sword. This statement remains constant, unchanged, it is in force today. Comrades, these words would have been a mere air rendering, if they didn’t have the real strength of the Workers’ and Peasants’ Red Army and our powerful and great Soviet people behind them!”.

Only one plotline fall out of the list, it is A. Room’s “Squadron Number 5” (1939), where German anti-fascist underground workers become allies of the Soviet pilots behind enemy lines.

**The solution of the problem:** elimination/capture of the aggressors, the Soviet army’s crushing victory.

Details: The final world of a Soviet commander: “If needed Soviet tanks fly!” (“Tankers”, 1939). Soviet airplanes which have just defeated the enemy form the letters of the leader’s surname in the sky: “Stalin” (“Squadron Number 5”, 1939).

The influence of this kind of media stereotypes on the audience was so strong that for a while those stereotypes continued to have effect (at least in the rear) after the real attack in 1941. These are memories of a contemporary about the demonstration of a propagandistic film “If the War Is Tomorrow” in one of the Soviet schools in the rear in November, 1941: “there was a solemn silence, not only the evacuated children but also the adult teachers stared at the screen, their faces enlightened. It was the real war promised by Stalin, victorious and proud, not the inexplicable nightmare that rang in horrible reports “from the Soviet Information Bureau” with a long list of abandoned cities”. [German, 1989, pp.481-482].

***Questions for hermeneutical analysis of stereotypes of the Soviet military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930s at the media studies in students’ audience*** [Berger, 2005; Fedorov, 2004, pp.43-51; Fedorov, 2005; Fedorov, 2006, c.175-228; Buckingham, 2003, p.54-60, Silverblatt, 2001, p.107-108 etc.]:

*Media agencies:*

Who created media texts? Who is responsible for the creation of media texts? What is the purpose of the creation of media texts? Does the creation of media texts have a hidden function?

What path does a media text follow from the author’s intention to the audience?

What is the main purpose of this media text? To what extent is this purpose achieved? What reaction do the creators expect from the audience? Does this media text answers the questions raised or the questions remain unanswered?

Who controls the production and distribution of media texts?

Can you name the stereotypical functions of media agencies (in this case - film studios) of the Stalinist era (the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930-es)?

*Media/media text categories:*

Are there any other ways of classifying media texts except genre classification? If yes what are they? (for example thematic, stylistic).

What is the difference between fictional and documentary films?

How do conventions and codes work in media texts of the Soviet military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930-es?

Is there a predictable genre formula? How does the understanding of this formula help your perception of a particular media text?

What is the function of genre formula of the media text?

How do genres of media texts affect cultural attitudes and values, cultural mythology, world outlook of men?

Is it possible to trace the evolution of a specific media genre, theme?

What do those genre/thematic changes tell about the transformations in culture of society?

What stereotypical plots and plot conventions are characteristic of specific genres/themes?

What does the beginning tell us about the media text? Does the beginning predict the following events and themes of the media text? What is the impact of this beginning on the media text?

Can you formulate the stereotypes of the beginnings for stereotypical genres/themes of the Soviet military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930-es?

*Media technologies:*

How do technologies influence the creation of media texts?

How can stereotypical character of technological solutions manifest itself in these media texts?

*Media languages:*

Why did the authors of the given media text constructed this or that episode exactly this way? Why are certain things (including the characters' clothes, etc.) represented exactly this way? What do these things tell us about the characters, their lifestyles, their relations? How important for the development of the action are the dialogues, the language of the characters?

From whose point of view (who is the narrator) is a particular episode shown? Are there moments in the media text when the proposed point of view helps to create a sense of danger or unexpectedness?

What is the role of light, color, sound, music in the media text?

Is it possible to find visual stereotypes in a media text? If so, in what are they manifested in the Soviet military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930-es?

Is it possible to find visual stereotypes in a media text? If so, in what are they manifested in the Soviet military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930-es?

Can you name the stereotypes of visual codes in the Soviet military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930-es?

*Media representations:*

Is there a specific world view in media texts? Is there any moral or political values?

How can the media aggravate social and political problems or vice versa, contribute to their solution?

How do media represent certain social groups? Are these representations accurate? What political, cultural and social stereotypes are reflected in the Soviet military-utopian films of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930-es?

Was this media text meant to be realistic? Why do some media texts seem more realistic than others? How do media prove that they inform of the truth about the world? How do media texts try to seem real?

What did the authors include/exclude from the context of this media text? Why?

In what way family, class, sex, race, life in other countries etc. are represented?

What are the key episodes of this media text? Why do you think so?

What do you think was selected to produce exactly this frame? What is the correlation between different objects seen in the frame?

Do you think it is possible to add some episodes in the media text? If so which ones? In what part of the media text they could be added?

How do changes in representation of the character and situation help the development of action in the media text?

In what scenes and how exactly are the conflicts revealed in the media text?

Who does the author of the media text sympathize with? How does he let the audience understand it? Why did you make this conclusion?

Are there any scenes of violence in this media text? If so, what is the difference between the representations of violence in other media texts you know?

Are there events in the media texts which mirror each other?

Could this plot have ended sooner? What would have changed in our perception of the text? What's the importance of the real ending of the media text?

*Media audiences:*

For whom was this media text created, for one or more types of audiences?

How does the choice of the audience influence strategy, style and content of media texts?

How does the strategy, the style, the content of media texts influence the audience's understanding?

What is the target audience in the sphere of media? How do media try to influence it? What assumptions about the audience do the creators of media texts have? With what characters does this or that agency want to identify you? What ideology do these characters express?

Why does the audience take some stereotypical media representations as true and other as false?

What do you think was the reaction of the Soviet mass audience of the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the 1930-es to the above mentioned military-utopian films?

Is it possible to give different interpretations of stereotypical media texts and their characters? Or their stereotyped character initially assumes identical interpretations of media texts?

Do stereotyped media representations influence your point of view about the particular social groups or problems?

Which social groups are most strongly affected by media stereotypes? Why? Is it possible to get rid of the influence of media stereotypes on society? Justify your point of view.

What kind of pleasure does the audience get from media texts? What values, experiences or perspectives does the audience get? Do these values, experiences or perspectives influence the audience's understanding/interpretation of media texts?

What is the role of gender, social class, age and ethnic origin in the media perception of the audience?

How do we understand media texts? How can media information influence our decisions? How does your life experience affect the interpretation of media texts? How (for what reasons), in your opinion, does the audience usually choose/but media texts? What helps you in choosing a media text you want to read/watch/listen?

What are the reasons for the success of the most famous recent media texts with the audience (genre, theme, жанр, тема, the system of emotional extremes, the reliance on mythology, happy ending, etc.)?

How is the audience's interest maintained in the media text? Is it possible to trace how our attention grows from episode to episode?

On what factors (political, social, moral, philosophical, artistic, etc.) should the media texts be evaluated?

What is the typology of the media audience? By what typical indicators of media preferences the audience can be differentiated?

What abilities and skills does a man need to analyze media texts competently?

### *References*

**Berger A.A.** *To see is to believe. Introduction to visual communication.* Moscow: Viljams, 2005. 288 p.

**German M.** Political salon of the 30-es. Roots and shoots // *Harsh drama of the people.* Moscow, 1989. pp. 481-482.

**Golubev A.V.** "Russia can rely only on itself": the idea of the future war in the Soviet society of the 1930-es // 2008. № 5. pp.108-127.

**Grigorieva O.I.** *Formation of the image of Germany by the Soviet propaganda in 1933-1941.* Abstract, dis. ... candidate of hist. sciences. Moscow, 2008. 28 p.

**Kuznetsova M.** If the war is tomorrow... Defensive films of the 1930-es // *Historian and artist.* 2005. № 2. pp.17-26.

- Margolit E.Y.** Like in a mirror. Germany is the Soviet cinema between 1920-30-es. // *Cinematological notes*. 2002. № 59. <http://www.kinozapiski.ru/article/253/>
- Morov A.** “Tankers // *Pravda*. 1939. Feb, 14.
- Nevezhin V.A.** *Soviet propaganda and ideological preparation for the war (second half of the 1930-es – the beginning of 1940-es.)*. Dis. ... doctor of hist. sciences. Moscow, 1999.
- Tokarev V.A.** Soviet military utopia of the eve of the World War II // *Europe*. 2006. № 1. pp.97-161.
- Usov Y.N.** *Film education as means of aesthetical upbringing and artistic development of schoolchildren*: Dis. ... doctor of ped. sciences. - Moscow, 1989. 362 p.
- Fedorov A.V.** Media education: creative assignments for students and schoolchildren // *Innovations in education*. 2006. N 4. pp.175-228.
- Fedorov A.V.** The specificity of media education of students of pedagogical institutes of higher education // *Pedagogics*. 2004. № 4. pp.43-51.
- Bowker, J.** (Ed.) (1991). *Secondary Media Education: A Curriculum Statement*. London: BFI.
- Buckingham, D.** (2002). Media Education: A Global Strategy for Development. Policy Paper for UNESCO. In: Buckingham, D., Frau-Meigs, D., Tornero, J.M. & Artigas, L. (Eds.). *Youth Media Education*. Paris: UNESCO Communication Development Division. CD-ROM.
- Buckingham, D.** (2003). *Media Education: Literacy, Learning and Contemporary Culture*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press, 219 p.
- Fedorov, A.** (2003). Media Education and Media Literacy: Experts’ Opinions. In: *MENTOR. A Media Education Curriculum for Teachers in the Mediterranean*. Paris: UNESCO.
- Hart, A.** (1997). Textual Pleasures and Moral Dilemmas: Teaching Media Literacy in England. In: Kubey, R. (Ed.) *Media Literacy in the Information Age*. New Brunswick (U.S.A.) and London (UK): Transaction Publishers, pp.199-211.
- Masterman, L.** (1997). A Rational for Media Education. In: Kubey, R. (Ed.) *Media Literacy in the Information Age*. New Brunswick (U.S.A.) and London (UK): Transaction Publishers, pp.15-68.
- Potter, W.J.** (2001). *Media Literacy*. Thousand Oaks – London: Sage Publication, 423 p.
- Silverblatt, A.** (2001). *Media Literacy*. Westport, Connecticut – London: Praeger, 449 p.
- Worsnop, C.** (1994). *Screening Images: Ideas for Media Education*. Mississauga: Wright Communication, 179 p.

## *Filmography*

**Motherland is calling. USSR, 1936.** Mosfilm. Premiered: April 29, 1936.

Directed by Alexander Macheret (second director – K.Krumin). Script writers: Valentin Kataev, Alexander Macheret. Cameraman: Nikolai Renko. Composers: Valerian Bogdanov-Berezovsky, Gavriil Popov. Artists: Pyotr Beitner, Vasily Rakhals. Cast: Mikhail Kedrov, Elena Melnikova, Alexandra Popova, Alesha Goryunov, O. Shakhet, Pyotr Berezov and others.

**Border under lock. USSR, 1937.** Soyuzdetfilm. Premiered: February 23, 1938.

Directed by Vasili Zhuravlev (second director – K.Kogtev). Script writers: Mikhail Dolgopolov, Ilya Bachelis. Cameraman: Nikolai Prozorovsky. Composer: Nikita Bogoslovsky. Artists: Yakov Feldman. Cast: Konstantin Nassonov, Semyon Svashenko, Galina Mogilevskaya, Konstantin Gradopolov, Viktor Arkasov, Fedor Seleznyov, Mikhail Viktorov, Viktor Shepel, Lev Prozorovsky, Pavel Massalsky and others.

**Deep raid. USSR, 1938.** Mostekhfilm. Premiered: February, 23, 1938

Directed by Pyotr Malakhov. Script writer: Nikolai Shpanov. Cameraman: Alexander Pulin. Composers: Nikolai Budashkin, Vladimir Yurovsky. Artist: Alexei Utkin. Cast: Georgy Lyubimov, Elena Stroeva, Nikolai Golovin, Konstantin Bartashevich, Alexander Cheban, Nikolai Gladkov, Georgy Muzalevsky, Sergei Komarov, N. Belyaev, Sergei Tsenin, Nikolai Kutuzov, Andrei Fait and others.

**If the war is tomorrow. USSR, 1938.** Mosfilm. Premiered: February, 23, 1938.

Directed by Yefim Dzigan (second directors: Lazar Antsi-Polovsky, Georgy Berezko, N.Karamzinsky). Script writers: Georgy Berezko, Yefim Dzigan, Mikhail Svetlov. Cameraman: Y.Yefimov. Composers: Daniil and Dmitry Pokrass. Artist: Mikhail Tiunov. Cast: Vsevolod Sanaev and others.

**On the border. USSR, 1938.** Lenfilm. Premiered: December 2, 1938.

Director, script writer: Alexander Ivanov. Cameraman: Vladimir Rapoport. Composer: Venedikt Pushkov. Artist: Pavel Zaltsman. Cast: Elena Tyapkina, Zoya Fedorova, Nikolai Kryuchkov, Stepan Krylov, Nikolai Vinogradov, Erast Garin, Yuri Lavrov, Nikolai Michurin and others.

**Naval post. USSR, 1938.** Odesskaya film studio. Premiere: February 19, 1939.

Directed by Vladimir Gonchukov. Script writer Lev Linkov. Cameraman: G. Shabanov. Composer: Nikolai Kryukov. Artist: Nikolai Valerianov. Cast: Ivan Novoseltsev, S. Yumasheva, Nikolai Ivakin, I. Rozhnyatovsky, Alexander Lutsenko, Vasily Lyudvinsky, Vladimir Uralsky, Maria Yarotskaya, Ivan Yudin and others.

**Tankers. USSR, 1939.** Lenfilm. Premiered: February 21, 1939.

Directed by: Zinovi Drapkin, Robert Mayman. Script writers: Zinovi Drapkin, Robert Mayman, Georgy Seliverstov. Cameraman: Alexander Sigaev, Moisey Magid. Daniil and Dmitry Pokrass. Artists: Dmitry Rudoi, Pyotr Yakimov. Cast: G.Gorbunov,

Mikhail Volsky, Alexander Kulakov, Vladimir Chobur, Ivan Kuznetsov, Vasily Merkuriev, Dmitry Dudnikov and others.

**Squadron number 5. USSR, 1939.** Kievskaya film studio. Premiered: June 7, 1939. Directed by: Abram Room. Script writer: Josef Prut. Cameraman: Nikolai Topchii. Composer: Konstantin Dankevich. Artists: Alexei Bobrovnikov, Mikhail Solokha. Cast: Yuri Shumsky, N.Garin, Boris Bezgin, Sofia Altovskaya, Andrei Apsolon, Viktor Gromov, Sergei Tsenin, Nikolai Bratersky, Yakov Zaslavsky, L.Novikov and others.

**The fourth periscope. USSR, 1939.** Lenfilm. Premiered: December 25, 1939. Directed by Viktor Eisymont. Script writers: Georgy Venetsianov, G.Blaustein. Cameramen: Vladimir Rapoport. Composers: Boris Goltz, Venedikt Pushkov. Artists: Abram Veksler, Ivan Znoynov. Cast: Boris Blinov, Vladimir Chesnokov, Maria Domasheva, Konstantin Nassonov, Valentin Arkhipenko, Vladimir Lukin, Sergei Morshchikhin, Pavel Volkov, Lev Shostak, Georgy Kranert, Alexander Nezhdanov, Vladimir Chobur, Ivan Dmitriev, Nikolai Kryukov and others.

**Sailors. USSR, 1939.** Odesskaya film studio. Premiered: February 21, 1940. Directed by Vladimir Braun. Script writer Johan Zeltser. Cameramen: Mikhail Kaplan, Grigory Aizenberg. Composer: Yuri Milyutin. Artist Mikhail Yuferov. Cast: Vladimir Osvetsimsky, Antonina Maksimova, Sergei Stolyarov, S. Timokhim, Alena Yegorova, Mikola Makarenko, Arkadi Arkadiev and other.

**The fifth ocean. USSR, 1940.** Kievskaya film studio. Premiere: November 15, 1940. Directed by Isidor Annensky. Script writers: Aleksei Speshnev, Alexander Filimonov. Cameraman Vladimir Okulitch. Composer: Sergei Pototsky. Artists: Solomon Zaritsky, Valentina Khmeleva. Cast: Andrei Abrikosov, Evgenia Gorkusha, Petr Aleinikov, Anton Dunaisky, Vasily Zaichikov, Anastasia Zueva, Aleksei Maksimov, Ivan Novoseltsev, Alexandra Popova and others.

## ***Hermeneutic Analysis of Soviet Feature Films of 1941-1942 on the Military Theme***

**Introduction.** In our earlier works [Fedorov, 2008; 2011; 2012, etc.] we referred to the ***hermeneutic analysis of media texts*** many times [Eko, 1998; 2005; Eco, 1976; Silverblatt, 2001, pp.80-81]. This time we shall take media texts of Soviet feature films on the military theme made after the German aggression against the USSR in the second half of 1941 - 1942s as an example. The analysis of these media texts, in our opinion, is especially important for media education of future historians, culture experts, art critics, social scientists, philologists, psychologists and teachers.

### ***Technology of the media text hermeneutic analysis of soviet feature films of 1941-1942 on the military theme***

#### **Setting; historical, cultural, political and ideological contexts**

##### **A. Historical Context**

*What does the media text tell us about the time when it was created?*

a) *setting: June, 1941 - December, 1942; USSR, other countries.*

b) *when did the premier take place?*

The analyzed films were created during the most difficult period for the USSR when the country was at war with Germany (the second half of 1941 and 1942), in the same years they were shown.

c) *how did the events of that time affect the media texts?*

The real historical events which took place during the pre-war period destroyed the virtual stereotypes of "wars with small losses and in the enemy's territory" which dominated in "defensive" films of the second half of the 1930s (*If Tomorrow Brings War, The Motherland Calls, Tankmen* and others). None of the Soviet films shot during the two years after 22 June 1941 showed the war in the enemy's territory (except for bombings of German cities and guerrilla warfare). Moreover, contrary to the stereotypes of military-utopian films of the second half of the 1930s Lubov Orlova's heroine says in *War Collection of Films №4* (1941) that "we know that the victory will be hard".

d) *what events occurred when the media texts were being created?*

*How did the media texts comment on the events? How does the awareness of the historical background contribute to the comprehension of the media texts?*

Not only Germany (that had conquered the greater part of Europe by then) and the USSR were involved in the world war of 1941-1942 but also Great Britain, Japan, Italy, Hungary, Romania, Slovakia and the USA. At the same time, Soviet audiovisual media texts of 1941-1942, contrary to the hardest realities of the war (millions of killed, wounded and captured Soviet citizens, Nazi occupation of vast territories of the USSR, etc.), commented (especially in *War Collection of Films №1-5, 1941*) on the current events in the most positive tone.

Evacuation and intensive development of the Soviet armaments industry, military re-equipment of many plants at the cost of incredible toil of manpower resources and defense of front-line towns were extremely important for the period of 1941-1942. This historical context found reflection in films of that epoch (*To You, Front; The Unconquerable*).

### **B. Ideological, Political Contexts.**

*How do media texts reflect, strengthen, instill or form this or that ideology?*

With the dominating communist ideology and allusions to fidelity to Stalin and the party (*War Collection of Films №1: We'll Knock the Enemy Soon, Be Sure! The Party and Stalin Call Us to Protect Our Motherland! For the Motherland! For Stalin!*) one can detect an adaptation of the communist ideology to patriotic slogans, an ideological orientation towards historical examples of military valor (Alexander Nevsky, Suvorov, Chapaev), and, on the other hand, a reminder of defeated foreign conquerors in Soviet films of 1941-1942. For example, in *War Collection of Films №1* caricatured Hitler is warned about the inevitable defeat from the Russian army by a German knight who got out of Lake Chudskoe, Napoleon and a keiser general of 1918; and in *War Collection of Films №2* Buonaparte sends a telegram to Hitler that runs: "Not advised. I tried and failed".

But the main thing is that one can easily become aware of the anti-Nazi message aimed to convince the audience that:

- Germans resort to mass terrorism against the civilian population and seek to enslave Russians and the Slavs (a short story "100 for One" from *War Collection of Films №2*, some stories from *War Collection of Films №12*, etc.);

- retaliatory terrorism against the German invaders is justified and required for the victory in the war (a short story "A Meeting" from *War Collection of Films №2*, a short story "A Feast in Zhirmunka" from *War Collection of Films №6* in which an elderly woman poisoned the German occupants, a war collection of films "Young Partisans", a short story "At the Old Nurse's" from *War Collection of Films №2*, a short story "The

Lighthouse" from *War Collection of Films №9*, a short story "Vanka" from *War Collection of Films №9*);

- one ought to be on the alert as Nazi agents and saboteurs may operate alongside and they must be exposed and annihilated ("The Secretary of the District Committee ", a short story "At the Old Nurse's" from *War Collection of Films №2*, *War Collection of Films №4*, a short story "One Night" in *War Collection of Films "Our Girls"*);

- USSR is not alone in its struggle against Nazi Germany. This statement is very obviously reflected in *War Collection of Films №4* when the reanimated character of the film "Volga-Volga" - postwoman Dunya gives the following inflammatory speech which was quite impossible to imagine before June 22, 1941: "The leading powerful nations of the world - America and England are with us! The mighty British fleet is fighting against Hitler with us!" A more radical voice-over text can be heard in *War Collection of Films №5*: "The two greatest democratic states of the world rose in defense of the mankind against fascism - USSR and Great Britain!"

The same goes with the plots of films about the struggle of eastern-European partisans (a short story "100 for One" from *War Collection of Films №2*, a short story "At Seven Sharp" from *War Collection of Films №7*, a short story "A Night at Belgrade" from *War Collection of Films №8*, a short story "Blue Cliffs" from *War Collection of Films №9*, "Yan the Elusive"). Here one should give credit to the efficient reaction of the Soviet film production industry to the current political situation. In the short stories "Block 14" (*War Collection of Films №9*, March, 1942) and "The Priceless Head" (*War Collection of Films №10*, 1942) the authors sympathize with the Polish anti-Nazi Resistance movement that was inspired, if the authors are to be trusted, by the support of "the Red Army and Stalin, the leader of working people". In the story "The Priceless Head" the authors also sympathetically show a character from a Jewish ghetto in Warsaw...

We would remind you that two decades ago (1920-1940) Poland was regarded both in the realistic politics and in the then mediatexts (the press, radio, and cinema) as one of the chief opponents of the USSR. And the territorial division that took place in September, 1939, according to a collusive treaty between Germany and the USSR inspired, for example, an extremely significant film "The Wind from the East" directed by A. Room (1940) that described the Polish establishment as malicious and cruel oppressors of common people of Western Ukraine who enthusiastically welcomed the Soviet troops at the end of the film...

Moreover, though not only German but also Italian, Rumanian, Hungarian and Slovakian divisions fought against the Soviet Army in real life the Kremlin apparently considered it politically important to inform a

general audience about the existing resistance even in these countries allied with the Nazi regime. A short story "Young Wine" from *War Collection of Films №10* (1942) describing the activity of Rumanian partisans is an example of the fact.

In whole the ideological and political world outlook contexts depicted in Soviet feature film media texts of 1941-1942 on the military theme can be represented in the following way (Table 1):

**Table 1. Ideology and political context of the outlook represented in soviet feature films of 1941-1942 on the military theme**

<b>Key questions to media texts</b>	<b>Representation of the USSR world</b>	<b>Representation of the enemy's world</b>
<i><b>What is the ideology of this world?</b></i>	Communist ideology in Stalin's interpretation	Imperialistic / Nazi aggressive ideology
<i><b>What outlook does this world present - optimistic or pessimistic?</b></i>	Optimistic throughout the action (a typical phrase from <i>War Collection of Films №2</i> addressing the enemy: "You won't eat our lard, bastards! And you won't eat our bread either!")	Presumptuous - optimistic, pessimistic
<i><b>What is the hierarchy of values according to this outlook?</b></i>	Patriotism - communist party - Stalin - people - hatred for the enemy - family	Aggression – imperialism / Nazism - enrichment; Aggression – cruel treatment of enemies - scornful of the inferior
<i><b>What values can be found in this media text?</b></i> <i><b>What values dominate in the end?</b></i>	Patriotic, communist values (during the whole action)	Imperialistic, Nazi values, fear of death (the latter especially prevails in media texts of 1942)

<p><b><i>What does it mean to be a success in this world?</i></b>  <b><i>What person succeeds in this world?</i></b>  <b><i>What conduct is rewarded in this world?</i></b>  <b><i>To what extent is it stereotyped?</i></b></p>	<p>It means to be a communist, Leninist-Stalinist, a patriot, a brave soldier who has no mercy on the enemy, a good family man. These are common stereotypes for positive characters who can also possess some individual traits (humor, vocal talent)</p>	<p>It means to be an imperialist/Nazi, a professional warrior who has no mercy on the enemy. These are common stereotypes for characters who can also possess some individual traits (wit, calculation, ruse, stupidity, cowardice, irony, sarcasm)</p>
--	--	---

### **C. Cultural Context**

*How do media texts reflect, strengthen, or form the cultural context: relations, values, and myths?*

Mass culture media texts were a success due to multiple factors. These include: reference to folk and mythological sources; constancy of metaphors; successive introduction of the most durable plot schemes; synthesis of the natural and the supernatural; appeal not to the rational but to the emotional through identification (imagined transformation into personages, immersion in the text atmosphere), "the magic power" of the characters, standardization (replication, unification, adaptation) of ideas, situations, characters, etc., mosaic and serial character, compensation (illusive realization of cherished but unfulfilled wishes), a happy end, use of the rhythmic text organization where, for example, the audience's emotions are affected by the order of the frames change alongside with the plot; intuitive prediction of the audience's subconscious wishes, etc.

And here the Soviet films on the military theme of 1941-1942, a product of the mass/pop culture also rely on folk and mythological sources. In this connection both Alexander Nevsky, and Napoleon, and Chapaev with Shveik are referred to in *War collections of films*, in the first place, not as characters of historical and/or literary origin but as folk-mythological figures familiar to the public at large.

Practically all Soviet films of 1941-1942 on the military topic are characterized by serial character (for example, a *prequel* to a story about heroic Rybkin in *War Collection of Films №3* of 1941 and its further basic variant "Antosha Rybkin" shot in 1942 is a *sequel* of 1941 - "Chapaev is with Us"), standardization of ideas, situations, characters, etc. The compensatory

factor of cherished dreams realization become apparent in the majority of Soviet films of 1941-1942 where regardless of the real situation at the front positive characters would beat the Hitlerites.

**D. Genre Modifications:** drama (64 films), detective (2 films). Taking into account the genres of feature short stories of *War Collections of Films* they shot 33 dramas, 7 comedies and 1 detective in 1941; 31 drama, 3 comedies and 1 detective in 1942. The overwhelming majority of these films were short due to the understandable necessity for fast response to current events. For instance, in 1941 only one feature film on the war theme with a length time longer than 60 minutes was created, but in 1942 there were five films.

Though the best scriptwriters, film makers and actors of that time (see the filmography) were involved in creating of films on the war theme in 1941-1942 the stylistics of such films did not differ much from the film stylistics of the previous decade except for more realistic representation of military life.

*The dramatic stereotype:* Nazis destroy a peaceful, tranquil and happy life of people; after occupying a town or a village they start a mass terror (shootings, executions, tortures, etc.) against the civilian population including women and children, drive away Russian people as captives to work in Germany, etc. The nation rises to fight with the enemies: in the regular army, in partisan detachments, clandestine organizations (subversive acts of partisans and spies, shootings of Nazis, etc.). At the same time, spies, saboteurs and traitor of the country are unmasked...

#### ***Techniques of reality representation (iconography) - setting, living conditions, etc.***

Simple dwellings, offices and households of Soviet characters; apparently a more prosperous standard of life of German characters, unified Soviet and German military facilities, equipment.

Life of Soviet people (mainly, military men) and/or partisans from Eastern European countries is shown as a rule conditionally truly and always positively; a well-to-do mode of life of German characters in Germany is depicted with some grotesque but in general it is also quite true; the lifestyle of German characters at the front or in the occupied Russian territories is rather poor (in particular, in winter when Germans get frozen from extreme cold).

**Details:** Soviet war losses and especially the losses in civilian population - in films shot in 1942 are shown with moderation. In films of 1942 heavy human losses of the USSR are no longer concealed, on the

contrary, casual episodes of documentary films about Nazi victims call for ruthless revenge on the hated enemy. The films soundtracks contain both encouraging marching 'offensive' songs ("Rise, our vast country...") and lyric melodies.

Generally, the representation of reality in Soviet feature films of 1941-1942 on the war topic can be presented as in Table 2.

**Table 2. Typical iconographic setting codes in soviet feature films of 1941-1942 on the military theme**

<b>Codes of typical setting in media texts</b>	<b>Visual characteristics of these codes realization in media texts</b>
Dwelling place of the enemy	Looks more prosperous than dwelling places of Soviet characters, but according to the plot this treasure is ill-gotten.
Dwelling place of the Soviet character	Poor but of good quality. The officers' quarters are nearly equal to the dwelling conditions of German burghers.
Army premises (headquarters, dugouts, etc.), trenches	Functional furniture - tables, chairs/armchairs, etc. In the Soviet variant everything is of good quality but very simple (though, the portraits of leaders on the walls are obligatory). The interior in the enemy premises is similar but grim (if the premises are located in Germany), dirty, untidy (if the premises are located in the front-line area and in the occupied territories)
Aircraft, tank, ship	Only functional articles of furniture – a cabin, levers and control device, weapons, partitions, etc.

*Typology of characters (their values, ideas, ethics, clothing, constitution, vocabulary, mime, gestures)*

**Male Characters**

**Character's age:** 10-70 years old (though sometimes very small)

children are in picture)

**Character's race:** white.

**Character's appearance, clothes, constitution:**

**a) military men:** Soviet characters are dressed in a uniform of good quality and are, as a rule, very robust; Nazis, on the contrary, are very feeble and look battered in most cases (especially in films with the winter nature of 1942), for example, they can go with woman's shawls or scarves tied round their heads; in whole, two basic variants of Nazi constitution are underlined - either overweight or, vice versa, thinness; foreign partisans (Polish, Yugoslavian, Czech, Rumanian) are dressed in accordance with the European "middle-class" fashion of the early 1940s;

**б) civilian population:** Soviet characters are modestly dressed (especially the rural community), the Germans look obviously richer; the constitution both of Soviet and German characters varies and depends on the film context; at the same time, physiognomically the enemy characters unlike the Soviet characters look disagreeable.

**Educational attainment:** higher education (officers), secondary and elementary education, more rarely - higher (civilian population, soldiers).

**Social standing, profession:** the social status of Soviet characters is approximately similar (though the living conditions of commanding officers are much more comfortable); the social status of foreign characters is different; a wide range of professions dominating with military men.

**Character's marital status:** has no special significance, both positive and negative characters can be either married or single.

**Character traits:** power, quick wit, activity, loyalty, optimism, bravery, tenacity of purpose (Soviet characters, characters of the countries conquered by Nazis), hostility, slyness, cruelty, cowardice, meanness, purposefulness (German characters). Soviet characters are honest fighters for their Motherland and communist ideas with businesslike or pathos vocabulary, reserved gestures and mime. German characters (soldiers, officers, spies) are shown as malicious, rude and cruel fanatics with primitive vocabulary, active gesticulation and annoying timbres of their shrill yells. However, already in films made in 1942 the Nazis were depicted sometimes as vicious but clever enemies as for example in the following short stories: "Spiders" and "102<sup>nd</sup> Kilometer" from *War Collection of Films №11* or in the film about pilots "The Way to the Stars" directed by E. Pentslin. N. Okhlopkov and M. Strauch in *War Collections of Films №7* and *№11* "went beyond the scope of caricature. N. Okhlopkov played a German officer with a monocle who went into ecstasies at seeing a harmonium. He enchanted a Dutch family with his courtliness of conduct, gentle modulations of his baritone in order to efficiently rob their house the following day. The story

was called "The White Crow". M. Strauch played a philosopher-killer dressed in a white overall with a syringe in his hand in the story "Spiders". These were expressive figures: they were not characters yet but they were no longer simple caricatures" [Zak, 1975, p. 41].

However, generally speaking, the characters of the personages - both Soviet and German - are given only in outline without a deep psychological insight. Enemy characters speak Russian (for the viewer's convenience) but sometimes with a German accent. In rare instances one can hear some German remarks.

**Character's value orientations (ideological, religious, others):** patriotic, communist values (Soviet characters), imperialist, Nazi values (German characters), religious values, as a rule, go beyond the scope of media texts.

**Conduct of a character, his strategy of conflict resolution:** acts of characters are determined by the development of the media text plot. Soviet characters demonstrate their best professional/military qualities, brilliantly work out and realize plans for enemy liquidation. German characters either stand on the defensive or attack but all the time suffer a defeat. Cruelty and ruthlessness towards even civilian population dominate in Nazis' behavior. In films shot in 1942 Wehrmacht soldiers and officers do not conceal their despair and lack of fighting spirit.

#### **Female Characters**

**Character's age:** 10-70 years old (though sometimes very small girls are in picture).

**Character's race:** white.

**Character's appearance, clothes, constitution:** Soviet characters, as a rule, have average constitution, are dressed in plain civilian attire, more rarely - in military uniform. Foreign characters can be divided into two distinct categories - women of the countries occupied by Nazis are shown with compassion, but the German women are always negative characters (the latter are obviously more richly dressed but are physiognomically repulsive)

**Educational attainment:** secondary and elementary education.

**Social standing, profession:** the social status of Soviet characters is approximately alike; the social status of foreign characters differs; women-workers of various professions are represented, more rarely - nurses, women-partisans.

**Character's marital status:** women over 18 are usually married.

**Character traits:** power, quick wit, activity, loyalty, optimism, bravery, firmness of purpose (Soviet characters, characters of the countries conquered by Nazis), hostility, slyness, cruelty, cowardice, meanness, purposefulness (German characters).

**Character's value orientations (ideological, religious, others):** patriotic, communist values (Soviet characters), bourgeois, Nazi values (German characters), religious values, as a rule, go beyond the scope of media texts, however, sometimes they are emphasized (an elderly peasant woman in "A Feast in Zhirmunka").

**Conduct of a character, his strategy of conflict resolution:** characters' behaviour is determined by the plot of a media text. Soviet characters demonstrate their best professional/military qualities, their ability to drastically resolve conflicts.

***Significant changes in the media text plot and characters' lives, the incipient problem***

Positive Soviet characters either live a peaceful life in the beginning (it takes the minimum film time) or immediately find themselves in the wartime and do their best to repel the Nazi aggression. Negative characters commit aggression/crime and despite some local initial successes they inevitable suffer defeat at the end of the film. Their remarks are often full of pessimism.

**Details:** a German ace (ironically played by N. Volkov) from "The Way to the Stars" grumbles at seeing their fresh reinforcement: "How old are you? - Forty-three, - And you? - Forty-five, - I need pilots but not bats". It is also he who says another sarcastic phrase: "Can't sleep, lieutenant? Then think of the Russian pilot who will shoot you down"...

***Incipient problem, search for solutions to the problem***

***Incipient problem:*** violation of the law - the life of positive characters is under threat because of the Hitler aggression. The only solution is the armed struggle of positive characters against the enemy aggression.

***Solution to the problem:*** liquidation/capture of aggressors, victory of Soviet soldiers/partisans.

***Conclusions.*** Thus we performed a hermeneutic analysis (investigation of media texts interpretation, cultural and historical factors influencing the views of the agency / author of a media text and the audience) of specific examples of Soviet feature films of 1941-1942 on the military theme. At the same time, we mean that the hermeneutic analysis of a media text comprehension involves a comparison with a historical, cultural tradition and reality; insight into its logic; comparison of media images in historical and cultural contexts combined with the historical, hermeneutical analyses of the structural, plot, ethical, ideological and iconographic / visual analyses of media stereotypes and media text characters.

## References

- Eco, U.** (1976). *A Theory of Semiotics*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Eco U.** (1998). *Lack of Structure. Introduction to Semiology*. St. Petersburg: Petropolis, 432 p.
- Eco U.** (2005). *The Role of the Reader. Studies on the Semiotics of the Text*. St.Petersburg: Symposium, 502 p.
- Fedorov, A.** (2008). *Analysis of the Cultural Mythology of Media Texts in the Classroom in the Student Audience*. In: *Innovations in Education*, № 4, pp. 60-80.
- Fedorov, A.** (2011). *Structural Analysis of Media Texts: Stereotypes of Soviet Cinematic Image of War and V. Vinogradov's Film "Eastern Corridor" (1966)*. In: *Problems of Cultural Studies*, № 6, pp.110-116.
- Fedorov, A.** (2012). *Analysis of Audiovisual Media Texts*. Moscow, 182 p.
- Silverblatt, A.** (2001). *Media Literacy*. Westport, Connecticut – London: Praeger, 449 p.
- Zak, M.** (1975). *Feature Films*. In: *History of the Soviet Cinema*. Vol. 3. 1941-1952. Moscow: Art, 1975, p. 41.

## Filmography

### Part 1. War Collections of Films (1941-1942)

**War Collection of Films №1. USSR, 1941.** Premier: 2 August 1941. Directors: I. Mutanov, Ye. Nekrasov, A. Olenin, S. Gerasimov. Script writers: B. Laskin, L. Leonov, L. Lench, G. Kozintsev, L. Trauberg. Cameramen: N. Naumov-Strazh, Yu. Fogelman, V. Yakovlev. Composer: N. Kryukov. Cast: B. Chirkov, N. Petropavlovskaya, P. Pepnin, V. Kantsel, M. Troyanovsky, N. Bubnov and others.

**Three short films: "A Meeting with Maxim", "A Dream Come True", "Three Men in a Shell Hole".**

**War Collection of Films №2. USSR, 1941.** Premier: 11 August 1941. Directors: Ye. Chervyakov, V. Eysymont, L. Arnshtam, G. Rappaport, G. Kozintsev, V. Feinberg. Script writers: Yu. German, V. Voevodin, I. Zeltser, L. Arnshtam, V. Belyaev, Ye. Ryss, A. Shtein, M. Rosenberg. Cameramen: A. Ksenofontov, Ye. Velichko. Composer I. Dunayevsky. Cast: Ye. Chervyakov, T. Sukova, B. Blinov, L. Yemelyantseva and others.

**Three short films: "A Meeting", "One Among Many", "At the Old Nurse's", "100 for One", "An Accident at the Telegraph".**

**War Collection of Films №3. USSR, 1941.** Premier: 22 August 1941. Directors: B. Barnet, Peter Baylis, K. Yudin. Script writers: I. Boldin, L. Shiffers. Cameraman: K. Vents. Composers: N. Krykov, G. Milyutin. Cast: V. Arkasov, V. Shishkin, N. Trofimov, B. Chirkov and others.

**Three short films: "English Anti-Aircraft Gunners" (British Newsreel), "Courage", "Antosha Rybkin".**

**War Collection of Films №4. USSR, 1941.** Directors: G. Aleksandrov, E. Aron, V. Pronin. Script writers: A. Raskin, K. Isaev, Ye. Pomeschikov, G. Fish, N. Rozhkov. Cameramen: K. Nazariants, A. Galperin, V. Pronin, A. Shelenkov. Composer: L. Schwarz. Cast: L. Orlova, Z. Fedorova, V. Volodin, Ye. Kuzyurina, P. Springfeld, A. Baranov, A. Fait and others.

**Three short films: "British Fleet" (British Newsreel), "A Patriot Woman", "The Order Is Executed".**

**War Collection of Films №5. USSR, 1941.** Director M. Slutsky. Script writer A. Kapler. Cameramen: I. Veynerovich, M. Glider, K. Kutub-Zade, O. Reisman, V. Solovyov, V. Frolenko. Composers: G. Milyutin, A. Aleksandrov.

**Two documentary short films: "London Does Not Give Up" (British Newsreel), "Our Moscow".**

**War Collection of Films №6. USSR, 1941.** Directors: M. Doller, V. Pudovkin, V. Winestock. Script writers: L. Leonov, N. Shpikovsky, V. Winestock. Cameramen: A. Golovnya, T. Lobova, A. Galperin. Composer N. Kryukov. Cast: Z. Fedorova, A. Danilova, N. Kryuchkov, V. Uralsky, A. Zueva and others.

**Three short films: "Women of the Air Fleet" (Newsreel), "Hatred", "A Feast in Zhirmunka".**

**War Collection of Films №7. USSR, 1941.** Premier: 5 December 1941. Directors: A. Gendelstein, K. Mints, S. Yutkevich, A. Rou, L. Altsev, R. Perelstein. Script writers: M. Vituchnovsky, M. Volpin, K. Mints, A. Sazonov, N. Erdman, D. Eremich, Jo. Manevich. Cameraman Jo. Martov. Cast: E. Garin, P. Olenev, N. Okhlopkov, V. Vladislavsky, K. Zubov, I. Lyubeznov, N. Plotnikov, N. Barskaya, G. Georgiu, V. Lepko, S. Martinson, A. Shatov, V. Kantsel, V. Popov, M. Yanshin and others.

**Six short films: "At Seven Sharp", "Elixir of Courage", "Acceptor of Catastrophes", "The Boldest Man", "A Real Patriot", "The White Crow".**

**War Collection of Films №8. USSR, 1941.** Premier: 7 February 1942. Directors: L. Lukov, N. Sadkovich. Script writers: V. Ivanov, Jo. Sklyut. Cameramen: A. Lavrik, N. Kulchitsky. Composers: N. Bogoslovsky, A. Philipp. Cast: T. Okunevskaya, N. Gitserot, O. Abdulov, I. Novoseltsev, P. Aleynikov, L. Masokha, V. Zaychikov, S. Kayukov, A. Khvylya, B. Andreyev, M. Bernes and others.

**Two short films: "A Night over Belgrade", "Three Tankmen".**

**War Collection of Films №9. USSR, 1941.** Premier: 4 May 1942. Directors: V. Braun, M. Donskoy, I. Savchenko. Script writers: Yu. Olesha, S. Lazurin. Cameramen: A. Mishurin, D. Demutsky, Yu. Yekelchik. Composers: Yu. Meitus, Ya. Stollyar. Cast: V. Mironova, B. Runge, N. Komissarov, N. Bubnov, L. Kmit, Ya. Andzheyevskaya, M. Bernes and others.

**Three short stories: "Block 14", "Blue Cliffs", "The Lighthouse".**

**War Collection of Films №10. USSR, 1941.** Premier: 10 June 1942. Director B. Barnet. Script writers: B. Petker, K. Isayev. Cameraman K. Vents. Composers: N. Kryukov, L. Stepanov. Cast: V. Orlova, N. Cherkasov, V. Shishkin, V. Uralsky, M. Goldblat, M. Astangov, N. Bogolyubov, M. Kuznetsov and others.

**Two short films: "The Priceless Head", "Young Wine".**

**War Collection of Films №11. URRR, 1942.** Premier: 7 August 1942. Directors: V. Braun, A. Macheret, N. Sadkovich, I. Trauberg. Scrip writers: B. Fayans, M. Berestinsky, B. Laskin, Jo. Sklyut. Cameramen: Ya. Kulish, G. Eisenberg. Composers: D. Block, D. Klebanov. Cast: S. Martinson, I. Pereverzev, I. Novoseltsev, N. Volkov, O. Abdulov, P. Aleynikov, I. Bobrov, A. Lukyanov, M. Strauch and others.

**Four short films: "In the Circle of Hate", "Spiders", "'102<sup>nd</sup> Kilometer", "The Career of Lt. Gopp".**

**War Collection of Films №12. USSR, 1942.** Premier: 12 August 1942. Directors: G. Rappaport, V. Stroyeva. Scrip writers: S. Mikhalkov, S. Polotsky, Jo. Prut, M. tevelev, M. Bolshintsov, G. Musrepov. Cameramen: V. Rappaport, S. Sheynin. Composer V. Velikanov. Cast: Ya. Zheimo, Yu. Bogolyubov, O. Zhakov, K. Badyrov, M. Zharov, B. Blinov, Ye. Nemchenko, L. Yemelyantseva, N. Cherkasov, M. Sobolevskaya, K. Sorokin, A. Danilova and others.

**Two short films: "The Son of a Soldier", "Vanka".**

**War Collection of Films "Our Girls". USSR, 1942.** Directors: A. Room, G. Kozintsev. Script writers: B. Brodyansky, A. Olshansky. Cameramen: B. Brodyansky, A. Olshansky. Cameramen: L. Kosmatov, A. Moskvina. Composers: G. Popov, S. Prokofyev. Cast: V. Karavayeva, S. Stolyarov, L. Shabalina, L. Yemelyantseva, B. Bibikov, Yu. Korshun, A. Yachnitsky, Yu. Bogolyubov, B. Olenin, M. Kuznetsov, N. Petropavlovskaya and others.  
**Two short films: "Tonya", "One Night" (was not released).**

**War Collection of Films "Forest Brothers". USSR, 1942.** Directors: V. Zhuravlev, Ye. Shneider. Script writers: V. Zhuravlev, Ye. Pomeschikov, N. Rozhkov. Cameramen: G. Garibyan, G. Yegiazarov. Composer A. Lepin. Cast: A. Ivanov, S. Svashenko, M. Kovaleva, B. Tenin, P. Sukhanov, A. Fait, S. Troitsky, A. Gretchany, M. Troyanovsky and others.  
**Two short films: "Forest Brothers", "Dad's Death".**

**War Collection of Films "Young Partisans". USSR, 1942.** Premier: 12 August 1942. Directors: L. Kuleshov, I. Savchenko. Script writers: V. Vasilevskaya, L. Kassil, Ye. Pomeschikov, N. Rozhkov, G. Tasin. Cameramen: Yu. Yekelchik, M. Kirillov. Composer S. Pototsky. Cast: G. Stepanova, P. Galadzhhev, A. Dunaysky, H. Klering, S. Martinson, A. Fait and others.  
**Two short films: "Levko", "Teacher Kartashova".**

## *Part 2. Other soviet feature films of 1941-1942 on the war theme*

### **1941**

In the Sentry Box

In the Black Mountains

Blood for Blood

Mother

Sea Hawk

We'll Win. 1941.

To the Call of the Leader. 1941.

Fire in the Forest. 1941.

Brave Friends

The Last Turn

Prokhorovna

Good Morning

A Family of Patriots

The Old Guard

The Son of the Motherland  
Lessons of the Soviet Language  
The Outpost  
Chapaev is with Us

**1942**

Antosha Rybkin  
Bakhtiar  
Belorussian Novels  
Battle of Sokol  
In Underwater Captivity  
Mittens  
The Way to the Stars  
Daughter  
Timur's Oath  
Bridge  
The Unconquerable  
Yan the Elusive  
A Fellow from Our Town  
The Partisans in the Ukrainian Steppes  
Secretary of the District Committee  
The Son of Tajikistan  
To You, Front

## ***Nazi Feature Films on the Russian Topic***

The media texts under analysis have been disregarded by Russian culture experts, political scientists, historians and film experts for many decades. In the Soviet period it was not done to mention whatever feature films on the subject of Russia were shot in the Nazi Germany. Even N. Nusinova in her complete monograph devoted to the 1918-1930 Russian cinema abroad published in the 21st century avoids this topic [Nusinova, 2003]. It seems rather odd since the cinema of the Third Reich had a famous actress Olga Chekhova (1897-1980), producer Victor Turzhansky (1891-1976), actors Nikolay Kolin (1878-1966), Boris Alekin (1904–1942), etc. A. Vasilchenko, a well-known analyst of Nazi history, also neglected the Russian theme in German films of the period in his book about the Nazi cinema [Vasilchenko, 2010].

In our earlier works [Fedorov, 2008; 2011; 2012, etc.] we referred to the ***hermeneutic analysis of media texts*** many times [Eko, 1998; 2005; Eco, 1976; Silverblatt, 2001, pp.80-81]. This time we shall take audiovisual media texts of the Nazi cinema on the theme of Russia as an example. The analysis of these media texts, in our opinion, is especially important for media education of future historians, culture experts, art critics, social scientists, philologists, psychologists and teachers.

### ***Hermeneutic Analysis of Audiovisual Media Texts of the Nazi Cinema on the Theme of Russia: Setting; Historical, Cultural, Political and Ideological Contexts***

#### ***A. Historical Context***

a) *Setting.* As a rule, the scene is laid in the remote past (*The Favorite of the Empress, Cadets, The Citadel of Warsaw, The Postman, It Was a Gay Ballnight*) and the recent past (*Double-Agent Asew, Battleship "Sebastopol" - White Slaves*), though the modern period cannot be excluded (*G.P.U. / The Red Terror*); Germany, Russia and other countries;

b) *when did the premier take place?* The films under analysis were created and shown on the western screen in the second half of the 1930s - in the early 1940s. On average one or two films on the theme of Russia were made per year in Nazi Germany.

c) *how did the events of that time affect the media texts?* d) *what events occurred when the media texts were being created? How did the media texts comment on the events? How does the awareness of the historical background contribute to the comprehension of the media texts?*

The appearance of these media texts was certainly caused by real events which took place in the 1930s - 1940s. When Hitler came to power the

tendency of the Third Reich-USSR confrontation became apparent. That is why there were two conceptions of reflecting "the Russian world" in the Nazi cinematography: tsarist Russia, emigrant Russia could get a positive film interpretation (*The Favorite of the Empress, It Was a Gay Ballnight, From Midnight, etc.*), whereas Bolshevism and Soviet Russia would always look negative on the screen (*Battleship "Sebastopol" - White Slaves, G.P.U. / The Red Terror*). It should also be noted that after the German intervention on the USSR in 1941 both tsarist and emigrant Russia ceased to interest the cinematography of the Third Reich and was at best on the fringes of plots (for example, in the form of episodes with Russians) whereas the Nazi film, *G.P.U. / The Red Terror* shown in 1942 actively exposed "bolshevist spies' schemes"... Besides unlike the Soviet cinematography where from 1941 to 1942 there were shot over 70 short (including novels in "Battle Film Collections") and full-length films which directly reflected the events of the war with Germany, the Nazi cinema relied on reported war chronicles.

#### **B. Ideological, Political Contexts.**

*How do media texts reflect, strengthen, instill or form this or that ideology?*

One cannot but feel an obvious propagandistic message aimed at persuading the audience that:

- Russia used to be great when it was an empire where culture flourished (a comedy about the life of Russian aristocracy in the epoch of Empress Elisabeth - *The Favorite of the Empress*, a musical melodrama about the life of P.I. Tchaikovsky - *It Was a Gay Ballnight*);

- at the same time the imperial policy of Russia could be dangerous for other European countries (*The Citadel of Warsaw, Cadets*);

- after 1917 one can express sympathy only with Russians who suffered from Bolsheviks and those who emigrated to the West (*Battleship "Sebastopol" - White Slaves, From Midnight, etc.*);

- Bolsheviks practice mass terror both towards representatives of aristocracy and civilian population, their aim is to turn Russian people into slaves (*Battleship "Sebastopol" - White Slaves, G.P.U. / The Red Terror*);

- armed resistance to Bolsheviks is justified and indispensable (*Battleship "Sebastopol" - White Slaves, G.P.U. / The Red Terror*).

In whole, the ideological and political contexts of the world outlook presented in Nazi feature films on the theme of Russia may be outlined in the following way (Table 11):

**Table 11. Ideology and Political Context of the Outlook Represented in Nazi Feature Films on the Theme of Russia**

<b>Key Questions</b>	<b>Representation of the Russian Past World</b>	<b>Representation of the Soviet World</b>	
<i>What is the ideology of this world?</i>	Monarchist ideology	Communist aggressive ideology	
<i>What outlook does this world present – optimistic or pessimistic?</i>	Optimistic world outlook prevails, though, in some cases there can be different variants	Mostly self-confident and optimistic; pessimism takes place only the negative end for Bolshevik characters	
<i>What is the hierarchy of values according to this outlook?</i>	Patriotism – monarchy - culture - nation – family - love.	Communism – Bolshevism – aggression - inhumane treatment of victims, dismissive attitude to the inferior	
<i>What values can be found in this media text?</i> <i>What values dominate in the end?</i>	Patriotic, monarchist, family values.	Communist, Bolshevik values	
<i>What does it mean to be a success in this world?</i> <i>What person succeeds in this world?</i> <i>What conduct is rewarded in this world?</i> <i>To what extent is it stereotyped?</i>	It means to be a monarchist, patriot, a good family man. In this aspect positive characters are stereotyped, though they may possess some individual traits (beauty, humor, musical talent)	It means to be a bolshevist – communist who is cruel to his victims. In this aspect characters are stereotyped, though they may possess some individual traits (slyness, stupidity, cowardice)	

### **C. Cultural Context**

*How do media texts reflect, strengthen, or form cultural: relations, values, and myths?*

Being a product of mass/pop culture Nazi films on the subject of Russia are based on folk and mythological sources including the traditional notion of the Western world about the "mysterious Russian soul". In this

respect it is interesting to analyze Karl Anton's (1898-1979) film *Battleship "Sebastopol" - White Slaves* (1937) in which the myth about the rebellious *Battleship Potemkin* (1925) brilliantly created by Sergei Eisenstein was apparently used.

And it is not accidental since as late as 1933 the then head of the Culture Department minister J. Goebbels said about the film *Battleship Potemkin* at the meeting with German filmmakers: "This is a wonderful film. From the cinematographic point of view it is unmatched. The one who has no firm political convictions could have become a Bolshevik after watching the film. It also proves that one can easily suggest some tendency in a masterpiece. Even the worst ideas can be propagandized with artistic means" [Vasilchenko, 2010, p. 5]. Thus it was a government order in its way to create a Nazi analog of S. Eisenstein's film. And in 1937 this order was completed by K. Anton. In the film *Battleship "Sebastopol" - White Slaves* the seaman as well as in S. Einstein's film stirred up a rebellion on shipboard. But this event was given with a reversed sign, i.e. the rebellion aboard the *Battleship "Sebastopol"* is fomented by malicious and fierce Bolsheviks who slaughter noble officers, priests, rape women, burn orthodox icons... But, God be thanked, there is a Russian officer, Count Konstantin Volkov who gathers true seamen devoted to Russia. He disarms the rebels and frees the captives. At the end of the film Count Volkov pronounces a conceptual phrase of the film *Battleship "Sebastopol"*: "This calamity doesn't concern only Russia. The enemies of civilization must be destroyed. The struggle is pursued!" Then his thought is supported by one of his companions-in-arms: "Peoples don't see this danger yet..."

**D. Genre Modifications:** generally – a drama and melodrama. At the same time, not only Russian but also German actors were involved in the film.

**F. Basic Drama Stereotypes of the Media Texts:**

- love adventures of Russian aristocrats;
- sufferings of Russian emigrants who fled from Bolsheviks;
- Bolsheviks' destruction of a peaceful, tranquil and happy life of people; their occupation of the ship, city, mass communist terror (executions, tortures, etc.) towards the civilian population including women; the struggle of the best representatives of the Russian people with Bolsheviks.

In particular, in the film *G.P.U. / The Red Terror* directed by Karl Ritter (1888-1977) - one of the most famous Nazi filmmakers and a member of the national-socialist party since 192, the major positive characters - Irina and Peter get into an underground prison situated in the building of ... the Soviet consulate in Rotterdam. Their story would have had a tragic end if it had not happened in May, 1940. It was at this point that the Nazi army started their occupation of Holland. So, taking the advantage of the bombing and

panic of Soviet "diplomats" Peter kills the torturer-Cheka officer, frees Irina from the cell who is more dead than alive, helps her get out into the street where Wehrmacht tanks are already rolling over it. Here is freedom, light, and a triumph of justice...

***Techniques of reality representation (iconography) - setting, conditions of life, etc.***

Simple dwellings and conditions of life of "ordinary" characters (of course if they are not in Soviet prison cells); luxurious apartments of the Russian aristocracy. Everyday life of Bolsheviks is shown with somewhat grotesque but in whole it is also verisimilar (there are portraits of leaders on the walls, etc.).

***Typology of characters (their values, ideas, ethics, clothing, constitution, vocabulary, mime, gestures)***

Character's age: 18-60 (men), 18-30 (women).

Character's race: white.

Character's appearance, clothes, constitution:

a) Russian characters of the tsarist time as well as the characters who emigrated from the Bolshevik regime to the west are dressed depending on their social status: luxury of the imperial palace (*The Favorite of the Empress*), modesty of the postmaster (*The Postman*), etc. The appearance of these characters is attractive as a rule, especially it concerns the representatives of aristocracy;

b) Bolshevik characters are normally dressed in a uniform with attributes of the time (a leather jacket, cartridge belts, a Mauser, etc.); they are robust though sometimes may have a commonplace physical constitution; in most cases they are physiognomically disagreeable.

c) victims of the Bolshevik terror are dressed in accordance with their social standing; their constitutions vary in a wide range and depend on the context of a certain film; female characters are rather attractive as a rule;

Educational attainment: higher education (officers, aristocrats), secondary education, illiterate people.

Social standing, profession: the social standing of Russian and Soviet characters (aristocrats, officers, emigrants, ordinary people, Bolsheviks, etc.) varies considerably depending on definite film plots.

Marital status of characters also depends on the film plots.

Character traits: cruelty, meanness, sexual concern, tenacity of purpose, hostility, slyness, power (Bolshevik characters); nobleness, power, purposefulness, courage (positive characters - aristocrats, emigrants, the intelligentsia, etc.). Bolshevik characters are shown as malicious, rude and cruel fanatics with a primitive speech, active gesticulation and rough voice timbres. Positive characters, on the contrary, are gallant and soft-voiced. In

whole the characters of all Nazi media texts on the subject of Russia are given only in an outline without a psychological insight.

**Value orientations (ideological, religious, others) of a character:** in the films about Bolsheviks (*Battleship "Sebastopol"* - *White Slaves*, *G.P.U. / The Red Terror*) communist values together with atheism, terror and violence are clearly revealed. The values of positive characters are close to "all-European" ones.

**Conduct of a character, his strategy of conflict resolution:** the characters' behavior is motive by the development of the above-mentioned stereotyped media text plots. Bolshevik characters in most cases behave cruelly and mercilessly but their victims either suffer from a feeling of doom and dread or show determination to stand up to the end. The actions of Russian characters from Nazi films about the time before 1917 depend on certain plots and genres and can vary in a wide range - both negative (Russian characters in the war drama *Cadets*) and positive (P.I Tchaikovsky in the musical melodrama *It Was a Gay Ballnight*)

**Significant changes in the media text plot and characters' lives, the incipient problem, search for solutions to the problem**

In the films with Bolshevik characters a peaceful and happy life of positive characters is broken by aggressive actions of revolutionary seamen, Cheka officials and other negative personalities. The incipient problem: as a result of Bolshevik extreme violence the lives of positive characters are under threat. And there is only solution to the problem, i.e. struggle against Bolshevism. In the films about the time before 1917 (for instance, films about the life of Russian emigrants) the plots are more varied and are not so much stereotyped.

**Conclusions.** Thus we made an attempt to perform a hermeneutic analysis (investigation of media texts interpretation, cultural and historical factors influencing the views of the agency / author of a media text and the audience) of specific examples of Nazi feature films. At the same time we mean that the hermeneutic analysis of a media text comprehension involves a comparison with a historical, cultural tradition and reality; insight into its logic; comparison of media images in historical and cultural contexts combined with the historical, hermeneutical analyses of the structural, plot, ethical, ideological and iconographic / visual analyses of media stereotypes and media text characters.

### *Selected Filmography*

**Double-Agent Asew / Lockspitzel Asew. Germany, 1935.** Film director: Phil Jutzi. Script writer: At. Timann. Cast of actors: Fritz Rasp, Olga Chekhova, Hilde von Stolz and others.

**The Favorite of the Empress / Der Favorit der Kaiserin. Germany, 1936.** Film director: Werner Hochbaum. Script writers: Charles Amberg, Hans Martin Cremer and others. Cast of actors: Olga Chekhova, Anton Pointner, Heinz von Cleve, Ada Chekhova and others.

**Battleship "Sebastopol" - White Slaves / Panzerkreuzer "Sebastopol" - Weisse sklaven. Germany, 1937.** Film director: Karl Anton. Script writers: Charlie Roellinghoff, Karl Anton, Arthur Pohl, Felix von Eckardt. Cast of actors: Camilla Horn, Karl John, Werner Hinz, Theodor Loos, Fritz Kampers and others.

**The Citadel of Warsaw / Die Warschauer Zitadelle. Germany, 1937.** Film director: Fritz Peter Buch. Script writers: Gabriela Zapolska, Fritz Peter Buch. Cast of actors: Lucie Hoflich, Werner Hinz and Claire Winter.

**From Midnight / Ab Mitternacht. Germany, 1938.** Film director: Carl Hoffmann. Script writers: Joseph Kessel, I. Ermoljev. Cast of actors: Gina Falckenberg, Peter Voss, Rene Deltgen, N. Kolin and others.

**It Was a Gay Ballnight / Es war eine rauschende Ballnacht. Germany, 1939.** Film director: Carl Froelich. Script writers: Jean Victor, Jean Victor and others. Cast of actors: Zarah Leander, Aribert Wäscher, Hans Stuwe and others.

**The Trip to Tilsit / Die Reise nach Tilsit. Germany, 1939.** Film director: Veit Harlan. Script writers: Curt Johannes Braun, Veit Harlan, Hermann Sudermann. Cast of actors: Anna Dammann, Frits van Dongen, Heiner Dugal and others.

**Cadets / Kadetten. Germany, 1939.** Film director: Karl Ritter. Script writers: Felix Lutzkendorf, Karl Ritter. Cast of actors: Mathias Wieman, Carsta Lock, Andrews Engelmann and others.

**The Postman / Der Postmeister. Germany, 1940.** Film director: Gustav Ucicky. Script writer: Gerhard Menzel (based on A.S. Pushkin's story "The Postmaster"). Cast of actors: Heinrich George, Hilde Krahl, Siegfried Breuer and others.

**G.P.U. / The Red Terror. Germany, 1942.** Film director: Karl Ritter. Script writers: Andrews Engelmann, Felix Lutzkendorf, Karl Ritter. Cast of actors: Laura Solari, Will Quadflieg, Marina von Ditmar and others.

## *Positive image of the USSR and soviet characters in American films in 1943-1945*

**Introduction.** In one of my previous books [Fedorov, 2010] I analyzed a rather adverse image of the USSR on the western screen in the epoch of ideological confrontation (1946-1991). However there was a short period in the history of Soviet-American relations, when the image of the Soviet country and its citizens on the American screen was positively charged: 1943-1945, the time when Americans and Russian were allies in the WWII.

**Materials and methods.** During that period of time 7 feature films (and several documentaries as well) were produced in the USA, which main characters were "good" Soviets: *Mission to Moscow* (1943), *The boy from Stalingrad* (1943), *North Star* (1943), *Three Russian Girls* (1943), *Song of Russia* (1944), *Days of Glory* (1944), *Counter-Attack* (1945). Meanwhile majority of these films were directed by prominent directors, an Oscar winner, the author of a legendary *Casablanca* (1942) Michael Curtiz (1988-1962) and the author of the drama *All Quiet on the Western Front* (1930) Lewis Milestone (1895-1980) among them. Moreover, such Hollywood actors as R. Taylor and G. Peck starred in some of them. Soviet cinema only answered this unprecedented "film-goodwill" gesture with documentary films, positively evaluating American and British participation in the fight against Nazi Germany. Feature cinema industry of the USSR didn't take part in that, due to the inevitable need of presenting the lifestyle in allied countries.

The first step in the series of oversees allied friendship was *Mission to Moscow*, premiered in the USA at the end of April, and in the USSR - on the 26th of July of 1943. The only European country that ventured to screen this pro-Soviet film in the war years, was neutral Sweden, where in November and December of 1944 (when the outcome of the war was already clear) were screened two American films about the USSR - *Mission to Moscow* and *Days of Glory*.

*Mission to Moscow* was somewhat an order of the state, a screen adaptation of the book by an ex-ambassador to the USSR (1936-1938) Joseph E. Davies. However while the book was rather positive about presenting events in the USSR and Stalin's policy on the whole, its screen version was only concentrated on the rosy view of the Soviet lifestyle. Not only the Soviet achievements in industry and agriculture, but also "demonstrative" lawsuits against enemies of the state were shown in *Mission to Moscow* in a really advantageous way for Kremlin. The screen features a bright, prosperous

Moscow. The film also justifies the USSR's attack against Finland in 1939, as well as the August 1939 Pact between Germany and the USSR.

This is why of all pro-Soviet Hollywood films it was *Mission to Moscow* that was honoured to be shown on Soviet screens. It is worth noting that later on it was for such a clear positive support of the Soviet regime that the authors of the film were accused of during the 1947 House Un-American Activities Committee, investigating anti-American activity.

Meanwhile the authors of *Mission to Moscow* flattered the Soviet authority. The minister of foreign affairs M. Litvinov proves his point to the American ambassador Joseph E. Davies that "there is no security for any of us as long as there is no security for all". M. Kalinin jokingly mentions that "his favourite sin is American cigarettes". V. Molotov, Marshal Timoshenko and prosecutor Vyshinsky are portrayed favourable as well. J. Davies in one of the final episodes of the film calls Stalin as "the great builder for the benefit of mankind"...

A considerable part of the screen time is dedicated to the travel of J. Davies across the USSR: Kharkiv, Odessa, Donbas, Dneproges, Baku..."Tractors, coal, electricity, steel. Amazing, astonishing, wonderful!" Mr. Davies is speechless. "I can't find another example in the history of mankind, when so much was done in such a short period of time", - Davies says to Molotov. "What great people! Patriots! And women! They work in the mines - because they have equal rights with men, they drive trains, assemble tractors. The work is humming, tractors are being assembled, but in case of the war, in case of the military actions, the same plant will produce tanks. Amazing, astonishing, wonderful! " [Lemkhin, 2012].

Inherently, *Mission to Moscow* was a kind of a diplomatic tool for the USA, the tool that was supposed to bribe a military ally.

Certainly, the screening of *Mission to Moscow* (which by the way was a box-office failure in the USA) raised not only favourable reviews but also critical ones in American press. Bennet [Bennet, 2001] cites numerous opinions of that kind.

On the other hand, judging by the reviews in Soviet papers such as *Komsomolskaya Pravda*, *Vechernyaya Moskva*, *Izvestia*, this film was an act of friendly gratitude of the USA to the Soviet Union and Red Army.

However for American policy the fact that by demonstrating a high standard of living in the USA, "Hollywood started to compete with the communist party for hearts and minds of the Soviet audience... *Mission to Moscow* became a weapon of "soft power", its public release opened the way to previously banned in the Soviet Union legions of Hollywood films" [Bennet, 2001].

Another pro-Soviet Hollywood film of 1943 was *The North Star* (it was shown in Sweden in March 1945). June 1941. A quiet Soviet village is attacked by Nazi aviation. Soon it is occupied by its army. A Nazi doctor (played by legendary Erich Oswald Stroheim) decided to use local children for blood transfusion for German soldiers. But Soviet men able to hold weapon in hands, become partisans and do everything to hinder him.

Indeed, a rural life is depicted on the screen in a grotesque way, but on the whole the story is told with a great deal of compassion and sympathy for the USSR and Soviet people. Therefore, no wonder that after the end of the war, both *Mission to Moscow* and *The North Star* were declared as pro-Soviet propaganda by the House Un-American Activities Committee.

A sympathetic pathos was characteristic of the film *The Boy from Stalingrad* (1943) where country teenagers start the fight against occupants, along the way saving Tommy, the son of the British consul, who lost his parents during the evacuation attempt from Stalingrad, attacked by the Nazis.

The main stake of the pro-Soviet Hollywood in 1944 was a melodrama *Song of Russia*. The leading male role of an American bandmaster was played by a famous American actor Robert Taylor (1911-1969) who had to explain himself and find excuses for this work in front of the same Committee after the war.

This film turned out to be a fatal one for him. According to the plot, Taylor's character comes to Moscow on tour in 1941 and falls in love with a pretty Russian girl Nadya, a talented country pianist. As it sometimes happens on film sets, Taylor (who was married to an American star Barbara Stanwyck at the time) really had an affair with a charming actress who played Nadya - Susan Peters (1921-1952). However unlike the film characters, actors didn't reach the happy ending: not being able to divorce, Taylor went to serve in the army. S. Peters married another man, in 1945 was wounded accidentally during the hunting, paralyzed and died in 1952.

Like *Mission to Moscow* and *The North Star*, *Song of Russia* was also full of grotesque idealization of life in the USSR. Having graduated from the country music school, Nadya comes to Moscow to see the concert of an American conductor, and impresses him with her piano performance. Everyone in her village is keen on classical music! Mikhail Chekhov who played Nadya's father, a tractor driver and a musician, did not manage to make this melodramatic popular print more realistic...

In a very favorable to the USSR melodrama *Days of Glory* (1944) the leading male role was played by a Hollywood star Gregory Peck (1916-2003). His brave character is the leader of partisans. He hides in the woods and commits acts of sabotage against Nazis. Naturally all women partisans,

one played by a Russian ballet dancer Tamara Tumanova, were in love with such a handsome and courageous man.

Almost on the eve of the Nazi's Germany defeat - in the end of April, 1945- American screens featured another pro-Soviet film - a drama *Counter-Attack*, where Soviet and Nazi soldiers find themselves in one vault.

**Conclusions.** Accessibility of the majority of the above mentioned films due to their DVD and Internet releases, makes it possible to analyze media stereotypes of the positive image of the USSR and Soviet characters in American films of 1943-1945 at the media literacy classes with students according to the following scheme.

*Structure of the stereotypes of the positive image of the USSR and Soviet characters in American films of 1943-1945*

*Structure of the stereotypes of drama genre films*

(as exemplified in *Mission to Moscow*, the USA, 1943)

*Historical period, location:* relatively short period of time between the 1930s-1940s; the USSR, the USA, Germany, some other countries.

*Environment, everyday objects:* impressive Soviet plants and electrical stations, war parades and diplomatic receptions, rather decent houses and possessions of Soviet characters, comfortable housing and possessions of western characters.

*Means of representation:* quasi-realistic, only advantageous portrayal of Soviet lifestyle.

*Characters, their values, ideas; clothes, appearance, lexis, body language:*

- good characters - Americans who believe in democracy, and find themselves in the USSR (the Ambassador and his family in the first place), Soviet state leaders (Stalin, Kalinin, Molotov) and common Russian people, aimed at creating the new society, patriotism and struggle for peace. They look nice, speak with pathos, Soviet women look pretty, they are well-dressed and even go shopping for perfume;

- villains - have anti-humane, military ideas (Nazis), traitors and terrorists (arrested Trotsky's supporters and other enemies of Stalin regime).

The characters are divided by both social and material status. Villains are on the whole portrayed as unpleasant people with appalling voice timbre. However some of the Soviet negative characters (for example, Tukhachevsky) at first look rather presentably.

*A crucial change in characters' life:* villains are going to implement their anti-humane ideas (Nazis are preparing to attack the USSR, and oppositionists - Trotsky's supporters are plotting a scheme).

*A problem occurred:* life of good characters as well as life of whole nations and countries are in danger.

*Searching for the problem solution:* struggle of good characters with bad ones (American ambassador and good Soviet state leaders are doing their best to prevent the war by diplomatic means; open for foreign visitors Soviet court convicts Trotsky's followers and other plotters).

*Solving the problem:* condemning villains; armed struggle of the USSR troops against Nazi army; anti-Nazi alliance between the USSR and the USA.

*Structure of stereotypes of melodrama genre films*

(as exemplified in *Song of Russia*, the USA, 1944)

*Historical period, location:* short period of time between the 1941 and 1944; the USSR, the USA.

*Environment, everyday objects:* modest but decent dwelling and possessions of Soviet characters, even rural ones (although the set where the main female character lives looks rather unusual for the Russian eye as it contains elements of American architecture); spacious concert halls.

*Means of representation:* quasi-realistic, only favourable view of Soviet lifestyle.

*Characters, their values, ideas; clothes, appearance, lexis, body language:* male and female characters have contrasting ideological and social status. He is a famous American conductor. She is a beautiful country girl, brilliantly playing the piano. All characters in the film are only shown in positive light. Main characters look slim, attractive, they're dressed in good taste. They are emotional, their gestures are sometimes expressive, lexicon is rich.

*A crucial change in characters' life:* the meeting of the man and the woman, love between them.

*A problem occurred:* ideological and social misalliance, start of the war, temporary separation of characters.

*Searching for the problem solution:* characters overcome ideological and social barriers between them.

*Solving the problem:* the wedding/love harmony (noticeably, the two main characters are getting married in Russian orthodox church), the final reunion after the separation, caused by the war, mutual decision to move to the USA in order to give concerts together, performing Russian classical music.

*Structure of the stereotypes of comedy genre films*

(as exemplified in *Three Russian Girls*, the USA, 1943)

*Historical period, location:* short period of time from 1941 to 1943; the USSR.

*Environment, everyday objects:* modest housing and possessions of Soviet characters, war hospital, located in an old mansion.

*Means of representation:* quasi-realistic, only positive portrayal of Soviet lifestyle.

*Characters, their values, ideas; clothes, appearance, lexis, body language:* American pilot who voluntarily decided to go to the USSR during the war and Soviet citizens with contrasting ideology and social status. All characters are portrayed in a favourable light. Main characters look attractive, especially girls. Their clothes are tasteful. They're optimistic, patriotic, emotional, sometimes expressive gestures, facial expressions and lexis.

*A crucial change in characters' life:* American pilot John, wounded in battle with Nazis, finds himself in a Soviet hospital, and naturally, falls in love with the beautiful Natasha.

*A problem occurred:* culture shock, mutual misunderstanding, German troops' attack threat.

*Searching for the problem solution:* after a series of funny/eccentric situations characters overcome communication and understanding barriers.

*Solving the problem:* love harmony.

Certainly, the cold war of the second half of the 1940s-1950s crucially changed the orientation of the western screen towards the USSR. However even in 1948 thriller *Berlin express* the Soviet officer was shown rather with compassion than with suspicion.

A true mass western film attack against the USSR was yet to come...

## References

Bennett, T. (2001). Culture, Power, and Mission to Moscow: Film and Soviet-American Relations during World War II. *The Journal of American History*, Vol. 88, No. 2, p.495-505.

Fedorov, A. (2010). *Transformation of the Russian image on the western screen: from ideological confrontation (1946-1991) to modern stage (1992-2010)*. Moscow: Information for All, 2010. 202 p.

Lemkhin, M. (2012). *Mission to Moscow*. www.kinopressa.ru

## ***The Image of Russia on the Western Screen in the Ideological Confrontation Epoch (1946-1991)***

### ***Five Media Myths of Ideological Confrontation Times***

The epoch of the *Cold War* and ideological confrontation between the West and the USSR spawned many myths.

*Myth 1: The anti-Soviet, anticommunist orientation was principal for western cinematographers in the 'ideological struggle'*

Certainly, the western screen anti-Sovietism played a crucial role in the Cold War, however, one should not forget that at all times the West policy in many respects was anti-Russian, and any strengthening of Russia (economical, military, geopolitical) was perceived as a threat to the Western world. This trend can also be traced in many western works of art – both before the appearance of the USSR and after its disintegration. In the same way, the anti-bourgeois / anti-capitalist orientation of Soviet films about foreign countries naturally combined with some anti-western motives conventional for Russia...

*Myth 2: Famous masters tried to be above 'the ideological struggle'; therefore the ideological confrontation was the lot of ordinary handicraftsmen*

Even a superficial glance at a filmography of the screen confrontation times (1946-1991) refutes this thesis completely. Both on the West side and on the Soviet side such known film makers as Costa-Gavras, J. Losey, S. Lumet, S. Peckinpah, B. Wilder, P. Ustinov, A. Hitchcock, J. Huston, J. Schlesinger, G. Alexandrov, A. Dovzhenko, M. Kalatozov, M. Romm and, certainly, dozens of well-known actors of different nationalities were involved in the process of the *ideological struggle*.

*Myth 3: The Soviet censorship prohibited all films of western authors involved in creating at least one anti-Soviet media text*

In practice the Soviet censorship usually prohibited films of those figures of the western culture (for example, Y. Montand and S. Signoret after the release of their joint work in the film *L'Aveu*) who, besides being involved in *confrontation* films, openly and actively took an anti-Soviet position in real political life.

Shooting in anti-Soviet films of B. Anderson, R. Barton, I. Bergman, K.M. Brandauer, Y. Brinner, L. Ventura, A. Delon, M. Caine, S. Connery, F. Noiret, P. Newman, L. Olivier, G. Peck, M. Piccoli, Max von Sydow, H. Fonda and many other celebrities did not at all affect the showing of *ideologically neutral* films with their participation in the Soviet Union.

Moreover, some of these masters were even invited to take part in joint Soviet-western film productions. It is another matter that their ideological

*pranks* were not mentioned in the Soviet press. Probably, the Kremlin administration of that time realized that prohibition of all films, books and articles of *faulty* western prominent people of culture would induce a super-deficit of foreign media texts as a whole in Soviet libraries and cinemas...

*Myth 4: Western anti-Soviet media texts were always more truthful than Soviet anti-western opuses*

Here media texts do differ. On the one hand, the films *Nicholas and Alexandra* directed by F. Shaffner and *The Assassination of Trotsky* directed by J. Losey look much more truthful and convincing in comparison to some anti-western movies (for example, *Silver Dust* by A. Room or *The Plot of the Doomed* by M. Kalatozov). However, the anti-Soviet action films *Red Dawn* or *Amerika* look, to put it mildly, improbable even in comparison with the Soviet militarist action movie *Solo Voyage* which became a kind of a counter-response to the victorious pathos of American *Rambo*...

*Myth 5: 'Confrontational' media texts are of low artistic value and deserve neither attention nor critical analysis*

On the one hand, there are not many media products of the *Cold War* period that are of any significant artistic value (*I am Cuba* directed by M. Kalatozov, *Dead Season* by director S. Kulish, *The Assassination of Trotsky* directed by J. Losey, *Reds* by W. Beatty, *1984* by M. Radford, et al.). But on the other hand, no method can be declared exhaustive for analysis, since even the most primitive film is a multilayer structure containing different levels of latent information which reveals itself only in cooperation with the socio-political and psychological contexts. No matter how tendentious – or, on the contrary, unbiased – the filmmaker might be, he depicts much more aspects of the time than he thinks and knows himself of, beginning with the performance level of his work and ending with the ideological myths which he reflects (Turovskaya 1996, 99).

#### ***Brief History of the 'Ideological Struggle' on the Screen (1946-1991)***

Under a *Cold War* we usually understand a total and global confrontation of two superpowers within a bipolar system of international relations. The preconditions for the Cold War consisted in the principal difference in the socio-economic and political systems of the leading world powers after the defeat of the aggressors' block: a totalitarian political regime with elements of personal dictatorship and a super-centralized plan-based economy on the one hand, and the western liberal democracy and market economy on the other hand (Narinsky 2006, 161). To a considerable degree the Cold War was caused by the political and social development of the so-called *Third World* (decolonization, revolutions, etc.) (Westad 2007, 396), and each of the antagonists aspired to broaden its zone of influence in Africa, Asia and Latin America by all means.

At the same time, the opposition between **Russia** (at all times and under any regimes) and the **West** (also at all times and under any regimes) was also connected with much deeper reasons.

Here we fully agree with J. Shemyakin:

*“the civilization status inconsistency of Russia is directly reflected in the way it is perceived in the West: there is direct evidence of the collision of different values turned into the invariant dynamics factor of such perception. In whole, Russia always both attracted and repelled the West. One of the attraction factors is the historic community reflected in Indo-European language roots, an ancient Indo-European mythological background and Christian origins. All these reasons taken together, undoubtedly, create a common symbolic field of diverse Russia-West contacts. But the influence of this factor was often overlapped in the history by a sharp feeling (and very often consciousness) of the Russian civilizational alienation from the West, its otherness, and that surely was a strong factor of rejection. ... The most irritating aspect was its alienation in spite of resemblance which was perceived as an outward form that concealed something different, non-European” (Shemyakin 2009, 19-20).*

At the same time, the stronger and more influential Russia became the stronger became its ideological confrontation (and media confrontation in this century) with the Western world (what actually occurred after 1945 when everyone realized the Soviet Union that had defeated the Nazi empire possessed the most powerful military force in Europe).

The concept of *Cold War* is closely associated with such concepts as *informational and psychological war, ideological struggle, political propaganda, propaganda* (hereafter we shall mean under *propaganda* an intentional regular media mass consciousness inoculation of this or that ideology to achieve a calculated social effect), and *the enemy concept*. According to the reasonable definition given by A. Fateyev,

*“the enemy concept is an ideological expression of social antagonism, a dynamic symbol of the powers hostile to the state and the citizen, a political instrument of the ruling social group. ... the concept of the enemy is an important element of a psychological war which is a goal-directed and regular use of propaganda by political opponents among other means of pressure for direct or indirect influence on the views, moods, feelings and conduct of the opponent, allies and their own population in order to make them act in the direction preferable for the government” (Fateyev 1999).*

There is an opinion that in the *Cold War* period (1945-1955) the Russian question was avoided by men of art, but in the 1970-1990s many films on the

Russia subject were shot (Moseyko 2000, 30). We cannot agree with this statement. Actually *the Cold War* era became the source of creating a great number of both anti-Soviet/anti-communist and anti-Western/anti-bourgeois films released during the above-mentioned period (after W. Churchill delivered his well-known Fulton speech on March 5<sup>th</sup> 1946 that contained sharp criticism of the USSR policy, and in August-September, 1946 J. Stalin initiated the adoption of the *anti-cosmopolitan* resolutions “About the Journals *Zvezda* and *Leningrad*”, ‘On the Repertoire of Drama Theatres and Measures for Their Improvement’, and ‘On the Subscription to and Usage of Foreign Literature’).

The mutual ideological confrontation concerned all the fronts of the Cold War. Since February, 1947 the Munich VOA radio station began to broadcast propaganda programs in Russian (which the Kremlin ordered to listen to using all accessible technical means since the spring of 1948). And in October, 1947 Senator G. McCarthy initiated hearings in the Washington State Capitol of the fact-finding results of the *anti-American and communist activity* of some known figures of the American culture. A. Johnston, PGA president of that time, told his listeners in the R.K.O. Pictures studio that after the conversation with Secretary of State Marshall, Senator Vandenberg and others he came to a firm conviction about the necessity of initiating an immediate official opposing policy of the Soviet expansion power, and pointed out that this policy should find support in motion pictures produced in the USA (Fateyev 1999).

The situation in the USSR developed in much the same way. P. Babitsky and J. Rimberg calculated that the amount of western negative film characters (excluding Germany soldiers from films about the Second World War) portrayed in Soviet films increased three times and attained 36 films from 1946 to 1950 in comparison with the 1920-1930s (Babitsky and Rimberg 1955, 223). On the other hand, in 1946 the Soviet Cinematography Committee sorted out only 5 from 50 films for mass distribution in the USSR offered to them by American distribution companies (Ivanyan 2007, 248).

Moreover, in April-May, 1949 there was worked out a special ‘*Plan for the Intensification of Anti-American Propaganda in the Near Future*’ which prescribed systematic printing of materials, articles, pamphlets exposing aggressive plots of American imperialism, the anti-national character of the USA public and political systems, debunking the myths of American propaganda about the ‘thriving’ of America, demonstrating drastic contradictions of the USA economy, the falsity of bourgeois democracy, and the decay of bourgeois culture and morals of modern America (The plan ..., 1949). In addition, the exterior threat was a convenient pretext for justifying the internal disorders and contradictions in the socio-economic and

political formation which otherwise could be perceived by USSR citizens as evidence of the regime imperfection (Fateyev 1999).

Both well-known classical filmmakers such as A. Dovzhenko (*Farewell, America!*), M. Kalatozov (*Plot of the Doomed*), M. Romm (*Secret Mission*), A. Room (*Silvery Dust*) and script writers and producers, now forgotten, were involved in making anti-Western (first of all – anti-American) films. In these propaganda films almost all American characters were depicted as spies, saboteurs, anti-Soviet provocateurs (Ivanyan 2007, 274).

The Cold War films emphasized the motive of unsuccessful attempts of western secret services to entice Soviet scientists. For example, in G. Roshal's film *Academician Ivan Pavlov* (1949)

*“a traitor Petrishchev brings American Hicks who offers Pavlov to go to America. Hicks disguises his dirty business with a favorite argument of cosmopolitans — acolytes of imperialism: ‘It is not relevant for mankind where you will work’. Being an ardent patriot the big Russian scientist answers: ‘Science has a fatherland, and the scientist is bound to have it. I am, my sir, Russian. And my fatherland is here whatever happened to it’”* (Asratyan 1949).

M. Turovskaya who studied this period of the Cold War reasonably remarked that the media transmutation of the recent allies into the *enemy image* was executed through the plot telling about some secret connections of Americans (naturally, of the class adversary: generals, senators, businessmen, diplomats) with Nazis, either about a *secret mission* of separate peace negotiations or patents abduction, or chemical weapons manufacture. The identification of Americans with Nazis is the only *secret* of the whole bulk of the Cold War films. And in *Plot of the Doomed* East European social democrats are equated with absolute evil, with Americans (Turovskaya 1996, 100).

It is paradoxical, but the author of the placard anti-western *Plot of the Doomed* (1950) which is overfilled with propaganda clichés and dramaturgically primitive, M. Kalatozov only seven years after became famous for a humanistic masterpiece *The Cranes are Flying*, and was awarded *Golden Palm (Palme d'Or)* of the Cannes film festival. But then, at the peak of the ideological confrontation, M. Kalatozov created some kind of a political comic strip which illustrated newspaper leading articles of the *Pravda* and the *Krasnaya Zvezda (Red Star)* frame by frame.

... In a certain East European country (probably - Czechoslovakia) a wide alliance of conspirators (nationalists, Roman Catholics, former Nazis and social democrats who joined them) conceptually and financially bolstered by the USA and their *Yugoslavian henchmen* is formed. The only force protecting *the genuine concerns of workers* in this country is, certainly,

communists who are firmly and irrevocably oriented to the Soviet Union (the authors did not at all think of how ludicrous/exposing their slogan sounds in the film: ‘We swear to Stalin and the Soviet people - to protect freedom and independence of our country!’). Having disbanded the local parliament after the Bolshevik example of 1917-1918, communists easily defeated *the doomed* parliamentary deputies (appointed, by the way, through a democratic election)...

Many famous actors of that time (P. Kadochnikov, V. Druzhnikov, M. Strauch, etc.) were involved in the film who were potentially capable of playing complex characters. However, in this case they were asked to do something different – to show strongly emphasized grotesque and pathos. And it should be mentioned they coped with the task excellently: in *Plot of the Doomed* there is not a single real, or in the slightest degree humanized character... Here, for example, is a description of the Roman Catholic cardinal (played by famous actor A. Vertinsky) given by one of the most competent Soviet film experts R. Yurenev:

*“whimsical intonations, sophisticated gestures, the pomposity of Roman church Prince serve as a disguise for the accomplished saboteur and conspirator. Vertinsky accentuates the two aspects of the cardinal’s psychological portrait: on the one hand – his refined and aristocratic appearance, and on the other hand, – his malicious and cowardly nature inside” (Yurenev 1951)*

At the same time, evaluating M. Kalatozov's film in whole, R. Yurenev made a conclusion which was characteristic of Stalin’s propaganda: it is

*“a work of art telling the truth about the struggle of freedom-loving nations under the direction of communist parties against the dark reactionary international powers for socialism construction. The film Plot of the Doomed is a truthful and bright product of the Soviet motion picture arts and a new contribution to fight for peace, freedom and independence of nations, for communism” (Yurenev, 1951).*

In this context the film expert M. Shaternikova recalls her school impressions (of the 1940s-1950s) of the collective review of this film:

*“We did not reflect. Everything was clear: the imperialism showed its real cruel face. The film Plot of the Doomed related us about what was happening in Eastern Europe: the local reactionary forces together with Americans wanted to enslave workers who frustrated their plot and unanimously voted for communists. It did not even occur to us that in real life (not in the film) the situation was quite different” (Shaternikova, 1999).*

So *Plot of the Doomed* performed its political mission in the Cold War in hundred per cent.

Similar media texts but of anti-Soviet orientation were produced in the late 1940s – the early 1950s in the West, first of all – in the USA (*The Iron Curtain, Berlin Express, Red Danube, I Was a Communist for the FBI, Prisoner of War*, etc.).

*The Iron Curtain* (1948) was some kind of a marquee media event of the Cold War era. The plot of the film is based on true facts connected with life circumstances of Soviet diplomat Igor Gouzenko, who asked Canada to be granted a political asylum. In addition, the film was meant to depict the exhausting, intense life of Soviet citizens, and Gouzenko in particular, who were tyrannized by officials and special services (Rubenstein 1979, 39). As the USSR had not signed the international Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works by that time Americans included plenty of ‘infringing’ music by D. Shostakovich, S. Prokofiev, A.Khachaturian in the film which sounded in the extremely undesirable ideological context to the Kremlin from the screen.

J. Lomakin, Soviet Consul General in New York, wrote that

*“the film is very hostile. Soviet people are shown repelling, cynical and slandering their native country.... In connection with the forthcoming release of such a film, it would be advisable to write a number of critical articles in the Soviet press and launch an attack against Hollywood reactionaries and war-mongers... our sharp and competent pronouncement can prepare viewers for adequate comprehension of the film and produce a positive impact on the public opinion. On the other hand, our keen criticism of Hollywood reactionaries and warmongers will give a moral support to progressive circles in the USA and Canada in their struggle against reactionary forces, against the production of such films”* (Lomakin 1947, 242-246).

Though American film experts G. Parish and M. Pitts admitted a low artistic level of the film, even 30 years after its release they were convinced that *The Iron Curtain* related about the Russian espionage in Canada in 1943 giving the public a lenient interpretation of the harsh truth: red agents inundated the USA (Parish and Pitts 1974, 25). This interpretation ‘lenience’ consisted in the following: though *The Iron Curtain* became a gold-mine of right-wing propaganda which painted the ruthless red and their followers in harsh colors, the communists’ actions were comical rather than real (Parish & Pitts 1974, 243).

After six years some kind of a sequel of *The Iron Curtain* entitled *Operation Manhunt* (1954) was shot in Canada. The film went flop and that was not surprising as almost all films (produced in North America in the late

1940s-1950s) were restricted to minimum dialectics in the analysis of the communist doctrine. Almost all of them were not commercially successful and despised both by critics and intelligentsia (Lacourbe 1985, 20).

In 1949 a new film about communists' intrigues was released in the USA - *Red Menace* - which was aimed at persistent demonstration of calculating assassination methods used by red agents working in America (Parish and Pitts 1974, 389). And though Russian characters, as a rule, appeared only in small episodes in films about American communists (Strada, Troper 1997, 93), the whole ideological orientation did not alter.

Often the subject parallels of the mutual ideological confrontation were obvious. For instance, in A. Fainzimmer and V. Legoshin's film (S.Mikhalkov's script) *They Have a Motherland* (1949) Soviet agents while overcoming the resistance of the British special services were bringing home patriotic Russian children who found themselves in the occupation zone of western countries after the Second World War. But in *Red Danube* (1950) directed by G. Sidney Soviet citizens who remained in the western occupation zone of Vienna did not want to return home because of fear to become Stalin repression victims...

It must be noted in advance that in J. Lee Thompson's drama *Before Winter Comes* (1969) there was a variation of the plot from *Red Danube*: spiteful Soviet 'allies' (shown, by the way, in Thompson's film rather grotesquely, on the verge of a parody) in the autumn of 1945 demanded from the English major to deport *displaced people* of Russian and East European origin to the Soviet occupation zone in Austria. And when one of the unfortunate tried to run to the woods he was shot dead by Russian snipers...

In this respect it is rather curious to consider the overlap of real events on either side of the *Iron Curtain*. I am inclined to agree with M. Turovskaya that the atmosphere of mutual suspiciousness, rudeness, cynicism, complicity and dissociation which coloured the last years of Stalinism and was completely driven out of the home 'subject matter' could be realized only in the *enemy image* conception (Turovskaya 1996, 106). But, alas, a quite similar atmosphere contrary to all American democratic traditions took place during the *witch-hunt* (initiated approximately in the same years by Senator J. McCarthy) and affected many Hollywood producers and script writers of that time who were accused of sympathy for communism and the USSR...

At the same time, both these mutually hostile tendencies found similar media versions where genuine facts were combined to some extent with ideological and aesthetic falsification.

The latter consisted in visual presentation of everyday life conditions in *enemy countries* in Soviet and western media texts of the 1940s - 1950s which was far from reality. Perhaps, only the quasi-documentary visual

aesthetics characteristic of the *cinema vérité* of the 1960s altered the situation a little (one of the brightest illustrations of the new stylistics is S.Kulish's spy movie *Dead Season*, released in 1968).

For truth's sake, it should be noted that even at the height of the Cold War era in the USA there were shot films with Russian characters. However, they usually became positive if they fell in love with Americans and preferred to live in the West. So, in the melodrama *The World in His Arms* (1952) countess Marina Selanova falls in love with an American and becomes a happy American housewife as she thinks that true love and freedom go hand in hand (Strada, Troper 1997, 81). A more illustrative example of the similar idea was shown in the melodrama *Never Let Me Go* (1953) where Clark Gable played an American journalist accredited in Moscow: love for beautiful and talented Russian ballerina Maria suddenly changes his life... Phillip and Maria hope to leave for America but Soviet officials (shown always under Stalin's or Lenin's portraits) lie and finally refused Maria an exit visa.... However, thanks to the stolen Soviet officer uniform the journalist takes Maria through the Baltic sea to freedom (Strada, Troper 1997, 80).

As a whole, the movie *Never Let Me Go* is a telling illustration of a stereotyped plot when the Hollywood of the 1950s, as a rule, chose love and marriage as a neutralization means of the communist ideology (Strada, Troper 1997, 92). The same goes with such films as *No Way Back* (1955), *Anastasia* (1956), *The Iron Petticoat* (1957), *Jet Pilot* (1957), *Silk Stockings* (1957), etc. However, sometimes religion (*Guilty of Treason*, 1950) became an antidote for *the plague of communism* on the screen.

J. Stalin's death (March, 1953), negotiations of heads of world leading countries in Geneva (1954-1955), N. Khrushchev's anti-Stalin speech at the Communist Party congress on February 25<sup>th</sup> 1956 turned *the bipolar world* to the so-called political *Thaw* when the communist regime slightly opened the *Iron Curtain* between the USSR and the West. D. Mann's American television movie *The Plot to Kill Stalin* (1958) was a direct cinema consequence of Khrushchev's exposures of Stalin's *personality cult*, with N. Khrushchev, G. Zhukov, G. Malenkov, L. Beriya and other leaders of the Soviet administration of that time as characters.

Alas, in October and December of 1956 the Egyptian and Hungarian events again sharpened the mutual confrontation between the USSR and the Western world...

I failed to find either western or Soviet fiction films about the Egyptian conflict, but the Hungarian topic of 1956 when thousands of Hungarians emigrated to the West (after the popular uprising in Budapest was crushed by Soviet troops) was reflected in the films *The Journey* (1959) by director

A. Litvak and *The Beast of Budapest* (1958) by H. Jones. It is natural that in both the films Hungarian rebels and refugees were shown as heroes or defenseless victims of communist repressions, and their enemies – Hungarian and Soviet communists were depicted as devils incarnate.

However, sometimes this negative information was also coloured with a certain share of sympathy. For example, in *The Journey* the Russian major performed by legendary Yul Brynner not only easily cracked glass with his steel teeth but also was capable of passionate love and melancholy...

Since 1957 political contacts between the strongholds of *communism* and *imperialism* began to gradually develop again: despite acute contradictions the two world's largest nuclear powers did not seek a direct military man/nuclear collision threatening to obliterate the whole planet... In the summer of 1957 the World Festival of Youth and Students took place in Moscow which was the biggest in the history. The west got even more interested in the Soviet Union when the world's first artificial satellite of the Earth was launched (October 4<sup>th</sup>, 1957) and the first manned space ship was put into Earth orbit (April 22<sup>nd</sup>, 1961). This progress in space exploration determined to a large degree the appearance of a new wave of sci-fi movies about distant planets on the screen of the late 1950s and early 1960s...

In 1958 the administration of the USSR and the USA signed a cultural exchange agreement, and then an American exhibition took place in Moscow (1959) which was a tremendous success. It promoted the achievements of the leading power of the western world in industry, agriculture, science, education and culture (USA documentary film makers shot a comparatively well-disposed film about it entitled *Opening in Moscow*). In the same year for the first time in a long while millions of Soviet *not-permitted-to-travel-abroad* viewers were able to see new products of the western screen at the Moscow International Film Festival...

Peter Ustinov, a well-known actor and producer (by the way, of Russian origin) reacted to the *Thaw* with his amusing comedy *Romanoff and Juliette* (1961) about children of American and Soviet diplomats who being separated by ideological barriers passionately fell in love with each other in defiance of the Cold War bans. Here it is necessary to do justice to the authors of the film: the Soviet and American characters – a personage to a personage – were equally balanced (Strada, Troper 1997, 91).

But soon the mutual confrontation became aggravated again because of the American spy plane being brought down in the USSR (May, 1960), the defeat of the anti-Castro landing in Cuba (1961), the building of the anti-western Berlin Wall (1961), outbreaks of the Caribbean rocket crisis (1962), the sustained Vietnamese war (1964-1975) and the *Prague Spring* (1968) ...

As a whole *the Thaw* of the late 1950s and the early 1960s did not radically change the situation of ideological confrontation in media production. The mutual hostile representation of Russia and the West went on; only the image of the *potential adversary* became more verisimilar.

There were enough political pretexts for ideological and media confrontation in the 1960s as before, and that was often observed both by western and Russian scholars (Jones, 1972; Keen, 1986; Lafeber, 1990; Levering, 1982; Shlapentokh, 1993; Small, 1980; Strada, 1989; Strada and Troper, 1997; Whitfield, 1991; Ivanyan, 2007; Klimontovitch, 1990; Kovalov, 2003; Turovskaya, 2003; Shaw, Youngblood, 2010).

For example, the topic of the Soviet-American antagonism concerning Cuba dominated in the films *Submarine* (1961) by Y. Vyshinsky and *Black Seagull* (1962) by G. Koltunov. Berlin separated with a concrete wall appeared in such confrontational films of different genres as a comedy *One, Two, Three* (1961) by director B. Wilder, a detective *The Spy Who Came in from the Cold* (1965) directed by M. Ritt and a drama *Funeral in Berlin* (1966) by G. Hamilton.

A mutual nuclear threat became a subject matter for powerful anti-war films *On the Beach* (1961) by S. Kramer, *Dr. Strangelove* (1964) by S. Kubrick and *Fail-Safe* (1964) by S. Lumet. According to the plot of the latter a technical malfunction in the American aircraft control system (in spite of direct telephone negotiations of the USA and the USSR leaders) caused a 'symmetric' atomic bombing of Moscow and New York...

It goes without saying, that each of the opposing sides chose facts which were more expedient for them thus avoiding 'black spaces' in the history. For example, though the events which occurred in Hungary and Czechoslovakia were reflected in Soviet documentary films (where an off-screen commentary accused *the bourgeois West* of *counter-revolution* and *extreme anti-Sovietism*) they fell short of feature films produced in the USSR.

But Soviet films willingly turned to plots connected with Cuba, Africa, Indochina, Chile (*Black Seagull*, *I am Cuba*, *Night on the 14<sup>th</sup> Parallel*, *Night Over Chile*, *Centaurs*, *On Rich Red Islands*, *TASS is Authorized to Declare...*, *The Interviewer*, etc.). Sometimes films were about the countries and regions which were chosen deliberately to charge the bourgeois world with imperialist aggression, colonialism, racism, suppression of national democratic movements, etc.

Using the western appearance of Baltic actors the Soviet screen created year after year a certain image of hostile America and the Western world as a whole, where the spirits of greediness, hatred, racism, militarism, corruption, debauchery, humiliation of simple workers, etc. triumph in cities of 'the yellow devil'. Sometimes such films were based on selected classical novels

of the American critical realism (*An American Tragedy; Rich Man, Poor Man*). But more often unmasking plots were composed simply on the run (*A Parisian Melodrama, European Story, Honeymoon in America*). The major task was to suggest Soviet viewers the idea of horrors and vices of the inevitably decaying West.

On the other hand, the West for years cultivated the image of hostile, aggressive, armed cap-à-pie, but otherwise underdeveloped totalitarian Russia – with cold snow-covered open spaces, poor population cruelly oppressed by malicious and perfidious communists who got stuck in corruption and debauchery. The goal was analogous – to suggest western viewers the idea of horrors and vices of the inevitably decaying USSR.

It should be noted that the western cinema of the Cold War years rarely ventured to shoot films where the whole action took place in Russia after 1917 (L. Tolstoy's and F. Dostoyevsky's novels were filmed more often). In spite of the fact that D. Lean's melodramatic screen version of B. Pasternak's novel *Doctor Zhivago* was prohibited in the USSR and became one of the blockbusters of 1965-1966.

The reason for a comparatively rare resort of western cinematographers to the Soviet household topic is simple – they were keenly conscious of the fact that they were practically unable to realistically represent particulars of life in the USSR.

Firstly, because of a rather approximate notion about how exactly Soviet people lived (what was especially noticeable in any *confrontational* media text in which the action took place in the Soviet Union). Secondly, because of the impossibility to obtain permission for filming on the Soviet territory as KGB strictly controlled the actions and relocations of all foreigners who came to the USSR.

It makes clear why, even if the action of western films took place in Moscow, Russian characters, as a rule, were pushed to the sidelines thus giving way to English-speaking spies or visitors (*Firefox, Gorky Park*).

However, there were some exceptions: a grotesque farce about the twilight of J. Stalin's power *Red Monarch* (1983), a psychological drama *Sakharov* (1985) and, in our opinion, less successful as works of art, western screen versions of A. Solzhenitsyn's novels *One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich* (1970) and *Den Foerste kreds/The First Circle* (1973, 1991). They were followed by some other exposing films about Soviet concentration camps.

...Except for screen versions of B. Pasternak's and A. Solzhenitsyn's literary works there were European film adaptations of M. Bulgakov's novels *Maestro e Margherita* (1972), *Cuore di cane* (1976) and *Le Uova fatali* (1977) which played their role in the ideological confrontation. Anti-Soviet

motives were offensively obvious in them. Certainly, Italian film versions of great M. Bulgakov's prose had an approximate texture (for obvious reasons the authors had no opportunity to shoot films in the USSR); however, each of them had its own advantages: the role of the *Master* brightly played by Ugo Tognazzi and Ennio Morricone's melodious music resembling Russian melodies (*The Master and Margarita* by A. Petrović); ironic intellectuality of Max von Sydow in the role of *Professor Preobrazhensky* (*Cuore di cane* directed by A. Lattuada)...

The espionage topic, as usual, occupied an important place in the mainstream of mutual denunciations/exposures. In the USSR the appreciable examples of the kind were the movies: *Mission Top Secret*, *Dangerous Paths*, *Tracks in the Snow*, *The Shadow Near the Pier*, *Above the Tissa*, *Operation Cobra*, *The Case of Corporal Kotchetkov*, *The Mystery of Two Oceans*, *A Man Changes Skin*, *Border Silence*, *The Game Without a Draw*, *Black Business*, *A Man Without a Passport*, *Dénouement*, *Aqualungs In The Depths*, *Dead Season*, *Resident's Mistake*, and many others.

One of the wide-spread Soviet plot stereotypes of the espionage topic was a story about talented scientists and inventors who made a great scientific discovery which western special services try to find out/steal/purchase (*A Shot in the Fog*, *A Trace in the Ocean*, *The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin*, *Failure of Engineer Garin*, *Marked Atom*, *Death on the Rise*, et al.)

For example, in the film *A Shot in the Fog* (1963) directed by A. Bobrovsky and A. Sery a KGB agent relentlessly follows a secret Soviet physicist (at work, on a business trip, in the chase, at home, etc.) whose military know-how was the aim of western espionage. A most curious thing is that the scientist accepts this constant surveillance as a matter of course, for he is surrounded by perfidious spy-diplomats and residents of enemy secret service disguised as barbers... In the film *A Trace in the Ocean* (1964) by O. Nikolayevsky Soviet scientists devise a gas mixture allowing scuba divers to submerge deep in the ocean, but again the enemy spy contrives his sinister design in cold blood.

But more often espionage films did without scientists. For instance, in the film *Game with No Rules* (1965) by I. Lapshin (after L. Sheynin's play)

*"Americans greedily reach for our secrets ... descend to cooperation with fascists and grill our valiant Komsomol members using Gestapo methods, and above all, they restrain Soviet people in their occupation zone ..."* (Stishova, Sirivlya 2003, 13).

In the film *The Case of Corporal Kotchetkov* (1955) a whole espionage group was near a Soviet garrison.

In the detective *Above the Tissa* (1958) an experienced spy and homicide (everything points to the fact that he is American) plots a trans-Carpathian

bridge explosion... In short, earlier the enemy was transparent and distinct – fascists. Now Americans replaced Nazi. Without an enemy image, more or less clearly outlined, the totalitarian state cannot exist, even in the most *vegetarian, thaw* times (Stishova, Sirivlya 2003, 13).

Western media texts of ideological confrontation time contained similar schemes: besides negative characters-Nazis there were more often Soviet/socialist perfidious spies and terrorists (*From Russia with Love, Topaz, Kremlin Letter, Embassy, The Mackintosh, Le Serpent, The Prize, Telefon*, etc.).

In the detective *The Prize* (1963) directed by M. Robson perfidious DDR (East Germany) special services (undoubtedly in cooperation with their Soviet colleagues) are developing an anti-western propaganda operation aimed at a secret substitution of the Nobel Prize winner for his twin-brother who is a Soviet agent (see a similar plot with a substitution of a ‘good’ brother by a bad one in the Soviet film *The Mystery of Two Oceans*) for the latter to declare at the solemn prize presentation ceremony in Stockholm that he is disillusioned with the Western world and will emigrate to socialist Germany...

And here, for example, is the plot of the French thriller *Le Serpent* (1973) by A.Verneuil:

*“Colonel Vlasov escapes to the West and plays a role of a defector – with the task to help the Soviet secret service annihilate the leaders of the military and investigation bodies of the NATO. Americans treat the fugitive with suspicion. He wins their trust after an authentic explanation of Vlasov’s deeds which was given by the American investigation deputy chief (who, according to the plot, is also a Soviet resident) to his colleagues: he shows some photographs – a parade on Red Square, with Colonel Vlasov sitting on a side tribune of the Mausoleum” (Dolmatovskaya 1976, 221) ...*

In the Soviet cinema espionage scenes were persistently included in subject schedules of children’s films to be released. So, screen pioneers did not only do well at school and on holiday, but also unmasked or helped with catching experienced enemy agents (*The Ship’s Boy from Schooner ‘Columbus’, Aqualungs In The Depths*, etc.). We would like to mention also that it was teenagers in American films who quite often took up the struggle with Soviet enemies and resembled furious boy scouts (*Red Dawn*).

In the 1950s - 1980s some anti-western trends in Soviet media texts acquired a clear “naval” tint...

*“Military confrontation at sea – was probably the only sphere where we were equals with Americans. They had vessels – and we had vessels,*

*they had radars – and we had radars, they had missiles – and we had missiles... So, there was a reason to unleash a little screen war which would be certainly won by our people. Here is both entertainment and patriotic education and a mobilization pulse as if saying that while you are living, working and breathing – the world hangs by a thread, the enemy is ruthless and perfidious contriving to start the third world war... It was more preferable to shoot such films for the gross audience where the created enemy image was deprived of the enemy bourgeois household particulars. After all we had already lost the competition in the field of, so to speak, 'light industry' by then, and any western belongings, beverages, cars and other attributes caused people's unhealthy excitement. One had to be extremely careful when demonstrating overseas consumer goods on the screen. And that is why it seemed somehow more comfortable in this sense to depict marine collisions..." (Stishova, Sirivlya 2003, 13-15).*

Here is only half the list of Soviet films about marine confrontation: *In Halcyon Days* (1950) by V. Brown, *The Mystery of Two Oceans* (1956) by G. Pipinashvily, *The Blue Arrow* (1958) by L. Estrin, *Submarine* (1961) by Y. Vyshinsky, *Neutral Waters* (1969) by V. Bernstein, *Visit of Courtesy* (1972) by Y. Raizman, *The Right to Shoot* (1981) by V. Zhivolub, *Incident at Map Grid 36-80* (1982) and *Solo Voyage* (1985) by M. Tumanishvili, *Pirates of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century* (1979), *Secrets of Madame Wong* (1986) and *Gangsters of the Ocean* (1991) by S. Puchinyan...

A similar *naval* scheme but in a smaller amount and with an inverse ideological content was used in the West (a striking example – *The Hunt for Red October* directed by J. McTiernan). One of the few exceptions to the rule is N. Jewison's pacifist comedy *The Russian Are Coming!, The Russian Are Coming!* (1966) where mostly doltish Russian submariners who took the ground near California were shown with some sympathy... Being shot several years after the traumatic Cuban rocket crisis of 1962, the comedy *The Russian Are Coming...* was of great importance: the mankind should get over it and co-operate in order to survive and prosper (Strada, Troper 1997, 97).

Naturally, both Soviet and western filmmakers added some plots about air confrontation (*Rocket Attack U.S.A.*, *Your Peaceful Sky*, *Firefox*, *We Accuse*, etc.) and ground war stories (*Prisoner of War*, *Amerika*, *World War III*, *Rambo III*) to the naval antagonism.

Certainly, not all Soviet media texts about *ideological confrontation* were openly schematic. Let us remember for example, a quite politically correct film *Dead Season* (1968) by S. Kulish in which both Russian and western spies were shown as adversaries (a well-known scene with a swap of *residents* on the frontier). The western spy image was given in V. Dorman's detective *Resident's Mistake* (1968) with an unexpected sympathy for a

conservative view but just because later (in the following series) he began to work for the Soviet espionage...

The western screen also quite often tried to avoid straightforward ideological cliché. In A. Mann and L. Harvey's film *A Dandy in Aspic* (1968) a Soviet spy looked even attractive – charismatic, courageous, dreaming to return home. But it was only because the authors conceived to show a gripping episode at the end of the movie where the spy betrayed by his Moscow boss gets killed in a shoot-out...

One can find many faults with the artistic and factographic aspects of foreign screen versions of A. Solzhenitsyn's prose (*Den Foerste kreds / The First Circle, One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich*), though they were made with the measure of reliability available to western filmmakers who, of course, had no opportunity to shoot such films about Russia in the Soviet Union. So, today it is hardly possible to agree with G. Dolmatovskaya's pathos and unfounded critical remarks of F. Shaffner's film *Nicholas and Alexandra* (1971) which quite verisimilarly related a dramatic story about how a defenseless family of Nicholas II was shot by communists in the summer of 1918:

*"The Bolshevik clandestine organization headed by Lenin is shown in the film as a group of vicious and suspicious terrorists. But even such a ludicrous image of the leader allegedly obsessed by terror and spy mania seems insufficient to the producer. He adds new touches to his slanderous portrait painted with deliberately malicious, extremely anti-Soviet intentions. Instead of the genuine historical person we see a morose image on the screen that has nothing in common with genuine reality. The authors of the film are so far gone on the anticommunism path that descend to using the most disgusting, stinking methods for attacking the relics of the proletariat revolutionary history"* (Dolmatovskaya 1976, 223).

At the same time, among western political dramas of the ideological confrontation time it is possible to discover genuine masterpieces in which there is not a slightest hint at a political caricature (*The Assassination of Trotsky* directed by J. Losey, 1984 by M. Radford).

The next decline in the mutual political confrontation was connected with concluding an official agreement of contacts, exchange and collaboration between the USSR and the USA in June, 1973 followed by a widely advertised Soviet-American Apollo-Soyuz test project (1974). The ideological *détente* had lasted practically till the end of 1979 when the Soviet Union launched an enduring war in Afghanistan...

The following serial of *Bondiana - The Spy Who Loved Me* (1977) contained a most striking episode which reflected the lessening of the mutual

confrontation of the 1970s: when kissing Bond the Soviet spy Anya utters a significant phrase: “*Well, well... a British agent in love with a Russian agent. Détente, indeed...* ”.

By the way, the archaically constructed films directed by Y. Dzigan – *Always On the Alert* (1972) and by G. Aleksandrov – *Starling and Lyre* (1974) fell victims to this *détente*. The first was banned by the Soviet censorship because of an almost caricatural presentation of *the iron stream* of western spies and saboteurs trying to steal through the Soviet *sealed border*. The latter was banned because of the ill-timed stereotypic scheme used in the film which showed how the Nazis were replaced by vile Americans after 1945 (however, there are some other less politicized versions of the reasons for the film being prohibited). The stereotype used in the film of the same G. Aleksandrov *Link-up on the Elbe* (1946) was enthusiastically met by the Stalin regime and seemed *outdated and politically incorrect* to Brezhnev's Kremlin in 1974.

At the same time, despite a short political *truce* in the middle of the 1970s the Soviet Union and the West were in the heat of the ideological struggle practically up to the *perestroika* period which reached its culmination at the end of the Soviet *stagnation epoch* (the early 1980s). Even at the peak of the *ideological détente* the opposing sides did not forget about mutual attacks. For example, in the context of screen espionage and terrorism.

For example, let me analyze the plot retelling of the thriller *Telefon* by D. Siegel (1977) made by E. Kartseva for illustration:

*“viewers are shown numerous explosions occurring in different parts of the United States. But the objects being exploded lost any strategic meaning long ago. The American investigation is very surprised at it, though, of course, they do not doubt that the explosions are the work of the red. The underlying story is the following. At the height of the Cold War in the late 1940s the Soviet Union placed 136 agents near important military bases, industrial complexes and research-and-development centers of the USA. Being hypnotized they were quite unaware of their future mission. But when they heard a certain codeword on the phone they began to carry out the operation implanted in their minds under the old hypnosis. After that each agent – it was programmed – committed suicide. A certain employee of the Soviet espionage Dalchinsky who knew about the telephone terrorism and disagreed with the Soviet investigation policy went on a business trip to the USA where he started to realize a dreadful plot. Americans informed the Soviet government about this past operation. Then an experienced agent, Grigory Borzov, – a replica of James Bond, was sent to America. Working hand in hand with beauty Barbara, a double agent, gallant Borzov neutralized Dalchinsky and*

prevented the most disastrous explosions in the nick of time. After performing the exploit he did not return to Moscow and remained with Barbara” (Kartseva 1987, 199-200).

Nevertheless, the West did not often turn their attention to the Russian subject during the *détente* epoch: 6-9 films about Russia were shot annually from 1975 to 1978 (only 1-4 among them were American films).

M. Strada and H. Troper wrote:

*Why did not the Hollywood of the 1970s show much enthusiasm about cooperation with the Soviet Union? Why did not the portraits of Russian film characters become more positive in the détente epoch? Some factors will help explain the situation. The first one, as they say, – out of sight, out of mind. At the height of the Cold War the threat sources for America seemed to be external, and namely: the Soviet Union and their automatic weapon... In the 1970s began the détente policy, arms control support, nuclear risks reduction. As a result, the fear of the atomic war was diffused. The second reason for the ambivalent Hollywood reaction to the détente epoch was its ambiguous character (Strada, Troper 1997, 143-144).*

While *Dr. Zhivago* (1965) directed by D. Lean was, undoubtedly, a most *symbolic* western film of the 1960s concerning Russia, W. Beatty's *Reds* (1981) became one of the most outstanding western films about Russia in the 1980s, a kind of an American answer to the enthusiasm of the Russian revolutionary epoch (Strada, Troper 1997, 166).

W. Beatty's drama told about the Russian events of 1917-1918, about the Bolshevik movement seen by an American journalist, John Reed. The producer tried to avoid grotesque and ideological preconception. His position was neutral and sympathetic rather than accusatory.

The movie *Reds* was an Oscar nominee in 12 awards. As a result, the director, cameraman and a supporting actress got the cherished statuettes. American film critics included *Reds* in the top five Hollywood films of the year.

The movie with its star actors (leading actors – W. Beatty, J. Nicholson, etc.) was supposed to become a box-office hit. But in the first year of its showing in cinemas (since December 4th, 1981) the film earned 40 million dollars (not a very impressive result taking into account that the film cost \$32 million) and got only the 197<sup>th</sup> position in box-office receipts among the films of the 1980s (Strada, Troper, 1997, p. 167). Apparently, it was because the movie *Reds* was made contrary to a stereotyped simplified western notion of Russia and deprived of the *Dr. Zhivago's* melodramatic character and entertaining nature *per se*...

On account of the Soviet troops invasion of Afghanistan (1979) and R.Reagan's conception of *star wars* the ideological confrontation between the Soviet Union and the West rapidly increased (Strada, Troper 1997, 154; Golovskoy 1987, 269). As a result, - in the early 1980s the post-war stereotypes of the *Cold War* were reanimated.

So, in the sanguinary action movie *Invasion of the USA* (1985) terrorist-psychopath Michael Rostov's cruelty is quite adequate to the tortures of KGB Colonel Nikita Biroshilov from the old movie *Prisoner of War* (1954) (Strada, Troper 1997, viii). In *Invasion U.S.A.* Russian terrorists blast buildings, innocent men, women and children. In fact, never before had Hollywood films shown such a degree of the Soviet aggression (Strada, Troper 1997, 146).

The action movie *Red Dawn* (1984) where the Russian aggression is shown as a moral equivalent of the Nazi invasion was shot in a similar spirit. No wonder that the chairman of the American National Coalition on Television Violence named *Red Dawn* as a screen violence leader: 134 acts of violence per hour (Strada, Troper 1997, 160).

The film *Rambo III* was filled with not less Russophobia pathos and narrated about the Soviet troops' atrocities in Afghanistan (take, for example, a sadist character of Colonel Zaitsev who possessed all the *Cold War* stereotypes of negative characters). *Rambo III* cost \$63 million and became the most expensive film of 1988. But it did not meet the expectations of Hollywood producers for it proved to be an unprofitable investment: the film was released at the height of the Soviet *perestroika*, in other words, it was out with a 3 years delay. By the time the former anti-Soviet moods of American viewers had changed significantly and the released film was a flop: its box-office receipts were only \$ 28,5 million (Strada, Troper 1997, 182).

In addition to conventional denunciations of mutual espionage and aggression (*Gorky Park* directed by M. Apted, *The Soldier* by J.Glickenhous, *Invasion U.S.A.* by J. Zito, *World War III* by D. Greene, *Red Dawn* by J.Milius, *Secret Weapons* by D. Taylor, *Rambo II* by G. Cosmatos, *Amerika* by D. Wrye, *The Right to Shoot, Ordered to Take Alive* and *The Barman from 'Golden Anchor'* by V. Zhivolub, *We Accuse* by T. Levchuk, *On Rich Red Islands* and *The Secret of the Villa 'Greta'* by T. Lisitsian, *Alert Flight* by V. Chebotaryov, *Solo Voyage* by M. Tumanishvili, *Interception* by S.Tarasov, etc.) there emerged more sophisticated ideological altercations.

For example, in 1985 in the USSR and in the USA there were released two films which told about the fate of well-known actors-defectors. S.Mikaelyan in *Flight 222* made an attempt to act a true story about how famous Soviet ballet dancer Alexander Godunov escaped to the West: according to the plot of the film Americans try to prevent the defector's wife,

who is very patriotic, from jetting off to Moscow. And T. Hackford in *White Nights* using an image of another well-known ballet dancer (Michael Baryshnikov who was shining on Broadway stages at that time) designs a symmetrical situation. His character is a leading Petersburg ballet soloist who ran away to the USA and was captured by KGB because of some technical failure of an American airliner which force-landed in the USSR. However, despite the generous promises of the Soviet special services he refused to compromise and soon managed to escape again to the West...

The topic of forced emigration, this time because of anti-Semitism, was chosen by *Streets of Gold* (1986) directed by J. Roth. According to the plot of the film the Soviet authorities do not wish Jew Neumann to present the Soviet Union at the coming Olympic Games. And in protest the offended sportsman emigrates to the United States...

Unlike the American cinema art of the 1970s which ignored *boring* Russian characters, the Hollywood of the 1980s produced over 80 films about Russia. Almost all of them demonstrated negative sides of the Russian and Soviet system frightening viewers with malicious Soviet enemy portraits which should be annihilated. All films of the kind began with the idea that the Soviet communism was an evil. It was not new but it was implied that peaceful co-existence was impossible and negotiations efforts with the enemies of freedom had no sense (Strada, Troper 1997, 154-155).

In addition to the espionage-adventure genre the negative image of the West was widely cultivated by the Soviet screen in sci-fi movies where scientific discoveries fell into the hands of cruel maniacs wishing to become the lords of the world (*The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin*, *The Air-Seller*, *Professor Dowell's Testament*). The American fantastic cinema in its turn showed films about how the Soviet troops invaded Alaska (*Amerika*) or allegoric films about extraterrestrial invasions... The British screen presented a second screen version of the J. Orwell' anti-communist masterpiece – 1984.

A special part was assigned to gloomy fantastic (by the way, often pacifist) films about consequences of a nuclear war (*Five*, *On the Beach*, *Chosen Survivors*, *Dead Man's Letters*, etc.). These 'warnings from the future' — nightmares of the insanity of atomic and space wars, ruin of the human civilization — became quite customary on the *bipolar world* screens. This is a special type of fantasy which still frightens the mankind with its topicality as there are a lot of the so-called *local conflicts* on our planet today.

In 1985 Hollywood released a costly blockbuster *2010* telling about how Americans destroyed a Soviet vessel and the Kremlin revenged 'asymmetrically' by blasting out an American military satellite. Despite all this negative attitude the movie *2010* anticipated the transition from rigid

Russophobia to new American-Soviet collaboration (Strada, Troper 1997, 168).

Anyhow, the media *Cold War* lasted till the end of the 1980s when in connection with the Soviet *perestroika* a mutual sympathy between the West and the USSR was shown more often (*Red Heat*, *Russkies*, *Superman IV*, *The American Spy*)... Apart from the former ideological patterns the Soviet system against Russian people' or 'a bad system vs. good people' they began more often to shoot 'positive films about the advantages of mutual demilitarization and the Soviet-American cooperation (Strada, Troper 1997, 196).

For example, *Superman* (1987) saved the Soviet administration from the enemy missiles; good-natured Russian mariner Michael Aleksandrovich Pushkin (Misha) (1987) from *Russkies* in fact turns out to be a good friend of Americans. In the movie *Red Heat* (1988) legendary A. Schwarzenegger with all his terminator charisma played the role of a Russian militiaman who came to the USA and easily browbeat New York gangsters. And in the film *Red King. White Knight* (1989) an American agent prevented an attempt on the president's life and rescued M. Gorbachev; he also prevented a coup d'état planned by reactionary elements of the Soviet Union including KGB: the new spirit of cooperation should be protected (Strada, Troper 1997, 190-191).

By the way, *Red Heat* became the first western film shot in part in Moscow (Do you remember how apparently inauthentic the Russian capital looks in *Kremlin Letter* directed by J. Huston in Helsinki?).

*Spies like Us* (1985) by director J. Landis was one of the brightest comedies of the epoch – a humorous spoof on spy thrillers. The main characters of the film (a star duet of D. Aykroyd and C. Chase) arrive in Siberia on the American investigation instruction where together with local missile-women they avert a nuclear war. Then they make love in order to cement the Soviet-American relationship.

By ridiculing the stereotypes used in spy thrillers and Bondiana, John Landis turned the film into a skit for his friends and familiar filmmakers including, of course, film fans. So, minor roles of aggressive Russian frontier guards were played for fun by well-known producer Costa-Gavras (*Zeta*, *L'Aveu*, *Missing in Action*) and a disk jockey of the BBC Russian sector – Seva Novgorodtsev.

The plot of the other American comedy of those years – *Young Nurses in Love* (1987) is also very amusing. It is a parody on 'hospital' soap operas: in order to steal the American sperm bank (containing the donations of P. Picasso, D. MacArthur, E. Hemingway) KGB agent Dombrovskaya passes herself off as an American nurse...

In my opinion, the Book of American researchers Tony Snow and Denise Youngblood, *Cinematic Cold War: US-Soviet Battle for Hearts and Minds* (Shaw and Youngblood 2010) is new appeal to the era of 1946-1985, when at times it seemed that the ideological battle between America and the Soviet Union was doomed to Eternity. Book by T. Shaw and D. Youngblood is well structured, balanced, and her peculiar sound assessment cinema steeped in a broad sociocultural context. Felt in every scope of the work done: with the support of several foundations and organizations, the authors of a number of years worked in the U.S. and Russian archives and libraries, looking for maximum coverage of literary and film material.

T. Shaw and D. Youngblood divide this period into five segments - the extremely negative propaganda (1947-1953), mostly positive propaganda (1953-1962), propaganda in favor of detente between the USSR and the USA (1962-1990), the return to a rigid confrontational propaganda (1980-1986) and promote peaceful co-existence (1986-1990) (Shaw and Youngblood 2010, 18-19). The authors also reasonable to note that this circuit except during the 1947-1953 year has never been strict: in the U.S. and the Soviet Union at the most “thaw days” on the screen to get tough on the tone of films, directed against the main enemy.

Rightly pointed out the fact that, unlike 1930, the Soviet post-war films of the Cold War internal enemies have been honored for special attention, and were successfully replaced by foreign spies and saboteurs (Shaw and Youngblood 2010, 49). But an internal enemy - the communists - to pay the lion's share of the on-screen confrontation in American films 1947-1953's also...

The book of T. Shaw and D. Youngblood has different kind of construction: after a brief historical overview of “cinema cold war” between the U.S. and the USSR, the authors provides a detailed analysis of the typical American and Soviet “confrontation” films – from *Meeting on the Elbe* (1949) to *Rambo: First Blood. Part II* (1985).

And here, at first glance may seem odd presence in this list peaceful and lyrical Russian melodrama *Spring on Zarechnaya Street* (1956). But even here the logic of the authors convinced - these are entirely immersed in the everyday atmosphere of the film (both Soviet and American) to convince the audience in the stability and “correctness” lifestyle characters (Shaw and Youngblood 2010, 97; 112).

Of course, the on-screen battle of two state systems was initially unequal. Many American films related to the Soviet-themed (*From Russia with Love*, *Gorky Park*, *Fire Fox* and many others) have had wide international distribution and resonance, in time, as virtually all Soviet anti-American movies were “goods for domestic use”. In addition, Hollywood Cold War

(especially in the 1960-1970) was sometimes much more pluralistic and tolerant (for example, *The Russian Are Coming, The Russian Are Coming!* by Norman Jewison) against the Soviet Union than the Soviet “ideological film battle with USA” (Shaw and Youngblood 2010, 219).

On the other hand, the authors of the Soviet confrontational film and did not count on international success. Their mission was originally a local - ideological influence over the hearts and minds of the population, “the sixth part of the globe” (plus, perhaps also dependent on the people of the then - Soviet Union “socialist camp”).

It is difficult to disagree with M. Strada and H. Troper's conclusions – only few films of the confrontation epoch are jewels which stood the test of time and keep on shining but the majority of these films seem banal, even senseless today and quickly fade from the memory (Strada, Troper 1997, ix). It is curious that ponderous and pathos confrontation dramas of 1946-1986, as a rule, look rather archaic now while less ambitious, openly adventure films (*The Mystery of Two Oceans, From Russia with Love*) or comedies (*Silk Stockings, Moscow on the Hudson*) demonstrate a surprising *durability* in TV ratings.

Anyhow, films of the *Cold War* epoch are quite suitable for content analysis and can be systematized according to dominant stereotypes (in terms of problems, ethics, ideological messages, plots, types of characters, representation methods, etc.).

### ***Cinema Stereotypes of the Ideological Confrontation Epoch (1946-1991)***

The comparative analysis of plots, characters and ideology of the western and Soviet films of the ideological confrontation epoch (1946-1991) shows a substantial similarity of their media stereotypes.

The content analysis of *Cold War* media texts allows to present their main plots as follows:

- spies penetrate into some USSR/USA/Western country to sabotage and/or to find out some military secrets (*Secret Mission, The Blue Arrow, The Mystery of Two Oceans, Above the Tissa, The Shadow Near the Pier, The Case of Corporal Kotchetkov, A Shot in the Fog, Marked Atom, The Prize, 13 Frightened Girls, We Accuse, From Russia with Love, Topaz, A Dandy in Aspic, Le Serpent, The Spy Who Came in from the Cold, Firefox, Invasion U.S.A., Le caviar rouge, The Fourth Protocol, No Way Out, etc.*);

- the opponent plots a secret attack against some USSR/USA/Western country creating secret bases with nuclear weapons

for this purpose (*The Mystery of Two Oceans, World War III, Secret Weapons, Rocket Attack U.S.A., etc.*). Another variant: occupation troops invasion (*Black Seagull, Red Dawn, Amerika, etc.*), opponents exchange nuclear strikes which destroy the USA or even the whole planet (*Five, On the Beach, Chosen Survivors, Threads, Fail-Safe, The Day After, etc.*);

- a brutal pseudo-democratic or totalitarian regime oppresses its own people (USSR/USA/other country) quite often performing risky medical experiments or throwing its people into concentration camps (*The Plot of the Doomed, Silver Dust, The First Circle, One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich, 1984, Gulag, Mosca addio, Moscow on the Hudson, The Interviewer, etc.*);

- dissidents abandon/try to abandon a country where, in their opinion, democracy and personal freedom are stifled (*The Iron Curtain, Red Danube, The Journey, Escape to the Sun, Green Card, The Lost, La Diagonale du fou, Moscow on the Hudson, Flight 222, White Nights, etc.*);

- ordinary Soviet/western citizens explain to Soviet/western military/civil visitors misled by propaganda that the USSR/USA/Western country is a bulwark of friendship, prosperity and peace (*Ninotchka, Silk Stockings, Russian Souvenir, Leon Garros is Looking for his Friend, The Russian are Coming, Russkies, etc.*)

- a loving couple meets some obstacles connected with the ideological confrontation between the USSR and the Western world (*Silk Stockings, The Iron Petticoat, Queen of Revenge or to Leave Forever, One Two Three, Before Winter Comes, The Golden Moment, Cowboy and the Ballerina, etc.*);

I agree with M. Strada and H. Troper: the last prominent peak of the *Cold War* cinema fell on the early 1980s when the Russian as part of a monolithic and aggressive system were portrayed as products of their environment – malevolent, strong, and actively revolutionary all over the world. In the early 1980s love and marriage practically disappeared from American films about Russia, as well as religion. Almost all Russian characters were shown as definite agents of violence: they were men who abhorred and usually endangered the American way of life. In this message there was an incessant crystal-clear demand from advocates of freedom to remain vigilant in relation to the malevolent Soviet system and its sinister representatives (Strada, Troper 1997, 170).

However, a detailed analysis of Soviet/Russian films about America and the West is not our research objective, therefore we will focus on studying stereotypes within the ideological confrontation topic presented in western feature films of different genres.

### ***The Stereotype Structure of Western 'Confrontational' Dramas***

**Historical period, scene:** any interval of time from 1917 to 1991, the USSR, the USA, other countries.

**Setting, household objects:** simple dwellings and household utensils of Soviet characters, luxurious dwellings and household stuff of western characters.

**Representation of reality:** quasirealistic or conventional and grotesque depicting of people's life in 'hostile countries'.

**The western example of representation of events:** New York, a modern attractive megalopolis, a cozy and comfortable, democratic and dynamical melting pot of nationalities and cultures. And on the other side of the ocean is Moscow, - a dark, gloomy city with long queues outside the shops and military patrols on the streets.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** positive characters are advocates of democratic ideas; negative characters are exponents of anti-humane, militarist ideas. Characters differ not only in the social but also in the material status. Soviet characters are quite often demonstrated as rude and cruel zealots with a primitive vocabulary and ever frowning faces, active gesticulation and disagreeable voices.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** negative characters prepare to implement their anti-humane ideas.

**Incipient problem:** the lives of positive characters as well as lives of the whole nationalities/countries are in danger.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** a struggle of positive characters with negative ones.

**Problem solution:** murder/arrest of negative characters, returning to a peaceful life.

**The Beast of Budapest. USA.** Director H. Jones.

**Historical period, scene:** Hungary, October, 1956.

**Setting, household objects:** dilapidated streets and buildings of Budapest, torture-chambers of Hungarian communist special services; poor households of simple Hungarians, luxurious interiors of the Budapest police chief's department.

**Representation of reality:** quasirealistic, making some semblance to documentary objectivity by including some fragments of original newsreel facts of 1956.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** Hungarian rebels and special services workers of the Hungarian

communist regime. The latter are shown as rude, cruel and cynical sadists with a primitive vocabulary, unpleasant voices and looks; Hungarian rebels, on the contrary, are depicted as extremely positive characters; they are purposeful, strong, honest fighters for peace and democracy who use a business lexicon, restrained manners and mime; even those of them who at first tried to maintain neutrality or even were under the influence of the communist propaganda, soon find their bearings and join the rebels.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** Hungarian communists together with the Soviet troops try to crush the riot of Hungarian workers and students and to arrest/kill their leaders.

**Incipient problem:** the lives of positive characters – Hungarian rebels, are under threat.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the leaders of Hungarian rebels go into action against communist special services.

**Problem solution:** the positive characters slay the Budapest police chief in the fight who wanted to flee to Austria for fear of people's wrath (though in the end the off-screen voice reports that, unfortunately, the victory of democratic forces proved to be temporary and soon the Soviet troops managed to restore a communist regime in Hungary)

**Sakharov. Great Britain, 1985.** Director J. Gold.

**Historical period, scene:** the USSR of the middle of the 1980s.

**Setting, household objects:** poor Soviet conditions of life, squalid surroundings.

**Representation of reality:** quasirealistic, making some semblance to documentary objectivity, elements of obvious grotesque.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** an outstanding scientist-democrat and crafty KGB agents. They differ in their ideology. Academician Sakharov is charming, clever, honest, plainly dressed, has a correct literary speech, his mime and gestures suit the canons of the intellectual. The KGB agents are deceitful, cynical, obsessed with the ideas of repressing democracy and freethinking... Their lexicon is primitive, their gestures and mime are vulgar.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** KGB initiates surveillance of the outstanding scientist-democrat, and then he is exiled from Moscow into a bleak and dirty provincial town, off-limits to foreigners.

**Incipient problem:** the life of the outstanding scientist and his family is in danger.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** a democratic western society supports the scientist-democrat.

**Problem solution:** *inspired by the Western world support the scientist believes in the near win of democratic forces.*

**The Hunt for Red October. USA, 1990.** Director G. McTiernan.

**Historical period, scene:** *at the turn of the 1990s, in the ocean.*

**Setting, household objects:** *office compartments and cabins of a submarine.*

**Representation of reality:** *realistic, making some semblance to documentary objectivity.*

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** *the commander of the Soviet submarine 'Red October' and their American colleagues. At first they differed in the ideological status. The commander is charming, clever, honest, dressed in the military uniform, his speech (English, of course) is correct, his mime and gestures suit the canons of a military seaman. His American colleagues are also dressed in the military form, they are efficient professionals.*

**Significant change in the characters' life:** *American military men want to win the Soviet commander to their side.*

**Incipient problem:** *hesitation of the Soviet submarine commander between the military oath and a temptation to hand over the newest submarine to the American colleagues.*

**Search for solutions to the problem:** *the commander of the submarine tries to analyze the situation.*

**Problem solution:** *the triumph of democratic forces, the commander of the Soviet submarine joins the American Fleet.*

### ***The Stereotype Structure of Western 'Confrontational' Thrillers or Detectives***

**Historical period, scene:** *any interval of time from 1917 to 1991, the USSR, the USA, other countries.*

**Setting, household objects:** *simple dwellings and household utensils of Soviet characters, luxurious dwellings and household stuff of western characters (but being on the territory of the hostile country the spies adapt to housing and living conditions of the adversary).*

**Representation of reality:** *as a rule, conventional and grotesque representation of the lives of people in 'hostile states'.*

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** *positive characters (frontier guards, counterintelligence agents, spies, saboteurs, and peaceful citizens) and negative ones (the same groups, except for peaceful citizens). Split by ideology and world outlook (bourgeois and*

communist), as a rule, the characters are strong-built and look according to the plot of a media text: spies can for some time (before the exposure, for example) look nice, but then they will necessarily show their vile essence... Other Soviet characters (frontier guards, KGB chiefs, etc.) are shown as rude and cruel zealots with a primitive lexicon, ever frowning faces, active gesticulation and disagreeable tones of heart-rending cries...

**Significant change in the characters' life:** negative characters commit a crime (illegal crossing the border, acts of sabotage, espionage, blackmail, theft of government secrets, murders).

**Incipient problem:** law-breaking.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** crime investigation, pursuit of negative characters.

**Problem solution:** positive characters expose/catch/kill negative characters.

**Embassy. The USA, 1972.** Director G. Hessler.

**Historical period, scene:** an Arabian country of the early 1970s. The American embassy.

**Setting, household objects:** streets of the Arabian capital, the embassy interiors.

**Representation of reality:** neutral and correct representation of positive American characters; a slight grotesque in relation to the Soviet spy (though, at first his portrait is neutral), the settings and interiors look quite realistic.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** the negative character is a Soviet spy; positive characters are a dissident who ran away from the USSR and the American embassy staff. All the characters are dressed nearly in the same way – according to the diplomatic status. All of them are restrained. It is clear that the Soviet spy is forced to conceal his adherence to 'the values of the socialist way of life'.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** the Soviet spy penetrates into the American embassy.

**Incipient problem:** the Soviet spy tries to kill the dissident from the USSR who asked the American ambassador for a political asylum.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** positive Americans try to expose the Soviet spy.

**Problem solution:** the Soviet spy is exposed.

**Telefon. The USA, 1977.** Director D. Siegel.

**Historical period, scene:** the USA of the late 1970s.

**Setting, household objects:** American streets, offices of American special services.

**Representation of reality:** neutral and positive American characters; a slight grotesque in relation to the Soviet spy; the settings and interiors look quite realistic.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** a negative character – Soviet spy Dalchinsky; positive characters – Soviet agent Borzov (here one can feel the obvious détente impact on Hollywood scripts that never dared to endow Soviet spies with positive traits before); by the way, a similar method was used earlier in the Soviet cinema too, in the film ‘Resident’s Mistake’ shot in 1968; an American spy is also shown there in a positive way) and employees of American intelligence department. All the characters are dressed almost likewise – they are wearing smart clothes. They are reserved in showing their feelings and thoughts.

**Significant change in the characters’ life:** Soviet spy Dalchinsky makes up his mind to implement an old plan of the Soviet espionage; using a codeword on the phone he orders the Kremlin agents who were hypnotized in the 1940s to blast out USA military facilities.

**Incipient problem:** the USA security is in danger (though the majority of the damaged military facilities turn out to be out-dated).

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the Kremlin sends the best agent Borzov in the USA to upset Dalchinsky’s plans.

**Problem solution:** Borzov neutralizes Dalchinsky and prevents a further serial of explosions. After the successfully completed operation Borzov (apparently, being fascinated by the American way of life and beautiful Barbara) resolves to remain in the USA for good. Here the reasons for the initially positive image of Borzov become clear even to the least sagacious audience...

**No Way Out. USA, 1987.** Director: R. Donaldson.

**Historical period, scene:** the USA of the mid-eighties of the XX<sup>th</sup> century.

**Setting, household objects:** offices of special services, apartments.

**Representation of reality:** the living conditions and all the characters are displayed quite realistically, without grotesque.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** a negative character – a Soviet spy (charismatic, attractive, purposeful, clever, well-dressed); positive characters – Americans, including - employees of the American special services. The Soviet spy skillfully conceals his genuine purposes and goals under the mask of a charming American for the greater part of the action.

**Significant change in the characters’ life:** the Soviet spy gets some information about the American special services affairs.

**Incipient problem:** *the Soviet spy attempts to undermine the USA defensive capability.*

**Search for solutions to the problem:** *positive Americans attempt to expose the Soviet spy.*

**Problem solution:** *the Soviet spy is exposed.*

### ***The Stereotype Structure of Western ‘Confrontational’ Action Films***

**Historical period, scene:** *any interval of time from 1917 to 1991, the USSR, the USA, other countries.*

**Setting, household objects:** *simple dwellings and household utensils of Soviet characters, luxurious dwellings and household stuff of western characters (if the latter are in the West, of course, not on the territory of Russia), typified patterns of military facilities bases, cabins of planes and tanks, decks of naval vessels, compartments of submarines.*

**Representation of reality:** *as a rule, conventional and grotesque representation of the lives of people in ‘hostile states’.*

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** *positive characters (servicemen of different armed forces and peaceful citizens) - advocates of democratic ideas; aggressors (military men, saboteurs, terrorists) – bearers of anti-humane ideas. Split by ideology and world outlook (bourgeois and communist), as a rule, the characters are strong-built and look according to the plot of a media text: Soviet characters (soldiers, officers) are shown as rude and cruel zealots in Western films with a primitive vocabulary, ever frowning faces, active gesticulation and disagreeable tones of heart-rending cries...*

**Significant change in the characters’ life:** *negative characters commit a crime (military aggression, acts of sabotage, murders).*

**Incipient problem:** *transgression - the lives of positive characters, and very often, the lives of all peaceful characters of a democratic country (in this or that meaning) are under threat.*

**Search for solutions to the problem:** *armed struggle of the positive characters with the enemy aggression.*

**Problem solution:** *killing/capture of aggressors, return to peaceful life.*

**Firefox. USA, 1982.** Director C. Eastwood.

**Historical period, scene:** *the Moscow and Moscow suburbs of the early 1980s.*

**Setting, household objects:** *Moscow streets, apartments, a military aerodrome situated near Moscow, a jet fighter cabin; ascetic conditions of the Soviet life.*

**Representation of reality:** *the Soviet life attributes, interiors, costumes and so forth are shown with grotesque. Moscow looks like a dark, dirty, unfriendly city, with military patrols in the streets and in the underground.*

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** *a positive character – a courageous and dexterous American pilot, he is also a valorous spy and a patriot of America; negative characters – his Soviet enemies, dull-witted and disagreeable; common Moscow passers-by are shown as people with gloomy faces dressed in similar grey-brown clothes. The vocabulary of all the characters is plain. The mime and gestures are often exaggerated.*

**Significant change in the characters' life:** *having stolen a march on the armed guard the American pilot skulks to the Soviet military aerodrome.*

**Incipient problem:** *the American pilot hijacks a secret Soviet jet Firefox and successfully takes off.*

**Search for solutions to the problem:** *Soviet military men try to shoot down the plane, and the American pilot tries to escape.*

**Problem solution:** *the American pilot successfully lands at a western military aerodrome.*

**Born American. USA, 1985.** Director R. Harlin.

**Historical period, scene:** *the Finland and the USSR of mid 1980s, frontier areas.*

**Setting, household objects:** *streets and houses in Finland and the USSR, KGB torture-chambers. Poor Soviet living conditions.*

**Representation of reality:** *the Soviet life attributes, interiors, costumes and so forth are shown with grotesque, and the Finnish ones are shown in a positive and advertising manner.*

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** *positive characters are common nice American guys; negative characters are Soviet agents of KGB. The latter look like caricatures – with hysterical mime and gestures, a primitive vocabulary. The Soviet characters are dressed in plain grey clothes.*

**Significant change in the characters' life:** *having deceived the Soviet frontier guards the American guy illegally crosses the Finnish-Soviet border just for fun.*

**Incipient problem:** *the American guy falls into clutches of cruel KGB agents.*

**Search for solutions to the problem:** *the American guy tries to crash out.*

**Problem solution:** *the American guy manages to return to the West.*

**Rambo III. USA, 1988.** Director P. MacDonald.

**Historical period, scene:** the Afghanistan occupied by the Soviet army of the late 1980s, mountain regions.

**Setting, household objects:** military bases, military accessories (the uniform, arms, etc.).

**Representation of reality:** the Soviet life attributes, interiors, costumes and so on look like rather realistic but some conventional elements characteristic of fighting and firing scenes of this genre are quite obvious.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** a positive courageous and invincible American warrior, and negative Soviet aggressors (shown in a grotesque manner). The characters' vocabulary is simple and connected to army specificity. The characters' mime and gestures are often exaggerated. The majority of the characters are dressed in a military uniform. Their physical development is apparently above the average.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** the American superman arrives in Afghanistan occupied by Soviet troops.

**Incipient problem:** the American guy's life as well as the lives of common Afghan people is under threat.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the valorous American warrior defends the democracy and freedom of Afghan people.

**Problem solution:** the victory of the American superman over the Soviet aggressors.

### ***The Stereotype Structure of Western 'Confrontational' Melodramas***

**Historical period, scene:** any interval of time from 1917 to 1991, the USSR, the USA, other countries.

**Setting, household objects:** simple dwellings and household utensils of Soviet characters, luxurious dwellings and household stuff of western characters.

**Representation of reality:** as a rule, a conventional and grotesque representation of people's life in 'hostile states'.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** male and female characters of a contrasting ideological and social status. Characters, as a rule, are of a shapely constitution and look rather nice. Their clothes, vocabulary and mime are of average quality.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** meeting of the male and female characters.

**Incipient problem:** ideological and social mésalliance.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the characters overcome ideological and social obstacles to their love.

**Problem solution:** wedding / love harmony (in most cases); death, separation of characters (as an exception from the rule).

**Jet Pilot. USA, 1957.** Director: J. von Sternberg.

**Historical period, scene:** the USA (Alaska) and the USSR of the 1950s.

**Setting, household objects:** a jet cabin, apartments, military offices.

**Representation of reality:** emphasized favorable methods of treating positive characters and grotesque when treating negative characters.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** an American colonel and a young lady, – a Soviet jet pilot. They are of a different ideological, social and material status. The characters are usually dressed in the military uniform. They both are well-built. They speak in plain. The mime and gestures are emotional.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** a Soviet jet lands in Alaska. Its pilot is a charming Russian beauty who gets fascinated by America; the American colonel falls in love with her at first sight, they get married...

**Incipient problem:** soon after their wedding it turns out that the charming Russian spouse of the American colonel is a spy.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the American starts to play his own game – he (as a counterspy) comes together with his wife to the USSR.

**Problem solution:** in the USSR the American colonel realizes that his Russian wife really loves him. The reunited couple steals Soviet aviation secrets and flies back to Alaska, having stolen the newest Russian jet fighter...

**Anastasia. USA, 1956.** Director A. Litvak.

**Historical period, scene:** the Europe of the 1920s.

**Setting, household objects:** the apartments of the Romanovs who are emigrants in Europe; urban streets.

**Representation of reality:** emphasized favorable methods of treating positive characters – the members of the Romanov family, as a whole a positive representation of heroine I. Bergman who gives herself out for Anastasia – the Russian emperor's (Nickolas II) daughter who escaped from being shot.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** the Romanovs who managed to escape are hereditary aristocrats with exquisite manners and imposter Anastasia. They belong to different social strata. Their clothes are in line with their social status – the members of the Romanov family are dressed elegantly. Anastasia (esp. at first) is dressed in plain clothes. The characters' vocabulary is in accord with their social status. The mime is often exaggerated and gestures are emotional.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** Paris, 1928. The members of the Russian tsar's family (who are in emigration) know that in July, 1918 Tsar Nickolas the Second, his wife Alexandra and their children were shot by Bolsheviks. However, all of a sudden, in a Western Europe country there shows up a young woman who calls herself the daughter of the Russian tsar – Anastasia.

**Incipient problem:** the appearance of the imposter makes some members of the Russian tsar's family and their servants preys to doubts: is the charming stranger genuine princess Anastasia?

**Search for solutions to the problem:** Anastasia's strange fragmentary reminiscences about the Romanovs' life which could be known only to a narrow circle of the tsar's family seem rather verisimilar... The members of the Romanov family try to look into the imposter's odd story...

**Problem solution:** at first Empress Maria Fedorovna accuses Anastasia of fraud but on hearing Anastasia's recollections known to them alone recognizes her as Nickolas the Second's daughter. And though journalists dig up true facts Maria Fedorovna gives Anastasia her blessing to marry a former Russian general...

**The Golden Moment. An Olympic Love Story. USA, 1980.** Director R. Sarafian.

**Historical period, scene:** the USA and USSR of mid 1980s.

**Setting, household objects:** urban streets, apartments, hotel rooms, gyms. An ascetic mode of Soviet life.

**Representation of reality:** conventional (within the genre), the whole American environment and major American characters are shown with sympathy.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** a charming American athlete and a nice Soviet gymnast; they are of a contrasting ideological, social and material status. The characters prefer sportswear. Both of them are well-built. The characters' vocabulary is plain. The mime and gestures are those of common people.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** the meeting of these characters during the Olympic Games of 1980 in Moscow, their mutual love.

**Incipient problem:** the lovers face a number of hindrances.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the Soviet gymnast and the American athlete try to overcome the obstacles to their love.

**Problem solution:** when choosing between her sports career in the USSR and love, the Soviet gymnast prefers love...

**The Stereotype Structure of Western 'Confrontational' Love Comedies**

**Historical period, scene:** any interval of time from 1917 to 1991, the USSR, the USA, other countries.

**Setting, household objects:** simple dwellings and household utensils of Soviet characters, luxurious dwellings and household stuff of western characters.

**Representation of reality:** as a rule, a conventional/grotesque representation of people's life in 'hostile states'.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** male and female characters of a contrasting ideological and social status. The clothes of western characters look brighter and better than those of Soviet characters. The constitution, vocabulary, mime and gestures are differentiated but in whole the main characters (who according to the plot are destined to fall in love) have a pleasant appearance.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** the principal characters fall in love under amusing/eccentric circumstances.

**Incipient problem:** ideological and social *mésalliance*.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the characters overcome ideological and social obstacles to their love in a number of amusing/eccentric situations.

**Problem solution:** a wedding / love harmony tinged with humor.

**The Iron Petticoat. USA, 1957.** Director R. Thomas.

**Historical period, scene:** the London of the late 1950s.

**Setting, household objects:** comfortable houses and household articles of Englishmen.

**Representation of reality:** conventional (within the genre); London and characters are shown with evident sympathy. In relation to the heroine – Kovalenko – the methods of representation change in the course of the plot: from grotesque (in her original, Soviet status), to sympathy (her final acceptance of 'western values').

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** Kovalenko, a shapely beautiful woman and KGB captain (in the beginning she is ascetic and possessed by communist ideas and ethics, but in the end she yields to the temptations of the western life and is dressed in the extreme of the fashion) and an elegant, charming British captain of counterintelligence. At first, the heroine's vocabulary abounds in Soviet official words and stock phrases, her mime and gestures are frostily officious. At the end of the film the heroine undergoes serious changes – coherent plain English replaces the former officialese, her mime and gestures get also humanized.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** having arrived in London on the errand of the communist regime Kovalenko gets acquainted with a British captain.

**Incipient problem:** the difference in their ideological and social status obstructs the romance between the characters which is tinged with clowning, satire and humour.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** love becomes a means of overcoming the obstacles, and the principal obstacle being their mutual desire to convince each other of advantages of the communist or the Western world.

**Problem solution:** the solution of the Soviet beauty in love to reside in London, her happy alliance with the British officer.

**Silk Stockings. USA, 1957.** Director R. Mamulian.

**Ninotchka. USA, 1960.** Director T. Donovan.

(Both the films are remakes of the well-known comedy *Ninotchka* (1939) directed by E. Lubitsch).

**Historical period, scene:** the Paris and Moscow of the late 1950s.

**Setting, household objects:** luxurious dwellings and household stuff of Parisians, a poor official style of Moscow state offices.

**Representation of reality:** conventional (within the genre) but the French mode of life is shown with sympathy and the Soviet living conditions are caricatured. However, in relation to the principal heroine – *Ninotchka* – the means of image presentation undergo changes in the course of the plot: from a caricature (in her original, fanatical-Soviet status) to admiration (her final acceptance of 'western values').

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** nice Soviet functionary *Ninotchka* (initially, she is modest and obsessed by communist ideas and the party ethics, but at the end of the film she yields to the temptations of the western life and is very fashionably dressed) and a rich, elegantly dressed Parisian. The vocabulary of the heroine is rich in official clichés, her mime and gestures are reservedly officious. At the end of the film everything changes as if by magic - the heroine speaks the language of Parisian parlours, her mime and gestures meet the genre conception of 'a woman of the world'.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** having arrived in Paris on the errand of the communist regime *Ninotchka* gets acquainted with a charming Parisian.

**Incipient problem:** the difference in their ideological and social status obstructs the romance between the characters which is tinged with clowning, satire and humor.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** love and seduction become means of overcoming the obstacles, and the principal obstacle being Ninotchka's initial fanatical adherence to the communist ideology and her active aversion to the 'bourgeois morality'.

**Problem solution:** Ninotchka's renunciation of her former communist ideals and her happy alliance with the Parisian.

**One, Two, Three.** USA, 1963. Director B. Wilder.

**Historical period, scene:** the Berlin divided into occupation zones of the early 1960s.

**Setting, household objects:** comfortable dwellings, offices and articles of modern life of western Germans and Americans living in Western Berlin. An ascetic mode of life of East Berlin Germans.

**Representation of reality:** conventional (within the genre); the mode of life and characters of West Berlin are shown with evident sympathy. In relation to one of major characters – a guy from East Berlin – the means of image presentation undergo changes in the course of the plot: from grotesque (in his initial DDR status) to sympathy (his final acceptance of 'western values'). The characters belonging to the 'socialism world' (agents of DDR special services, Soviet military men) are shown in the manner of an openly slapdash caricature.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** a penniless guy from East Berlin obsessed by communist ideas and a girl, - a spoiled daughter of an American millionaire, head of "Coca-Cola" Corporation. The guy is simply and poorly dressed. The millionaire's daughter is dressed in expensive clothes; her vocabulary fits the Hollywood stereotype of a 'silly blonde'. The East Berlin guy's vocabulary abounds in socialist clichés at first, his mime and gestures are openly exaggerated. At the end of the film everything changes – the main character's speech becomes quite normal and plain.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** the guy from East Berlin and the girl who arrived from America meet in Berlin divided into the west and Soviet zones; they fall in love with each other.

**Incipient problem:** the difference in their social and financial status and the DDR guy's ultra-communist views obstruct the romance between the characters which is tinged with clowning, satire and humor (the arrest of the guy by the East Berlin police; an unexpected visit of the girl's parents who think that their daughter is going to marry a Germany aristocrat; the guy's initial fanatical adherence to the communist ideology and his active rejection of the 'bourgeois mode of life').

**Search for solutions to the problem:** with the help of the smart director of the Berlin branch of "Coca-Cola" Co. the guy and the girl gradually overcome the obstacles they meet in their way.

**Problem solution:** the guy's renunciation of communist ideals and his happy marriage with the American millionaire's daughter.

### ***The Stereotype Structure of Western 'Confrontational' Comedies about Ideological Propaganda***

**Historical period, scene:** any interval of time from 1917 to 1991, the USSR, the USA, other countries.

**Setting, household objects:** simple dwellings and household utensils of Soviet characters, luxurious dwellings and household stuff of western characters.

**Representation of reality:** as a rule, a conventional/grotesque representation of people's life in 'hostile states'.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** Soviet and western characters of a contrasting ideological and social status. They look according to the aim of the media text sources: Soviet characters (if they do not make plans to defect to the West) are shown as rude and cruel zealots with a primitive vocabulary, ever frowning faces, active gesticulation and disagreeable voices...

**Significant change in the characters' life:** characters meet under funny/eccentric conditions, and either western or Soviet characters are on a foreign territory.

**Incipient problem:** 'cultural shock', a communication gap.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** characters overcome ideological barriers hindering their communication in a number of humorous/eccentric situations.

**Problem solution:** harmony of mutual understanding between Soviet and western characters tinged by humor.

**The Russian Are Coming, The Russian Are Coming! USA, 1966.**  
Director N. Jewison.

**Historical period, scene:** the coastal area of the USA of the mid-1960s.

**Setting, household objects:** a Soviet submarine, a beach, a small American town, interiors of comfortable American houses.

**Representation of reality:** conventional (within the genre); the American mode of life and American characters are shown with sympathy. The Soviet characters are caricatured but it is a well-meant rather than a malicious caricature.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** nice plain Americans and a Soviet submarine crew; they are of a contrasting ideological, social and material status. The American characters are patriotic, charming, polite, precautionary, ready to help the Soviet seamen unaccustomed to the comfortable American mode of life; they are well dressed, their speech is plain, their mime and gestures depend on a situation (in the beginning the Americans are apparently scared suspecting that the Russian plan to attack the USA). The Soviet seamen are dressed in the military form, often and actively gesticulate, they are emotionally unstable.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** an account of the submarine malfunction which struck aground on a whim of the imbecile captain the Soviet seamen find themselves on the USA coastal territory. So their funny/eccentric adventures begin...

**Incipient problem:** (at first) not knowing anything about the USA democratic traditions, economy and culture the Soviet seamen receive a 'cultural shock' contemplating the achievements of the 'American lifestyle', and common Americans, in their turn, slowly part with their former stereotypic notions of Russians as furious enemies

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the Soviet and American characters overcome the barriers hindering their mutual understanding in a number of humorous/eccentric situations.

**Problem solution:** harmony of mutual understanding between the Soviet and American characters tinged by humor.

**Moscow on the Hudson. USA, 1985.** Director P. Mazursky.

**Historical period, scene:** the Moscow and New York of the mid-1980s.

**Setting, household objects:** Moscow and New York streets, shops, flats. Poor living conditions in the USSR. Comfortable living conditions in America.

**Representation of reality:** conventional (within the genre); the American mode of life and American characters are shown with sympathy. The Soviet lifestyle, on the contrary, is shown from the most negative point of view (dark streets, queues for toilet paper, petrol shortage, etc.)

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, and gestures:** Soviet citizens and common Americans, they are of a different ideological, social and material status. The main Soviet character (performed by R. Williams) who wished to immigrate to America is originally shown with sympathy and compassion. The rest of the Soviet characters are caricatured, especially the KGB agent played by S. Kramarov. This character is marked by hysteroid mime and gestures, foul language, but even

he finally makes up his mind to stay and live in America. The clothing and food of the Soviet characters are scarce that is why they all admire the American supermarket supplies. It is curious that the film contains Russian speech (though it is given in the form of a distorted accent) what was a rare thing in western films of that time.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** during a tour of the USA a Soviet citizen decides to ask for a political asylum and so his funny/eccentric adventures begin...

**Incipient problem:** the newly-made emigrant who is used to difficult living conditions in Russia gets a 'cultural shock' in the American 'affluent society'.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the ex-Soviet character copes with the obstacles preventing him from understanding the western values and the American mode of life.

**Problem solution:** the ex-Soviet character gets accustomed to his new environment and finds harmony living in the USA.

**Twist again`a Moscou. France, 1986.** Director Jean-Marie Poire.

**Historical period, scene:** the Moscow of the mid-1980s.

**Setting, household objects:** official interiors of Moscow houses and hotels. An ascetic mode of Soviet life.

**Representation of reality:** conventional (within the genre); Frenchmen are portrayed with sympathy, the Soviet characters are shown in the manner of an openly slapdash caricature.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, and gestures:** Frenchmen are dressed in the latest Paris fashions; the Soviet characters are shabbily dressed, their vocabulary is poor, mime and gestures are exaggerated.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** Frenchmen come to Moscow and find themselves in a whirlpool of comical events...

**Incipient problem:** the French and Soviet characters are of a different ideological, social and material status; the Frenchmen get a 'cultural shock' after meeting with the Moscow mode of life of that time.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** by getting accustomed to the Soviet mode of life and its bureaucratic obstacles the Frenchmen try to overcome the difficulties in their way.

**Problem solution:** the negative Soviet characters get punished.

### **The Stereotype Structure of Western 'Confrontational' Sci-Fi Films**

**Historical period, scene:** far/near future. The USSR, the USA, other countries, outer space.

**Setting, household objects:** simple dwellings and living conditions of Soviet characters, the interiors of space crafts, luxurious dwellings and excellent living conditions of western characters; a variation – cities and buildings destroyed by a nuclear catastrophe, poor life of the few survived characters.

**Representation of reality:** a quasirealistic or futuristic representation of events ‘in one’s own countries, spaceships’, a conventional and grotesque representation of life in ‘hostile countries and spaceships’.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, and gestures:** positive characters (astronauts, military men, peaceful citizens) – bearers of democratic ideas, aggressors (astronauts, military men, saboteurs, terrorists) – carriers of anti-humane ideas. Clothing: the uniform of astronauts, the military uniform, ordinary civilian attire. Constitution: athletic and robust. Vocabulary – businesslike, the mime and gestures are in accordance with the current functions.

**Significant change in the characters’ life:** negative characters commit a crime (military aggression, acts of sabotage, murders).

**Incipient problem:** transgression – the lives of positive characters and, quite often, the lives of all peaceful characters of a democratic country (in this or that meaning) are under threat. A variation: there are a few survivors after a nuclear catastrophe.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** armed struggle of positive characters with the enemy aggression, or an attempt of survivors after atomic bomb explosions to adapt to new living conditions.

**Problem solution:** killing/capture of aggressors, return to peaceful life, or the survivors’ adaptation after the nuclear attack to new severe conditions of life.

**Five. USA, 1951.** Director A. Oboler.

**Historical period, scene:** near future.

**Setting, household objects:** streets and flats of an American city, ruins.

**Representation of reality:** a quasirealistic or futuristic representation of events.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, and gestures:** average Americans. Their clothes, speech, mime and gestures are rather commonplace.

**Significant change in the characters’ life:** enemies drop nuclear bombs on the USA territory...

**Incipient problem:** lives of ordinary Americans as well as the existence of the USA are threatened, only five people survive.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the five Americans who cheated death unite in order to adapt to new living conditions.

**Problem solution:** the five Americans find the strength to begin a new life in the post-nuclear epoch despite all the difficulties...

**Amerika. USA, 1987.** Director D. Wrye.

**Historical period, scene:** near future, 1997. Alaska.

**Setting, household objects:** streets and houses of Americans, their comfortable living conditions (before the Soviet troops invasion).

**Representation of reality:** crude grotesque on the verge of a caricature.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, and gestures:** positive Americans (nice, strong, courageous, fair, patriotic, true to their soldier's and civil duty) and negative Soviet aggressors (perfidious, cruel, unpleasant-looking, possessed by aggressive ideas). The characters' speech is simple and connected to the army specific character. The Soviet characters are wearing a military uniform.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** the Soviet Union treacherously attacks America by landing troops in Alaska...

**Incipient problem:** lives of simple Americans as well as the existence of the USA are threatened.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** Americans get united for a struggle against the Soviet aggressors.

**Problem solution:** a victory of democratic American forces over the Soviet aggressors.

**Red Dawn. USA, 1984.** Director J. Milius.

**Historical period, scene:** near future. Florida, USA.

**Setting, household objects:** streets and houses of Americans, comfortable living conditions (before the Soviet troops invasion).

**Representation of reality:** crude grotesque on the verge of a caricature.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, and gestures:** positive American teenagers (nice, strong, courageous, fair, patriotic) and negative Soviet and Cuban aggressors (perfidious, cruel, unpleasant-looking, possessed by aggressive ideas). The characters' vocabulary is simple and connected with teenage and military language. The Soviet and Cuban characters are wearing a military uniform.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** the Soviet Union allied with communist Cuba treacherously attacks America by landing troops in Florida...

***Incipient problem:*** lives of simple Americans as well as the existence of the USA are threatened.

***Search for solutions to the problem:*** Americans get united for a struggle against the Soviet-Cuban aggressors.

***Problem solution:*** a victory of democratic American forces over the Soviet-Cuban aggressors.

## ***The Image of Russia on the Western Screen: the Present Stage (1992-2015)***

### ***Media Myths of the Post-Communist Period (1992-2015)***

The post-communist epoch also gave rise to quite a few myths.

*Myth 1: The western screen ceased to create an enemy image of Russia.*

Even a superficial analysis of the filmography of 1992-2010 easily refutes this thesis.

*Myth 2: After the USSR disintegration the western cinematograph suddenly lost interest in the Russian topic.*

In practice the number of western films about Russia and with Russian characters has increased. From 1946 to 1991 on average they shot 12 films concerning Russia whereas from 1992 to 2010 this figure reached 14 films...

*Myth 3: in western films of the Post-Soviet period Russia has always been associated with Russian mafia, alcoholism, prostitution and economic dislocation.*

But again everything depends on a media text. On the one hand, such an image of Russia continues to be cultivated in the majority of western films but there are many examples of a different kind...

### ***A Brief History of the Russian Image Transformation on the Western Screen: 1992-2015***

The USSR disintegration and the initiation of radical economic reforms in Russia in 1992, as is known, were accompanied by an enormous fall of living standards of the Russian population that almost inevitably led to a rise of crime and mass emigration. The Russian screen reacted to the situation with a surge of the so-called *gory films*. The inertia period in film production told on the western interpretations of the Russian theme of 1992-1993 – there were realized films appealing to some historical events of the past such as *Stalin* (1992) directed by I. Passer and *Vent d'est* (1993) by director R. Enrico where with a greater or smaller degree of authenticity the authors reflected on the nature of the Soviet totalitarianism (one more example of the kind is a powerful psychological drama about the time of Stalin's terror – *Est-Ouest* by R. Wargnier which was released in the late 1990s).

Perhaps, the first American films that tried to avoid the traditional anti-Sovietism or condescending sympathy for *perestroika* were *Prisoner of Time* (1992) by M. Levinson and *Little Odessa* (1994) by J. Gray.

The American film director M. Levinson was, apparently, well-intentioned. He was eager to relate a drama of an expatriate Russian artist living in the USA. He wanted to sell his non-conformist paintings but the owners of American picture galleries were no longer interested in the dissident courage of the protagonist and his politically committed art with a protest against the Soviet totalitarian system...

The conception of the film was good but its realization leaves much to be desired. Alas, an unintelligible indistinct dramatic concept of the film prevented the actors from creating characters that could ring more or less true. That is why their acting resembled a bad amateur performance: forced gestures, intolerably false intonations... As a result, *Prisoner of Time* (the authors apparently hinted at B. Pasternak's line 'Captured by time') proved to be an extremely boring show. And one should be very fond of the words 'perestroika' and 'Russian vodka' to derive any pleasure from this opus of our transatlantic colleagues.

As for *Little Odessa* (1994) directed by J. Gray, it was notable for the implied motives of potential danger of Russian emigrants who had rushed to the USA and Europe in the late 1980s – early 1990s which were replicated in subsequent decades; since they allegedly took the lead in narcomafia and new gangs (see, for example, *Hollow Point* (1996), *Dykaren/Diver* (2000), *Hjarta av sten/Heart of Stone* (2000), *15 Minutes* (2001), *Mail Order Bride* (2003), *Lord of War* (2005), *Rockaway* (2007), *We Own the Night* (2007), *Driven to Kill* (2009), et al.)

'Female export' is another marketable topic about the Post-Soviet time on the western screen. Sometimes they are comedies (*Mail Order Bride*, 2003; *Russian Bride*, 2007), sometimes – dramas (*Beyond the Ocean*, 2000; *The Russian Bride*, 2001; *Lilja 4-ever*, 2002; *Het zusje van Katia*, 2008). And at times it is something in the mixed genre (*Russian Doll*, 2001; *Birthday Girl*, 2001). But the essence of the stereotypes remain the same - Russian girls/women on the western screen are, generally, either unfortunate victims of Russian economic dislocation/poverty and (sexual) violence, or prostitutes, or calculating predators who emigrated to the West to derive benefit from their marriage with men of means.

However, sometimes the western screen seemed to recall old melodramatic comedies of the 1950s where charming Soviet women who were KGB agents fell in love with nice American officers. For example, a romantic comedy *History is Made at Night* (1999) where a CIA agent (played

by B. Pullman) fell in love with charming FSB agent Natasha (played by famous French actress I. Jacob) was made in this retro manner.

An American detective entitled *Citizen X* (1995) by C. Gerolmo is a thematic example of the contemporary western interpretation of the Russian image. It is a sad story about the crimes of sexual maniac and murderer A.Chikatilo. The USSR/Russia of the 1980s – 1990s presented in this primitive film from the artistic point of view as a total zone of absolute poverty, something resembling a backward African country in a grip of a civil war like Liberia, Sierra Leone or Ethiopia. Hungry and emaciated citizens roam about Rostov streets, they won't stick at anything to get a meal or a drink. In fact, Rostov is a large city and a rich seaport but it is shown in the film as a small town which has recently been bombed... In genuine Rostov citizens dressed, probably, even better than in Moscow (though in bad taste, with 'provincial chic') but in the film *Citizen X* the locals are dressed as beggars, as dispossessed kulaks of the collectivization time, as the Chinese during in time of *national communes* and *Great Leap*! The poverty of the Soviet population is incredibly exaggerated: police officers do not have coats (!) they have to put on military overcoats. People are dressed in the fashion of the 1940s-1950s if not the 1930s (the same goes with well-off people). And it does not concern only clothing. The interiors and exteriors of buildings are also taken from the 1940s-1950s. The cars going along Rostov streets are of the 1950s and police officers use pre-war phones (Tarasov 2001).

Running ahead, let us mention that another sad film about Chikatilo's crimes was made in 2004 – *Evilenko* (2004) in Italy. This time the role of the main character was played by demonic Malcolm McDowell. The authors of the film persistently convinced viewers that Evilenko had become a sexual maniac, hypnotist and a KGB agent because of his schizophrenic reaction to the ruin of communist foundations during the restructuring period (*perestroika*). It did not even occur to them that such fellows (who have nothing to do with communist ideas and special services) are not uncommon on the vast expanse of America and in small cozy towns of Western Europe...

Naturally, the western cinematograph of the 1990s was concerned not only with Russian sexual maniacs. Much more often Russian military men and representatives of the *Russian mafia* became *bad guys*, very often represented all in one. For instance, in the film *Running Red* (1999), ruthless Russian special squad soldiers who had emigrated to the USA became leaders of the largest mafia clan... In the movie *The Trans-Siberian Express* (2008) the villain of the piece is a Russian militia major – a bent copper who conceived to annihilate peaceful American tourists...

Another product of *Bondiana* is the film *Goldeneye* (1995) that sharpened the topic of the Russian military aggression against the West. In the previous films James Bond struggled mainly with agents of a secret villainous organization – *Spectrum* but not with Russians. This organization is constantly trying to cause a clash between the USSR and the USA in order to provoke the third world war, but every time Bond appears to be quicker and prevents stupid war-hawks of the two super-powers from touching the nuclear button... *Goldeneye* begins with an episode where Bond engineers a large-scale act of sabotage on the USSR territory, and during the restructuring period! He mows down rookies in the Soviet uniform by platoons. After several years he arrives in modern Russia. But, as shown in the film, it is by no means a democratic country, it is marasmic and dangerous: Russian generals-Mafiosi who know the codes to secret space weapons aim to ruin London. And what for? – In order to paralyze the world banking system and to steal billions of dollars in the forthcoming economic chaos. Well, after all, it is a *Bondiana*! Any competent viewer in any country will immediately guess that it is a parody. And that is where you are wrong! In some moment the mockery-conventional style completely vanishes and is replaced by a serious speculation about the Soviet empire that became even more unpredictable and dangerous after its disintegration. Bond's distrust of Russians is, certainly, justified: they pose a threat to civilization and world order (Gladilshchikov 1997).

After the film *Goldeneye* (1995) the leading Hollywood studios got down to the Russian topic in real earnest. In the film *Air Force One* (1997) by director W. Petersen the American president fights with Russian terrorists in person. In the movie *The Saint* (1997) directed by P. Noyce Russia is completely seized by a totalitarian mafia that can be defeated only by a hero like Superman/Batman...

The authors of the action film entitled *The Sum of All Fears* (2002) went even further. In the course of the plot a comparatively peace-loving Russian president dies a sudden death and new Kremlin authorities have thought of nothing better than... blasting out an atomic charge onto the USA territory that may kill thousands of people...

If the genre of *Goldeneye* can be defined as a conventional parody and *The Sum of All Fears* can be called a sci-fi in a way, the topic of unrestrained Russian aggression found its culmination in the film *The Peacemaker* (1997):

*“a Russian nationalist general (who is also a bandit connected to both the Russian mafia in Europe and Bosnians) steals ten nuclear warheads... To conceal the fact the general – with the help of his killers – annihilates a whole guarding platoon, then he arranges a collision of the train transporting the warheads with a passenger train and, to crown it all, he*

*detonates a nuclear explosive device in the middle of Russia... In modern Russia the train with nuclear warheads is drawn neither by an electric locomotive nor by a diesel locomotive but by a steam locomotive with a tube and a furnace of 1913 production year” (Gladilshchikov 1997).*

Such action films as *Maximum Risk* (1996), *Eraser* (1996), *The Jackal* (1997), *Counter Measures* (1999) and others were made in the same spirit. For example, in the film *Stiletto Dance* (2001) American policemen penetrate into a Russian gang that is going – neither more nor less than – to blast out a nuclear bomb in the centre of New York...

The Russian topic is widely presented in western sci-fi movies of the post-Soviet period though to a lesser degree than in the 1950s. To our regret the plots here cannot be called pro-Russian either. For example, here is the plot of the movie *The Rage* directed by R. Kurtzman: a mad Russian doctor experiments with new lethal viruses which turn people and birds into mutants...

Western comedies of the 1990s – 2000s also contain accents on maniacal and Mafioso elements: in the film of quick-witted producer and film director M. Golan – *Russian Roulette – Moscow-95* the capital mafia kills honest businessmen, the militia is powerless and only furious widows are sharpening their knives – they castrate hateful bandits one by one... Undoubtedly, none of large *politically correct* Hollywood studios would agree to shoot such a frivolous comedy which abounds in sexual episodes. The film was financed by a Germany corporation. As is known, censorship limitations are much more liberal in Germany... It is odd but a comedy genre in no way prevented the authors (as well as the authors of another vulgar comedy – *Police Academy: Mission to Moscow*) from exploiting the western stereotypes concerning new Russia: gang rule, corruption, prostitution, defenseless civilian population, an explosion of suppressed sexual energy under the pressure of communist prohibitions...

However, everything is not as simple as it looks. For example, in 1994 they shot a thriller – *Bullet to Beijing* directed by J. Mihalka with M. Caine starring in the film. It was shot in St. Petersburg. The characters of the film fought with the Chechen mafia. However in December, 1994 the first Chechen war broke out and the West immediately began to actively sympathize with ‘noble fighters for freedom and independence’, and to show ‘bad Chechens’ became *politically incorrect*. As a result, the film was deprived of the wide screen in the USA and Europe... The fate of its sequel – a thriller *Midnight in Saint Petersburg* (1996) was not a lot better...

Perhaps, one of the most memorable western films about Russian gangsters is a thriller *15 Minutes* (2001); according to its plot two Slavonic morons (one of them was played by Russian sportsman and actor O.

Taktarov) arrive in New York and gain their '15 minutes of glory' torturing and slaying a policeman, and also filming this 'little episode' (one of the best drama roles in Robert De Niro's career)...

Probably, the most complex and ambiguous image of the Russian mafia head was created by A. Baluev in his Swiss drama *La Traductrice / The Interpreter* (2006). His character has nothing in common with western stereotypes and is endowed with a psychological depth and underlying themes almost in the spirit of Dostoyevsky...

As it was already mentioned, the western film production about Russian gangsters started in the 1990s. However, namely in the 2000s it has reached its climax. Cruel Russian gangsters and Mafiosi, frequently presented in an absurd and absolutely improbable manner by western actors (*Eastern Promises* by D. Cronenberg with Frenchmen trying to play Russian gangsters who settled down in London) have become sort of symbolic characters on the USA and European screens.

Certainly, the enemy image is indispensable for an action film. Why are Russia and Russians suitable for Hollywood?... Russia is far away, besides, it is no longer so strong and ambitious as it used to be. Furthermore: Russians, what is of no small importance, are white. Hollywood (especially after the Los Angeles events) is careful not to show Latin Americans, Afro-Americans or South-Eastern Asians as villains who constitute a considerable part of the population and (by the way!) the audience. It is also very convenient that the Russian lobby in America does not show their mettle. If Hollywood offends any other nations in its action films (one can remember the recent events with Arabs and Japanese) the cinemas get picketed by the disaffected. Russians do not raise a rumpus (Gladilshchikov 1997).

At the same time, it is necessary to consider S. Kudrjartsev's opinion:

*'the fact that finally (after playing such games as perestroika, glasnost', etc. with us) Americans easily returned to the conventional enemy image of Russia (and now there is no need for them to make a silly mistake and confuse the USSR and Russia) is increasingly confirmed not by their hatred or hostility to Russians. Apart from purely utilitarian goals (say what you like – any time-proved dramaturgic conflict works like a charm, an old foe is worth two new ones) Yankees voluntarily or not show us their respect by choosing us for the only worthy adversary that is as menacing as before. Why should they be afraid of Japan or Germany defeated on real battlefields? They had no opportunity to whip Russia in reality – and God forbid! It is better to fight on the screen, simulate dashing star wars, combats in the air, afloat and overland. It is also possible to kick back without consequences, and to send all this notorious political correctness to hell and whereby to hit the big time. And provoke*

*an excessively hysterical reaction from Russian critics who have suddenly desired genuine patriotism' (Kudryavtsev, 1999).*

At the same time, unlike the period of 1946-1991 western films on the Russian theme of 1992-2010 fed not only on confrontation plots (military confrontation, espionage, mafia and so forth) but also on satisfying the interests of the sizable Russian-speaking Diaspora that has delegated their representatives in the American and European film business. All this caused continuous presence of films about Russians in the western (first of all, American) film production. Hence it is clear why in many American serials where the scene is laid in the USA there appears, at least in one episode, a Russian character-emigrant or any Russian who arrived in America for some reason (the best-known case is – the appearance of Russian character M. Baryshnikov in the super popular American serial *Sex and the City*). Thanks God, that he is not always a spy, a gangster or an alcoholic.

In the XXI<sup>st</sup> century two well-known western Art-House masters – Peter Greenaway (*The Tulse Luper Suitcases, Part 3, 2003*) and Jos Stelling (*Duska, 2007*) dug into the Russian theme. In both the films the masters developed a parable-like game with their pet creative motives laid over the ironical interpretation of conventional images of Russia. But, in our opinion, in either case the great masters (especially Greenaway) could not get rid of the stereotyped western image of Russia and created the films that cannot be considered first-rate in their career.

It goes without saying that the leading parts in mega budgetary blockbusters with *Russian motives* are played by well-known American actors – H. Ford, V. Kilmer, G. Clooney, N. Kidman and others. But the movies *Goldeneye*, *Air Force One*, *The Saint* revealed a new tendency of the western screen – to invite not only *home* Slavonic emigrants but also actors from Russia for minor and walk-on part. So, Valery Nikolaev and Irina Apeksimova played notable parts in *The Saint*, and their performance was not any worse than the acting of their western colleagues – both in their extravagant image presentation and plastique...

On the other hand, one should not overestimate the involvement of famous Russian actors such as V. Mashkov, C. Khamatova, V. Nikolayev, A. Baluev, N. Andreychenko, E. Rednikova, E. Safonova in the western motion-picture industry for each of them played maximum in a dozen of western film. A true film army of *influence agents* in Hollywood in the 1990s – 2000s consisted not of them but of hundreds of Russian emigrants who arrived there during the last twenty years and settled down in the USA and Western Europe. Many of them are almost unknown in Russia, however the lists of American and West European films with their involvement look rather impressive: Ilia Volok was filmed in 100 films, Andrew Divoff was in

90 films. They are followed by: Ilya Baskin (he is a Hollywood old resident who has been working there since the 1970s) – 70 films, Larissa Laskin – 60 films, Gennadi Vengerov – 50 films, Dimitri Diatchenko, Ravil Isyanov, Eugeny Lazarev, Paul Lychnikoff, Eugeny Sitokhin, Ivan Shvedov, Dmitry Shepovetsky – over 40 films. Dmitry Boudrine, Svetlana Efremova, Maxim Kovalevski, Alla Korot, Michael Khmurov – more than 30 films. Grigori Manukov, Oleg Taktarov, Anton Jakovlev – over 20 films.

Certainly, they act mainly in serials and play bit parts of *bad Russians* (no wonder that the word collocation ‘*Russian Mafia*’ appears in IMDb (International Moving Image Data Base) annotations 180 times!), however, some of them were engaged in large-scale projects. Some Russian emigrants (A. Nevsky, R. Nakhapetov) managed to start their own film production in the 1990s – 2000s in the USA (as a rule, they shoot amusing action films and thrillers such as *Treasure Raiders*) anyhow connected to the Russian theme.

### ***Contemporary Cinematographic Stereotypes of the Russian Subject on Western Screens (1992-2015)***

Content analysis of Western films on the Russian subjects created in 1992-2015 allows us to represent their main plot topics as follows:

- retro: the crimes of Soviet government during the period of 1917-1991 (totalitarianism, concentration camps, military aggression against other countries, espionage, etc.);
- contemporaneity: helplessness and corruptibility of Russian authorities, which are unable to set the economy going, to control the accumulated arsenal and fight with criminality: post-Soviet Russia is the country of mafia, bandits, terrorists, prostitutes, beggars, wretched and miserable people;
- Russians emigrate to the West in search of better life (marriage, prostitution, criminal activities).

### ***The Stereotype Structure of Western ‘Confrontational’ Dramas***

***Historical period, scene:*** any period, Russia, USSR, the USA, other countries.

***Setting, household objects:*** modest dwellings and household goods of Russian and/or Soviet characters, luxurious dwellings and household goods of Western characters.

**Representation of reality:** realistic or grotesque representation of people's life.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** good characters are bearers of democratic ideas; bad characters are bearers of inhuman, terrorists', militarist ideas. The characters are often separated not only by social but also by material status. Russian characters are often represented as rude and violent fellows, with primitive vocabulary and frowning faces, active gestures and unpleasant voices.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** bad characters aim to realize their inhuman ideas (for example, terrorists' attacks or other crimes).

**Incipient problem:** life of good characters as well as the whole nations/countries is threatened.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the fight of the good characters against the bad ones.

**Problem solution:** extermination/arrest of bad characters, the return to peaceful life.

**The Wind from the East / Vent d'est. France, 1993.** Director R. Enrico.

**Historical period, scene:** Liechtenstein, May, 1945.

**Setting, household objects:** clean streets and well-furnished houses of Liechtenstein; modest life of soldiers and officers of the First Russian national army (which was on the side of Third Reich), trying to hide from the approaching Soviet forces after the defeat of Nazi Germany.

**Representation of reality:** realistic, almost documentary.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** the soldiers and officers of Russian national army (especially their general B.A.Smyslovsky), are depicted positively in general, – they are honest warriors with scanty vocabulary, gestures and mimics; and members of prince's family, the government of Liechtenstein – hereditary aristocrats, who sympathize with Smyslovsky and his detachment who try to escape from Bolsheviks' repressions.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** the leaders of Soviet army demand to extradite soldiers and officers of the First Russian national army for parricide.

**Incipient problem:** the lives of good characters – soldiers and officers of the First Russian national army – are threatened.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** The government of Liechtenstein enters into negotiations with the representatives of USSR.

**Problem solution:** good characters which refused to surrender voluntarily remain under the protection of the government of Liechtenstein which refused to yield to pressure.

**Enemy at the Gates. USA-Germany-Great Britain, 2001.** Director Jean-Jacques Annoud.

**Historical period, scene:** USSR 1942-1943, Stalingrad.

**Setting, household objects:** poor front-line life, the remains of destroyed city buildings.

**Representation of reality:** pseudo-realistic (however there are many absurd mistakes in representation of uniform and life of Soviet soldiers).

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** Soviet and Nazi soldiers and officers which are separated by ideological status. Nazi are professional, clever and honest soldiers, their speech, mimics and gestures correspond with army regulations. Their Soviet opponents less care about army regulations, severe Soviet officers shoot retreating soldiers. Germany army is well-disciplined and organized (tanks, planes, motorcycles, different weapons). Soviet army evidently is lacking all this; instead it is shown that merciless officers dispatch their soldiers as "cannon fodder"...

**Significant change in the characters' life:** the condition of Soviet forces is critical, they had to surrender half of the city to the Nazi...

**Incipient problem:** Soviet army can lose the battle on the Volga.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** Soviet troops mobilize all forces to win, sniper Zaytsev kills enemies with accurate shots.

**Problem solution:** Soviet army defeats the Germans, sniper Zaytsev shoots the best Germany sniper...

**K-19: The Widowmaker. Great Britain-USA-Germany-Canada, 2002.** Director K. Bigelow.

**Historical period, scene:** 1961, open ocean.

**Setting, household objects:** cabins of a submarine.

**Representation of reality:** realistic, preserving documentary objectivity.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** the commander of the Soviet atomic-powered submarine K-19, its crew. The commander is charming, clever, honest, dressed in uniform, his speech is correct, mimics and gestures correspond to those of man-of-war's man. His subordinates are also dressed in uniform, they are all professionals.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** an accident occurs in one of the partitions of the submarine, followed by radioactive release.

**Incipient problem:** the crew has to liquidate the accident at all costs.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** *the commander of the submarine and his crew are trying to handle the accident by themselves, without resorting to the help of the American navy.*

**Problem solution:** *heroism of Soviet submariners allows them to liquidate the consequences of the accident.*

### **The Stereotype Structure of Western ‘Confrontational’ Thrillers or Detectives**

**Historical period, scene:** *any period, Russia, USSR, the USA, other countries.*

**Setting, household objects:** *modest dwellings and household goods of Soviet characters (or a bit better ones in contemporary Russia), luxurious dwellings and household goods of Western characters (however, living in the hostile country, the spies adapt themselves to the living conditions of their enemy).*

**Representation of reality:** *as a rule the representation of people’s life in “hostile countries” is somewhat grotesque.*

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** *good characters (counter-intelligence agents, intelligence officers/spies, saboteurs, peaceful citizens) and bad characters (the same except peaceful citizens, and also terrorists, criminals, bandits, maniacs). Divided by ideology and world outlook, or without any of those, as a rule the characters are sturdy and look in accordance with the aims of the source of the media texts: at first spies and criminals may seem quite attractive, but sooner or later their abominable essence is inevitably exposed... Russian bad characters are represented as rude and violent, their vocabulary is poor, their faces wear a frown, their gestures are abrupt and their voices are unpleasant...*

**Significant change in the characters’ life:** *bad characters commit a crime (for example, a terroristic attack, espionage, blackmail, murder, stealing of State secrets, etc.).*

**Incipient problem:** *violation of law.*

**Search for solutions to the problem:** *investigation of the crime, pursuit of bad characters.*

**Problem solution:** *good characters expose/arrest/kill the bad ones.*

**Citizen X. USA, 1995.** Director C. Gerolmo.

**Evilenko. Italy, 2004.** Director D. Grieco.

**Historical period, scene:** *USSR/Russia, 1980s – early 1990s.*

**Setting, household objects:** *homely streets, modest dwellings, institutions and household goods of Russian/Soviet characters.*

**Representation of reality:** *pseudo-objective, in reality grotesque representation of life in USSR/Russia: poverty, exhausted and hungry citizens...*

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** *the bad character is a maniac (the prototype was A. Chikatilo who murdered tens of children); the good characters are militiamen. The characters wear grey, plain clothes.*

**Significant change in the characters' life:** *the maniac terrorizes the southern city, raping and murdering young girls.*

**Incipient problem:** *long-term unsuccessful searches of the maniac threaten the reputation of Soviet/Russian militia and keep thousands of peaceful citizens in constant fear.*

**Search for solutions to the problem:** *the good characters are trying to find the maniac.*

**Problem solution:** *the maniac is found and arrested...*

**Anthony Zimmer. France, 2005.** Director J. Salle.

**Historical period, scene:** *France, 2000s.*

**Setting, household objects:** *streets, apartments at hotels in French cities.*

**Representation of reality:** *neutral and correct in representation of good characters; grotesque representation of the bad ones; the setting, interiors look quite realistic.*

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** *the bad characters are from Russian mafia; the bad ones are the agents of Interpol. All the characters are dressed into fashionable clothes of high quality. Both are reserved in showing their feelings and thoughts. Among the good characters the most notable is the charming beauty Chiara (Sophie Marceau).*

**Significant change in the characters' life:** *the Russian mafia and the elusive Anthony Zimmer, which is connected with it, obviously want to lay their hands on the entire Cote d'Azur...*

**Incipient problem:** *the quiet resort life of the French in Nice is under the threat. It's hard to catch the elusive Anthony Zimmer, the accomplice of the Russian mafia, who even underwent plastic surgery...*

**Search for solutions to the problem:** *French police and Interpol are trying to trail Zimmer and the Russian mafia...*

**Problem solution:** *only beautiful Chiara manages to deal with the Russian mafia in the finale of the film...*

**Transsiberian. Great Britain – Germany – Spain - Lithuania, 2008.** Director B. Anderson.

**Historical period, scene:** *Russia, the XXI century.*

**Setting, household objects:** *compartments and corridors of a transsiberian train, railroad station, Siberian taiga, a hotel room.*

**Representation of reality:** *conditions of life and all the characters are represented in quite realistic, though a bit grotesque way.*

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** *the good characters – the young well-dressed American couple who travel to Moscow from Far East by the transsiberian train. The bad ones (this is revealed later) – their criminal fellow-traveler, and the insidious militiaman Grinko.*

**Significant change in the characters' life:** *The American woman, unwilling to be raped, kills her criminal fellow-traveler, who was connector with drug mafia. Later on the violent Grinko wants to kill the defenseless Americans...*

**Incipient problem:** *the lives of the Americans are in danger.*

**Search for solutions to the problem:** *the Americans are trying to survive in the wild and barbarous Russia.*

**Problem solution:** *the Americans manage to escape from the paws of militiamen/mafia men and reach Moscow and finally the USA.*

### ***The Stereotype Structure of Western ‘Confrontational’ Action Films***

**Historical period, scene:** *any period, Russia, USSR, USA, other countries.*

**Setting, household objects:** *modest dwellings and household goods of Soviet/Russian characters (unless they are bribable officials or mafia men), luxurious dwellings and household goods of Western characters (unless they live in Russia/USSR), military objects – bases, planes, tanks, men-of-war, submarines.*

**Representation of reality:** *as a rule, relative and grotesque representation of people's lives in “hostile countries”.*

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** *good characters (military men, peaceful citizens) are bearers of democratic ideas; aggressors (military personnel, saboteurs, terrorists) are bearers of inhuman ideas. The characters are often separated by ideology and world outlook, as a rule they are sturdy, they look accordance with the aims of the source of the media texts. In Western films Russian/Soviet characters (soldiers, officers) are often represented as rude and violent fellows, with primitive vocabulary, malicious faces, active gestures and unpleasant voices.*

**Significant change in the characters' life:** bad characters commit a crime (military aggression, terroristic act, diversion, murder).

**Incipient problem:** violation of law – the lives of good characters as well as of all peaceful citizens of some democratic country (in this or that sense) are threatened.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** armed struggle of good characters against enemy aggression.

**Problem solution:** extermination/arrest of bad characters, terrorists, aggressors, the return to peaceful life.

**The Saint. USA, 1997.** Director P.Noyce.

**Historical period, scene:** Moscow, the 1990s.

**Setting, household objects:** the streets of Moscow, apartments, underground labyrinths.

**Representation of reality:** dark, gloomy interiors, costumes, etc., are represented in a grotesque way. Moscow looks like gloomy, dirty, unfriendly city with unstable political regime.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** the good characters – the manly and smart American “The Saint” and the female British scientist; the bad characters – the Russian mafia, which consists of unsympathetic, though dexterous and strong people; the speech of all the characters is simple. Mimics and gestures are often exaggerated.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** the head of the Russian mafia a certain Tretyak has hidden all the supplies of fuel... He also plots a coup d'état...

**Incipient problem:** the winter cold threatens people's lives in Moscow... The approaching coup d'état is also a problem...

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the British scientist invents the formula of guided nuclear reaction which can help to solve the energy crisis...

**Problem solution:** the independent fighter for justice nicknamed “The Saint” having shown his miraculous heroism, saves Russia from coup d'état and the energy crisis...

**Running Red. USA, 1999.** Director J.Jacobs.

**Historical period, scene:** Spain of the 1980s and the USA of the 1990s.

**Setting, household objects:** the military base at the coast of Spain, streets and houses in the USA, well-furnished accommodation of an average American family.

**Representation of reality:** interiors, costumes, etc. are represented in the realistic way.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** the good characters – an American family: the father (an emigrant from URRS, former commando of the Russian special forces, who gives himself out to be native American), the mother and their ten year old daughter; the bad characters - former Soviet special force fighters, settled in the USA. The latter are represented in the grotesque way: forced mimics and gestures, coarse speech. The Soviet characters are dressed in homely and dull clothes.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** the main character accidentally meets his former fellow-fighters from Soviet special forces, who nowadays are at the head of the Russian mafia in the USA.

**Incipient problem:** the main character is psychologically pressured: the former members of the special forces are trying to force him to kill their rival, an American mafia leader. The main character has to choose either to become a killer or to lose his family.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the good character who is afraid of being unmasked (for many years he has been hiding his past and nationality from everybody, even from his wife), has to yield to his ex-fellows.

**Problem solution:** the good character overcomes the difficulty with honor...

**Indiana Jones and the Kingdom of the Crystal Skull. USA, 2008.**  
Director S. Spielberg.

**Historical period, scene:** 1957, North and South America.

**Setting, household objects:** jungle, deserts, military bases, military fixings (weapons, uniforms, etc.).

**Representation of reality:** interiors, costumes, etc., look conditionally, which is especially noticeable in the scenes of fights and skirmish which are peculiar to this genre.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** the good character is the manly and invincible American professor of archeology Indiana Jones, the bad ones are fighters of Soviet special forces (represented in the grotesque way). The speech of the characters is simple, it is often connected with military specificity. Mimics and gestures of the characters are often exaggerated. Most characters are dressed in uniform. Their physical strength is evidently above the average.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** Indiana Jones is captured by Soviet special forces.

**Incipient problem:** the life of the American is threatened.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the heroic American professor decides to fight with the impudent Soviet commandos.

**Problem solution:** Indiana Jones defeats the Soviet commandos.

## ***The Stereotype Structure of Western ‘Confrontational’ Melodramas***

***Historical period, scene:*** any period, Russia, USSR, the USA, other countries.

***Setting, household objects:*** modest dwellings and household goods of Soviet/Russian characters (unless they are oligarchs or mafia men), luxurious dwellings and household goods of Western characters.

***Representation of reality:*** as a rule, relative and grotesque representation of people’s lives in “hostile countries”.

***Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:*** male and female characters with different ideological and social status or without it. As a rule the characters are slender and look quite nice. Their clothes, vocabulary and mimics are in the “average statistical” framework.

***Significant change in the characters’ life:*** the meeting of the male and the female characters.

***Incipient problem:*** national, ideological and/or social misalliance, “cultural shock”, mutual misunderstanding.

***Search for solutions to the problem:*** the characters overcome national, ideological and social obstacles on the path to love.

***Problem solution:*** wedding/love harmony (in majority of cases), death, separation of the characters (as an exception to the rule).

### **The Russian Bride. Great Britain, 2001.** Director N.Renton.

***Historical period, scene:*** Great Britain, London, 2001.

***Setting, household objects:*** the apartment of the “middle-class” British man Christopher, the streets of London.

***Representation of reality:*** realistic, positive with respect to the good characters, first of all to Christopher’s Russian wife Natasha.

***Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:*** the British characters are typical representatives of the “middle class”. It is difficult for Natasha to get used to the life in the country with other social and cultural traditions. General clothes of the characters correspond with their social status – they are well-dressed, though without any particular nicety. The vocabulary of the characters is simple, mimics and gestures are forced at times.

***Significant change in the characters’ life:*** Natasha who is accustomed to the “careless” life in Russia marries an elderly British man and settles in his apartment in London.

**Incipient problem:** soon Natasha finds out that her husband doesn't show any sexual interest in her, and that her position doesn't vary much from that of a maid: she has to cook and clean the rooms all day long...

**Search for solutions to the problem:** Christopher's friend, jobless Eddy, tries to go after Natasha...

**Problem solution:** alas, here the hardships begin. Natasha now cares about her own survival, not the love intrigue...

**Birthday Girl. Great Britain-USA, 2001.** Director J.Butterworth.

**Historical period, scene:** Great Britain, London suburb, 2001.

**Setting, household objects:** a modest apartment of a clerk named John, a bank office, streets of London.

**Representation of reality:** somewhat exaggerated (because the genre of the film is the synthesis of melodrama, comedy and thriller) with respect to the good character, John, and mixed with respect to his Russian wife Nadja.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** John is represented as a lonely man who dreamed about love of a Russian beauty... General clothes of the British characters are quite modern, without any particular nicety. Nadja is dressed exaggeratedly grossly. The vocabulary of the characters is simple. At the beginning Nadja is able to express herself only with forced gestures and mimics. However, at that she swears in Russian (though with accent)...

**Significant change in the characters' life:** Nadja who is connected with Russian criminals and accustomed to the adventurous life in Russia, marries the bank clerk John and settles in his apartment in London suburb.

**Incipient problem:** soon John finds out that Nadja doesn't know English at all, later on two Nadja's Russian "friends" appear and make him take part in bank robbery...

**Search for solutions to the problem:** falling in love with Nadja for real and fearing for her life, John has to participate in the bank robbery...

**Problem solution:** love triumphs in spite of everything...

**Lilja 4-ever. Sweden-Denmark, 2002.** Director L.Moodysson.

**Historical period, scene:** post-Soviet area and Sweden, 2002.

**Setting, household objects:** apartments and streets of a small post-Soviet town, an apartment in a Swedish city and its streets.

**Representation of reality:** realistic, positive with respect to the good characters, first of all to a sixteen-year-old Lilja.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** the Swedish characters are typical representatives of the middle-class. Lilja, her Russian relatives and acquaintances live in horrible poverty,

*incapable of getting accustomed to the post-Soviet life. General clothes of the characters correspond to their social status, the Swedish are well-dressed, the Russians are dressed baldly and tastelessly. The vocabulary of the characters is simple, mimics and gestures are at times forces.*

**Significant change in the characters' life:** *tired of the hardships of life, Lilja agrees to leave for Sweden when she one of her friends invites her..*

**Incipient problem:** *soon Lilja finds out that her "friend" took her to Sweden to make her a prostitute...*

**Search for solutions to the problem:** *Lilja is trying to break away from the trap...*

**Problem solution:** *unfortunately, sad finale awaits Lilja...*

### ***The Stereotype Structure of Western 'Confrontational' Comedies***

**Historical period, scene:** *any period, Russia, USSR, the USA, other countries.*

**Setting, household objects:** *modest dwellings and household goods of Soviet/Russian characters, luxurious dwellings and household goods of Western characters.*

**Representation of reality:** *as a rule, relative and grotesque representation of people's lives in "hostile countries".*

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** *characters with different ideological and social status or without it. Clothes of Western characters are better than those of Russian ones. Their figures, vocabulary, gestures and mimics are different, but in general if the main characters fall in love with each other they are of pleasing appearance.*

**Significant change in the characters' life:** *the main characters fall in love with each other under some amusing/eccentric conditions, or Western and Russian characters simply meet each other at foreign territory.*

**Incipient problem:** *national, social, ideological (in films about Soviet times) misalliance, "cultural shock", mutual misunderstanding.*

**Search for solutions to the problem:** *the characters overcome national and social obstacles through a series of amusing/eccentric situations.*

**Problem solution:** *united solution of the problem, friendship, wedding/love harmony, humorously colored.*

**Russian Doll. Australia, 2001.** Director S. Kazantzidis.

**Historical period, scene:** *Australia, 2001.*

**Setting, household objects:** *comfortable houses and modern household goods of the Australians.*

**Representation of reality:** conditional (within the framework of the genre), Australian setting and characters are represented with obvious sympathy. The main female character, Russian bride Katya, at first is represented in grotesque way, later on – in sympathetic way.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** the Australian characters are typical representatives of the middle class. Katya apparently lived in poverty in St. Petersburg. General clothes of the characters corresponds with their social status, the Australians are well-dressed, Katya is dressed worse (at first). The vocabulary of the characters is simple, mimics and gestures are at times forced.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** Having read a marriage advertisement, Katya leaves for Australia.

**Incipient problem:** Katya finds out that her future bridegroom is dead, as a result she is left alone in the foreign country without any money...

**Search for solutions to the problem:** Katya is trying to find a way out of the predicament, then she meets Ethan...

**Problem solution:** Ethan proposes Katya to contract a pro forma marriage with his friend...

**Spinning Boris. USA, 2003.** Director R. Spottiswoode.

**Historical period, scene:** Russia, Moscow, 1996.

**Setting, household objects:** hotel rooms, offices, streets of Moscow.

**Representation of reality:** conditional (within the framework of the genre), Moscow and Russian characters are represented with ironical sympathy. American characters are represented only in positive key.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** the American characters are typical representatives of top managers, political scientists, they are business-like and purposeful. The Russian characters, on the contrary, are disorganized, lacking understanding and skills. General clothes of the characters correspond to their social status – the Americans are wearing business suits. Some of the Russians are dressed in a vulgar way. The vocabulary of the characters is simple, their mimics and gestures are at times forced.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** the group of American political scientists and image makers arrive in Moscow to help the sick Boris Yeltsin to win the election.

**Incipient problem:** disorganization in the Russian government, low popularity of Yeltsin with people and pre-election rush of the communists threatens the plan of the Americans.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** with the help of intricate political technologies and PR little by little the Americans overcome the difficulties.

**Problem solution:** finally the American team achieves their goal and B. Yeltsin becomes the president.

**All or Nothing: A Moscow Detour. USA, 2004.** Director: G. Bloch.

**Historical period, scene:** Moscow, 2004.

**Setting, household objects:** interiors of Moscow houses and hotels; streets, roads.

**Representation of reality:** conditional (within the framework of the genre), the Americans and the Russians are represented with sympathy.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** the Americans are fashionably dressed, the Russians are dressed worse. The vocabulary of the characters is simple, their mimics and gestures are exaggerated...

**Significant change in the characters' life:** an American woman Gabby travels from New York to Moscow to meet her father who has become an oil oligarch in Russia.

**Incipient problem:** under Gabby's influence her father omeu promised her to return to the USA, but later is trying to stay in Moscow.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** getting accustomed to the Russian way of life, Gabby is trying to overcome the originated difficulties.

**Problem solution:** in spite of numerous comic obstacles Gabby manages to achieve her goal...

### ***The Stereotype Structure of Western 'Confrontational' Sci-Fi Films***

**Historical period, scene:** distant/near future. Russia, the USA, other countries, outer space.

**Setting, household objects:** fantastic dwellings, space ships and household goods of the characters – from total devastation to super technologies.

**Representation of reality:** quasi-realistic or futuristic representation of events in "friendly countries, space ships", conditional and grotesque representation of the life in "hostile countries, space ships".

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** good characters (cosmonauts, military men, peaceful citizens) are bearers of democratic ideas; aggressors (cosmonauts, military men, saboteurs, terrorists) are bearers of inhuman ideas. Clothes: uniform of cosmonauts, military uniform, civilian clothes. Constitution: sturdy, athletic. Vocabulary: business-like, mimics and gestures depend on current functions.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** bad characters commit a crime (military aggression, sabotage, murder).

**Incipient problem:** violation of law, the lives of the good characters (often the lives of the people of some democratic country as well) are in danger. Variation: only few people survive nuclear catastrophe.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** armed struggle of the good characters with enemy aggression, or the attempts of the survivors of nuclear explosions to adapt themselves to the new conditions of life.

**Problem solution:** extermination/arrest of the aggressors, return to peaceful life, or adaptation of the survivors to the new severe conditions of post-nuclear world.

**Deadline / Redline. Canada-Netherlands, 1997.** Director T. Takacs.

**Historical period, scene:** Moscow, near future.

**Setting, household objects:** streets and apartments of Moscow.

**Representation of reality:** quasi-realistic representation of events.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** the main character is an American. His clothes, vocabulary, mimics and gestures correspond to "average statistical" level. His murderers look nastily, their gestures and mimics express violence and anger.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** the American arrives to Moscow, where the bandits rob and murder him...

**Incipient problem:** the main character is dead, and his murderers are alive and at large.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the scientists decide to test a new reviving medication on the main character.

**Problem solution:** the medication revives the character and he collects his strength to take vengeance on his murderers...

**Armageddon. USA, 1998.** Director M. Bay.

**Historical period, scene:** near future, outer space.

**Setting, household objects:** interiors of space ships, offices, comfortable way of life of American spacemen, untidy way of life of their Russian colleagues.

**Representation of reality:** grotesque, almost caricature with respect to the Russian cosmonauts.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** the good American spacemen (sympathetic, strong, brave, honest, patriotic, faithful to their civic duty) and the disorganized Russian cosmonauts (their commander is drunk on board). The vocabulary of the characters is simple and is connected with spaces specifics. The characters are dressed in space uniform.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** a gigantic meteorite is approaching the Earth...

**Incipient problem:** the lives of all people on the Earth are in danger, the end of human civilization (Armageddon) is near.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the Americans send a space expedition to blow up the meteorite, on their way they rendezvous with the Russian orbital station to refuel.

**Problem solution:** the Americans manage to blow up the gigantic meteorite.

**Deep Impact. USA, 1998.** Director M. Leder

**Historical period, scene:** near future, the USA, outer space.

**Setting, household objects:** the interiors of space ships, offices, comfortable life of spacemen.

**Representation of reality:** quasi-realistic.

**Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:** the good American and Russian spacemen (sympathetic, strong, honest, brave). The vocabulary of the characters is simple and is connected with space specifics. The characters are dressed in space uniform.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** a gigantic comet is approaching the Earth...

**Incipient problem:** the lives of people on the Earth are in danger.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** the united American and Russian expedition is sent to blow up the comet...

**Problem solution:** the spacemen manage to blow up the comet but nevertheless its pieces reach the Earth cause damage to it...

The analysis of transformation of the image of Russia on the Western screen, from the period of ideological confrontation (1946-1991) to the present period (1992-2010), which includes ideological, social analysis, the analysis of stereotypes, the analysis of the characters, identification, iconographical, plot analysis, representational analysis, classification of the models of the contents and modifications of genres allow us to draw the following conclusions:

- anti-sovietism/anticommunism of the Western screen played an important role during the cold war, however we shouldn't forget that at all times the policy of the West was in many respects anti-Russian, and any strengthening of Russia (economical, military, geopolitical) was perceived as a threat to the Western world. This tendency can be traced in many Western works of art even before the rise of USSR as well as after its collapse;

- the content analysis of the western media texts of the period of the “cold war” (1946-1991) allows to present their main plot diagrams as follows: Soviet spies penetrate into the territory of the USA/Western country to commit diversion and/or to worm out military secrets; the USSR prepares a covert attack on the territory of the USA/Western world, creating secret bases with nuclear weapons; the inhuman Soviet totalitarian regime oppresses its own people or the people of any other country; Nonconformity leaves/attempts to leave the USSR where, in their opinion, democracy and individual freedom are being oppressed; common western people explain to Soviet military/civilian visitors who were misled by propaganda that the USA/Western country is the stronghold of friendship and world-wide prosperity and peace; obstacles connected with the ideological confrontation between the USSR and the Western world appear on the way of a loving couple;

- content analysis of western media texts created in post-Soviet period (1992-2010) allows to represent their main plot schemes as follows: retro variant: crimes of the Soviet government in the period of 1917-1991 (totalitarian dictatorship, concentration camps, military aggression against other countries, espionage, etc.); the present: feebleness and corruptibility of Russian authorities which are unable to set the economy going, to control the arsenal and fight against criminality; the contemporary Russia is the country of mafia, bandits, terrorists, prostitutes, beggars and miserable people; Russians emigrate to the West in search of better life (marriage, prostitution, criminal activities);

- unlike in the period of 1946-1991, western films of 1992-2010 on the Russian subject were nourished not only by confrontational plots (military confrontation, espionage, mafia, etc.), but also by satisfaction of the interests of a huge Diaspora of Russian-speaking emigrants which delegated its representatives into film business. All this could not but affect the constant presence of the Russian subject in the western (first of all – in the American) cinema production. Thus for instance in many American TV series in which the action takes place in the USA from time to time appear Russian characters, emigrants or whatever;

- however on the whole Western cinematographic “Rossika” fully inherited the traditions of the western attitude to Russia: in the majority of fiction films of 1946-2010 the image of Russia is treated as an image of something “alien”, “different”, often hostile to western civilization.

Owing to all the above stated it would be superfluously optimistic to expect the stereotyped conception of western with respect to Russia, which has been formed through the ages, to change in the near future; most likely the plot schemes, ideological approaches, the characters of the heroes, etc., which we analyzed would predominate in the foreseeable future to a greater or lesser extent.

## ***Russian Image on the Federal Republic of Germany screen***

**Introduction.** My article relies on research content approach (study of the process content with the whole complex of its elements, their interactions and character, reference to facts, analysis and synthesis of theoretical findings, etc.), on historical approach – study of concrete historical development of the claimed topic in the Federal Republic of Germany feature cinematograph. For this purpose I use both theoretical research methods (classification, comparison, analogy, induction and deduction, abstraction and concretization, theoretical analysis and synthesis, generalization) and empirical research methods (data acquisition connected with the research subject matter). The efficiency of such methods has been proved by western (R. Taylor, T. Shaw, D.J. Youngblood, A. Loughton, et al.) as well as Russian scholars (N. Zorkaya, E. Ivanyan, M. Turovskaya, A. Chubaryan, et al.).

It is well-known that the interpretation of media texts is changeable and subject to variations of political policies. After the peak of the ideological confrontation of the late Stalinism era and the peak of McCarthyism (1946-1953) when screen *enemy images* were full of mutual malicious grotesque, the Thaw period of the mid 1950s – the early 1960s turned the situation of the ideological confrontation in media production in the direction of a more verisimilar representation of a *potential enemy*. Both western and Russian scholars frequently noted that there were always enough excuses for ideological and media confrontation (Bernin-Maghit, 2008; Bozo et al, 2008; 2012; Clarke, 2006; Davidson and Sabine, 2009; Ginsberg and Kirsten, 1996; Hilman, 2005; Keen, 1986; LaFeber, 1990; Levering, 1982; Maguire, 2012; Manvell, 1971; Murray and Christopher, 1992; Pflaum, 1990; Rentschler, 1986; Robin, 1999; Rukavishnikov, 2000; Shaw and Youngblood, 2010; Shenin, 2003; Strada and Troper, 1997; Klimontovich, 1990; Kolesnikova, 2008; Turovskaya, 1993; 1996). But each of the opposing sides preferred to choose the most advantageous facts (in their favor) passing over *black spots* in silence.

That explains the violent storm of angry accusations of bourgeois cinema vices as well as the western world in general which raged in Soviet scientific and journalistic literature devoted to the problem of the *ideological struggle on the screen*. At the same time, “propagandists possessed all the necessary premises and conditions for the creation of the information reality suitable for the USSR administration: experience, state monopoly on mass media and information itself, citizens’ confidence in the government and

newspaper messages, a low level of political culture and literacy of some part of the population, traditional distrust of the West” (Fateyev, 1999).

It is true that one could often run across single-valued passages in the works of more liberal Soviet film critics meant for Soviet viewers who had never seen anti-Soviet films, and who had never attempted to reach the level of comparative analysis of media stereotypes *on both sides of the Iron Curtain*.

However, the evolution of Western film texts interpretations by Soviet and Russian critics is a subject for further research. In this article I will try to analyze the image of Russia through the Federal Republic of Germany feature films’ view.

**The objectives of my research are:**

- to define the place and role of the Russian image transformation topic in the Federal Republic of Germany cinematograph from 1946 (the beginning of the post-war ideological confrontation) to 1991 (the Soviet Union disintegration) versus the tendencies of the modern age (1992-present);

- the study of the political, ideological, social and cultural contexts, the principal development stages, concepts, aims, objectives, authors’ conceptions of my research topic interpretations on the Federal Republic of Germany screen;

- classification and comparative analysis of the Federal Republic of Germany films’ trends and stereotypes connected with the Russian image interpretation: ideology, content models, genre modifications (including: social and political analysis, stereotypes analysis, ideological analysis, representation analysis, identification analysis, iconographic analysis, narrative analysis, character analysis).

Analysis and the interpretation of the Federal Republic of Germany films connected with the Russian image interpretation and scientific literature about the research topic are very important. Because without those it is impossible to arrange, to systematize, to investigate contexts, basic stages, directions, purposes and tasks, contents, genre models, etc. of the development of the research theme.

I analyzed the image of Russia in the screen mirror of the Federal Republic of Germany for:

- determine the place and role of the image of the USSR and the Russian and Soviet / Russian characters in the movies from the beginning of the postwar German ideological confrontation to 1991 (the collapse of the Soviet Union) as compared with the trends of the modern era (1992 - present);

- identify political, ideological, social and cultural contexts , the main stages of development, concepts, goals, objectives, authors of fiction films themes outlined above;
- classify and compare trends and stereotypes German films related to the Soviet / Russian theme: ideology, content model, genre modifications (including: socio-political analysis, stereotypes, ideological analysis, identification analysis, the iconographic analysis, narrative analysis, the characters etc.).

***Cinematographic feature films stereotypes associated with Soviet / Russian theme and Soviet / Russian characters, set and published on the movie / TV screens in Federal Republic of Germany in the years 1946-1991, i.e. during the existence of the USSR***

***Structure and genre stereotypes of dramas***

- historical period, locale*: any length of time until 1992, Russia / USSR, DBR / DDR / Germany and other countries;
- *furnishings, household items*: a modest dwelling and household items Soviet characters, comfortable home and everyday objects German characters and many of the characters of the Russian Empire. However, if the action takes place on the World War II or in the Soviet or Nazi camps, the living conditions of both Soviet and German characters quite ascetic;
- methods of depicting reality*: moderately realistic (to a greater extent it concerns the image of Russia and Russian characters until 1917) or quasi-grotesque depiction of life in Russia and the USSR;
- characters, their values, ideas, clothes, physique, vocabulary, facial expressions, gestures*: positive characters - carriers of democratic ideas; negative characters - inhuman , militaristic ideas. Characters shared not only social, but often material status. Separated by ideology and worldview (bourgeois, communist, Nazi ), characters, usually filed according to the settings of a media source: most Soviet and Nazis characters shown rude and cruel fanatics with a primitive vocabulary forever scowling faces, active gestures and unpleasant voices scream ... Characters of the Russian Empire or the Russian immigrants are often shown on the positive side. But some of the Soviet characters (e.g. prisoners of concentration camps) may also be shown on the positive side;
- *significant change in the lives of the characters*: the negative characters are going to put their inhumane ideas;
- *problems encountered*: the life of positive characters, or the life of entire nations / countries under threat;
- *finding solutions to the problem*: the struggle between of negative and positive characters;

- *solution*: the destruction / arrest the negative characters, the return to civilian life.

Representative examples of films: *Doctor from Stalingrad* (1958), *Stalingrad* (1963), *Escape from Taiga* (1967), *Escape to the Sun* (1972), *Cross of Iron* (1977), etc.

### ***Structure and genre stereotypes of detectives and thrillers***

-*historical period, locale*: any length of time until 1992, Russia / USSR, DBR / DDR / Germany and other countries;

- *furnishings, household items*: a modest dwelling and household items Soviet characters, luxury dwellings and household items Western characters (however, in the territory of the country hostile spies adapted to the housing and living conditions of the opponent);

- *methods of depicting reality*: as a rule, conditional grotesque depiction of the lives of people "hostile states" .

- *characters, their values, ideas, clothes, physique, vocabulary, facial expressions, gestures*: positive (border guards, counterintelligence, spies, civilians Germany) and negative (the same persons, but Soviet citizens or Nazi). Separated by ideology and worldview (bourgeois, communist, Nazi) characters tend to have a strong constitution and look according to the settings of a media source: Soviet spies may at some times look pretty, but then be sure to find its ugly essence. Soviet characters (border guards, heads of the KGB, etc.) are shown gross and cruel fanatics with a primitive vocabulary forever scowling faces, active gestures and unpleasant voices scream ...

- *significant change in the lives of the characters*: the negative characters are committing a crime (illegal border crossing, sabotage, espionage, blackmail, theft of state secrets, murder);

- *problems encountered*: violation of the law;

- *finding solutions to problems*: crime investigation, prosecution negative characters;

- *solution*: positive characters expose / catch / destroy negative.

Specific examples of the movie: *The Spy* (1965), *Charlie Muffin* (1979), etc.

### ***Structure and genre stereotypes of melodramatic films***

-*historical period, locale*: any length of time until 1992, Russia / USSR, DBR / DDR / Germany and other countries;

- *furnishings, household items*: a modest dwelling and household items Soviet characters, comfortable home and everyday objects German characters.

- *methods of depicting reality*: as a rule, conditional grotesque in relation to the lives of people "hostile states" .

- *characters, their values, ideas, clothes, physique, vocabulary, facial expressions, gestures*: male and female characters with contrasting ideological and social status. Characters tend to have slender physique and looks quite nice. Their clothing, language and facial expressions are "average" framework;
  - *significant change in the lives of the characters*: a meeting of male and female characters;
  - *problems encountered*: ideological and social mesalliance;
  - *finding solutions to the problem*: the characters overcome the ideological and social obstacles to their love;
  - *solution*: wedding / love harmony, or death, separation of these characters.
- Representative examples of films: *No Way Back* (1953), etc.

#### ***Structure and genre stereotypes of comedy films***

- *historical period, locale*: any length of time until 1992, Russia / USSR, DBR / DDR / Germany and other countries;
- *furnishings, household items*: a modest dwelling and household items Soviet characters, comfortable home and Houseware western characters;
- *methods of depicting reality*: as a rule, conditional grotesque in relation to the lives of people from "enemy states";
- *characters, their values, ideas, clothes, physique, vocabulary, facial expressions, gestures*: Soviet and Western characters with contrasting ideological and social status. They look as set sources of media texts: Soviet characters (unless of course they have not conceived flop to the West) are shown with vulgar fanatics primitive vocabulary forever scowling faces, active gestures and unpleasant tone of voice;
- *significant change in the lives of the characters*: the characters encountered in the funny / eccentric circumstances in this case, either Western or Soviet characters are on foreign soil;
- *problems encountered*: "culture shock", mutual misunderstanding.
- *finding solutions to the problem*: in a series of funny / eccentric characters situations overcome ideological barriers to understanding.
- *solution*: the harmony of understanding of Soviet and Western characters, colored humor.

Representative examples of films: *Comrade Munchausen* (1962), *Two girls with red star* (1966 ), etc.

***Cinematographic feature films stereotypes associated with Soviet / Russian theme and Soviet / Russian characters, set and published on the movie / TV screens in Federal Republic of Germany in the years 1992-***

***2014, i.e. after the collapse of the USSR***

***Structure and genre stereotypes of dramas***

-*historical period, locale*: any length of time until 2014, Russia / USSR, DBR / DDR / Germany and other countries;

- *furnishings, household items*: a modest dwelling and household items Soviet characters, comfortable home and everyday objects German characters, many of the characters of the Russian Empire , Russian mafia oligarchs from post-Soviet period. However, if the action takes place on the World War II or in the Soviet and Nazi concentration camps, the living conditions of both Soviet and German characters quite ascetic.

- *methods of depicting reality*: moderately realistic (to a greater extent it concerns the image of Russia and Russian characters until 1917 ) or quasi-grotesque depiction of life in modern Russia and the USSR;

- *characters, their values, ideas, clothes, physique, vocabulary, facial expressions, gestures*: positive characters - carriers of democratic ideas; negative characters - inhuman, militaristic ideas. Characters shared not only social, but often material status, ideology, worldview (bourgeois, communist, Nazi). Characters of the Russian Empire or the Russian immigrants are often shown on the positive side. Nazi characters are negative, Russian / Soviet characters are shown different - as rude and violent types with primitive vocabulary, spiteful persons active gestures and unpleasant tone of voice, and quite goodies protecting example civilians women and children. Soviet characters, such as concentration camp prisoners, may also be shown on the positive side. Characters of modern Russia (mostly - women) can be displayed positive if they are not connected with the secret police, mafia, crime;

- *significant change in the lives of the characters*: the negative characters are going to put their inhumane ideas;

- *problems encountered*: the life of positive characters, or the life of entire nations / countries under threat;

- *finding solutions to the problem*: the struggle between negative and positive characters;

- *solution*: the destruction / arrest the negative characters, the return to civilian life.

Representative examples of films: *Stalingrad* (1993), *Rabbit Hunt* (1994), *Enemy at the Gates* (2001), *Amber Amulet* (2004), *Joy Division* (2006) , *Nameless woman in Berlin* (2008) , *4 days in May* (2010), *Uranium Mine* (2010) , *Miracles* (2011), *Our mothers, our fathers* (2013), etc.

### ***Structure and genre stereotypes of detectives and thrillers***

-*historical period, locale*: any length of time until 2014, Russia / USSR, DBR / DDR / Germany and other countries;

- *furnishings, household items*: a modest dwelling and household items Soviet characters (or more is better, when it comes to modern Russia), luxury

- homes and Houseware western characters, Russian millionres, mafia (in this case, if the movie characters spies, then, being on hostile territory of the country, they adapt to the housing and living conditions of the opponent);
- *methods of depicting reality*: as a rule, several grotesque depiction of life of people "hostile states";
  - *characters, their values, ideas, clothes, physique, vocabulary, facial expressions, gestures*: positive (border guards, counterintelligence, spies, civilians Germany) and negative (the same persons, but the Soviet / Russian citizens, the Nazis). Separated by ideology and worldview ( bourgeois, communist, Nazi) characters tend to have a strong constitution and look according to the settings of a media source : spyware may at any time to look pretty, but then be sure to find its ugly essence. Soviet characters (border guards, heads of the KGB, etc.) are shown gross and cruel fanatics with a primitive vocabulary forever scowling faces, active gestures and unpleasant voices scream... Russian characters are shown in a more differentiated.
  - *significant change in the lives of the characters*: the negative characters are committing a crime (illegal border crossing, sabotage, espionage, blackmail, theft of state secrets, murder);
  - *problems encountered*: violation of the law;
  - *finding solutions to problems*: crime investigation, prosecution negative characters;
  - *solution*: positive characters expose / catch / destroy negative.

Specific examples of the films: 23 (1998), etc.

### ***Structure and genre stereotypes of melodramatic films***

- historical period, locale*: any length of time until 2014, Russia / USSR, DBR / DDR / Germany and other countries;
- *furnishings, household items*: a modest dwelling and household items Soviet / Russian characters , comfortable home and everyday objects German characters of Russian millionres, mafia;
- *methods of depicting reality*: as a rule, conditional grotesque in relation to the lives of people "hostile states";
- *characters, their values, ideas, clothes, physique, vocabulary, facial expressions, gestures*: male and female characters with contrasting ideological and social status. Characters tend to have slender physique and looks quite nice. Their clothing, language and facial expressions are "average" framework;
- *significant change in the lives of the characters*: a meeting of male and female characters;
- *problems encountered*: ideological and social mesalliance;
- *finding solutions to the problem*: the characters overcome the ideological and social obstacles to their love;

- *solution*: wedding / love harmony, or death, separation characters.

Representative examples of films: *Love in Konigsberg* (2006), *Love in St. Petersburg* (2009), etc.

### ***Structure and genre stereotypes of comedy films***

-*historical period, locale*: any length of time until 2014, Russia / USSR, DBR / DDR / Germany and other countries;

- *furnishings, household items*: a modest dwelling and household items Soviet / Russian characters, comfortable home and household items Western characters of Russian millioners, mafia;

- *methods of depicting reality*: as a rule, conditional grotesque in relation to the lives of people from "enemy states";

- *characters, their values, ideas, clothes, physique, vocabulary, facial expressions, gestures*: Soviet / Russian and Western characters with contrasting ideological and social status. They look as set sources of media texts: Soviet characters (unless of course they have not conceived flop to the West) are shown with vulgar fanatics primitive vocabulary forever scowling faces, active gestures and unpleasant tone of voice; Russian characters may look more differentiated;

- *significant change in the lives of the characters*: the characters encountered in the funny / eccentric circumstances in this case, either the German or Soviet / Russian characters are on foreign soil;

- *problems encountered*: "culture shock", mutual misunderstanding;

- *finding solutions to the problem*: in a series of funny / eccentric characters situations overcome ideological barriers to understanding;

- *solution*: understanding characters, colored humor.

Representative examples of films: *The Gorilla Bathes at Noon* (1993), *Gate to Heaven* (2003), etc.

My analysis of feature films related to the Soviet / Russian theme and Soviet / Russian characters, set in Germany / West Germany from 1953 to 2014 allowed us to construct a table 1.

**Results.** As a result of analysis, I found that from 1953 to 2014 in the Federal Republic of Germany was photographed 148 feature films related to Russia and Russian characters. The first postwar German feature film with Russian characters was filmed in 1953.

60 feature films from 148 were co-production (with France, Italy, USA, Austria, Switzerland, and other countries), and 46 - the films adaptations of the Russian literary classics (prose and plays of Anton Chekhov, Fedor Dostoyevsky, Leon Tolstoy, Nikolai Gogol, Maxim Gorky, etc.).

**Table 1. German Feature Films on the Russian Subject (1946-2014)**

Compiled by Alexander Fedorov

<i>Soviet Period</i>							
Year of film release:	Total number of German feature films associated with the Russian subject:	<i>Films' Genres</i>					
		Drama	Comedy	Triller & Detective	Melodrama	Action	Sci-Fi
1953	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
1954	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1955	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1956	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
1957	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1958	3	2	0	0	1	0	0
1959	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
1960	2	0	1	0	1	0	0
1961	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1962	4	2	2	0	0	0	0
1963	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
1964	5	2	1	0	2	0	0
1965	3	0	1	2	0	0	0
1966	3	1	2	0	0	0	0
1967	9	5	4	0	0	0	0
1968	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
1969	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
1970	4	4	0	0	0	0	0
1971	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
1972	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
1973	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1974	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
1975	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
1976	1	0	0	0	1	0	0

1977	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
1978	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1979	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
1980	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1981	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
1982	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
1983	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1984	4	3	1	0	0	0	0
1985	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1986	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
1987	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
1988	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
1989	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1990	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
1991	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
<b>Total (Soviet period)</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

*Russian period*

Year of film release:	Total number of German feature films associated with the Russian subject:	<i>Films' Genres</i>					
		Drama	Comedy	Triller & Detective	Melodrama	Action	Sci-Fi
1992	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1993	5	4	1	0	0	0	0
1994	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
1995	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
1996	3	1	0	2	0	0	0
1997	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
1998	2	1	0	1	0	0	0
1999	3	1	1	1	0	0	0
2000	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
2001	7	4	0	2	1	0	0

<b>2002</b>	5	2	0	1	0	1	1	
<b>2003</b>	5	3	2	0	0	0	0	
<b>2004</b>	4	3	0	0	0	1	0	
<b>2005</b>	5	4	0	0	0	1	0	
<b>2006</b>	9	5	1	2	1	0	0	
<b>2007</b>	7	4	1	0	1	1	0	
<b>2008</b>	4	2	0	2	0	0	0	
<b>2009</b>	6	1	0	2	1	1	1	
<b>2010</b>	7	4	0	0	0	3	0	
<b>2011</b>	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>2012</b>	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>2013</b>	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>2014</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>Total (Russian period)</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	

As for the genre spectrum of these films, it is obvious dominant genre drama (86 films). Followed by (in descending order): comedy (23 films), detectives and thrillers (16 films), melodrama (12 films), action movies (9) and fiction films (2).

Only 88 from 148 of German films, associated with Soviet or Russian characters, talk about time period of 1940s – 1990s and the beginning of the XXI century. The following topics dominated in these 88 films:

- escape/emigration of Russian characters to the West (11 films),
- espionage and terrorism (10 films),
- the Russian mafia, banditry, prostitution (10 films),
- the German characters suffering during the Second World War on the Eastern Front in the first half of the 1940s (7 films),
- the suffering of the German characters during the Soviet occupation of Germany in the second half of the 1940s (5 films),
- adventure of German characters caught in the Soviet Union or Russia during the 1960s to the present days.

Analyzing the figures, I can note a significant increase (at least 2.5 - fold) to the attention of German filmmakers of the Soviet / Russian theme in the post-Soviet era. So 66 films with Soviet and Russian characters were delivered in Germany for 45 five years after the war (1946 to 1991), and 82 films - in the last 22 years (1992-2014).

When saving dominant drama (39 dramas in the Soviet period and 47 - in the post-soviet) is clearly observed decline in the share of comedy films

(16 comedies in the Soviet period to 7 - in the post-soviet) and an increase in the number of detectives, thrillers and action (in the Soviet period, these genres can be traced in the aggregate as the main in the 3 films only, whereas in the post-Soviet period - already in the 22 films).

148 feature films with the Soviet / Russian characters ... it much or little? Looking to compare. For example, in the U.S. during the Soviet period was delivered 242 films of this kind, and since 1992 - about 150. But - 148 films , it's about the same as it was filmed from 1946 to 2014 in France and Italy together ...

Consequently, interest in Russian cinema topics in Germany accidental, and understandably so - the history of Russia and Germany are closely linked, including two world wars, where both sides were killed tens of millions of people.

As for the reasons for the increasing number of Russian films with themes - especially with modern - that over the past 20 years, then I would venture to hypothesize that it affected a significant inflow into Germany and Soviet Russian emigrants in it since 1992. Consequently, inside Germany itself today has considerable largest audience interested in films about Russia and Russian characters: from 82 million people residing in Germany, about 6 million in one degree or another fluent in Russian [Russian language ..., 2014].

Contemporary German fiction cinema, associated with Soviet / Russian theme and Soviet / Russian characters , of course, gives a more stereoscopic and realistic image of Russia and Russian (see, for example, *Love in Königsberg, England, Enemy at the Gates, Gate to Heaven*, etc.). However, many German films 1992-2014's still pretty primitive approach inherent to the interpretation of events and characters associated with Russia (*Russian Roulette - Moscow-95, Transsiberian*, etc.).

## References

1. Bertin-Maghit, J.-P. (ed.) (2008). *Une histoire mondiale des cinémas de propagande* (accompagné d'un DVD), Paris: Nouveau Monde éditions, 816 p.
2. Bozo, F., Rey, M.P., Ludlow & Rother. B. (eds.) (2012). *Overcoming the Iron Curtain: Vision of the End of the Cold War, 1945-1990*, Berghahn Books.
3. Bozo, F., Rey, M.-P., Ludlow, N.P. & Nuti. L. (eds.) (2008), *Europe and the End of the Cold War: A Reappraisal*, Londres, Routledge. [www.routledge.com/books/details/9780415449038/](http://www.routledge.com/books/details/9780415449038/)
4. Britton, W. (2006). *Onscreen and Undercover. The Ultimate Book of Movie Espionage*. Westport-London: Praeger, 209 p.

5. Chubaryan, A.O., Yegorova N.I. (eds.) (2003). *Cold War and Policy if Relaxation: Contentious Issues*. Moscow: Russian Academy.
6. Chubaryan, A.O., Yegorova N.I. (eds.) (2003). *Cold War, 1945 – 1963: Historical Retrospective*. Moscow: OLMA-PRESS.
7. Clarke, D. (ed.) (2006). *German Cinema: Since Unification*. London-New York: Continuum.
8. Davidson, J. and Sabine, H. (2009). *Framing the Fifties: Cinema in a Divided Germany*. New York: Berghahn Books.
9. Eco, U. (2005). *The Role of the Reader. Studies on the Semiotics of the Text*. St.Petersburg: Symposium, 502 p.
10. Fateyev, A.V. (1999). *The Image of Enemy in Soviet Propaganda, 1945-1954*. Moscow: Russian Academy of science. <http://psyfactor.org/lib/fateev0.htm>
11. Ginsberg, T. and Kirsten, M. T. (eds.) (1996). *Perspectives on German Cinema*. New York: G.K. Hall.
12. Hillman, R. (2005). *Unsettling Scores: German Film, Music, and Ideology*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
13. Keen, S. (1986). *Faces of the Enemy*. San Francisco: Harper and Row.
14. Klimontovich, N. (1990). They Are Like Spies. *Cinema Art*. 1990. № 11.
15. Kluger, D. (2006). Paradise Lost of Spy Novel. *Reality of Fiction*. 2006. № 8. <http://www.rf.com.ua/article/952>
16. Koepnick, L. (2002). *The Dark Mirror: German Cinema between Hitler and Hollywood*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
17. Kolesnikova, A.G. (2008). Knights of the “Cold War” (the Image of Enemy in Soviet Action Films of the 1960-1970s. *Klio*. 2008. № 3, pp.144-149.
18. Lacourbe, R. (1985). *La Guerre froide dans le cinema d'espionnage*. Paris: Henri Veyrier, 315 p.
19. LaFeber, W. (1990). *America, Russia and Cold War*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
20. Levering, R. B. (1982). *The Cold War, 1945-1972*. Arlington Heights: Harlan Davidson.
21. Maguire, L. (2012). Supervillains and Cold War Tensions in the 1950s. In: Darowski, J. (Ed.) *The Ages of Superman*. McFarland & Co, 2012.
22. Manvell, R. (1971). *The German Cinema*. New York: Praeger Publishers, 1971.
23. Mavis, P. (2001). *The Espionage Filmography*. Jefferson & London: McFarland, 462 p.
24. Murray, B. and Christopher J. W. (eds.) (1992). *Framing the Past: The Historiography of German Cinema and Television*. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
25. Parish, J.R. and Pitts, M.R. (1974). *The Great Spy Pictures*. Metuchen, N.J.: The Scarecrow Press, 585 p.
26. Parish, J.R. and Pitts, M.R. (1986). *The Great Spy Pictures II*. Metuchen, N.J. & London: The Scarecrow Press, 432 p.

27. Pflaum, H.G. (1990). *Germany on Film: Theme and Content in the Cinema of the Federal Republic of Germany*. Detroit: Wayne State University Press.
28. Rentschler, E. (ed.) (1986). *German Film and Literature: Adaptations and Transformations*. New York: Routledge.
29. Robin, R.T. (2001). *The Making of the Cold War Enemy*. Princeton, Oxford: Princeton University Press, 277 p.
30. Rubenstein, L. (1979). *The Great Spy Films*. Secaucus, N.J.: The Citadel Press, 223 p.
31. Rubin, M. (1999). *Thrillers*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 319 p.
32. Rukavishnikov, V.O. (2000). *Cold War, Cold Peace*. Moscow, 864 p.
33. Shaw, T. and Youngblood, D.J. (2010). *Cinematic Cold War: The American and Soviet Struggle for Heart and Minds*. Lawrence: University Press of Kansas, 301 p.
34. Shenin S.Y. (2003). *The History of the Cold War*. Saratov: Saratov State University, 32 p.
35. Strada, M.J. and Troper, H.R. (1997). *Friend or Foe?: Russian in American Film and Foreign Policy*. Lanham, Md., & London: The Scarecrow Press, 255 p.
36. Turovskaya, M.I. (1996). Films of the "Cold War". *Cinema Art*. 1996. № 9, pp.98-106.
37. Turovskaya, M. (1993). The 1930s and 1940s: Cinema in Context/ Taylor, R. and Spring, D. (Eds.) *Stalinism and Soviet Cinema*. London and New York: Routledge, pp.34-53.
38. Utkin, A. (2005). *World's "Cold War"*. M.: Algorithm, Eksmo.
39. Westad, O.A. (2007). *The Global Cold War*. Cambridge: University Press, 484 p,
40. Whitfield, S.J. (1991). *The Culture of the Cold War*. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
41. Zorkaya N.M. (1981). *The Unique and the Replicated. Means of Mass Communication and Reproduced Art*. Moscow: Iskusstvo, 167 p.

***The analysis of stereotypes of politically engaged media texts in media studies in student audience***  
***(by the example of Renny Harlin's films 'Born American' (1986) and 'Five Days of War' (2011))***

The famous British theorist of media education Len Masterman has repeatedly emphasized that it is necessary to teach the audience to understand the following: 1) who is responsible for the creation of media texts? who owns the media and controls them? 2) how is the effect achieved? 3) what value orientations are created? 4) how does the audience perceive it? (Masterman 1985). Of course, this kind of approach is mostly related to the texts which are outside the artistic sphere, and is not suitable for the outstanding works of media culture. However I think it is quite suitable for the opuses designed for mass audience, especially for the clearly politicized ones. Especially if you see that it evidently has much in common with the theoretical concepts of U. Eco (Eco 2005) and A. Silverblatt (Silverblatt 2001, 80-81).

Indeed, the idea of U. Eco that the three important systems should be singled out in the analysis of media texts: the author's ideology; market conditions which determined the concept, the process of creation and success; the narrative techniques (Eco 2005, 209), is very similar to Len Masterman's concept of media education.

There's no need to explain how important it is for the students, the future specialists in political and culture studies, historians, art historians, pedagogues, to develop their abilities to analyze media texts of different kinds and genres.

However, media literacy practice shows that students have problems with the discussion / analysis of some genres in the early stages of media studies, for example, the difficulties in the process of understanding and interpreting the author's conception, plot and genre features. Let's try to substantiate the methodological approaches to the analysis of politically engaged media texts in media studies in the student audience.

It would seem that the times of the Cold War are gone, and the image of the evil "Russian bear" is no longer relevant for the Western screens. However our analysis (Fedorov 2010) showed that media stereotypes of the Cold War are still alive nowadays. Let's prove it by the example of two politically engaged films of Renny Harlin *Born American* (USA-Finland, 1986) and *Five Days of War* (USA-Georgia, 2011).

Guided by the approaches of L. Masterman, A. Silverblatt and U. Eco, the students can create the structural schemes of these two media texts, one of

which had been conceived at the peak of ideological confrontation between the USSR and the USA in the 1980-es, and the other has been shot recently.

### *The structure of western “confrontational” action films*

***Born American. USA, 1986. Director R. Harlin.***

***Market conditions which determined the concept and the process of creation of the media text.*** The Cold War of the 1980-es between the West and the Soviet Union, which aggravated during the Afghan war unleashed by the Kremlin and when president Ronald Reagan came to power in the USA, spawned a series of anti-Soviet media products including R. Harlin’s film which stood out for its clearly defined ideological position that fully met the political situation.

***Author’s ideology, value orientations of the media text.*** The ideological message of the film is simple and clear: the superiority of American values of democracy over totalitarian and communist ones; anti-Sovietism.

***Historical period, scene of action.*** Finland and the USSR of the mid 1980-es, border areas.

***The setting, household goods.*** Streets and houses in Finland and the USSR, the KGB torture chambers. Wretched Soviet life.

***Methods of representation of reality.*** The Soviet interiors, costumes, etc. are clearly depicted in a gloomy and grotesque manner, while the Finnish ones – in advertisingly positive.

***The characters, their values, ideas, clothing, constitution, vocabulary, mimics, gestures.*** Positive characters are simple and sympathetic American guys, their main aim in life is entertainment; negative characters are evil personnel of the prison and KGB, the adherents of communist ideas. The latter look openly grotesque with hysterical mimics and gestures, primitive vocabulary. Soviet characters are dressed in plain and unattractive clothes. American characters are dressed in comfortable and high-quality clothes for teenagers.

***Significant changes in the lives of the characters:*** tricking the vigilance of the Soviet border guards, the American guys illegally cross the Finnish-Soviet border “just for fun”.

***Originated problem:*** the locals suspect that the Americans killed a Russian girl and emphasize their hostile disposition in every way; the American guys offered their armed resistance, killing them with admirable ease and skill, but as a result they fall into the clutches of the cruel KGB officers.

***Searches for the solution of the problem:*** the American guys are trying to break free from Soviet prison.

***The solution of the problem:*** the luckiest of them manages to escape and return to the West.

***The effect on the audience:*** the film's box office was not very impressive - 3,4 million dollars (*Born American: Box Office*, 1986), but paid back its budget (significant for Finland) was rather modest by Hollywood standards. Consequently its uncomplicated plot was able to attract the attention of the younger audience in the U.S. which was prepared for this kind of spectacle by a long-term anti-Soviet ideological propaganda.

***5 Days of August / 5 Days of War. USA-Georgia, 2011. Director Renny Harlin.***

***Market conditions which determined the concept and the process of creation of the media text.*** A short war in August 2008 between Russia and Georgia caused by the armed conflict in the region of South Ossetia which separated from Georgia. R. Harlin's film is marked by the clearly expressed pro-Georgian and pro-American ideological position, fully meeting the political conditions prevailing in the Western political study and media approaches.

***Author's ideology, value orientations of the media text.*** The ideological message of the film is still simple and clear: the superiority of pro-Western values of the independent Georgia over great-power policy of Russia.

***Historical period, scene of action.*** South Ossetia and Georgia, August 2008.

***The setting, household goods.*** Towns, villages, mountains, streets and houses in South Ossetia and Georgia, office of the President of Georgia, staff rooms. Tbilisi is represented on screen as a city of ancient beauty, pretty women, laughing children and cozy restaurants. Household goods correspond to the characters' status (the ruling elite, the military, American journalists and civilians).

***Methods of representation of reality.*** Georgian and Ossetian interiors, costumes, etc. are represented in a neutral key, without any grotesque, the national character is seen in the interior and people's clothing in the scene of the Georgian wedding.

***The characters, their values, ideas, clothing, constitution, vocabulary, mimics, gestures.*** Positive characters – sympathetic American journalists, their main aim is to deliver the reliable audio-visual information about the ongoing events to the USA; peaceful Georgian people, noble Georgian soldiers. The vocabulary of the positive characters can be impudent at times, however at crucial moments they stand up for democratic values. Negative characters – spiteful and brutal Russian military men destroying civilians without regret. Russian “commandos” in *Five Days of August* look less grotesque than in

“Born American”, although the most ruthless of them are remarkable for their threatening facial expressions and gestures, primitive vocabulary. The characters wear festive (the wedding scene), plain clothes (the journalists), standard military uniform. Physical development of the military characters is clearly above average.

**Significant changes in the lives of the characters:** the American journalists come to the Georgian wedding, when a surprise attack of Russian forces begins.

**Originated problem:** the lives of the Americans as well as the lives of the ordinary locals are threatened because of military actions.

**Searches for the solution of the problem:** the American journalists are trying to survive in war conditions, simultaneously shooting revelatory material about the atrocities of the Russian soldiers which they are trying to deliver to the United States.

**The solution of the problem:** the American journalists manage to survive and deliver their revelatory report to the U.S. (by the way, they don’t manage to do that at once, because according to the authors of the film the Western media broadcast only the Russian version of the events at first).

**The effect on the audience:** at the cost of \$20 million the film grossed a tiny sum of \$17,500 in the U.S. box office (*5 Days of War*: Box Office, 2011). In other Western countries the film was shown only in a few movie theatres and sold on DVD. Thus, despite the anti-Russian campaign of the Western press, connected with the conflict in August 2008, the films’ effect on the “target audience” was minimal.

During the discussion of so obviously engaged media texts we draw attention of the student audience to the use of the basic techniques of manipulative influence in these films. For this purpose we use:

- “sifting” information (reasoned selection of true and false in media materials, purification of information from the “rouge” and “labels” by comparing with the actual facts, etc.);
- removing “typical”, “simple folk”, “authority” aureole from information;
- critical analysis of aims and interests of “the agencies”, i.e. the source of information.

Thus the following methods of manipulative influence are analyzed in the classroom:

- “orchestration” – psychological pressure on the audience in the form of constant repetition of certain facts regardless of the truth. In the case of *Born American* and *Five Days of August* it is a total emphasis on the positive qualities of the American characters and the negative qualities of the Russian ones;
- “selection” (“juggling”) – the selection of certain tendencies, for example, only the positive or negative, distortion, exaggeration/understatement of these tendencies. In R. Harlin’s films there is only “black” and “white”, all the

positive events are connected with the actions of the American characters, and all the negative events - with the Russian ones;

- “putting on rouge” (embellishing the facts). Despite the fact that in “Born American” the American characters commit a number of criminal offenses punishable in any civilized country (illegally crossing the state border, killing several residents of the Russian village), the filmmakers depict them with evident sympathy, as purely positive heroes. In *Five Days of August* the Georgian military men are shown as exceptionally noble warriors without fear and reproach; the fact that they are fighting on the territory of South Ossetia which separated from Georgia 20 years ago (with the people’s hostile attitude to Georgian authorities), is almost entirely taken out of the plot;
- “sticking labels” (e.g. accusatory, insulting, etc.). In *Five Days of August* all the most negative labels are stuck to the “Russian aggressors”.

*“The sun is shining, the grass is green, silence, the journalist is standing in a garden. He plucks an unbearably ripe apple from a tree and bites it with a crunch. Cows are passing by. A little spider is stirring the web. A froggie is sitting in the pool. Then alarming music begins to sound, louder and louder. Countless soldiers emerge from the horizon. Infantry, armored vehicles, helicopters. Having killed some civilians, the Russians drive the rest of them together. The Americans manage to shoot a war crime from behind a fence. The leader of the Russians, a giant tattooed blonde with bright Finnish eyes and beautiful Finnish accent (a bit later he will report that he is a Cossack; the actor’s name is Mikko Nousiainen), demands to give out the mayor and shoots a Georgian old woman dead. Then the others, more or less” (Zelvensky 2011).*

In *Born American* similar labels are awarded to almost all Russian characters (not only the border guards and KGB agents turn out to be negative characters, but also the whole population of the Russian village, even the Orthodox priest is a rapist and a murderer);

- “playing simple folk”, including for example a most simplified form of information presentation. This technique is used in both films by R. Harlin: the plot is served in a very simplified form, without semitones, without any deepening into the characters’ psychology and their motives.

The discussion ends with a problem and test question which determines the audience’s skills of media text analysis: “With what media texts can you compare the given work? Why? What do they have in common?”.

And I must say that the students who watched Igor Voloshin’s film *Olympus Inferno* (2009) rightly pointed out its obvious resemblance to the *Five Days of August*. To support his position during the discussion one of the students referred to the opinion of some Alexandra posted at the website [www.kino-teatr.ru](http://www.kino-teatr.ru). Here are some fragments from this text:

*“As expected, a purely government work. Just imagine, the events took place in August, and a full-length feature film comes out in March! ... Everybody knows that art often serves the purposes of state ideology. ... And*

*don't tell me that Americans shoot this kind of films, why can't we shoot them too? Yes, we can, nobody prohibits doing so. But why must we follow the Americans? ... If their movies lie, why do you think ours are truthful? Politics is politics. Nobody will tell you the truth. ... Everything looks pretty stupid. The Russians are good and noble, and the Americans are dumb. ... Everything except skirmish bears ideology! Just listen to their dialogues! In short, it's not a film, it's agitation" (<http://www.kino-teatr.ru/kino/movie/ros/79821/forum/f2/> 4.04.2009 22:52).*

Of course the budget of *Olympus Inferno* was very modest compared to the American counterpart, but thanks to the premiere on Channel One (2009) its audience was incomparably greater. As for the structure of stereotypes of “confrontational” action films, it is very similar to *Five Days of August*. According to the plot a young American Michael goes to South Ossetia on the eve of the war and witnesses the attack of the Georgian troops and shoots a video. Contrary to the claims of the Western media that the big and aggressive Russia attacked the small and independent Georgia, Michael decides to send the video to the United States. Of course violent Georgian military men are trying to stop him... Thus in Igor Voloshin's film (though not so straightforward and rough as in *Five Days of August*) the similar stereotypes are used, including “orchestration”, “selection”, “putting on rouge”, “sticking labels” and “playing simple folk”. The laws of politically engaged media texts are unfortunately the same...

### Filmography

***Born American.* USA-Finland, 1986.** Director: R. Harlin. Screenwriters: R. Harlin, M. Selin. Cast: M. Norris, S. Durham, D. Coburn, Tm Rasulala and others.

***5 Days of August / 5 Days of War.* USA-Georgia, 2011.** Director: R. Harlin. Screenwriter: Mikko Alann. Cast: R. Friend, E. Chrigui, R. Coyle, H. Graham and others.

***Olympus Inferno.* Russia, 2009.** Director: I. Voloshin. Screenwriters: D. Rodimin, N. Popov, A. Kublitsky, S. Dovzhik. Cast: G. Devid, P. Filonenko, V. Tsalatti, A. Maliya and others.

***The Ideological, Structural Analysis of the Russian Image Presentation on the Western Screen in the Epoch of Ideological Confrontation (1946-1991). Example: Film 'White Nights' Directed by T. Hackford***

The modern society is characterized by a variability of norms, damage of traditions, social mobility, fragility of all standards and principles, — in other words, people in such a society are constantly under information pressure, sometimes even powerful information attacks which require continuous reorganization of perception, continuous adaptation of mind and continuous re-training of intellect (Eco 2005, 199-200). Perhaps, for this very reason the audience more strongly strives for media texts of the past what explains the increasing demand of such TV channels as *Nostalgia*, *RetroTV* and the like. It is paradoxical, but the audience of these channels does not consist only of elderly people who willingly review the films of their youth but partially of young people too for whom such films become, per se, a premiere. Moreover, retro TV channels, as a rule, show again and again mainly entertaining, "genre", "consumer" media texts which were often disapproved of by ideologically engaged critics in the time of their creation...

But, I agree with Umberto Eco: isn't it natural that even a quite educated person while relaxing and resting (both useful and indispensable) wants to take pleasure in the luxury of infantile laziness and refers to 'consumer goods' in order to find rest in a redundancy orgy? Should we approach the problem from this point of view, — and we are already inclined to treat the 'distracting entertainments' more indulgently... and to blame ourselves for applying acrid moralism (seasoned with philosophy) to what is actually innocent and, maybe, even beneficial. But the problem appears in a different light - if the pleasure derived from redundancy turns from a means of rest, from a pause in the intense pace of the intellectual life caused by information perception into 'the norm' of the whole imagination activity (Eco 2005, 200).

I also agree with U. Eco that any study of work structures becomes *ipso facto* a development of certain historical and sociological hypotheses — even if the researcher does not realize that or does not want to. And it is better to be aware of the fact in order to correct as far as possible the perspective distortions created by the chosen approach, and to derive the maximum advantage from the distortions that cannot be corrected. ... If a person realizes these basic principles of the scientific method the description of product structures turns out to be one of the most advantageous methods of finding the links between a product and its socio-historical context (Eco 2005, 208).

Let me take T. Hackford's film *White Nights* (USA, 1985) as a case-study of the ideological and socio-cultural analysis: though it was released at

the height of a new round of the *Cold War*, it is still in demand on video/DVD and it is regularly shown on world TV screens. It will enable us to trace not only the socio-historical context of the time when the media text was created but also to define its structure, plot, representativeness, ethics; also the peculiarities of genre modification, iconography, characters.

Following the methodology developed by U. Eco we shall select three *systems* which are significant in a product: the author's ideology, market conditions which determined the plot, the writing process and success of a book (or, at least, promoted the first, the second and the third); narrative techniques (Eco 2005, 209). This approach, in my opinion, fully comports with the method of media text analysis suggested by C. Bazalgette (Bazalgette 1995) which is based on such key concepts of media education as *media agencies, media/media text categories, media technologies, media languages, media representations, media audiences*; since all these concepts are closely related to ideological, market and structural-content aspects of media production analysis.

***The authors' ideology in the socio-cultural context (dominant concepts: media agencies, media representations, media audiences).***

Here we should mention that under 'authors' we mean the main film creators – screenwriters J. Goldman, E. Hughes, film director Taylor Hackford and cameraman D. Watkin. They conceived and created the film in the epoch of active political confrontation between the USA and the USSR which got aggravated with the beginning of the Afghani war, actions of the Polish 'Solidarity' movement crushed by the imposition of martial law, with the new arms race escalation (the so-called *Star Wars*) and President R. Reagan's accession to power. To crown it all, on September 1, 1983 a Soviet fighter shot down a passenger aircraft of the South Korean airline which had crossed the USSR border. Thereby, the film *White Nights* released in 1985 became a telling illustration in the ideological meaning of the legendary thesis of R. Reagan about the USSR as '*the Evil Empire*'.

Actually, the USSR is shown in the film as a grim, gloomy country where even majestic St. Petersburg looks like a hostile trap city. The miserable main characters are tortured by furious KGB agents - tireless fighters against Freedom and Democracy...

***The market conditions which facilitated the plot, creation and success of the media text (dominant concepts: media agencies, media / media text categories, media technologies, media audiences)***

The western media market of the 1980s quite often turned to the Russian topic – approximately 80 films about Russia/USSR and with Russian/Soviet characters were shot (half of them were made in the USA) from 1980 to 1985. Not all of them were a success with spectators; therefore

it might be supposed that the studios were guided not only by commercial interest but also by political motives. Anyway, *White Nights* became a box-office hit due to the ideological tension, a skillful genre synthesis of a melodrama, a musical and a thriller, and also thanks to the involvement of well-known emigrant dancer Mikhail Baryshnikov starring in the film.

At first *Colombia* allowed the film to be shown in a limited number of cinemas of the USA and Canada where *White Nights* collected almost half a million dollars during the first weekend. During its *Big Weekend* on December 6-8, 1985 the film was shown simultaneously in 891 cinemas and its box-office receipts were 4,5 million dollars (it was the 3<sup>rd</sup> place on the weekend box-office receipts top list of Northern America). In total, *White Nights* collected 42 million dollars during the first year of its exhibition in the USA and Canada (it was the 17<sup>th</sup> place in American charts of 1985) leaving behind such famous action films as *Commando* (\$35 million), *Silverado* (\$32 million), and *Young Sherlock Holmes* (\$20 million) which were also showing in cinemas at that period (<http://www2.boxofficemojo.com>).

Thus, the authors of the film achieved their main goal – tangible success with viewers caused not only by a felicitous synthesis of genres, excellent music and choreography, all-star cast (M. Baryshnikov, H. Mirren, I. Rossellini, G. Hines), but also by skillful usage of the ideological anti-Soviet conjuncture.

***The structure and narrative techniques in the media text (dominant concepts: media/media text categories, media technologies, media languages, media representations)***

In our opinion, the film *White Nights* is founded on simple dichotomies: 1) the hostile and aggressive Soviet world and the democratic world of the West; 2) positive characters (emigrant dancer Rodchenko) and villains (KGB agents); 3) yearning for freedom and independence (Rodchenko) and conformism (ballerina Ivanova); 4) plot and effect.

In outline, the structure, plot, representativeness, ethics, the peculiarities of genre modification, iconography, and characters can be represented in the following way:

***Historical period, scene: the USSR of the mid-1980s.***

***Setting, household objects: an air liner compartment, urban streets, living rooms, theatre, rehearsal halls. Ascetic living conditions in the USSR.***

***Representation of reality: pointedly favorable in relation to positive characters, especially towards famous ballet dancer Rodchenko; unambiguous grotesque in relation to the characters connected with KGB.***

***Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, and gestures: former soloist of the Soviet ballet, and nowadays American citizen Rodchenko and his former sweetheart – Ivanova, the first Soviet ballet***

dancer. They are of a different ideological, social and material status. The characters are dressed in training or ballet costumes. Both the characters are well-built. Their speech is plain. The mime and gestures are emotional and artistic. The KGB colonel – a brutal, harsh, cruel character 'standing guard over the USSR national security' acts as a foil to them.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** 1985. Emigrant Rodchenko quite unexpectedly appears on the Soviet territory and gets caught in KGB meshes as a result of the forced landing of a plane. The Soviet special services send Ivanova to Rodchenko: her task is to coax him into remaining in the USSR.

**Incipient problem:** the difference in their ideological views prevents the former lovers from finding a common language.

**Search for solutions to the problem:** unruly memories and feelings make Ivanova take a decision to help Rodchenko to run to the West through the Soviet-Finnish border.

**Problem solution:** Rodchenko manages to return to the West from the USSR.

A. Silverblatt (Silverblatt 2001, 80-81) developed a set of questions for media text critical analysis in a historical, cultural and structural context. Let us apply them to the analysis of *White Nights*:

A. Historical context.

1. What does a media text tell about the time of its creation?

a) When did the premier of this media text take place?

The premier of the film occurred in November-December of 1985 in the USA.

b) How did the events of that time affect the media text?

The aggravation of the confrontation between the USA and the USSR of 1979-1984 connected with the war in Afghanistan, political events in Poland, had an obvious impact on the media text. The world resonance of condemnation of the USSR after a Soviet fighter had brought down the South Korean passenger plane on September 1<sup>st</sup>, 1983 apparently provided the impulse for the plot development.

c) How does the media text comment on the events of the day?

The authors' treatment of events is in many respects under the influence of the *Cold War* stereotypes - it concerns the relations and personalities of the characters, images, etc. Russia/SSSR appears on the screen as a gloomy totalitarian country reigned by malicious KGB agents who torcher ordinary people...

2. Does the knowledge of the historic events contribute to the media text understanding?

a) media texts created during a certain historic event:

- What events occurred when the media text was being created?

The film was being made in 1984 when a new round of the *Cold War* between the USSR and the USA was at its height – there was a protracted war in Afghanistan. R. Reagan was the USA serving president who *played hardball* with the USSR. In February, 1984 the leader of the USSR of that time – Y. Andropov died of a serious malady; he was a hard-line supporter in relation to the USA. Then K. Chernenko, a terminally ill person, came into power: he ordered to boycott the Olympic Games in Los Angeles and lodged a protest against the American military program ‘Star Wars’. However, after K. Chernenko's death in March, 1985 liberal-minded M. Gorbachev became the leader of the USSR. He restarted arms negotiations on March 12 of the same year in Geneva. But by that time the shooting of the film *White Nights* was already over, and the beginning of the *warming* in the *Cold War* could not affect the general conception of the film.

- How does the understanding of these events contribute to our understanding of the media text?

Naturally, the understanding of the historico-political context helps to better understand both the peculiarities of the film's plot and its ideology. It may be very difficult for a person who is unaware of the historico-political context of the early 1980s to understand why the image of Russia/USSR is created in this particular way.

- What are genuine historical allusions?

Among real historical allusions one can mention the following: the dramatic events of September 1, 1983; KGB functions as a ramified apparatus for suppression of dissent in the USSR; real facts of the so-called dissidents' flight from the USSR (including M. Baryshnikov starring in *White Nights*); V. Vysotsky's status as a symbol of creative non-conformism...

- Are there any historical references in the media text?

The film is not based on real facts, the historical references are circumstantial, and the treatment of events contains a certain degree of grotesque, though all the above-mentioned political trends are revealed in the film.

- How does the understanding of these historical references affect our understanding of the media text?

Undoubtedly, the understanding of historical references ensures better perception of *White Nights* as a certain symbol of the ideological confrontation between the USA and the USSR.

## *B. Cultural Context*

1. *Media and popular culture: how does the media text reflect, strengthen, suggest or create cultural: a) relations, b) values, c) conduct, d) concern; e) myths.*

Logically reflecting a negative stereotyped attitude of the West to Russia T. Hackford's film creates an image of a hostile, aggressive, militarized and economically backward totalitarian Russia – with a frigid climate, poor population deprived of civil rights and ruled by malicious, cruel, perfidious communists/special services. There is no place for democracy and human rights, freedom of expression and creativity here...

2. *Outlook: what world is shown in the media text?*

a) *What is the culture of this world?*

Generally speaking, the image of Russia/USSR in *White Nights* represents the 'Evil Empire'. This empire does not negate Culture but aims to bring it under control of the totalitarian Ideology.

- *People?*

People in this world are divided into three basic groups: 'evil forces' (administration, KGB agents, military men and so forth), 'suffering conformists' (the majority of ordinary people including those who belong to the world of culture, arts) and 'non-conformists' (outstanding ballet dancer Rodchenko played by M. Baryshnikov) – they are in minority, they are few and far between...

- *Ideology?*

The communist totalitarian ideology dominates in this world, and everyone is forced to obey it even if one does not approve of it...

b) *What do we know about the people who belong to this world?*

- *are the characters shown in a stereotyped manner?*

In general, the characters of *White Nights* are represented in a stereotyped manner without special undertones (especially it concerns villains), however the talents of outstanding dancers M. Baryshnikov and G. Hines enable them to 'tell' of the emotional-psychological experiences of the characters through choreographic etudes. Moreover, at the beginning of the film M. Baryshnikov gives a brilliant performance of a solo ballet part in which the authors' conception of the film is reflected in an allegorical form.

- *What does this representation tell us about the cultural stereotype of this group?*

The representation is based on the following cultural stereotype: the USSR is a totalitarian country flooded with KGB agents (whose leisure-time activity is drinking vodka) with gloomy, dark cities, official-looking interiors

and people's clothes, communist ideology domination and with suffering ordinary people...

*c) What outlook does this world represent - optimistic or pessimistic?*

The authors of *White Nights* create a pessimistic image of the USSR; their optimism reveals itself only in giving the main character a chance of escaping from KGB clutches alive and intact...

*- Are the characters of the media text happy?*

As a rule, there are no happy characters in *White Nights*, each of them suffers anyhow (even the furious brutal KGB colonel played by J. Skolimowski is unhappy in his way as he failed to prevent Rodchenko from running to the West).

*- do the characters of the media text have a chance of being happy?*

The authors of *White Nights* make it clear that one can be happy only OUTSIDE the *Evil Empire*...

*d) Are the characters able to direct their own fates?*

Here the American pragmatism shows up – the absolute confidence that a person can control his fate if he does want to. Conformists (Ivanova) remain prisoners of the *Evil Empire*. Non-conformists (outstanding ballet dancer Rodchenko) are capable of changing their fate even under the most unfavorable circumstances for the better...

*e) What is the hierarchy of values in this outlook?*

*- what values can be found in the media text?*

According to the authors' conception the principal values in the world are freedom and democracy.

*- what values do the characters embody?*

Prominent ballet dancer Rodchenko is a symbol of Russian non-conformist yearning for freedom and democracy. The most vivid episode indicating it is M. Baryshnikov's choreographic etude to V. Vysotsky's song 'Fastidious Horses'. But the KGB colonel (J. Skolimowski) is not a less bright character of the totalitarian regime suppressing the human personality.

*- what values prevail in the end?*

The end of the film when M. Baryshnikov manages to run away from KGB agents through the Soviet-Finnish border can be considered as a triumph (local, of course) of the democratic values of the Western world, their attractiveness for positive representatives of the Russian nation.

*- what does it mean to be a success in this world? How does a person succeed in this world? What behavior is rewarded in the world?*

According to the authors' conception only an 'ideologically staunch' personality who is an obedient and conscientious worker of the totalitarian regime can succeed in the USSR.

It should be noted that A. Silverblatt's methodology corresponds to basic approaches of the hermeneutic analysis of the audiovisual, space-and-time structure of media texts. It needs to be reminded that the *hermeneutic analysis of cultural context* is a process research of media text interpretation, cultural, historical factors which influence the viewpoint of the agency/author of a media text. The hermeneutic analysis consists in comprehending a media text through correlation with the cultural tradition and reality; penetration into the logic of a media text; a media text analysis through comparison of artistic images in the historical and cultural contexts. Thus, the object of analysis is the media system and its operation in the society, relationship with man, media languages and their usage.

Let us remember the dynamics of the space-and-time audiovisual image of one of the culminating episodes of *White Nights*.

...The protagonist – emigrant dancer Nikolay Rodchenko as fate has willed meets his former partner and lover ballerina Ivanova. They are standing on the stage. The house is empty, the hall is scarcely alight. Nikolay is speaking about conformism, about intellectuals who have sufficient courage only for listening to Vysotsky's *seditions* songs. And as for him, he is suffocating in the dead air. He needs Freedom – freedom of spirit, creation, life... Then Nikolay begins to dance to a tape recording of Vladimir Vysotsky's song 'Fastidious Horses'. The camera zooms in on Ivanova's face, tears suddenly flushing her eyes.

Rodchenko's dance is built on twists, jerks, attempts to overcome difficulties, dangers, counteractions. Thus following the disquieting, impulsive Vysotsky's music and verses he is dancing as if on the brink of a precipice. The character puts all his anguish into the dance which he felt after his separation from the motherland, because of slander, lies, human envy and malice...

In this episode the authors skillfully use choreography which metaphorically reflects the psychological condition of the character, his mental turmoil, breakdown, yearning for freedom by all means. And V. Vysotsky's song is not a random choice. Vysotsky did not wish to become an emigrant (though he had many opportunities for it). However, the authorities failed to make him a time-server, an obedient seeker of official awards and honours ... Vysotsky's fate and Rodchenko's destiny serve as a reproach to outstanding ballet dancer Ivanova. After all, she preferred a silent and obedient life, thus having betrayed true freedom...

But that is not the only reason why the heroine cries. The point is that she used to be in love with Rodchenko. And it was difficult for her to put up with the fact that he had chosen freedom in America and actually sacrificed his love for her. That is why the conflict between one's yearning for freedom,

independence and an abyss of falsehood and conformism is tinged here with the drama of irretrievable losses, loss of love... Though Ivanova is not dancing in the episode, her movements as well as Rodchenko's ones have their own musical plastic rhythm. Rodchenko's motions are a desperate, hysterical vortex of incoercible energy whereas Ivanova's movements are a sad melody of a love romance...

Certainly, it is important not to *pick out* the so-called *expressive means* from the context of a whole product while analyzing an audiovisual media text but to attempt to reconstruct more or less a complete picture of your own feelings and impressions, show the interrelationship of psychological states of characters, conflicts, dialogues, etc. with the artistic, musical solutions, with composition tasks and the whole image structure of a media text.

In particular, it is necessary to pay attention to the fact that the authors of *White Nights* create a tense, controversial atmosphere of the action by using purely visual, light-and-shade means: in the twilight of the empty hall a luminous flux highlights the dancer's figure and his whole dance is built on color contrasts (black, yellow, white) and light-darkness opposition...

There is so much energy, force, obstinacy in the frantic dance of Rodchenko that it gives one a feeling that he is able to escape from any pitfall of fate. It would seem that all the signs point to hopelessness and absence of any prospect: Rodchenko is in tenacious clutches of special services, his fair one preferred to surrender... From the window one can see ominous silhouettes of guards... The hero's hands are in close-up, clenching into fists... His whole figure is strained for a violent leap... And here the camera gives a feeling of his flight... Rodchenko seems to be hovering over the stage in a gorgeous leap...

Approximately in this manner one can practise an analytical *reconstruction* of the media representation of the seen and heard flow of sound and visual images including the light and color solution, mise-en-scène, actors' plastic movements and mime, usage of certain details. Thus, one should describe not only the psychological and emotional but also the audiovisual, space-and-time content of an artistic image in the given episode, its culmination, when the authors endeavor to express their feelings and thoughts concerning the purpose of human life, the price of independence, origins of creation, freedom which come to man through his overcoming of both outward Evil and his own pusillanimity.

It is also interesting to see the development of the audiovisual, space-and-time image dynamics (including a metaphorical nature of the choreographic composition to Vysotsky's music). Besides, a specific plot of *White Nights* (the main characters are actors, dancers, and the action for the greater part takes place in the theatre) makes you consider the interrelation between a

media text and music, choreography, theatre. For instance, lack of montage and system of frames, camera movements in a performance (though there are some common features such as dialogues, a character's costume, music, and choreography) would most likely lead to intensified actors' mime, to verbal complement of dialogues, to bright and contrasting effects of lighting which would enable the producer to impart his creative concept to the audience...

Thus there appear associative relations between the screen and the viewers' experience (life and aesthetic). The emotional empathy with characters and the authors of a media text initially develops on the basis of intuitive, subconscious perception of the audiovisual, space-and-time artistic image dynamics of an episode. It is followed by the process of analysis and synthesis – reflection on the meaning of frames, angles, shots, etc.; their generalization and combination, contemplation of different interpretations, and expression of one's personal attitude...

As a result, contrary to the initially conceived concept of the Russian image, the image created in T. Hackford's film *White Nights* does not exactly fit in the stereotypic ideological framework of the *Evil Empire*. There are also talented, affectionate, suffering people in this country who strive for creative self-expression, who can resist conformism...

In other words, from more or less a linear interpretation of the initial narrative scheme we proceed to an associative, polyphonic one. The events, characters' personalities, the artistic and musical solutions are perceived all-in-one.

However, one should not forget that one and the same media text can provoke a variety of interpretations from different audiences. This fact confirms the correctness of U. Eco's statement: the text aimed at quite definite reactions from some definite circle of readers (children, fans of *soap operas*, doctors, law-abiding citizens, representatives of youth subcultures, Presbyterians, farmers, middle-upper class women, scuba divers, effete snobs, or representatives of any other imaginable socio-psychological category) who are actually open to various 'incorrect' decoding variants (Eco 2005, 19). So, it would be improper to insist on one's own interpretation as the only correct treatment of any media text.

### *Filmography*

***White Nights*. USA, 1985.** Director Taylor Hackford. Screenwriters: James Goldman, Eric Hughes. Actors: Mikhail Baryshnikov, Gregory Hines, Isabella Rossellini, Jerzy Skolimowski, Helen Mirren, Geraldine Page, etc.

***Steven Spielberg's "Indiana Jones and the Kingdom of the Crystal Skull" as a Burlesque Transformation of Media Stereotypes of the "Cold War" Within the Framework of Mass/Popular Culture of the XXI Century***

In 2008 the Russian subject was suddenly taken up by the very Steven Spielberg, who made the legendary character Indiana Jones fight with beast-like Soviet special forces in the action movie *Indiana Jones and the Kingdom of the Crystal Skull*.

In this connection it is curious to trace how media stereotypes of the *Cold War* underwent the burlesque transformation within the framework of this product of mass/popular culture of the XXI century.

The authors of many Russian researches of the past years reproached the creators of works of popular culture for using unseemly methods of psychological pressure (constant repetition of the facts regardless of the truth), misinterpretation of fact and tendencies, selection of unfavorable features in representation of political opponents, "sticking of labels", "embroidering", "simple folks games", referring to authorities to justify lies, etc. As a matter of fact global conclusions were made on the basis of particular facts, since among the creators of works of mass culture there have always been not only honest professionals who make up their plots taking into consideration humanistic values, but also "craftsmen" inclined to political conformism and momentary hack-work.

Meanwhile popular/mass culture media texts have success with the audience not due to their supposed orientation to people with low aesthetic taste, subjected to psychological pressure, easy believing lies, etc., but because their authors respond to real needs of the audience, including informational, compensatory, hedonistic, recreative, moral, etc., which are worthy of respect and study.

The rise of the industrial society inevitably leads to the formation of the special type of culture – mass, commercial culture which satisfies the fundamental need of mankind to harmonize psychic life of people on the basis of contemporary technologies (Razlogov 1991, 10). At that mass culture which is unthinkable without media, is a natural constituent of the contemporary culture in general, to which almost all artistic works created all over the world belong. It can be regarded as the effective way of involving the vast masses of viewers, listeners and readers into various cultural processes; as the phenomenon begotten by the newest technologies (firstly by the communication ones), world integration and globalization (the destruction of local communities, blurring of territorial and national frontiers, etc.).

In my opinion such definition of mass/popular culture logically fits in the context of functioning of media – the systematic spreading of information (by way of the press, television, radio, cinema, sound/video recording, the Internet) among “vast and dispersed auditory with the purpose of strengthening of spiritual values and having ideological, economical or organizational influence on people’s evaluations, opinions and behavior (Philosophical encyclopedia 1983, 348).

V.Y. Propp (Propp 1976), N.M. Zorkaya (Zorkaya 1981), M.I. Turovskaya (Turovskaya 1979), O.F. Nechay (Nechay 1993) and M.V. Yampolskiy (Yampolsky 1987) brought out clearly that for the total success of works of mass culture it is necessary that their creators take into consideration the folklore type of aesthetical perception, so the archetypes of fairy tale and legend and the corresponding archetypes of folklore perception when meeting each other produce the effect of integral success of mass favorites (Zorkaya 1981, 116).

Interrelation of unusual, but “real” events is one of the basic archetypes (resting upon the deep psychological structures, which exert influence upon consciousness and subconsciousness) of fairy tale, legend, - is very important for the popularity of many media texts.

In my opinion, O.F. Nechay quite correctly pointed out the important peculiarity of mass (popular) culture – the adaptation of folklore in the forms of society. I.e. in author’s “text” the ideal shows through reality (in the center of the plot is the character-personality), and in social and critical “text” the main character is taken from everyday life (simple person), however the mass culture proposes ideal norms in real environment (in the center of the plot is the epic hero) (Nechay 1993, 11-13).

Serial mass culture has great influence upon the audience. Here the backbone properties of serials come into action: 1) long-term narration, 2) discontinuity of the narration, 3) special plot organization of the series which require certain identity of their structure and repetition of separate parts, 4) the presence of fabulous characters, permanent heroes (or the group of heroes) (Zorkaya 1981, 59). Besides the creators of media texts of mass culture take into consideration the “emotional tone” of perception. Sameness, monotony of plot situations often leads the audience to stop the contact with the media text.

That’s why in the works of such professionals as Steven Spielberg the change of episodes which cause “shocking” and “soothing” reactions takes place, however with constant happy ending which causes positive “relaxation”. In other words, among popular media texts there are many of those which can be easily divided into parts (often interchangeable). Chiefly it is necessary that these parts were connected by well thought-out

mechanism of “emotional drops” – the alternation of positive and negative emotions felt by the audience.

Many bestsellers and blockbusters are built up according to the similar “formula of success” including folklore, mythological basis, compensation of these or those feelings lacking in the lives of the audience, happy ending, the use of spectacular scenes. Their action is usually built up on the quite fast change of short (in order not to bore the audience) episodes. Let’s add the sensational informativeness as well: the events take place in various exotic places, in the center of the plot is the world of Evil, and the main hero, almost fabulous character, stands against it. He is handsome, strong and charming. He comes out of all the supernatural situations safe and sound (a great occasion for identification and compensation). Besides many episodes touch upon human emotions and instincts (for example, the sense of fear). The serial character of the film is present, it presupposes sequels.

With more or less technical splendor of a media text of mass success like action movie we can single out the additional components of success: fights, skirmish, chases, beautiful women, disturbing music, the feelings of the characters which are bursting forth, minimized dialogues, maximized physical actions and other “dynamic” attributer which are rightly described by R. Corliss (Corliss 1990, 8). Indeed, a contemporary media text (film/television/clip, internet, computer game) sets up higher claims for eyesight because we must watch every inch of the frame waiting for flash-like stunts and special effects. Together with their high-speed technical inventiveness, surface polish and sound cynicism, “dyna-films” are the ideal kind of art for the generation brought up by MTV, blinded by the light flashes of videoclips, accustomed to films with bloody scenes (Corliss 1990, 8).

At that it’s worth mentioning that in many cases the creators of “mass” media texts wittingly simplify, trivialize the life material which they touch upon, evidently trying to attract that part of the young audience which enthusiastically plays computer games based on these or those actions of virtual violence. There’s no doubt that there’s logic in this, and N.A. Berdyaev justly wrote that culture in the noble sense of this word is difficult for the masses which are not accustomed to the cultural goods and values, for them technical equipment is relatively easier (Berdyaev 1990, 229).

At the same time all this is only a part of success of a mass culture media text, because its popularity depends on the hypnotic influence on perception. Instead of the primitive adapting to the tastes of “the broad masses”, the “secret subconscious interest of the crowd” on the level of “irrational feat and intuitive irradiation” is divined (Bogomolov 1989, 11).

Similar plots having fallen into a common “craftsman’s” hands or, for

instance, into Steven Spielberg's, gather different scales of audience. Masters of popular media culture have perfectly mastered the art of creation of multi-level works, calculated on people of different ages, intelligences and tastes. Thus appear certain half-stylizations and half-parodies alternately with "half-seriousness", with countless allusions to the films of the past years, direct quotations, references to folklore and mythology, and so on, and so forth.

For example for some viewers the *media text* of Spielberg's series about Indiana Jones will be equivalent to viewing the classic *The Thief of Bagdad*. For others which are more experienced in media culture it will be a fascinating and ironical journey into the realm of folklore and fairy-tale archetypes, cinematic associations, delicate parodies. Moreover one of the special features of the contemporary social situation besides standardization and unification is that popular media culture adapts the peculiar methods which are characteristic of "author's" works. This is another example of pluralism of popular media culture calculated on satisfaction of different demands of the audience.

The therapeutic effect, the phenomenon of compensation is also necessary for the mass success of a media text. It is natural that a person compensates the feelings and emotional experience missing in his real life. S.Freud wrote that culture must mobilize all its forces to restrict the original aggressive desires of man and inhibit their manifestations by means of creation of the necessary psychological reactions (Freud 1990, 29).

Thus the success of media texts of popular culture is influenced by many factors: the basis on folklore and mythological sources, constancy of metaphors, orientation toward the consecutive realization of the steadiest plot schemes, the synthesis of natural and supernatural, the address to emotions through identification (the imaginary transformation into the characters, fusion with the atmosphere of the work), "magical power" of the characters, standardization (replication, unification, adaptation) of the ideas, situations, characters, etc., serial and mosaic character of the work, compensation (the illusion of realization of cherished might-have-been dreams), happy ending, the use of such rhythmical organization of audiovisual media texts when not only the contents but also the order of frames influences the emotions of the audience; intuitive guessing of subconscious interests of the audience, etc..

In his action movie *Indiana Jones and the Kingdom of the Crystal Skull* (2008) S. Spielberg collected a bouquet of virtually all usual Western stereotypes with respect to Russia and the Russians. Indeed, the beast-like Soviet soldiers in full combat gear and with corresponding combat equipment who somehow managed to get to the USA look mockingly grotesque on the screen. Wikipedia rightly points out the burlesque "errors": the commander of the Soviet landing troops Irina Spalko is acting by order of Stalin, though

by the time the actions in the film take place (1957) he has already been dead for four years; the Soviet soldiers dressed in American military uniform, are armed with Chinese submachine guns; the Soviet soldiers are openly walking the American deserts and jungles in Soviet uniform, they drink vodka and dance “kalinka-malinka” to the accompaniment of balalaika.

Anyhow S. Spielberg turned *Indiana Jones and the Kingdom of the Crystal Skull* into a digest of stereotyped perception of the image of Russia and the Russians by the Western world of cinema...

### *Filmography*

***Indiana Jones and the Kingdom of the Crystal Skull. USA, 2008.***  
Director Steven Spielberg. Screenwriters: David Koepp, George Lucas.  
Actors: Harrison Ford, Cate Blanchett, Karen Allen and others. Action.

***Ideological and Structural Analysis of the Interpretation of the Image of Russia on the Western Screen in the Post-Soviet Period (1992-2015) (by the example of the film “Duska” by J. Stelling)***

As a case in point let's turn to the analysis of the ironical drama *Duska* (2007) by the famous Dutch director J. Stelling. We shall try to reveal not only social and historical context of the time of the creation of this media text, but also its structure, the plot, representativeness, ethics, the peculiarities of genre modification, iconography, and the characters of the personages.

Following the methods developed by Umberto Eco, let's single out the three “rows” or “systems”, which are important in a work: author's ideology; the market conditions which determined the idea, the process of writing and success of the book (or at least which contributed to all three of them); the methods of the narration (Eco 2005, 209). As we have already mentioned these methods sort quite well with C. Bazalgette's methods of the analysis of media texts (Bazalgette 1995) – with the resting upon such key words of media education as “media agencies”, “media/media text categories”, “media technologies”, “media languages”, “media representations” and “media audiences”, since all these concepts are directly related to ideological, market, structural and contents aspects of the analysis of media texts.

***Ideology of the authors in social and cultural context (dominating concepts: “media agencies”, “media representations”, “media audiences”)***

In the Western image of Russia the two poles represented by the two archetypes/myths existed for centuries: Russia as an external threat (a barbarian at the gate) and Russia as an object of education (a pupil) (Moseyko 2009, 25).

The authors of *Duska* conceived and created the film in the post-Soviet period, when the active political confrontation of the West and the USSR was firstly changed into a sympathetic and patronizing attitude. Russia was considered to be a pupil who unfortunately is unable to reach the American and the European standards of living (the first half of the 1990s), but later (in the 2000s) the attitude towards Russia was changed back into the counteraction to the “barbarian at the gate”.

In my opinion, in *Duska* J. Stelling attempted to combine both the Western ideological approaches to Russia (“pupil” and “barbarian at the gate”), however there's an opinion that the setting of the film is suitable for the economical, social and cultural conditions on Russia in the beginning of the 1990s rather than of the middle of the 2000s. Say well, we are ready to sneer at ourselves with a weary smile, nodding in repentance: we can't find common language with Europe, that's why the film is practically silent. But the parody is 15 years late or so (Lyubarskaya 2007).

However the director J. Stelling in spite of the obvious ironical mockery with respect to the Russian/Slavic life doesn't aim at making a trivial comedy. Speaking of its genre, *Duska* is rather a synthesis of drama, bitter comedy and ironical parable.

J. Stelling once told in his interview:

*“Doesn't it come to your head that Duska and Bob are one and the same person, rationality and soul, the head and the heart? And as many people's heads and hearts disagree, Duska and Bob always quarrel... As for me the main theme of the film goes beyond the conflict of the West and the East. I tried to make something more existential. It's just a story of a man who has his creation and his muse. But then he meets a bum. This creature is the archetype of death, inactivity, emptiness, but at the same time he's a very nice and charming creature. And for me namely the choice between love, creativity and inactive death was the main issue in this film. All the other layers are superficial” (Stelling 2007).*

As a result though J. Stelling took a fancy to Russia he is still a Western man who clearly sees the impassable gap between our Eurasian world and the purebred Europe. The Dutch director represents our people with sympathy but still the film is if not a caricature, than a friendly jest. And how else, if all the noble impulses are driven to grotesque which is interesting to observe from the side but with which it is impossible to get along for a long time, especially forever (Tsyrukun 2008).

***The market conditions which contributed to the conception, the process of creation and to success of the media text (dominating concepts: “media agencies”, “media/media text categories”, “media technologies”, “media audiences”)***

The Western directors of the 2000s frequently turned to the Russian themes. During the period of 2000-2009 more than 160 fiction films about Russia/the USSR or with Russian/Soviet characters were shot.

Certainly *Duska* as well as all the other films by J. Stelling is a typical Art House film, which never aspired to be successful with the audience. Nevertheless the low budget works by J. Stelling practically always pay off due to export, “alternative distribution”, selling for television broadcast, DVD production. However in this particular case the dominance of the Russian theme and the Russian character apparently didn't inspire the European producers. Maybe that's why the director had been searching money for his film (2 million euros) for five years...

The scenario of the film was also based on the director's own impressions after visiting post-Soviet Russia (in particular – the film festival “Kinotavr” in Sochi). As a result these impressions though in a grotesque form were realized in the plot of the film.

***The structure and methods of narration in the media text (dominating concepts: “media/media text categories”, “media technologies”, “media languages”, “media representations”)***

On the whole the film *Duska* is built up on simple dichotomies: 1) the unceremonious, though somewhat charming, Russian/Slavic “barbarian” who is unwilling and unable to be a “pupil”, and the representative of the Western intellectual world; 2) inactivity/emptiness and creativity; 3) aspiration for independence and conformism; 4) the plan and the result.

The structure, the plot, the representativeness, the ethics, the peculiarities of genre modification, iconography, and the characters can be represented as follows:

***Historical period, scene:*** Russia/Ukraine, early 1990s (mostly in retro episodes), the contemporary Holland.

***Setting, household objects:*** the interiors of the flat, the streets, the movie theatre, the bus.

***Representation of reality:*** ambivalent with respect to practically all the characters, in which good harmonizes with evil, at the same time “*Duska*” is constructed on banalities of different levels, from the simplest which are connected with the ideas of the Russians and their mentality, to the intellectual clichés (Tsyrukun, 2008).

***Characters, their values, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:*** the charming Russian/Slavic hanger-on who suddenly arrives in Holland. The very first appearance of the main character (Sergey Makovetsky) is polysemantic: he goes upstairs to European Bob’s place wearing a shabby ushanka and a joyful smile, which symbolizes not only the famous “heartfulness”, but also the notorious “sub consciousness of the West (Tsyrukun, 2008).

The vocabulary of the characters is simple; to put it more precisely it is reduced to a minimum. Most of the time the characters keep silent as they don’t speak the languages of each other. But at the same time their dialogue is rich with the expressive mimics and gestures.

***Significant change in the characters’ life:*** the year of 200... . The measured life of a Dutch script writer named Bob is shattered by the unexpected visit of uninvited, but persistent Russian guest, who came to settle in the flat of his casual European acquaintance...

***Incipient problem:*** social, cultural and language barriers prevent the Russian and the European to find common language.

***Search for solutions to the problem:*** the European is trying to get rid of the “barbarian”...

***Problem solution:*** having left his home, the European sets off for Russia (or rather for some Slavic country) right after the exiled “barbarian”...

**A. Silverblatt (Silverblatt 2001, 80-81) worked out a line of questions for critical analysis of media texts in historical, cultural and structural contexts. Let us try and apply his method to the analysis of *Duska*:**

*A. Historical context*

*1. What does the media text tell us about the period of its creation?*

*a) when did the premiere of this media text took place?*

The premiere of the film took place in 2007 in Europe and in Russia.

*b) how did the events of those days influenced the media text?*

No concrete political events had direct influence on the process of the creation of *Duska*; rather the stereotyped Western ideas about the “mysterious Slavic soul” were transformed in the film in a parable-like form.

*c) how does the media text comment on the events of the day?*

I agree that no matter how J. Stelling denied it... the film has a certain political implication. Certainly, the artist is sorting out his relationships only with himself. However he isn't living in vacuum. And if Stelling was suddenly attracted from the mysteries of Dutch soul (*The Flying Dutchman*, *The Illusionist*, *The Pointsman*) to the mysteries of Russian “*duska*”, then the spirit of the time is like that (Lyubarskaya 2007).

*2. Does the knowledge of historical events help to understand the media text?*

*a) media texts created during a certain historical period:*

*- what events took place at the time of the creation of the given work?*

The scenario of the film was planned and written in 2002-2006, in 2004 the Westernized “orange opposition” won in the Ukraine which led to the first Russian-Ukrainian “gas crisis” in 2006. In the same year the vice-president of the USA of that time R. Chaney accused Russia of using its natural resources as means of pressure in foreign policy, and of violation of human rights. In this period Russia was criticizing policies of the USA and the European Union as well (for example, concerning the Kosovo problem).

*- how does the understanding of these events enrich our comprehension of the media text?*

It is reasonable that the understanding of historical and political context helps to understand the peculiarities of the plot of the film as well as its conception. Although even if a person isn't familiar with the historical and political context of the first half of the 2000s, he can gain an understanding of the plot of *Duska* with no difficulties, because it is built up on the traditional Western stereotypes of the perception of the image of the Russians

(ridiculous appearance, poverty, gluttony, obtrusiveness, unceremoniousness, total absence of knowledge of foreign languages, etc.).

- *what are the real historical references?*

This film contains no real historical references.

- *are there any historical references in the media text?*

The film is not based on real facts, the historical references are indirect, the ironic grotesque in the interpretation of the events is clearly felt, however the above mentioned tendencies of making play with Western stereotypes of the “image of Russia” are quite transparent.

- *how does the understanding of these historical references affect your understanding of the media text?*

There is no doubt that the understanding of the historical references (though veiled and grotesque) contributes to the understanding of any media text, including *Duska*.

*B. Cultural context*

*1. Media and popular culture: how does the media text reflect, strengthen suggest or form cultural: a) relationships; b) values; c) behavior; d) concern; e) myths.*

Reflecting (though in an ironical way) the stereotypes of the attitude of the West toward Russia, the film by J. Stelling created the image of politically incorrect, absurd, barbaric, poor, uneducated and obtrusive Russia, which is knocking at the “Western gates” – the country in cold climate (which symbolizes *Duska*’s ushanka), with poor population and bad morals and manners...

*2. World view: what world is represented in the media text?*

*a) What is the culture of this world?*

As a whole (though philosophically and ironically, I repeat myself) in *Duska* the image of Russia is that of the “enemy at the gate”.

- *people?*

People in this world are divided into an interconnected pair: the Russian “enemy at the gate” who is infinitely meek and monstrously obtrusive at the same time, who acts such world-weariness when being turned out that any citizen of the EU is obliged to fall down from the second floor in a fit of humanism (Kulikov 2007) and “the suffering European intellectual conformist”. One cannot but admit that in his role of *Duska* Sergei Makovetsky succeeded in the creation of an image of a very repugnant, but at the same time a very touching creature, which is impossible to live with but which is also impossible to forget. *Duska* is very faithful, frank, ingenuous, but on the other hand he is incredibly odd, stupid, clumsy, he’s like a pimple on a chin, and it can’t be helped, but when he disappears, Bob realizes that

this emptiness cannot be filled, that Duska was a provocateur who aroused in him the feelings of which he could never learn (Solntseva 2007).

- *ideology?*

One can agree that the tragedy of a small man” is not Stelling’s theme. It is rather a drama of lack of spirituality of a European intellectual (Ryabchikova 2007). On the other hand this story is told with true love which is inseparable from hate, when you clearly see the vices and drawbacks of your object of love but regardless of anything you realize that all the same you cannot escape it, and you have to accept it as it is, for it is already a part of you (Tsirkun, 2008).

b) *What do we know about the people of this world?*

- *are the characters represented in a stereotyped manner? What does this representation tell us about the cultural stereotype of the given group?*

On the whole the characters of *Duska* are represented in a stereotyped manner which is characteristic of the Western perception of the image of Russia, however it is colored by the performance of talented actors. For instance the work of Sergey Makovetsky who fills the empty speculative image of Duska with cruelty, stupidity, as well as with touching nature; with slavish subordination and despotism (Ryabchikova, 2007).

c) *What world view does this world represent, optimistic or pessimistic?*

The authors of *Duska* represent the image of Russia in rather a pessimistic way, though relative optimism is manifested perhaps in the fact that the speechless dialogue of the “barbarian” and the “European” is a sort of symbol of inevitability of their co-existence.

- *are the characters of this media text happy?*

Alas, there are no happy characters in this film, all of them are unhappy anyway...

- *do the characters of this media text have a chance to be happy?*

The authors make it clear that only individual moments of life can be happy (for example the European had such moments when a beautiful usherette came to his place, but even then they were interrupted by the tactless Duska)...

d) *Are the characters able to control their own destiny?*

Only to some extent, because a person (according to J. Stelling) is not in his power to control his fate...

e) *What is the hierarchy of values according to the given world view?*

- *what values can be found in this media text?*

According to the author’s conception of the film one of the world’s main values are the elusive emotional harmony and mutual understanding.

- *what values are personified in the characters?*

It's not easy to convey in words what Bob is searching for and what Duska is giving to him, though of course one can shift the blame on the European longing for communality, catholicity and emotional openness, which their souls wish for but which their bodies cannot stand... However there's much more than this in the remarkable duet of Bervoets and Makovetsky, it is contained in the numerous details, in the small nuances, to which the contemporary cinema, especially Russian, became disaccustomed. This is the richness of meanings of the whole cinematographic texture, when every item has its role; this is also the ability to convey the state of one's soul, or emotion, which is changeable like ripples on the water, not only with gestures, but also with a subtle movement of facial muscles. On the whole all this is the result of the efforts of a serious artist, who has the courage to shoot the stories which he himself considers to be important (Solntseva, 2007).

### *Filmography*

**Duska. Holland, 2007.** Director Jos Stelling. Screenwriters: Hans Heesen, Jos Stelling. Ators: Sergey Makovetsky, Gene Bervoets, Sylvia Hoeks and others.

***Analysis of Media Stereotypes of the Russian Image in Media Studies  
in the Student Audience (example: the screen versions of Jules Verne's  
Novel "Michael Strogoff")***

The last bright *Cold War* movie peak fell on the early 1980s when Russians as part of the monolithic and aggressive system were portrayed as products of their environment - malicious, potent, highly revolutionary in the whole world. Nearly all Russian characters were represented definitely as agents of destruction: they were men who hated and usually threatened the American life-style. This message contained an unceasing and crystal pure demand addressed to advocates of liberty calling for their vigilance in relation to the evil Soviet system and its evil representatives (Strada, Troper 1997, 170).

But not all western films of the last century as well as the present century cultivated a negative image of Russia. Particularly, only during the last 50 years of the 20th century not less than 200 screen versions of Russian classical literature were filmed in the west that made up a fourth of the total number of films about Russia and with Russian characters. It is logical, as already since the second part of the 19th century the works of Russian classical literature produced a significant influence on the western spiritual culture. Many characters of Russian classical literature have become signs, emblems of the Russian national character, Russian soul, and marked to a large extent the image of Russia. Generally, the western (and later, eastern) national cultures discovered ideas, images, problem collisions keeping with the times, definite circumstances and demands of these cultures in the Russian novel and the Russian culture, especially acute there proved to be the feelings of spiritual deficiency, desacralization of the world, estrangement and anonymity of the human personality... The western culture found major spiritual values; search for absolute truth, tragic depths of the human personality; opened for itself the wealth of Russian-eastern traditions in the Russian culture (Mosejko 2009, 24).

A.P. Chekhov's works have remained mostly screened in the west - his works were adapted for the screen for about 200 times. Also foreign filmmakers turned to the prose of F.M. Dostoevsky and L.N. Tolstoy - each of them inspired more than a hundred of western screen adaptations. They are followed by screen versions of A.S. Pushkin's, N.V. Gogol's, N.S. Turgenev's works (more than 50 screen adaptations for each one). With A.P. Chekhov - his plays were most often translated to the screen. With F.M. Dostoevsky - the novels *Crime and Punishment*, *The Idiot*, *The Brothers Karamazov* and *The Possessed*. With L.N. Tolstoy - the novels *Anna Karenina* and *War and Peace*. With N.V. Gogol - the plays *The Inspector-General* and *The*

*Marriage* A.S. Pushkin's literary heritage is presented on the western screen in the form of the operas *Eugene Onegin* and *The Queen of Spades*.

It is logical to say in this context that the western culture sees a positive image of Russia generally in retrospect. If media images of the USSR (and now - of contemporary Russia) are apparently negative, then the virtual tsar Russia looks much more positive.

However, the western media culture is not content only with works of Russian classical literature with its deep "view from within". The West needs its own image of Russia that corresponds to the stereotyped notions of mass mentality of the "enigmatic Russian soul". In this regard, Jules Verne's novel *Michael Strogoff* (1875) is an ideal adaptation of the positive image of Russia for the western audience. The novel is set in the epoch of Alexander II. There is only one Jules Verne's novel - "20 000 Leagues Under the Sea" that can compete with *Michael Strogoff* in the number of screen adaptations. If taken together, western screen versions with plots about Russia cannot be compared with *Michael Strogoff* except for *Anna Karenina* (currently, there are more than twenty foreign screen adaptations).

It appears that the analysis of this phenomenal positive image of Russia adapted for the mass western audience will be of great benefit to students of many qualifications - future historians, political scientists, culture experts, art critics, and teachers.

For the analysis of numerous screen versions of Jules Verne's novel *Michael Strogoff* I will follow the methodology worked out by U. Eco (Eco 2005, 209), A. Silverblatt (Silverblatt 2001, 80-81), L. Masterman (Masterman 1985; 1997), C. Bazalgette (Bazalgette 1995) and I will rely on such key concepts of media education as media agencies, media/media text categories, media technologies, media languages, media representations, media audiences, since all these concepts have a direct relevance to value, ideological, market, structure and content aspects of media text analysis.

It's essential to note that U. Eco's (Eco 2005, 209) and A. Silverblatt's (Silverblatt 2001, 80-81) methodology completely satisfies the basic approaches of the hermeneutical analysis of audiovisual, space-and-time structure of media texts.

It needs to be reminded that the *hermeneutic analysis of cultural context* stands for study of the media text interpretation process, of cultural and historical factors that may have an impact both on the media texts authors/agencies and the audience's viewpoint. The hermeneutic analysis is connected with the comprehension of a media text by matching with the cultural tradition and reality; penetration into the logic of a media text; media text analysis based on artistic images comparison in the historical and cultural contexts. So, the subject of the analysis is a system of media and its

functioning in the society, interaction with the man, media language and its usage.

***The authors' ideology in the sociocultural context, market conditions that contributed to the plot, creation and success of a media text (dominant concepts: media agencies, media categories, media technologies, media representations, media audience).***

Here by authors we mean both Jules Verne himself and the main creators of screen adaptations of the novel *Michael Strogoff* - Screenwriters and film directors. Jules Verne conceived the novel during the reign of Alexander II, in the short interval of 1874-1875, when Germans became most evident enemies for the French after the war between France and Germany (1870-1871). Russia looked quite positive against this background. A tough confrontation of the Crimean War (1853-1856) in which Russia confronted the coalition of the British, French and Ottoman Empires and the Sardinian Kingdom became a thing of the past, and there were still two years before the Russo-Turkish war (1877-1878).

Besides, a sustained war in Turkestan (the Bokharan khanate and the adjoining areas) waged by Russia in 1865-1881 was not regarded by the western world as a direct threat to their geopolitical interests. Moreover, Russia was seen as a certain outpost against the hostile Asian tribes that tallied with the media context of Chingis Khan's legendary raids.

Thereby, the novel *Michael Strogoff* (see one of the latest editions: Verne, 2010) was to a significant degree a response to the political and sociocultural context of 1872-1876. The novel related the adventures of an imperial courier sent by Alexander II (apparently, in the 1870s) from St. Petersburg to Siberia with an urgent message addressed to the Irkutsk governor (who was the czar's brother, in the great French novelist's version). Michael Strogoff was to warn the governor of the plot of former czar officer Ogareff who went over to the enemy - Tatars (!) and schemed to occupy Siberia...

At the same time, J. Verne created a distinct positive image of Russia and Russian people (including the Russian Emperor and his brother) in his novel. It especially concerns the protagonist - Michael Strogoff: he is thirty, strong and vigorous, a man with a heart of gold who has coolness and courage (Verne 2010).

As for the fictional war between Russia and Tatar tribes in Siberia it was probably brought about by the author's misgiving that the western reader would have no time for looking into the cobweb of Russian relationships with numerous Central Asian countries and nations whereas the word "Tatars" - the embodiment of the aggressive and perfidious East - was known to

everybody in Europe as well as the word "Siberia" which a Parisian or any other European associated with the words "Russia", "Asia", "severe frost", etc.

In 1875, the novel *Michael Strogoff* was first published piecemeal in a journal, and in 1876, it was published as a book. It had such a tremendous success that in 1880 it was staged under the same name in the Parisian theatre "Odeon" and was welcomed by the public. Then the novel was republished dozens of times in many countries including Russia (except for the Soviet period). But to tell the truth, Russian readers with their "view from within" were much less impressed by the novel: it was regarded as a primitivistic fairy-tale rather than a reflection of real Russian life (by the way, *Michael Strogoff* has never been screened either in the UUSR or in Russia). The Russian audience would always prefer other Jules Verne's novels narrating of Captain Nemo's adventures, or of a fantastic moonflight...

The first screen adaptations of *Michael Strogoff* appeared in the age of silent movies. They were short American films made in 1908, 1910 and 1914. At that time the American perception of Russia fully correlated with the French one (1874-1876) - the mass consciousness of Americans pictured it as gigantic empire with snow-covered Siberian spacious areas inhabited by wild bears where courageous Russian aristocrats fought with hostile Asians...

The events of the World War I, the Bolshevik military coup of 1917 and the subsequent civil war in Russia of 1918-1920 accompanied, as is known, by the military intervention of western countries, made *Michael Strogoff* less attractive for the media. But Russian emigrants Victor Tourjansky and Ivan Mozzhukhin who lived in Paris became authors of the most well-known screen version of *Michael Strogoff* in the epoch of silent movies. This French-German screen adaptation that stuck to the main plot of Jules Verne's novel was highly popular with the public. On the one hand, there were thousands of Russian emigrants among them who crowded European capitals in the 1920s and felt nostalgic about the epoch of the Imperial Russia. On the other hand, there were native citizens of Paris, Berlin, Vienna and London among them to whom the previous Russian epoch of the 19th century was much more appealing than the Communist "sovietdom" which ruined the centuries-old way of life. Frankly speaking, that was why none of the screen versions of *Michael Strogoff* was shown in the Soviet Union. In fact, it was impossible to see a film on the Soviet screen with the main character who served the condemned-by-all-school-textbooks "tsarist regime" with good faith and fidelity.

The following screen adaptations of *Michael Strogoff* were made in the epoch of sound movies - in France, Germany and the USA in 1936-1937. A famous actor Akim Tamiroff - expatriate Russian - starred in the American

version. It is interesting, that Nazi authorities in 1936 did not object to the positive treatment of the Russian image in the plot of *Michael Strogoff*. Being in confrontation with the USSR, especially owing to the civil war in Spain, Germany managed to release a romantic adventure story about the czar's messenger, the more so because the fictional enemies of Russia in *Michael Strogoff* had nothing to do either with western Europe, nor with the German allies of that time - Turkey and Japan.

In whole, due to the establishment of the allied coalition of the USSR, USA and Great Britain during the World War II the Russian theme in the foreign cinematograph was increasingly full of sympathy. That explains the pathos of the Mexican screen version of *Michael Strogoff* (1944).

Interest in screen adaptations of Jules Verne's novel *Michael Strogoff* was revived in the era of the Cold War. Practically together with obviously anti-Soviet films *The Girl in the Kremlin*, *The Iron Petticoat*, *Jet Pilot and Beast of Budapest* a French colored screen version of *Michael Strogoff* (1956) was released on western screens with Kurt Jurgens starring in the film and famous USSR emigrant Valeriy Inkizhinov (*Chingis Khan's Offspring* directed by V. Pudovkin) acting as the Tatar sovereign who contrives to conquer Siberia. And five years after there was a sort of sequel invented by Viktor Tourjansky, - *Michael Strogoff's Triumph* (1961), practically with the same cast of actors.

One should think that the events of the Soviet political "thaw" of the second half of the 1950s and the space progress of the USSR in the 1950s-1960s somehow affected the new interest in the plot of *Michael Strogoff* and actualized the Russian theme.

At the same time, the Cold War went on and, naturally, it was absolutely impossible to imagine a movie about good Russians of the Soviet period in the west. That was why the Russian theme in the positive meaning was present only in the historical subject area (we would remind you that exactly in this period Hollywood released two high budget movies with famous actors - *War and Peace* and *Anastasia*).

Still in the 1970s filmmakers of France, Italy and FRG twice screened this Jules Verne's novel, and in 1975 *Michael Strogoff* was already a television serial.

In spite of the sudden change of the political and sociocultural situation caused by the USSR downfall the western treatment of *Michael Strogoff* did not undergo any changes in the Italian-German serial of 1999 either. It was the same romantic adventure story about the Russians of the remote past...

The popularity of *Michael Strogoff* in the West was confirmed by all the three French animation versions (of 1997 and 2004) as well as by the Parisian musical (2011) based on the novel.

***The structure and narrative techniques in the media text (dominant concepts: media/media text categories, media technologies, media languages, media representations)***

In the course of the group discussion with students one can draw a conclusion that both the novel *Michael Strogoff* and its screen adaptations are based on simple dichotomies: the hostile and aggressive Asian world, on the one hand, and the Russian world, - exotic, but still resembling Europe, on the other hand (there is the railroad and the telegraph there, after all); 2) positive characters (officer Michael Strogoff, Emperor Alexander II and his brother, beautiful Russian girl Nadya, and many other Russians) and villains (Tatars and traitor Ivan Ogareff); 3) a desire to protect Russia from wanderers' raids (Michael Strogoff and other positive Russian characters) and aggressive schemes (Tatars, Ogareff); 4) plan and result.

Schematically, the structure, plot, representativeness, ethics, genre modification peculiarities, iconography, character sketches of the screen adaptations of *Michael Strogoff* can be represented in the following way:

***Historical period, scene:*** the Russia of the 1870s.

***Setting, household objects:*** luxurious chambers of St. Petersburg palaces and the khan's marquee, comfortable train compartments, modest lifestyle of Siberian victualing-houses and taverns, Russian spacious areas, forests and rivers. The household objects correspond to the social status of the characters.

***Representation of reality:*** an emphasized positive representation of positive characters, especially of romantic czar's messenger Michael Strogoff; a subtle grotesque towards negative characters.

***Characters, their values, ideas, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:*** officer Michael Strogoff and his beloved - a Siberian professor's daughter, they have common patriotic values, though their relations are not without differences in the beginning. Dictatorial and cruel Tatar khan and more cruel and perfidious traitor Ivan Ogareff have a common desire to conquer Siberia. And who can imagine Russia without bears and gypsies! In one of screen adaptations *Michael Strogoff* beats a fierce Siberian bear in a hand-to-hand fight, in another screen version a gypsy instigates the Tatar executioner not to approach very close Strogoff's eyes with a red-hot sabre in order to save his eyesight... Michael Strogoff changes gowns depending on the situation. The czar's and khan's palaces are attired with the proper luxury; military men are dressed in smart outfit, and the gypsy wears exotic clothes. Western correspondents (a Frenchman and an Englishman) are dressed in field and convenient clothes of the European style. Male characters (regardless of their nationality) are robust. Female characters are shapely and graceful. The characters' speech is plain. Their facial expression and gestures

are emotional. Naturally, the voices of the negative characters are far from being pleasant unlike the voices of the positive characters.

***Significant change in the plot of the media text and the characters' life:*** the year of 187... Emperor of All Russia Alexander II charges valiant officer Michael Strogoff with an important mission - to pass to his brother - governor of Irkutsk - a package with an important message informing him of the sinister designs of Tatars and traitor Ivan Ogareff to conquer Siberia. Michael Strogoff goes on a long journey straight away (in one of the screen versions he travels from the very beginning with the Siberian professor's daughter named Nadya, in another screen adaptation he encounters her on his way).

***Incipient problem:*** owing to Ogareff's crafty designs Michael Strogoff is taken prisoner by the Tatar khan and is condemned by him to blinding with a red-hot sword. The realisation of Emperor's task as well as Michael Strogoff's life are under threat...

***Search for solutions to the problem:*** in Jules Verne's novel Michael Strogoff manages to avoid blinding thanks to... tears ("at the moment of the execution, Marfa Strogoff was present, stretching out her hands towards her son. Michael gazed at her as a son would gaze at his mother, when it is for the last time. The tears, which his pride in vain endeavored to subdue, welling up from his heart, gathered under his eyelids, and volatilizing on the cornea, had saved his sight. The vapor formed by his tears interposing between the glowing saber and his eyeballs, had been sufficient to annihilate the action of the heat" (Vern 2010). In the screen adaptations Michael Strogoff is rescued not due to the scientifically substantiated laws of moisture evaporation but owing to beautiful women (the khan's concubine and the gypsy) who instigate the Tatar executioner not to press the red-hot sabre very close to Michael Strogoff's eyes.

***Problem solution:*** Michael Strogoff manages to escape, he hands the package over to the emperor's brother and kills betrayer Ogareff. The Russians defeat Tatars...

Thereby, as a result of the analysis students come to the conclusion that the screen adaptations of Jules Verne's novel "Michael Strogoff" create, though an oversimplified and adapted to western stereotypes of perception, but a positive image of Russia - as a stronghold of European values at the Asian frontiers, a country with a severe climate, boundless Siberian spacious areas, manly and patriotic warriors, a wise monarchy. At the same time, both Jules Verne's novel and its screen adaptations contain clear-cut western pragmatism - the confidence that if a man has a proper will he can rule his destiny. The conformists (Ogareff's gypsy mistress) remain prisoners of the Evil Spirit. The real heroes (Michael Strogoff) are able under seemingly

desperate circumstances to change their fate (and the fate of their Motherland) for the better...

The discussion is summed up with a problem-solving question checking the audience's level of the acquired skills in the hermeneutic media text analysis: "What famous media texts can one compare this work with? Why? What do they have in common?"

### *Filmography*

***Michael Strogoff*. USA, 1908.**

***Michael Strogoff*. USA, 1910.** Director: J. Searle Dawley. Screenwriter: J. Searle Dawley. Cast: Charles Ogle, Mary Fuller, Marc McDermott, Harold M. Shaw, et al.

***Michael Strogoff*. USA, 1914.** Director: Lloyd B. Carleton. Screenwriter: Benjamin S. Kutler. Cast: Jacob P. Adler, Daniel Makarenko, Eleanor Barry, Betty Brice, et al.

***Michel Strogoff*. France-Germany, 1926.** Director: Victor Tourjansky. Screenwriters: Boris de Fast, Victor Tourjansky, Ivan Mozzhukhin. Cast: Ivan Mozzhukhin, Nathalie Kovanko, Jeanne Brindeau, et al.

***Michel Strogoff. Der Kurier des Zaren*. France-Germany, 1936.** Directors: Jacques de Baroncelli (French version), Richard Eichberg (German version). Screenwriters: Hans Kyser, Jean Bernard-Luc. Cast: Anton Walbrook, Colette Darfeuil, Armand Bernard, et al.

***The Soldier and the Lady*. USA, 1937.** Director: George Nichols Jr. Screenwriters: Mortimer Offner, Anthony Veiller. Cast: Anton Walbrook, Elizabeth Allan, Akim Tamiroff, Margot Grahame, et al.

***Miguel Strogoff*. Mexico, 1944.** Director: Miguel M. Delgado. Screenwriters: Joseph N. Ermolieff, Mauricio Magdaleno. Cast: Julien Soler, Lupita Tovar, Julio Villarreal, et al.

***Miguel Strogoff*. Brazil, 1955.** Director: Luiz Gallon. Screenwriter: J. Silvestre. Cast: Percy Aires, David Neto, Josy Parisi, Geny Prado, et al.

***Michel Strogoff*. France-Italy, 1956.** Director: Carmine Gallone. Cast: Curd Jurgens, Genevieve Page, Jacques Dacqmine, Sylva Koscina, Valery Inkijinoff, Francoise Fabian, et al.

***Le triomphe de Michel Strogoff*. France-Italy, 1961.** Director: Victor Tourjansky. Cast: Curd Jurgens, Valery Inkijinoff, et al.

***Strogoff*. Italy - France - FRG - Bulgaria, 1970.** Director: Eriprando Visconti. Screenwriters: Giampiero Bona, Ladislav Fodor. Cast: John Phillip Law, Mimsy Farmer, Hiram Keller, et al.

***Michel Strogoff*. France - Austria - Switzerland - Germany, 1975. TB.** Director: Jean-Pierre Decourt. Cast: Raimund Harmstorf, Lorenza Guerrieri, Pierre Vernier, Vernon Dobtcheff, et al.

***Michel Strogoff*. France, 1997.** TV, animation. Director and Screenwriter: Bruno-Rene Huchez.

***Michele Strogoff, il corriere dello zar*. Italy - Germany, 1999. TV.** Director: Fabrizio Costa. Screenwriters: Enrico Medioli, Patrizia Pistagnesi. Cast: Paolo Seganti, Lea Bosco, Hardy Kruger Jr., et al.

***Michel Strogoff*. France, 2004.** TV, animation. Director: Alex de Raux Chen.

***Les aventures extraordinaires de Michel Strogoff*. France, 2004.** Directors: Alexandre Huchez, Bruno-Rene Huchez. Screenwriter: Bruno-Rene Huchez.

## *Grigory Rasputin in the Mirror of Western Screen*

### *Introduction*

I have already observed that Russian classical literature, with its deep "intimate glimpse", is not enough for Western media culture. The West needs its own image of Russia, corresponding to the stereotypical representation of mass mentality about "a mysterious Russian soul" [Fedorov, 2012]. While an ideal adaptation of the positive image of Russia for Western audience was the novel by Jule Verne "Mikhail Strogov" (1875), which takes place in the epoch of Alexander the Second reign, the image of barbarian, unpredictable, mystic, rebellious Russia was on a large scale featured on the screen in numerous versions of Western biopics of Rasputin (1869-1916). Grigory Rasputin, who was called a spiritual advisor, "a holy man", as it's known, had a big influence on Tzar's family and was assassinated on the 16th of December 1916 as a result of a plot by Duke F.Yusupov and other nobility, eager to change the course of Russian history.

I have to say that it is most unrewarding in this case to try and look for the historical truth in Western films about Rasputin. It does not make much sense to point out numerous absurdities and incongruities in them.

Western cinema first addressed the story of Rasputin in 1917, then, again and again (totally, about 30 times) they created a television/feature film image with a certain agenda. Certainly, the commercial success was important. However, the intention to reinforce a stereotypical interpretation of the riotous nature of "the Russian soul" in the Western society, was much more important. The Western screen needed not a historical portrait, but an image of G.Rasputin as a kind of a metaphor for a dangerous and disturbing image of Russia.

It seems that the analysis of this phenomenon of G.Rasputin as an image of barbaric Russia, adapted to a mass audience of the West, will be very useful for students of many branches of study - future historians, political scientists, culture, art historians, and teachers.

### *Materials and Methods*

Applying methodology, developed by Umberto Eco [Eco, 2005, p.209], Art Siverblatt [Silverblatt, 2001, p.80-81], Len Mastermann [Masterman, 1985; 1997], Cary Bazalgette [Bazalgette, 1995], in my analysis of films dedicated to life and death of Grigory Pasputin, I will draw upon such key aspects in media literacy as media agencies, media categories, media technologies, media languages, media representations and media audiences, since all these aspects should be considered when analyzing the values, ideology, market, structure and contents of a media text.

Let me note that the methodologies of U.Eko [Eco, 2005, p.209] and A.Silverblatt [Silverblatt, 2001, p.80-81] are fully consistent with the basic approaches of the hermeneutic analysis of audiovisual, spatial and temporal structure of media texts. Let us remember that the hermeneutical analysis of the cultural context (Hermeneutic Analysis of Cultural Context) is a study of the process of interpretation of a media text, cultural and historical factors influencing the viewpoint of the agency / author of the work and the point of view of the audience. The hermeneutical analysis suggests the comprehension of a media text through comparison with the cultural tradition and reality; penetration into the logic of the work; relationship of artistic images in the historical and cultural context. Thus, the object of analysis is the media system and its operation in society, human interaction, language, and use of media.

*The ideology of authors in the social and cultural contexts, market conditions which contributed to the concept, process of production and success of a media text (dominant aspects: media agency, media category, media technologies, media representations, media audience).*

Europe was in the state of the World War I for four years (1914-1918). In 1916-1917 protracted military actions had already lost its popularity in Russia. The murder of G.Rasputin, the overthrow of the monarchy and the rise to power of the Interim Government could not overcome a total crisis in society, which led first to the Bolshevik revolution, and later to a civil war. Naturally, with this state of affairs the Russian authorities in 1917 did not care much about cinema art. Cinemas showed dozens of commercial pictures of extremely poor artistic quality. For example, in 1917 the Russian short-lived films were overflowing the screens, the films which constructed unfavorable images of G.Rasputin and the royal family ("The drama of the life of Grigory Rasputin," "Washed in blood", "Dark forces - Grigory Rasputin and his associates "Holy demon - Rasputin is in hell", "People of sin and blood - Tsarskoe Selo sinners ", "Love affairs of Grishka Rasputin", "Rasputin Funeral", "Mysterious murder in St. Petersburg on December 16, "" The royal guardsmen "and others.) .

Since Western press had already paved the way for "Rasputin film series", the same year of 1917 almost simultaneously the U.S.A. and Germany featured films picturing Rasputin as a demonic image of Russia, mysterious and hostile to Western civilization.

Media interest in G.Rasputin did not vanish in the 1920s - 1930s: firstly, one could quite easily explain to the "masses" on both sides of the Atlantic the main reason for the fall of the Romanov dynasty and the Bolsheviks' rise to power by Rasputin intrigues; secondly, the legends of the mystical and sexual rites of Rasputin allowed Western filmmakers use them

for media influence; thirdly, for Russian immigrants who worked in the Western film industry, it was a great opportunity to prove themselves as "experts on Russian history and Russian soul."

It is worth noting that the insatiable passion of filmmakers for the subject matter sometimes brought them not only profits, but also losses. For example, after the release of the American film "Rasputin and the Empress» (the USA, 1932) Princess I.Yusupova, who was in emigration then, demanded from Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer to compensate her moral damage (as she was outraged by a slanderous interpretation of her image as a raped mistress of "the holy man"), and after a lawsuit, she received 750 thousand dollars of compensation from the studio.

The Second World War ousted Rasputin theme for a while, however, since the 1950s the interest in this kind of metaphoric image of Russia once again captured the imagination of foreign studios and authors who were non-indifferent to Russia.

Among the "Rasputin series" of the 1950s - 1960s there was a spot of historic credibility - Robert Hossein film "J'ai tué Raspoutine" (France, 1967). "I Killed Rasputin" was based on the memoirs of Prince Felix Yusupov. But on the whole, the storyline and character traits of the protagonist were correlated with the established tradition: wildly rolling eyes, a giant with a beard fascinates the royal family and beautiful women, heals the young prince, drinks gallons of vodka, prophesies and desperately fights for his life in the final scene of his murder.

In 1970s-1980s similar things happened to Rasputin character on the Western screen. Sometimes ("Nicholas and Alexandra", the USA, 1971) the authors strained for some minimal likelihood. Sometimes they placed their stake on sexual accents ("Rasputin - Orgien am Zarenhof", Germany, 1984). On the whole, there was a well-adjusted conveyor of commercial interest.

Curiously enough, this tendency has not changed after the collapse of the USSR. Western films of the 1990s and the beginning of the XXI century, even with famous Russian actors starring ("Raspoutine", France, 2011), in my opinion, are made in a similar vein.

By the way, in the last 20-25 years previously banned in the USSR, Western movies about Rasputin became quite available to the Russian public, however, unlike in the West, they have not caused mass interest. Even such an ambitious project as French "Raspoutine" (France, 2011) starring Gerard Depardieu failed the box office in most film theatres, and was soon released on DVD and broadcast on television. Most likely, the reason for Russian mass audience's rejection of the Western "Rasputin stories" is simple: even not evaluating the artistic features of films, the Russian audience does not

accept them as a "raspberry" degree of approximation (i.e. unlikelihood) to Russian realities and characters.

However, released in 1985 Soviet film distribution (previously banned for a dozen years) "Agony" by E.Klimov featuring G.Rasputin character, attracted 18 million viewers only for the first year of screening. In "Agony" the Russian crisis of 1916 was shown with the intrinsic E.Klimov's synthesis of irony and psychological depth. Farcical, eccentric scenes alternated terrible naturalistic visions. In the center of the picture is a figure of Grigory Rasputin, powerfully performed by Alexei Petrenko, who managed to achieve amazing results, switching between volcanic temperament, animal fear, superhuman strength, humiliated weakness, corruption and religiosity. It was a very challenging task, but the actor was able to embody the ambiguous character.

*Structure and techniques of storytelling in a media text (key aspects: media categories, media technologies, media language, media representation).*

During the group discussion the students come to conclusion that on the whole Western cinema "Rasputin series" is based on simple dichotomies: 1) barbarian world of G.Rasputin vs. somewhat European world of the Tzar family and nobility of the Russian Empire; 2) good characters (royal family, noble beauties, Prince Yusupov and his friends) vs. "crazy monk" Rasputin; 3) intention to protect Russia from Rasputin's harmful influence (Yusupov and his friends) vs. Rasputin's boundless lust for power.

*Schematically, a structure, a plot, representation, ethics, peculiarities of genre modification, iconography, and characters in Western film texts about Rasputin can be presented in the following way:*

**Historical period, location:** Russia in 1905-1916 (most often, 1916, the year of Rasputin's assassination). Sometimes as a postscript there is a scene of the royal family assassination in 1918, too.

**Environment, everyday objects:** luxury palace chambers of St. Petersburg and noble mansions, modest life of the poor, Russian open fields, forests and rivers. Household items correspond to the social status of the characters, although many look too "western" (which, incidentally, is not surprising, because until the 1990s, foreign films about Rasputin due to ideological reasons, could not be filmed on the Russian territory).

**Techniques of depicting reality:** positive with respect to the positive characters, grotesque (sometimes even comedian, as in the British film "Rasputin: The Mad Monk" (the United Kingdom, 1966) regarding "demonic" G.Rasputin.

**Characters,** their values, ideas, clothes, physique, vocabulary, facial expressions, gestures:

The royal family, noble elite (including young pretty ladies), united by monarchy values and patriotic ideas.

Wildly rolling eyes, a bearded giant G.Rasputin is a religious messiah, the hypnotist, the fortuneteller who gains the trust of the royal family and the beautiful women, heals the young prince, drinks gallons of vodka and has excellent appetite.

The royal court is dressed with corresponding luxury, military men wear beautiful uniform. Characters of noble descent are endowed with graceful physique - especially women. Their vocabulary is exquisite. Facial expressions and gestures are emotional. Naturally, the tone of voice of "good" characters (in sound films) is pleasant and smooth.

Rasputin is dressed in a rural merchant-folk style, always with an Orthodox cross. He is characterized by powerful physique, simplicity and "simple folks" language, facial expressions and gestures are big, he speaks in bass voice, and inspires awe with his sententious intonations.

**A significant change in the lives of the characters:** 1905. "A holy man" appears at the Russian royal court - G.Rasputin, which wins the hearts of the imperial couple and beautiful noblewomen with his mystical prophecies, healings and charisma (alternatively, a film begins in the 1910s, when Rasputin had already become an influential figure at the royal court, or even in 1916).

**A problem:** patriotic nobles, led by Prince F.Yusupov, become aware of Rasputin's negative impact on the royal family and the fate of Russia.

**The search for solution:** Prince Yusupov and his friends plot a scheme to murder G.Rasputin.

**Solution:** Yusupov manages to lure Rasputin into a trap and kill him.

### ***Conclusions***

Thus, as a result of the analysis it can be concluded that the Western film interpretations using G.Rasputin's image construct an extremely simplistic image of the country. Consequently, a barbaric, unpredictable, rebellious, mystical, and most importantly - a strange, not compatible with the normal US-European way of life, image of Russia is created.

## **References**

- Bazalgette C.** (1995). Key aspects of media education. Moscow: Publishing House of Association for Film Education, 51 p.
- Eco U.** (2005). The role of the reader. Studies on the semiotics of the text. St. Petersburg: Symposium, 2005. 502 p.

**Fedorov, A.** (2010). Transformation of the image of Russia in the western screen: from the era of ideological confrontation (1946-1991) to the present stage (1992-2010). Moscow: Publishing "Information for All", 202 p.

**Fedorov, A.** (2012). Analysis of the media stereotypes of the positive image of Russia in the classroom in the student audience (for example, the adaptation of the novel by Jules Verne's "Michael Strogoff"). Innovations in Education, 2012. N 5, p. 67-78.

**Masterman, L.** (1985). Teaching the Media. London: Comedia Publishing Group, 341 p.

**Masterman, L.** (1997). A Rational for Media Education. In: Kubey, R. (Ed.) Media Literacy in the Information Age. New Brunswick (USA) and London (UK): Transaction Publishers, p.15-68.

**Silverblatt, A.** (2001). Media Literacy. Westport, Connecticut - London: Praeger, 449 p.

***Analysis of the art house media texts on media studies in the student audience (by the example of films by Alain Robbe-Grillet)***

The study of media culture and virtual world requires knowledge and skills of the analysis of media texts of different levels of complexity. In this sense, the cinematic legacy of the great French writer, screenwriter and filmmaker Alain Robbe-Grillet (1922-2008) makes productive opportunities for the analysis of works of the elite media culture, and fits well with the main range of media education goals of higher education (especially in the training of future cultural scientists, art historians, sociologists, linguists, psychologists, teachers).

Even such a sophisticated connoisseur of the artistic world as Vladimir Nabokov (1899-1977) claimed that antinovel does not exist, however there is one great French writer, Robbe-Grillet (Nabokov 1997, 579), with his poetic and original creations, where shifts and interpenetration of successive impressions, etc. undoubtedly belong to the field of psychology – the psychology in the best sense of the word (Nabokov 1990, 80). Rene Predal, a famous French film expert, agrees with V. Nabokov's opinion. He points to the original cocktail of intelligence and humor combined with the elegance of the visuals in A. Robbe-Grillet's films (Predal 1988, 415).

The literary style of Robbe-Grillet isn't called "the school of sight" for no reason: he totally visualized the literary text, and that is why his coming to the cinematography was deeply motivated (Gapon 1997, 76).

For a long time it had been said in Russian cinema studies that the author of the famous film *Last Year at Marienbad* (1961) was Alain Resnais, while the script-writer Alain Robbe-Grillet was unjustly in the shade. However the further career of these two artists has shown that Robbe-Grillet played the "first violin" in this remarkable duet. That's why he introduced to Alain Resnais not a traditional screenplay "story", but the director's script, i.e. frame-accurate description of the film (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 439). In *Madienbad* there's no Time, usual for the realistic culture. No time at all. No its fluidity, its reversibility. No future, no past with their co-existence in the present (Demin 1966, 210). And we are unable to understand when this or that event happens and whether it happens at all, or if it's just the imagination of the characters. The audience gets drawn into the stream of consciousness, into the continuity, selectivity and variability of the virtual world with a particular mental space and time, with its eccentricities, obsessions, lacunae which is... the time of human life (Vinogradov 2010, 272).

In particular in all the film works by A. Robbe-Grillet instead of the traditional "reality" there is virtual dreaming unsteadiness of intertwined time and space, labyrinths of subconscious, ironical author's play with genre and

narrative stereotypes, the conditional “characters”-phantoms, a centuries-old mythological arsenal that includes concepts like labyrinth, dance, double, water, door etc. (Rob-Grillet 2005, 109).

Following the theory of the famous American cyberneticist Norbert Wiener (1894-1964), A. Robbe-Grillet justly assumed that the more information a message contains, the more data there is of which the recipient is unaware, the less obvious and unquestionable will the meaning seem to him, the less importance he will attach to it. His novels and films brought too much information to the critics from the academic world and their faithful followers, and that made them unintelligible, incomprehensible and inaccessible for them (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 221).

Of course in the process of media education of the students it is easier for the teacher to refer to media texts having resistant structural codes. In other words – to the works with clearly expressed fabulous, mythological foundation or basic framework of entertainment genres. Here we can efficiently use the works of V.V. Propp who clearly highlighted the main plot situations and typology of fairy-tale characters (Propp 1998, 60-61). Our previous publications contained the examples of the analysis of specific audiovisual media (Fedorov 2008, 60-80; Fedorov, 2009, 4-13) based on V.V. Propp’s methodology. The analysis of media texts of other popular genres (such as detective and thriller) is based on similar principle (Bykov 2010; Demin 1977, 238; Shklovsky 1929, 142; Eco 1960, 52; Todorov 1977, 49), and this type of analysis can also be successfully used in media education (Fedorov 2011, 88-99).

However this technology is not enough for the analysis of more complex and ambivalent media texts, moreover, the message turns out to be some empty form to which a variety of meanings can be attributed (Eco 1998, 73). This introduction of complete emptiness into the game by means of the very forms of the narration, often mislead the audience, first seducing then disappointing, because the author’s task is to produce nothing: neither the objects of the world, nor feelings, but only to “work” in a transparent strangeness of a trap with numerous hooks, the trap for humanist reading, for Marxist or Freudian reading, etc., and finally the trap for the lovers of meaningless structures (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 24). In this regard Y.M. Lotman rightly emphasized that the text is shown not as a realization of a message in any given language, but as a complex device containing multiple codes that can transform the received messages and generate new ones like an information generator possessing the traits of an intellectual personality (Lotman 1992, 132).

For nearly a quarter of a century (1971-1995) A. Robbe-Grillet has been a media educator. He taught literature and film art at the universities of New

York and St. Louis where he tried to strengthen the faith in culture, the joy of intellectual effort, the belief in the priority of the spiritual, and – why not? – the proud sense of belonging to the elite in the hearts of the students who need it (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 101). In this case the analysis of his own novels and films was often the subject of his media studies in the student audience (Robbe-Grillet, 2005, 131). Unfortunately the transcripts of these lectures and seminars have not been preserved. I am sure that they would have given a unique opportunity to dive into the atmosphere of the direct dialogue between the author and the audience.

To partially fill this gap by studying autobiographical and theoretical texts of the master himself (Rob-Grillet 2005), we shall follow the methodology developed by U. Eco (Eco 1998, 209), A. Silverblatt (Silverblatt 2001, 80-81), L. Masterman (Masterman 1985), C. Bazalgette (Bazalgette 1995). In the analysis of A. Robbe-Grillet's cinematic creations we shall rely on such media education keywords as “media agencies”, “media/media text categories”, “media technologies”, “media languages”, “media representations” and “media audiences”, because all these concepts are directly related to the value, ideological, market, structural, content, audiovisual, spatial and time aspects of the analysis of media works.

U. Eco's and A. Silverblatt's approaches are primarily focused on the analysis of individual media texts. However taking into consideration the consistent implementation of author's concept in all A. Robbe-Grillet's works we can experiment and try to analyze hermeneutically the entire set of his cinematic work.

***Ideology, the author's moral attitudes in social and cultural context, market conditions that contributed to the media text's planning, creation and success (dominant concepts: “media agencies”, “media/media text categories”, “media technologies”, “media languages”, “media representations” and “media audiences”).***

In his works A. Robbe-Grillet has always tried to keep separate from ideology and politics in their traditional significance. For example before filming the movie *Last Year at Marienbad* (1961) A. Resnais asked him if it was possible to make the fragmentary phrases from the dialogues heard in the hotel relevant to the situation in Algiers or be perceived as such, A. Robbe-Grillet answered that it was unacceptable from the point of view of morality and his author's concept in general (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 400). The emphasis on the moral aspect is not accidental here: the conventionality of moral status in society is always emphasized in A. Robbe-Grillet's works. This is especially evident in the film *A Man Who Lies* (1968), where the main character (hero? traitor? phantom?) brilliantly performed by Jean-Louis Trintignant remains a mystery for the lovers of “realistic morality”.

Alain Robbe-Grillet wrote: I know better than most vicious people what bloodthirsty monsters dwell in me, and I feel neither guilt nor remorse on this occasion. On the contrary, I think it's just necessary that the secret was allowed to come to the surface, to the light, that things that are usually hidden in the darkness of the night, that put on masks, withdraw into themselves, hiding behind the closed doors and disguise in another's clothes became evident (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 238).

However the absence of direct political references in A. Robbe-Grillet's cinematic work doesn't mean that he himself was out of politics. On the contrary he believed that liberal capitalism was proved to be quite a viable system. I shot two films in Czechoslovakia under communism. It was a tough and completely insane system isolated from the whole world which produced nothing but weapons. People were not paid, but they did nothing too. They liked it. It was a sort of virtual reality, science fiction. Capitalism has shown that it can adapt itself to many things and, in particular, it is able to correct some of its mistakes (Robbe-Grillet 2002).

On the one hand, A. Robbe-Grillet has never denied that he was influenced by such classical authors as G. Flaubert, F. Dostoevsky (Robbe-Grillet 2002). On the other hand, his creative work was originally aimed at the destruction of the foundation of the traditional realistic prose and fiction films, and here he was much closer to L. Carrol, F. Kafka and S. Freud, whose influence on his works A. Robbe-Grillet also repeatedly emphasized. For example, in the film *It's Gradiva Who is Calling You* (2006) A. Robbe-Grillet used not only the images of mystical and erotic novel *Gradiva* (1903) by German writer Wilhelm Jensen (1837-1911), the works of Austrian writer Leopold von Sacher-Masoch (1836-1895) and graphical sketches from Eugene Delacroix's Moroccan album (1798-1863), but also the concept of Sigmund Freud's essay (1856-1939) *Delusions and dreams in Jensen's "Gradiva"* (Freud, 1907), which eventually allowed him to create some kind of investigation into the nature of creativity, mixed up on such favorite Freudian motifs as dream, the unconscious, sexuality, desire, victim and executioner complexes. However in my opinion Freudian and masochistic motifs (albeit in ironic and mocking interpretation) can easily be found in all Alain Robbe-Grillet's works, especially in his *Successive Slidings of Pleasure* (1974), *Playing with Fire* (1975) and *La Belle Captive* (1983).

Alain Robbe-Grillet (among other experimenters including M. Duras, Jean-Luc Godard and others) sought to create a new type of media text possessing the structural and semantic "openness", initially aimed at polysemanticity and polyphony of meanings, where the central role is given to the reader/viewer who must decode and construct the work, and the

process of the audience's contact with media texts is equated to co-creation (Gapon 1998).

Naturally in the social and cultural context of the 1960-es, when literature and cinematography were largely focused on the traditional plot construction, such attitude often caused critical repulse (Barthes 1993, 1241). A. Robbe-Grillet's films were usually attacked for the lack of "naturalness" in the actors' performance; for the inability to distinguish "reality" from mental conceptions (memories or visions); and finally for the tendency of stressed and emotional elements of the film to turn into "postcards", pictures, paintings, etc. These three complaints essentially came to one: the structure of media texts prevented the huge part of the audience to trust the objective truth of things. The viewers, the fans of "realism", were confused by the fact that Robbe-Grillet didn't try to make them believe in what was happening. On the contrary, instead of pretending to be a piece of reality, the action in A. Robbe-Grillet's films was developing as a reflection on the reality of this reality" (or its *irreality* – whatever you like). It no longer seeks to hide its inevitable falsity presenting itself as somebody's "story" that he "lived through" (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 596).

However despite the initial skepticism from the critics and distributors, the avant-garde film by A. Robbe-Grillet and A. Resnais *Last Year at Marienbad* won the Golden Lion of St. Mark at the prestigious Venice Film Festival (1961), and later was widely demonstrated at European screens and even gained legitimacy at the faultfinding French Film Critics Association which declared it the best film of the year (1962). *Trans-Europ-Express* (1967) that destroyed all the "basic" ideas of the detective genre was also a significant box office success.

Of course the film market conditions of the 1960-es to some extent contributed to the planning, the process of creation and distribution of A. Robbe-Grillet's auteur cinema. To some extent the "firmness" of the traditional realism on the screen was shattered in the late 1950-es – early 1960-es by the French "New Wave" (especially J.-L. Godard's films), films by F. Fellini (*La Dolce Vita*, 8 ½), M. Antonioni (*The Adventure*, *The Night*), L. Bunuel (*Viridiana*), I. Bergman (*Wild Strawberries*). That's why a part of the audience quite loyally perceived the radical experiments with the structure of media texts and its genres. Some producers and distributors who took the financial risks of production and distribution of Alain Robbe-Grillet's films felt such changes in social and cultural situation too.

Of course this did not concern the conservative and ideologically engaged Soviet box office where Alain Robbe-Grillet's films (as well as Jean-Luc Godard's) had never been shown at all. The films by A. Robbe-Grillet were available for the Soviet intellectual elite only at private

demonstrations and foreign business trips. At the same time in European countries of the so-called “socialist camp” the attitude to his work was not homogeneous. For example, Czechoslovakian authorities allowed Alain Robbe-Grillet to shoot his avant-garde films not only in 1968 which was the loosest year for Czechoslovakia in political sense (*The Man Who Lies*), but also after the Soviet invasion of Prague and respectively after the change of management in the country (*Eden and After*, 1970).

*Narrative structure of the media texts dominant concepts: “media/media text categories”, “media technologies”, “media languages”, “media representations”.*

During the collective discussion with students we can conclude that A. Robbe-Grillet’s cinematographic works are built contrary to the traditional structure of realistic media text: there is no classic plot with a clear division into the entanglement, the climax and the denouement, no psychological and social motivations, everything is deception, ambiguity, denial of dogmatic petrification and unambiguity. There is no story, perhaps, there is an event, of which the author himself is not sure, and there are different versions of the event in the minds of different people. All this is immersed in an undifferentiated stream where the Real and the Imaginary are reflected in each other, becoming basically indistinguishable. So... a situation where it is impossible to clearly distinguish reality from dreams, memories, fantasy is intentionally created. A man is in a sort of a labyrinth created from images which are equally real and fictitious (Vishnyakov 2011, 20; 333).

The development of action in A. Robbe-Grillet’s media texts can be compared not only with a sophisticated computer game of search and investigation (web-quest), but also with a whirlpool: the closer you get to the solution, the more narrow the circles become, the faster the whirlpool draws in, and as a result you fall into the void. Associatively it is also a trap or a maze: the farther you go, the more difficult it becomes to get back (Akimova 2001, 7). And all this in the labyrinth of self identification of the ghostly “characters”, their (un)dressing, (non) recognition, meeting/parting, death/resurrection, search/finding, pleasure/torture, capture/release...

Breaking the classic narrative structures, A. Robbe-Grillet often uses plot situations, stereotypical episodes and scenes familiar from mythology, fairy-tales or popular culture opuses. Describing them using “common” language the author mocks and distances from it (Gapon 1997, 75). At the same time the development of action in A. Robbe-Grillet’s works has nothing to do with logical tale filled with psychological details about the characters’ lives in any particular society. It is a synthesis of piled up fragments of crimes, mysteries, intrigues within the framework of several genres of mass culture and archaic mythology, constantly returning in this or that way to the theme of creative

process itself, and the repetition of the phenomenon of text “reflectivity” and its hypertextual character becomes its main peculiarity (Savelyeva 2008, 7-8). This obtrusive repetition of the same actions, return to the same details may seem monotonous. However, with each new turn some new details appear, while others on the contrary disappear (Akimova 2001, 8).

According to A. Robbe-Grillet, integrity is nothing but a great phantasm of the last groundless dreamer dreaming of a harmonious system (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 397). This explains why the intrigue (which is always present in the master’s works) does not hinder its cinematic message completely open for different interpretations which remains in the state of permanent transformation. At the same time the “poetics of the possible” dominates, when every variant of understanding enjoys full rights among others simply because it exists in the author’s fantasy (or any person from his audience) (Gapon 1999). And time scattered by some secret inner catastrophe allows the fragments of the future to show through the present or to freely connect with the past. The time recalled and dreamt of, the time which as well as the future could exist, is subjected to constant changes in the presence of radiant space, the place of pure visibility (Blanchot 1959, 198).

V.P. Demin precisely wrote about this type of media text structure: an episode of a work where the plot is not dominant affords itself a lot of liberties. It openly tends to become everything, it claims to a special role. It doesn’t want to be a link, a step in the general stairway of action. It doesn’t mind to stop this action completely ... the concept of the film “Last Year at Marienbad” is whimsical and capricious. Everything in this film is vague, subtle and indistinct. The viewer constantly has to guess what scenes unfold before him, what preceded them and what will happen in future and is shown now only as a distant association. These guesses sometimes look solid and are supported by further events, but mostly are called into question. It is a constant entanglement and perplexing of the viewer, liberation of the events unfolding before his eyes from temporal and any other conditionality. The main technique was the technique of “incomplete information”, and without it the film could not have become what it is. We are being intrigued all the time: first by hiding the essential moments of what is happening, and then even by rethinking those grains of understanding that we managed to grasp somehow (Demin 1966, 69, 209, 211).

In my opinion V.P. Demin’s thoughts are well correlated with the view of the writer and the director himself.

The film “*Last Year at Marienbad*” was immediately interpreted as another psychological variation on the topic of lost love, oblivion, memories because of its title and also under the influence of the previous works by

Alain Resnais. Those who watched the film most willingly pondered over such questions as: did the man and the woman really meet and fall in love last year at Marienbad? Does the young woman recall the past events pretending she doesn't remember the handsome foreigner? Or has she really forgotten everything that had happened between them? etc. It must be said clearly: those questions are totally meaningless. The world in which the film takes place is characterized by the fact that it is the world of the constant present which makes it impossible to turn to memory. It is the world without the past, it is self-sufficient in every given moment and it gradually disappears. The man and the woman come into existence only from the moment they appear on the screen; before this they are nothing; and as soon as the film ends they turn into nothingness again. Their existence lasts for as long as the film lasts. There can be no reality beyond the image seen by the audience and beyond the words it hears (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 597).

Experimenting, Alain Robbe-Grillet replaces the usual plot scheme by the storyline where the idea is born, where it becomes clear and sharp, and then "gains flesh" right in front of the viewers. At first glance, the "plot" of "Trans-Europ-Express" is like this. But the classic pair "creator - creation" (author - character, intention - result, freedom - compulsion) is constantly exposed to destruction, turned inside out, it explodes in the course of a systematic confrontation within the narrative material itself (Robbe-Grillet, 2005, p.233).

All the other audiovisual texts by A. Robbe-Grillet where he intentionally retreats from his works to let them exist freely and independently in people's minds are also open for countless interpretations. Instead of the traditional narrative plot the illusion of these or those events is created, and the idea of their rationality and logic is destroyed at the slightest test for strength (Akimova 2001, 7):

- illusion of love drama in *L'Immortelle* (1963);
- ironical and illusory nature of the parody of detective stories and thrillers in *Trans-Europ-Express* (1967);
- ephemerality of "psychological" war drama in *The Man Who Lies* (1968);
- surrealistic fragility of criminally erotic detective drama spiced by a fair dose of parody, Freudianism and masochism in *Eden and After* (1970), *Successive Slidings of Pleasure* (1974), *Playing with Fire* (1975), *La Belle Captive* (1983) and *It's Gradiva Who is Calling You* (2006).

Schematically we can present the peculiarities of genre modifications, iconography, characters ethics, problems of A. Robbe-Grillet's cinematographic heritage as follows:

**Historical period, scene of action.** Although A. Robbe-Grillet's media texts contain some references to the historical period and scene of action, in general they are in no way tied directly to any political or social context. The films take place in Western or Eastern countries in XX or XXI century, and though the characters speak French it doesn't necessarily mean that they are French.

**The setting, household goods.** The best illustration of the role the setting and household goods play in A. Robbe-Grillet's media texts can be the quotes from his novels:

*“the wood around the window is coated with a brownish varnish in which thin lines of a lighter color, lines which are the imitation of imaginary veins running through another substance considered more decorative, constitute parallel networks or networks of only slightly divergent curves outlining darker knots, round or oval or even triangular, a group of changing signs in which I have discerned human figures for a long time ... On the polished wood of the table, the dust has marked the places occupied for a while — for a few hours, several days, minutes, weeks — by small objects subsequently removed whose outlines are still distinct for some time, a circle, a square, a rectangle, other less simple shapes, some partly overlapping, already blurred or half obliterated as though by a rag. ... At the very top of the stone stairway, the little door has opened, allowing a yellowish but sustained shaft of light to enter, against which stands out the dark silhouette of the man wrapped in his long cloak. He has but to climb a few more steps to reach the threshold. Afterward, the whole setting is empty, the enormous room with its purple shadows and its stone columns proliferating in all directions, the monumental staircase with no handrail that twists upward, growing narrower and vaguer as it rises into the darkness, toward the top of the vaults where it disappears. ... Outside it is snowing. The wind drives the fine dry crystals over the dark asphalt of the pavement and with each gust the crystals fall in white line, parallels, curves, spirals, no sooner disrupted than they are again taken up in whirls, chased round at ground level, now suddenly immobilized again, forming renewed spirals, scrolls, forked undulations, arabesques in motion, and then again disrupted”* (Robbe-Grillet 1996, 28; 1999; 2001, 14).

So in A. Robbe-Grillet's audiovisual texts the setting and household goods are changeable, subject to continuous variative repetition. Again and again it all seems a product of author's fantasies woven from our fears and pleasures. The characters and the viewers are plunged in the world of labyrinths, night roads, mysterious houses, mirror reflections, falsification and parody.

For example, the action of *Last Year at Marienbad* (1961) takes place in the hotel,

“sort of a huge international palazzo in baroque style, with pompous but cold decoration; in the world of marble, columns, stucco, gilded moldings, statues and stone-faced servants. Nameless, polite and of course idle clientele seriously but dispassionately observes strict rules of social games (cards, dominoes...), high society dances, empty talk and gun shooting. Within this secluded and suffocating world people and things in varying degrees seem victims of some witchcraft, like in those dreams where you feel led by some kind of fatality, and the attempts to change it somehow would be vain” (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 440).

The “décor” of the film *L’Immortelle* (1963) is mystical old Istanbul/Constantinople with its dilapidated palaces, dark rooms labyrinths (the central image of Baroque art) and the deserted sea shore. In *The Man Who Lies* (1968) the labyrinth of rooms appears again in a certain European town of war and post-war times. In *Eden and After* (1970) A. Robbe-Grillet builds a décor of a then trendy student café in glass and metal. In *Successive Slidings of Pleasure* (1974), *Playing with Fire* (1975), *La Belle Captive* (1983) and *Gradiva* (2006) there are mysterious villas, bedrooms with sadomasochistic accessories... And again all this is presented in theatrically illusory and unsteady key of surrealistic dreams ruled by maze wanderings, repeated scenes (even the death scene which will never end), imperishable bodies, timelessness, multiple parallel spaces with sudden disruptions aside, and finally the theme of the “double” – “are these the characteristic features and natural laws of eternally enchanted places?” (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 14).

Here is the list of A. Robbe-Grillet’s favorite items used in his audiovisual media texts: labyrinth, water, fire, mirror, crystal glass or other glass vessel (usually falling and breaking into thousand pieces), key, doorknob, rope (to bind women’s hands), shoe, statue, photo/picture...

All these are a kind of signs without a signifier, related to the permanent process of destruction/reconstruction.

***Audiovisual techniques, iconography.*** In my opinion R. Barthes noted aptly that in A. Robbe-Grillet’s works, at least in the form of a tendency, there simultaneously exist: the rejection of history, plot, psychological motivations and meaning of the items. Hence optical descriptions take on special significance this writer’s works (Barthes 1993, 1241). At the same time delicate and quaint visuals of A. Robbe-Grillet’s films contrary to classical realism are always the product of the universe faced and simultaneously generated by our subconscious and unconscious (value shift and replacement, confusion of thoughts, turmoil and bewilderment, paradoxical images created by imagination, dreams, visionsm, sexual

fantasies and phantasms, night fears and nightmares), not of the fake, artificial world of everyday life, the world of the so-called deliberate and conscious life which is just a tasteless, bland, colorless, vulgar, soothing result of all kinds of our censorship, i.e. different prohibitions: morality, reason, logic, respect for the established order of things (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 231-232).

One of the important manifestations of the game basis in A. Robbe-Grillet's novels is also the fact that the finished pieces of mass production, cliché images of mass culture are placed into the text (Savelieva 2008, 15). The master easily moves the film action onto a book cover or a billboard, and vice versa the characters depicted on a cover or a billboard become heroes of the narration. Robbe-Grillet seems to constantly provoke the desire to restore the normal flow of time, to find the source of the narration to make us fail and realize the futility of these attempts (Alchuk, 1997).

In particular, in *L'Immortelle* (1963) not episode but cinematic background was chosen as the sole means of narration. Various parameters of the setting (actors tallness and his position in the frame, his gestures, camera movement, passage of a supplementary or a car, lighting, etc.) gave birth to a chain of associations, allowed to draw some parallels, to make oppositions and resort to ingenious junctions which were almost independent from spatial and temporal continuity (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 225).

In *Trans-Europ-Express* (1967) the whole action with pursuits, endless traps and false paths, deceptions and dead ends the characters get into, is merrily, lightly and smartly developed accompanied by the dramatic and exquisite arias in Russian from *La Traviata*, skillfully cut and torn into parts by Michel Fano. There is no doubt that Jean-Louis Trintignant's intensified, unexpected, subtle and sophisticated acting contributed to the film's success with the audience too. However the impressive box-office was probably also achieved with the help of a cohort of pretty girls (more or less naked), chained and tied with ropes, and offered to the viewers as victims, in other words as an age-old myth about a female slave with whom a man can realize his worst and most sinful sexual fantasies, phantasms of violence (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 235).

The visual sequence of oriental motifs in A. Robbe-Grillet's works (*Eden and After, A Noise That Drives You Crazy, Gradiva*) is well illustrated in his statement:

*“Arab and Mongol palaces fill my ears with the echoes of moans and sighs. Symmetrical pattern on marble slabs of Byzantine churches is reflected in my eyes as women's hips wide spread, wide open. It is enough for me to see two iron rings in the wall of an ancient Roman dungeon to*

*imagine a beautiful female slave chained and sentenced to long and slow tortures of loneliness and emptiness” (Robbe-Grillet 1997, 6).*

With all this, despite the irony and evident parody of many sadomasochistic motifs in A. Robbe-Grillet’s works it is always emphasized that the outcome of the game in many respects depends on the victim. And then the version that the sadistic fantasies can be merely products of “victim’s” or “executioner’s” imagination is proposed to the audience.

Anyway, “leitmotiv” visual images appeared from the very beginning in A. Robbe-Grillet’s cinematographic works: mysterious architecture (for example, facades of buildings with ruined interior), labyrinths, vague imprints, bifurcation/splitting, “eternal return”, items-rhymes; photos/pictures, motionless figures making strict compositions; a woman – an object of desire; a woman – captive; threat of violence, poisoning, blood (or something similar to blood), image/illusion of an act of violence; car/motorcycle; night road accident; wound as initiation or trace; death, etc., served on the screen in a shift of reality and dream, creating a feeling of illusiveness of what is happening (Vinogradov 2010, 279, 281). There is no doubt that all this helps the play of audio and visual symbols and signs on which the montage structure of A. Robbe-Grillet’s media texts is built.

Thus in *Eden and After* (1970) from the very beginning, in the credits, A. Robbe-Grillet introduces not the professional identity of those who participated in its creation but a kind of key images/symbols of the media text: architecture, composition, makeup, sharp objects, games, adhesives, flowing blood, sexual violence, labyrinth, murder, card game, distance, theatricality, phantasm, etc. (Vinogradov 2010, 280-281).

Special role in A. Robbe-Grillet’s media texts is given to visualization of female body which is constructed as an object even it is not clear of whose desire. It is in the magnetic field of desire (Ryklin 1996, 16), primarily of a man burdened with ironically served Freudian and sadomasochistic complexes. And all this in the atmosphere of painful charm of the deceptive space of a dream.

The images of the “characters”-phantoms are often given in deliberate static accompanied by off-screen sound or distanced, cold voice intonations. Here speech loses its semantic and emotional content, it is deprived of its communicative meaning (Gapon 1997, 75). Moreover, contrary to the tradition the actors in A. Robbe-Grillet’s films often look at the camera. All these violations of the usual audiovisual conventions often cause rejection in the conservative part of the audience.

***Characters, their values, ideas, clothes, physique, vocabulary, mimics, gestures.***

Alain Robbe-Grillet has repeatedly admitted that most of his ephemeral “characters”, “people from the world of shadows” are murderers, sorcerers and treacherous seducers who penetrated into my dreams so deeply that their intrusion entails new violation of laws, opens up new depths (Robbe-Grillet 2000). So there is no surprise that as a rule they have no nationality, no profession, no character, and no name (or this name is merely a convention, a symbol). In this sense this phrase of the character from *La Belle Captive* (1983) seems programmatic: “I have no name, I lost it. I have a phone but it’s out of order. You can’t get through”.

A. Robbe-Grillet broke the traditional image of a character-personality, a product of education and environment. Instead in his works appeared “quasi-characters” – phantoms, “doubles”, whose appearance and disappearance, deceptive unsteadiness is constantly emphasized (audio-visually too). Their behavior is devoid of psychological motivation and usual logic and depends solely on the author’s will, play and imagination. They are blurred, vague, inauthentic/false, they are constantly rearranged during the narration to the point when they even exceed the limits of the originally planned outline. They fall to “a multitude of characters similar to each other but non-identical to themselves. The characters multiply, each of them “takes away” a part of a single image. They seem to complement each other, to represent different manifestations, features of a single character. But these individual components do not form an integral image, the character breaks, shatters into “splinters” (Savelyeva 2008, 9-10).

Besides in A. Robbe-Grillet’s works there are essentially no such usual concepts as “positive” and “negative” characters. Also the author does not show his sympathy for any “figure on the landscape” which is traditional for classical art...

A. Robbe-Grillet’s “characters” never talk about politics or social problems. Here are their typical phrases-allusions: “The past can be easily changed but facing future we’re always powerless”, “I’ll find you whenever I like. Tonight, or maybe never or yesterday... Time does not exist for me”, “Any dream is erotic”, “If I imagine a handsome blonde rushing on the highway on a big beautiful motorcycle, it will immediately become a reality”.

The “characters” of A. Robbe-Grillet’s media texts are usually approximately 16-40 years old. Male “characters” have proportional figures. The women are slender and delicate. Their clothes can be plain and functional as well as exotic and colorful. In A. Robbe-Grillet’s later films female “characters” more often appear in “Eve’s dress”...

The impression of the “characters” shaky uncertainty is emphasized by unnatural acting style: theatrical poses, gestures, facial expressions (sometimes we can feel their arrogant contempt for the rest of the world), and

often neutral speech tone, without intonation accentuation of words or syllables.

In general the analysis of A. Robbe-Grillet's audiovisual legacy leads to the conclusion that "the only meaningful "character" is the viewer, the whole story unfolds *in his head*, and he *imagines* it (Robbe-Grillet, 2005, p.598). This is fully consistent with the basic author's concept of the master: any media text does not reflect and cannot reflect external reality, it is its own kind of virtual reality.

***Significant changes in the lives of the characters.*** For Alain Robbe-Grillet it is extremely important to show how the "characters" unaware of secret passions sleeping in them fall into "unusual, exceptional conditions, where there are no laws, prohibitions and rules of civilized society, no social barriers and guarantees (Robbe-Grillet 2005, 241). So they get into mysterious places-labyrinths, car accidents, they become prisoners, accused, witnesses of mysterious games and rituals, murders...

***Originated problem.*** A. Robbe-Grillet's "characters" try to get out of the above mentioned extreme situations, they can investigate the course of events, try to explain something. Because their own life is often threatened... In other words "anxiety is uncertainty. Freedom creates anxiety. Despair is the lack of opportunities (Robbe-Grillet 2002).

***Searches for the solution of the problem.*** However as a rule all these attempts draw the "characters" into a dream maze with the illusory opportunities to get out...

***Solution of the problem.*** In Alain Robbe-Grillet's works there is no "classical" solution of the "problem". Audiovisual fabric of the master's media texts is non-linear, asynchronous, scattered in time and space, and it always cannot be unambiguously interpreted.

The studies on the analysis of art house media texts ends with problem and text questions determining how well the audience has mastered the received skills: "With what media texts known to you can you compare A. Robbe-Grillet's audiovisual texts? Why? What do they have in common?"

### ***Filmography***

***Last Year at Marienbad / L'annee derniere `a Marienbad. France-Italy, 1961.*** Director: Alain Resnais. Screenwriter: Alain Robbe-Grillet. Cast: Delphine Seyrig, Giorgio Albertazzi, Sacha Pitoeff and others.

***Awards:*** Golden Lion of St. Mark (Venice Film Festival, 1961). Best Film of the Syndicat Français de la Critique de cinéma in France (1962).

***L'immortelle.* France-Italy-Turkey, 1963.** Director and Screenwriter: Alain Robbe-Grillet. Cast: Francoise Brion, Jacques Doniol-Valcroze, Guido Celano and others.

**Awards:** Prix Louis Delluc for best debut of the year (1963).

***Trans-Europ-Express.* France-Belgium, 1967.** Director and Screenwriter: Alain Robbe-Grillet. Cast: Jean-Louis Trintignant, Marie-France Pisier, Nadine Verdier, Christian Barbier, Charles Millot, Daniel Emilfork, Alain Robbe-Grillet, Catherine Robbe-Grillet and others.

***The Man Who Lies / L'homme qui ment.* France-Czechoslovakia, 1968.** Director and Screenwriter: Alain Robbe-Grillet. Cast: Jean-Louis Trintignant, Ivan Mistrak, Zuzana Kocurikova, Sylvie Breal, Catherine Robbe-Grillet and others.A

**Awards:** Silver Bear for Best Actor at Berlinale (1968).

***The Erasers / Les gommés.* Belgium-France, 1969.** Directors and Screenwriters: Lucien Deroisy, Rene Micha. Novel by Alain Robbe-Grillet. Cast: Claude Titre, Francoise Brion, Georges Genicot and others.

***Eden and After / L'Eden et apres.* France-Czechoslovakia, 1970.** Director and Screenwriter: Alain Robbe-Grillet. Cast: Catherine Jourdan, Lorraine Rainer, Sylvain Corthay, Catherine Robbe-Grillet and others.

***N. Took the Dice... / N. a pris les des...* France, 1971.** Director and Screenwriter: Alain Robbe-Grillet. Cast: Catherine Jourdan, Sylvain Corthay and others.

Re-montage version of *Eden and After (L'Eden et apres, 1970)*.

***Jealousy / La Jalousie.* German Federal Republic, 1972.** Director: Klaus Kirschner. Screenwriter, author of the novel: Alain Robbe-Grillet. Cast: Ingrid Resch, Michael Degen, Vadim Glowna and others.

***Successive Slidings of Pleasure / Glissements progressifs du plaisir.* France, 1974.** Director and Screenwriter: Alain Robbe-Grillet. Cast: Anicee Alvina, Olga Georges-Picot, Michael Lonsdale, Jean-Louis Trintignant, Jean Martin, Isabelle Huppert, Alain Robbe-Grillet, Catherine Robbe-Grillet and others.

***Playing with Fire / Le jeu avec le feu.* France-Italy, 1975.** Director and Screenwriter: Alain Robbe-Grillet. Cast: Jean-Louis Trintignant, Philippe Noiret, Anicee Alvina, Sylvia Kristel, Agostina Belli, Serge Marquand, Christine Boisson and others.

***La belle captive.* France, 1983.** Director: Alain Robbe-Grillet. Screenwriters: Alain Robbe-Grillet, Frank Verpillat. Cast: Daniel Mesguich, Cyrielle Clair, Daniel Emilfork, Arielle Dombasle and others.

***Taxandria*. Belgium-Germany-France, 1994.** Director: Raoul Servais. Screenwriters: Raoul Servais, Frank Daniel, Alain Robbe-Grillet. Cast: Armin Mueller-Stahl, Elliott Spiers, Katja Studt and others.

***A Noise That Drives You Crazy / Un bruit qui rend fou / The Blue Villa*. France-Belgium-Switzerland, 1995.** Directors and Screenwriters: Dimitri de Clercq, Alain Robbe-Grillet. Cast: Fred Ward, Arielle Dombasle, Charles Tordjman and others.

**Awards:** San Diego Film Festival grand prix, USA (1995).

***It's Gradiva Who's Calling You / Gradiva / C'est Gradiva qui vous appelle*. France-Belgium, 2006.** Director and Screenwriter: Alain Robbe-Grillet. Author of the novel: Wilhelm Jensen. Cast: James Wilby, Arielle Dombasle, Dany Verissimo and others.

***Campana de la noche*. Canada-Argentina, 2010.** Director: Michael Mills. Author of the story: Alain Robbe-Grillet. Cast: Oscar Farfan, Rene Solas, Tatiana Solas and others.

### ***"The Little School Orchestra": a Sample of the Hermeneutic Analysis of Media Texts in Student Audience***

Umberto Eco reasonably asserts: Any research of a work structures becomes *ipso facto* a development of some historical and sociological hypotheses, – even if the researcher himself does not or would not realize it. If one is aware of these basic principles of the research method the description of the work structures turns out one of the most effective means for detecting the interrelations between a work of art and its social-historical context (Eco 2005, 208).

It needs to be reminded that the hermeneutic analysis of cultural context stands for study of the media text interpretation process, of cultural and historical factors that may have an impact both on the media texts authors/agencies and the audience's viewpoint. The hermeneutic analysis is connected with media text comprehension by matching with the cultural tradition and reality; penetration into the logic of a media text; media text analysis based on artistic images comparison in the historical and cultural contexts. The objective of the analysis is the media system and its functioning in the society, the interaction with the person, the media language and its use.

Let us take the film *The Little School Orchestra* (1968) directed by Alexander Muratov and Nikolay Rashev as an example of the hermeneutic analysis of media texts in student audience. Following the methodology worked out by U. Eco, we highlight three "rows" or "systems" that are relevant to a media text: the author's ideology; market condition which affected the plot; narrative methods (Eco 2005, 209).

This approach, in our opinion, fully correlates with the technology of media text analysis (Bazalgette 1995; Fedorov 2010; Fedorov 2012) based on such key concepts of media education as *media agencies*, *media/media text categories*, *media technologies*, *media languages*, *media representations*, *media audiences*, since all of them are directly connected to ideological, market and structure-and-content aspects of media text analysis.

***The authors' ideology in the sociocultural context (dominant concepts: media agencies, media representations, media audiences).***

Under the authors we will mean its main creators – film makers, script writers, cameramen and composers. They conceived and created their film towards the end of the so-called "thaw" period when many people thought that the improved variant of "socialism with a human face" was still possible. However, unlike the majority of Russian films about schooling and youth of the 1960s *The Little School Orchestra* was highly improvised. There is no

accentuated social aspect here, scrupulous description of living conditions, talks about politics or ideology and other attributes of Soviet "thaw" films...

***The market conditions that contributed to the plot, creation of the media text*** (dominant concepts: media agencies, media/media text categories, media technologies, media audiences).

The Russian cinematograph of the 1960s was under the impact of the leading world filmmaking movements of those years – "cinema-variety", French and Czech "New Wave". At the same time, this influence (both stylistic, visual and plot, thematic) was adapted to the requirements of the Soviet censorship. In this aspect one should mention the film directed by G. Daneliya and G. Shpalikov *Walking the Streets of Moscow* (1965) where the so-called "current of life" devoid of any ideological pathos was created by the authors in the filigree manner at the joint of the drama and comedy genres...

***The structure and narrative methods used in the media text*** (dominant concepts: media/media text categories, media technologies, media languages, media representations).

The structure, plot, representativeness, ethics, genre modification peculiarities, iconography, characters can be outlined in the following way:

***Historical period, scene:*** Kiev of the late 1960s.

***Setting:*** city streets, habitable rooms, a school gym used for arranging parties and orchestra rehearsals; a stadium. A room furnished in the fashion of that time, musical instruments.

***Representation of reality:*** positive in relation to practically all the characters. Contrary to the established tradition of the Soviet cinematograph of the 1960s the protagonists (school leavers) are shown practically without any actuals of the "socialistic mode of life", and there are no teachers and parents among the characters. At the same time, there dominate image and music in the film but not words (the first word in the film is pronounced on the ninth minute of its action). A striking example is the scene where the major character of the film conducts an excursion in the cathedral: we do not here her words; we only see her inspired gestures and facial expression accompanied by music. As for the episode of the cycle race it was shot as a jazz improvisation – with freeze-frames, abstract lines of lights again accompanied by musical jazz melodies which sound in *The Little School Orchestra* nearly all the time...

***Characters, their values, ideas, clothing, constitution, lexicon, mime, gestures:*** the characters are dressed in accordance with the "conservative" fashion of the late 1960s and are slim. Their mime and gestures are sometimes emotional and artistic. Their speech is plain. But they mainly communicate non-verbally – with jazz melodies.

**Significant change in the characters' life:** in June, 1968, the school leavers finish school and like many other young people they fall in love for the first time...

**Incipient problem:** choosing a future career, love peripetias.

**Search for solution to the problem:** an attempt to uphold one's own choice ("Why should I take up medicine?" – One of the characters exclaims. "I want to fly! Why not? This is an occupation for a man!")

**Problem solution:** the solution to the problem is given in the end only in an outline – in the form of collages: some characters are going somewhere; the others are seeing them off...

The famous researcher and media educator A. Silverblatt (Silverblatt 2001, 80-81) worked out a set of questions for the hermeneutic analysis of media texts in the historical, cultural and structural contexts. We will try to apply them to the analysis of *The Little School Orchestra* according to the basic aspects of this system:

#### **A. Historical context**

1. *What does a media text tell about the time of its creation?*

a) *When did the premier of this media text take place?*

The premier of the film could have taken place on one of the central TV channels but the film was banned by "higher authorities". The main reason for the ban was the accusation of the authors for "excessive" liberty. The film was brought to Moscow in the autumn of 1968, but they said in Moscow: "Such musicians made the Prague Spring. Banned". The film had an extremely clear voice' (Margolit 2010). As a result, *The Little School Orchestra* lay on the shelf for record 42 years: it was due to persistent search of film expert E. Margolit who managed to find the banned film in television archives, that the film was shown to Russian viewers in 2010.

b) *How did the events of that time affect the media text?*

The film was shot on the decline of the political "thaw" in the USSR, however, the media text does not reflect any political events of that time. Apparently, the media text got under the influence of the stylistics of "cinema-variety", the French and Czech "New Wave" of the 1960s.

c) *How does the media text comment on the events of the day?*

The principal feature of the film (which immediately put the censors on their guard) was its detachment towards political (school-Komsomol) and national contexts. In fact, the characters do not live either in the USSR or Kiev (though some aspects of Kiev are reflected in the film). They live in some "average European" city where extravagant ladies walk their pedigree dogs along the park avenues while a school orchestra is playing jazz at the school leaving ball. And one of the major sixteen-year-old characters falls in

love with a beautiful thirteen-year-old neighbor (she is a restorer and a guide in St. Sophia Cathedral)...

2. *Does the knowledge of the historic events contribute to the media text understanding?*

a) *media texts created during a certain historic event.*

In the 1960s, a number of avant-garde films in point of content and film language concerning youth came out. The most outstanding films of the Czech "New Wave" were the pictures *Competition* (1963), *Black Peter* (1964), and *Loves of a Blonde* (1965) created by M. Forman. As for the French "New Wave", one can mark out *The 400 Blows* (1959), *Love at Twenty* (1962) and *Stolen Kisses* (1968) directed by F. Truffaut. Speaking of the Polish cinematograph, the most prominent films were *Innocent Sorcerers* (1960) by A. Wajda, *Knife in the Water* (1961) by R. Polanski, *Rysopis* (1964) and *Walkover* (1965) by J. Skolimowski. However, it is plausible to assume that the crucial influence on the film *The Little School Orchestra* was produced by the famous film of Alain Robbe-Grillet and Alain Resnais *Last Year at Marienbad* (1961, rewarded the Golden Lion of St. Mark at the Venice Film Festival).

If one concentrates exclusively on Soviet films about youth of the 1960s it is worth mentioning the films: *I am Twenty* (1961-1965) by M. Khutsyev and G. Shpalikov, *Walking the Streets of Moscow* (1965) by G. Daneliya and G. Shpalikov, *The Private Life of Valentin Kuzyayev* (1967) by I. Averbach and I. Maslennikov, *Not a Very Lucky Day* (1967) by Y. Yegorov, *Let's Live Until Monday* (1968) by S. Rostotsky, *Manlike Talk* (1968) by I. Shatrov, *Three Days of Viktor Chernyshov* (1968) by M. Osipyan, etc. Many of these films were notable for social bitterness (*Three Days of Viktor Chernyshov*) which was not characteristic of those days but, nevertheless, they came out. But that's just the thing – the above-mentioned Soviet media texts (more or less) fitted into the sociocultural context of the "socialism with a human face", whereas *The Little School Orchestra* (1968) directed by A. Muratov and N. Rashev breathes the air of freedom, improvised jazz lightness, the plot and image given in watercolours.

Vladimir Chinaev who played the role of the musician on drums in the film wrote forty odd years later: A mass of reminiscences, feelings. Now, curiously enough, this film-mood is better received than in the 1960s. It is to be regretted that now it is black-and-white; it was a color film in the original. I remember S. Paradzhanov liked this film (Chinaev 2010).

– *What events occurred when the media text was created?*

It was exactly in 1968 – the period when the film *The Little School Orchestra* was being made – when virtually at the same time in Prague and Paris there broke out militant actions of revolutionary-minded leftists.

The events which took place in the spring of 1968 in Paris are appropriately called an attempt of the "students' revolution". Having started with spontaneous student disturbances connected to the dissatisfaction of Paris cinema goers with Henri Langlois's dismissal from the post of the director (April, 1968), the events very soon - already in May of the same year - grew into a genuine social crisis - with large-scale demonstrations, mass riots and a general strike under political (Marxist, Trotskyist, Maoist and anarchist) slogans, with economic demands of a forty-hour workweek and increase in minimum wages. Eventually, it caused a change of government and a resignation of the most famous French president - Charles de Gaulle (he resigned on 28 April, 1969). That was also the reason for serious changes in the French and European society. So, the "revolutionary" closure of the Cannes Film Festival by leftists in May, 1968, turned out a local episode against such a large-scale background...

The reaction of the Soviet authorities (who were on good terms with France and Charles de Gaulle in the 1960s) to these events was mostly negative. At the same time, Soviet media especially underlined the negative aspects of the Maoist and anarchist disturbances of May, 1968...

The events in Czechoslovakia where the government began to actively follow the policy of the European integration since the mid-1960s were not less acute. On 5 January 1968 Alexander Dubček was elected the First Secretary of Communist Party of Czechoslovakia. Since his accession to power the state censorship (which was a most liberal one among the countries of the socialist camp before) noticeably slackened; the gateway for public debates was opened. It was even more often told about the usefulness of a multi-party system and private property, business activity; about the need for freedom of expression, meetings and movement, etc. (after twenty years it was voiced, almost word for word, already in the USSR during "Gorbachev's Perestroika"). Thus, 1968 was the culmination year of the endeavor to build "socialism with a human face" in a "separately taken state".

Naturally, unlike France, in the case of Czechoslovakia the Kremlin could afford much more than just criticism and denunciation of this or that event. At first the assault on "the Prague Spring" was led in a "peaceful way": in the spring of 1968 (on 23 March in Dresden and on 4 May in Moscow) the Soviet administration openly expressed their dissatisfaction with the democratic changes in Czechoslovakia. The political pressure on A. Dubček's team got intensified in July-August of 1968. Being convinced that the reforms concerning "socialism with a human face" are supported by broad masses (as well as in France - students and youth) in Czechoslovakia and it is impossible to "calm down" the rebellious with words, the Kremlin decided to apply to armed intervention - on the night of 20/21 August 1968, troops were

brought into Czechoslovakia. Naturally, it led to mass protests (including armed) of Czechs and Slovaks against the occupation. But the forces were too unequal: in April, 1969, (almost simultaneously with Charles de Gaulle's resignation in France) A. Dubček was resigned from office and a long period of "crackdown" was launched in Czechoslovakia...

So, the angry and hard-line response of the cinematographic authorities to the free-flying improvisation of young characters of *The Little School Orchestra* in the humanistic spirit of "universal values" in the autumn of 1968 was quite predictable.

– *How does the understanding of these events contribute to our understanding of the media text?*

It stands to reason that the comprehension of the historical-political context enables one to better understand the audiovisual imagery of a film. It may be difficult for a person who is unaware of the historical-political context of the late 1960s to understand why "The Little School Orchestra" was banned in the USSR.

– *What are real historical allusions?*

The foreign historical-political background of the late 1960s has been stated above. As for the events that occurred in the USSR, the second half of the 1960s is known to be the end of the "thaw" when both timid attempts of economic reforms and anti-Stalinism rhetoric came to nothing but the censorial grip became more rigid (the most vivid examples are the prohibition of books written by A. Solzhenitsyn, A. Tarkovsky's film *The Passions to Andrei* (1966), S. Paradzhanov's film *Kiev Frescos* (1966), A. Alov and V. Naumov's film *A Bad Joke* (1966), A. Askoldov's film *Comissar* (1967) and the film *Intervention* (1968) by G. Poloka).

– *Are there any historical references in the media text?*

Being absolutely politically indifferent *The Little School Orchestra* precisely mirrored the "musical trends" of the late 1960s: the film characters live by music, their life seems to be dissolved in jazz tunes and beats of the remarkable music of M. Tariverdiev.

The talks though somehow concerning the social context (the episode in the workshop) are minimized. The main thing in the film is music and love, improvisation and freedom.

### **B. Cultural Context**

1. *Media and popular culture: how does the media text reflect, strengthen, suggest or create cultural: a) relations, b) values, c) conduct, d) concern; e) myths.*

Namely in the second half of the 1960s the era of "recorded" music began in the USSR when broad masses of population for the first time gained an opportunity to secretly record and listen to western rock and jazz music

which was almost eliminated from the official Soviet media (the radio, television, gramophone recording). Under the influence of the extremely popular western bands *The Beatles*, *Rolling Stones*, etc. the so-called "playing and singing groups" (professional and amateur) sprang up all over the USSR; jazz groups were revived.

*The Little School Orchestra* reflects it all very precisely – the major characters – school graduates of the 10th grade – play jazz with enthusiasm that in many respects defines their attitudes, values and behaviour. Music can be both a declaration of love and a momentary experience and a symbol of freedom...

2. *Outlook: what world is shown in the media text?*

a) *What is the culture of this world? People? Ideology?*

Contrary to the standards of the "Soviet society" there dominate the motives of jazz improvisation, love, the atmosphere of free flying and admiration for cultural/religious heritage of the past in this world (the scene presenting an excursion to St. Sophia Cathedral conducted by one of the characters of the film). The main characters are young – they are from 16 to 30, they are beautiful, charming, musical, and intelligent. There is neither ideological jabber nor Komsomol meetings, nor edifying speeches of their teachers and parents (the latter are altogether taken beyond the story).

b) *What do we know about the people who belong to this world?*

– *are the characters shown in a stereotyped manner?*

The characters of "The Little School Orchestra" are represented in contravention of Soviet film stereotypes on the "school-youth" theme of the 1960s: they do not reflect on the topic of the revolutionary heritage (*I'm Twenty*); they are not absorbed in social problems (*Three Days of Viktor Chernyshov*) and/or family problems (*Manlike Talk*). Though the images of the characters are given in outline it does not prevent them from getting the viewer's sympathy.

– *What does this representation tell us about the cultural stereotype of this group?*

If the expression may be tolerated, the "cultural code" of the young characters of *The Little School Orchestra* is enthralment with jazz music, love, inner freedom, detachment toward the "Soviet lifestyle".

c) *What outlook does this world represent - optimistic or pessimistic?*

– *Are the characters of the media text happy?*

– *do the characters of the media text have a chance of being happy?*

In spite of some minor notes connected to the characters' love peripetias the world of *The Little School Orchestra* is optimistic. And its characters hope to be happy (maybe in their illusions)...

d) *Are the characters able to direct their own fates?*

Yes, in a way, the collage epilogue of the media text testifies to that.

e) *What is the hierarchy of values in this outlook?*

– *what values can be found in the media text?*

– *what values do the characters embody?*

Music, improvisation, youth, friendship, love are the chief values of the film characters that are shared by the authors of the media text.

– *what values prevail in the end?*

– *what does it mean to be a success in this world? How does a person succeed in this world? What behavior is rewarded in the world?*

The world of *The Little School Orchestra* is not concerned about material values, the major characters are on the cusp of adulthood, success or failure. Actually, in the real Soviet world of the late 1960s one's success was associated not only with one's promotion up the Komsomol and the party ladder but also with one's progress in culture and sport, for example. So, the major characters' passion for music, perhaps, gave them a chance to advance...

It should be noted that A. Silverblatt's methodology corresponds to basic approaches of the hermeneutic analysis of audiovisual, space-and-time structure of media texts. There are some associative links between the screen and the viewer's experience (life and aesthetic); the emotional empathy with the characters and authors of the media text begins with intuitive, subconscious appreciation of the dynamics of the audiovisual, space-and-time artistic image of a scene. Then follows the process of its analysis and synthesis – ascertaining the meaning of frames, angles, shots, etc., their synthetics, combination, comprehension of ambiguity, expression of one's personal attitude...

For instance, it is possible to recall the space-and-time dynamics of the audiovisual image of one of the first scenes in *The Little School Orchestra* obviously shot in the style of a most famous masterpiece of the French "New Wave" – *Last Year at Marienbad* (1961) directed by A. Robbe-Grillet and A. Resnais. At first we see figures geometrically put and placed in the interior space, and then – a picturesque city alley shot from the top view: it is full of elegant ladies with dogs strolling towards each other, one of the dogs carrying the owner's umbrella. The geometry of the figures placed on the landscape is again scrupulously adjusted and thought-out. The people sitting on benches seem to have stooped dead in the freeze-frame. A jazz improvisation is being played... In this way the authors boldly introduce the audience into the image world of their media text...

This is a sample of how one can verbally analyze a media representation of the seen and heard flow of sound-and-visual images which can be given in a more detailed way, including the light-and-color solution, mise-en-scène,

actors' plastique and mime, use of certain details. Thus, one should interpret not only the psychological and emotional but also the audiovisual, space-and-time contents of the artistic image in this episode, its culmination meaning... In other words, from a more or less linear interpretation of the narrative scheme we proceed to an associational, polyphonic one. The events, characters, the artistic and musical solution are perceived as a unity, holistically.

### *Filmography*

***The Little School Orchestra. USSR (Ukrtefilm), 1968.*** Directed by Alexander Muratov and Nikolai Rashev. Screenwriters: Vladimir Zuev, Alexander Muratov, Nikolai Rashev. Cameraman: Oleg Martynov. Composer: Mikael Tariverdiev. Art director: Galina Shabanova. Cast: Galina Shabanova, Svetlana Smechnova, Sergei Vlasov, Victor Totski, Vladimir Khodzitski, Vladimir Chinaev and others.

## ***The Mystery of Two Oceans: The Novel and its Screen Version: Ideological and Structural Analysis***

Let me take two popular Soviet media texts, the novel *The Mystery of Two Oceans* (1939) and its screen version (1956) as an example of ideological and structural analysis. This will allow us to identify differences in social and historical context of the creation time and in the structure of these media texts.

Following the methods developed by U.Eco, let's single out the three "rows" or "systems", which are important in a work. They are: author's ideology; the market conditions which determined the idea, the process of writing and success of the book (or at least which contributed to all three of them); the methods of the narration (Eco, 2005, p.209). These methods sort quite well with C.Bazalgette's methods of the analysis of media texts (Bazalgette, 1995) – with the resting upon such key words of media education as "media agencies", "media/media text categories", "media technologies", "media languages", "media representations" and "media audiences", since all these concepts are directly related to ideological, market, structural and contents aspects of the analysis of media texts.

***Ideology of the authors in social and cultural context***  
(dominating concepts: "media agencies", "media representations", "media audiences")

I must make a reservation here that by the authors I understand the writer G. Adamov (1886-1945) and the creators of the screen version – the script-writers V. Alekseev, N. Rozhkov and the director K. Pipinashvili (1912-1969). Despite the initial pathos of the communist ideology expressed on the novel (which was written in 1938 and published in 1939), its screen interpretation acquired somewhat subdued features. This is caused by gradual changes in Soviet society (the film was shot in 1955, a year before Khrushchev's famous anti-Stalin speech).

Ideological priorities are marked in G. Adamov's novel rather sharply: "Pavlik grew up far away from his homeland, from its happy life, from its exciting struggle with the menacing forces of nature and the remnants of the past, slave years, away from its victories and achievements. For six years which are important for the formation of man, he had lived in capitalist America, in an atmosphere of enmity between man and man, the workers and the capitalists, the poor and the rich. Pavlik lived a lonesome life without his mother who had died the first year they moved to the quiet, patriarchal Quebec, without brothers and sisters, without friends and comrades. Suddenly after having passed through mortal danger, Pavlik got

to a Soviet submarine, to a company of courageous people, to a close-knit circle of friends accustomed to danger and able to fight with it. They conquered his heart with their cheerfulness, their unity, their friendship and their simple but cast-iron discipline. Motherland – strong, affectionate and brave, accepted Pavlik in the tight space of the “Pioneer”. It breathed new feelings into him, aroused an ardent desire to be worthy of it, to take after the best of her sons» (Adamov, 1939).

There is no such straightforward ideological vocabulary in the film. However, the main attributes of the kind are carefully preserved. We shouldn't forget that the first half of the 1950s in the Soviet Union was marked by the so-called “Cold war”. That's why the ideological constituent of espionage themes is strengthened in the film compared with the novel. Admittedly, in the film espionage has lost clear orientation to a particular country. In 1938-1939 Japan was one of the most likely military opponents of the Soviet communist regime, and in G. Adamov's novel engineer Gorelov appeared as insidious and cruel Japanese spy. As is known after the defeat in World War II, Japan has been devoid of military power. That is why in K.Pipinashvili's film the spy of 1955 acquired a cosmopolitan coloration. Ideologically it became even more favorable. On the one hand, Gorelov could be not only an American spy but also a spy sent by any bourgeois and imperialist country. On the other hand, a kind of “political correctness” was observed – the public enemy was not specifically named, the spy has lost his distinct national coloring.

But that ideological constituent is not solely a communist model's product. For example, during the Cold War American films were also shot in the same ideologically straightforward manner, where friendly and democratic Americans fought the evil Kremlin agents or their stooges...

The soviet ideological specificity showed in something different: in author's aspiration for the bright communistic future, where the best and the most powerful submarines travel through the world's oceans, and the country of all sorts of Soviets becomes a tremendous accomplishment of a utopian dream of a classless society with equal needs and opportunities; the society with limitless natural resources, technical and technological, with the most advanced workers, farmers, scientists, , sailors, pioneers, etc.

***The market conditions which contributed to the conception, the process of creation and to success of the media text (dominating concepts: “media agencies”, “media/media text categories”, “media technologies”, “media audiences”).***

The Soviet media market of the 1930s can probably be divided into two periods. In the first half of the 1930s there still existed if not private than co-operative property in the print and film publishing. In the second

half of the 1930s Stalin's censorship drew up nearly all the remaining artists under the banner of social realism. As for G. Adamov, there was no need to draw him up, because his ideas and thoughts were always in unison with "the Party's general line". The totalitarian regime of the second half of the 1930s demanded that the "masters of culture" fought public enemies and spies against the background of the construction of communism and the conquest of nature. And G. Adamov earnestly responded to this calling with his book *The Mystery of Two Oceans*.

At the same time the writer oriented himself to the children and the youth, that's why he described the underwater world and technical equipment of the submarine in great detail.

The novel sold well, but as G. Adamov expected, it was read mostly by schoolchildren. That's why the authors of the screen version significantly changed the plot of *The Mystery...* to make it more entertaining and expand the audience's age range.

As is known the only owner of the Soviet media market in the 1950s was the state. The planning of film production went from the top, without taking into account the tastes and need of the audience. However guided by pragmatic intuition and common sense the governing body of cinema didn't reduce screen production to some kind of Party Reports. After all, cinema as well as the alcohol beverage was a significant source of government revenue. Thus there existed a relative variety of film genres even during the reign of Stalin (when only 9-18 Soviet films were made each year) in the end of the 1940s and the beginning of the 1950s. "If a viewer had a choice he "voted" against the historical and biographical films which constituted the main part of film production in the early 50s. And vice versa, comedies, adventures, detectives, film on contemporary topics were the most popular" (Goldin, 2000).

Screen version of G. Adamov's novel was created during the expansion of filmmaking: 144 full-length films were produced in 1957. Therefore the state could afford a relative variety of genres. In many cases it wanted to make competitive productions. Under these conditions the authors produced a synthesis of fiction and detective story, and the result fully justified their hopes. *The Mystery of Two Oceans* became one of the most successful films of 1957 and took the honorable 6<sup>th</sup> place.

Of course, the film adaptation of the novel by G. Adamov didn't have many real rivals competing with dozens of boring "party" and "industrial" films. Only few of Western entertainment films were demonstrated in Soviet movie theatres (and when they were, as a rule they had a great success). However, even in comparison with the "top ten" of Soviet cinema of the 1950s (Table 10) the results of *The Mystery of Two*

*Oceans* (31.2 million viewers in the first year of demonstration) are rather good.

***Table 10. The leaders of Soviet film distribution of the 1950s***

1. ***Quiet Flows the Don (1957)*** by Sergey Gerasimov. 46.9 million viewers.
2. ***Spring Love (1953)*** by Yan Frid. 46.4 million viewers.
3. ***Over the Tisza (1958)*** by Dmitry Vasilyev. 45.7 million viewers.
4. ***Carnival Night (1956)*** by Eldar Ryazanov. 45.6 million viewers.
5. ***Wedding Dowry (1953)*** by Tatyana Lukashevich, Boris Rovenskiy. 45.3 million viewers.
6. ***Outpost in the Mountains (1953)*** by Konstantin Yudin. 44.8 million viewers.
7. ***Ivan Brovkin in Virgin Lands (1959)*** by Ivan Lukinsky. 44.6 million viewers.
8. ***Brave People (1950)*** by Konstantin Yudin. 41.2 million viewers.
9. ***Kuban Cossacks (1950)*** by Ivan Pyryev. 40.6 million viewers.
10. ***Soldat Ivan Brovkin (1955)*** by Ivan Lukinsky. 40.3 million viewers.

I must note that not only to dramas are among the leaders of the box-office of the 1950s. The “lighter” genres prevail – comedies (5 films) и action (3 films). Thus the authors of the screen version reached their main goal – to make the film successful with the audience. This success was caused not only because of the synthesis of detective and science fiction genres, but also the high technical level of special effects and scenery.

***The structure and methods of narration in the media text*** (dominating concepts: “media/media text categories”, “media technologies”, “media languages”, “media representations”)

I believe that both the novel and the screen version of *The Mystery of Two Oceans* are based on simple dichotomies:

- 1) the aggressive bourgeois world and the peaceful and friendly world of the builders of the bright communist society;
- 2) positive and ideologically correct (i.e. faithful to communist ideas) characters and villains/spies;
- 3) heroism/self-sacrifice and betrayal;
- 4) honesty/sincerity and fraud/deceit;
- 5) the plan and the result.

Since one of the characters in the novel and in the film is a child, I can add one more dichotomy “naivety/innocence and experience/sophistication”.

All the characters in G. Adamov's novel were male, but a female doctor appears in K. Pipinashvili's film. This creates one more dichotomy: the woman and the villain, the culmination of which is a spectacular scene where the spy Gorelov tries to drown the woman in the diving gateway of the submarine.

Besides the main spy (S. Golovanov played his role) one more traitor appears though only in the beginning of the film (played by M. Gluzsky). The script writers had to create a new plot line connected with the appearance of Gorelov on board of the submarine "*Pioneer*".

"A professional engineer who works on a classified submarine of course is trusting as a child and absolutely carefree, whereas his twin brother, a circus gymnast, is the embodiment of slyness and deceit. He lures his own brother, the innocent engineer, to the very dome of the circus and throws him down to the arena without any regret. Then he changes into his brother's jacket and then he has fun launching boosters in an underwater bunker" (Sorvina, 2007).

Thus there is no coincidence that "the entourage of the circus, a place traditionally popular among horror films directors" is used here (Tsyrukun, [http://mega.km.ru/cinema/Encyclop.asp?Topic=lvn\\_flm\\_4976](http://mega.km.ru/cinema/Encyclop.asp?Topic=lvn_flm_4976)). And the dramatic story of the circus murder was thought out by the script writers instead of G. Adamov's vaguely written storyline about Gorelov's Japanese relatives (his uncle and his bride). Together with the storyline of the second spy (M. Gluzsky) – the car chase, portable radio transmitter and poison – these scenario novelties replace G. Adamov's meticulous and detailed descriptions of underwater plants, animals and technical equipment.

At the same time there are no special detective plot novelties either in the novel or in the film, because for detective plots whether it's an investigation or "tough action" the variation of elements is not typical. The typical thing is namely the repetition of the usual scheme in which the reader can recognize something he had already seen before which is pleasurable. Pretending to be an information generating machine, a detective story is by contrast a redundancy generating machine. Allegedly arousing the reader detective stories actually reinforce the sort of indolence of imagination, because the story is not about the unknown, it's about the already known (Eco, 2005, p.263). In the U.Eco opinion, thus there is a paradox: the very "detectives" which seem to be made to satisfy the interest in something unexpected and sensational, are actually "consumed" for quite the opposite reasons. They are like invitations to the peaceful world where everything is familiar, calculated and foreseen. Ignorance of who the real criminal is becomes a minor point, almost a pretext. Moreover, "in "action detectives" (in which the iterative schemes triumph as well as in

“detective investigations”) the suspense connected with the searches for the criminal often is totally absent. We do not follow the way the criminal is searched for; we follow the “topos” actions of “topos” characters whose patterns of behavior we love (Eco, 2005, p.199).

However what seems to be the professional orientation of the authors of the film to a genre appeal can be interpreted quite differently. For example, in 1957 Soviet “Teacher’s Newspaper” defended Adamov’s plot construction: “Apparently the authors of the film decided that G. Adamov’s ably written novel lacks action and drama and rewrote it anew. Thus the fascinating science fiction was changed into the ordinary detective story. It’s a pity! Soviet viewers always look forward to meeting the characters they love on the screen, the meeting with human beings, not with some conventional figures claiming to have similarities with their namesakes from the books” (Teacher’s Newspaper, 1957).

As regards the human beings in the review of “Teacher’s Newspaper” this is too much: the characters in the novel as well as in the film are stereotyped genre figures. Take the description of the villains: “Two men leaned over the map. Their faces were indistinguishable, only their eyes were gleaming in the dim light: ones were narrow, dull and indifferent; others were big, fiery and sunken into the blackness of the eye sockets. The silhouettes of these people were vaguely outlined. ... He was waxy pale. Long thin lips were gray; they curled in a strained, lifeless smile. Fear was in his deep sunken black eyes. His high forehead was covered with tiny beads of perspiration...» (Adamov, 1939).

In this connection M. Sorvina justly notices that “here we can observe a paradoxical peculiarity which confirms the tendency: Gorelov doesn’t look neither magical nor charismatic; the authors of the film build up his charisma solely with the help of dramatic art and details. They literally impose him as a strong, bright, attractive and of course deceptive personality... It’s not by chance that at the very beginning of the film Gorelov always wins. He is the strongest – in the first fight with a Soviet secret agent (Igor Vladimirov), the most intelligent – in his advices to a rather stupid captain (Sergey Stolyarov) and in logic games with the boy. That’s him who the child likes the most, and a child’s trust is a criterion for the audience’s confidence. This character is a knight without fear and reproach as though he has no drawbacks. Thus the audience doesn’t wonder why he is the strongest in the crew and knows the exercises in concentration. At that time the audience has not been versed in cinematic clichés yet. Nobody ever suspects Gorelov in treachery and this suggests that this man knows how to put on a mask because of his profession” (Sorvina, 2007).

Eventually it turned out that *The Mystery of Two Oceans* can be interpreted even in terms of Freudism: for psychoanalysis, Adamov's novel is an ideal object. Firstly, this book isn't tainted with the slightest literary gift. Secondly, and more importantly, the very nature of the genre, a fantasy, a dream, avidly demands psychoanalysis. Not only the German word "traum", or the English word "dream", but also the Russian word "gryzoza" has the second meaning – "a reverie". Therefore the analysis of literary fiction is a particular case of the interpretation of dreams... If Adamov was a little more attentive (or sophisticated) he would have realized that the atmosphere of cheerful homosexuality reigned aboard (Bar-Sella, 1996).

In our opinion the last passage is too radical and ironic, but it confirms U. Eco's correctness once again: the texts oriented to the particular reactions of a certain circle of readers (be it children, or soap opera lovers, doctors, law-abiding citizens, representatives of subcultures, Presbyterians, farmers, women from middle-class, divers, effeminate snobs or representatives or any other social and psychological category), in fact are open to all sort of "erroneous" decoding (Eco, 2005, p.19). So I in no way insist that my interpretation of the analyzed media texts is absolutely true.

The techniques of visual language of the novel deserve special attention. The language of Adamov's novel is sometimes close to that of newspapers and sketches ("The captain looked through the radiogram and raised his pale face. He turned to the stiffen crew, cast a glance at these people, who became close and dear to him during the three month long unforgettable trip, and waving the sheet he exclaimed: "Listen to the radiogram of the Central Committee of the Communist Party and the government"), but suddenly is filled with picturesque descriptions of underwater life ("A transparent medusa as if cast out of the purest glass swam by. Its gelatinous body was bordered with delicate fringe, and its long tentacles were streaming like a bunch of colorful laces... A small silver fish flashed by one of these gentle creatures, and the picture changed in an instant... The tentacles contracted, drew into the mouth of the medusa, and in a moment Pavlik was watching the dark outlines of the digested fish inside the medusa").

Audiovisual language of the film *The Mystery of Two Oceans* is much more interesting. So an experienced film critic drew analogies with the popular in the West in the late 1940s "film noir" genre. N. Tsykun wrote: "It happened so that I always watched *The Mystery of Two Oceans* in black and white, so a classic "film noir" stuck in my memory: the dark streets in the predawn, the fluttering window curtains, the pavement shining after the rain, the evil face filmed through the windshield of the car racing at breakneck speed; on the sound track — snatches of radio signals, squeaking

breaks... All this was presented in the first episodes. A stranger in black raincoat calls a lonely musician and demands to send a message to the Center (the transmitter is hidden in the grand piano; the spy messages are encoded in musical phrases. The codename of the agent-radioman is "The Pianist", and it's difficult to say whether it is irony or inadvertence). The doorbell rings again, it's the security services. The musician helps the agent to escape through the window, then takes some drug and imitates death. The security services take away "the dead body" which mysteriously disappears on the way...

Eventually it became clear that "film noir" was never formed in USSR as the genre, and the curiosity with black and white copies of the films should be referred to "The role of film mechanics in the history of cinema, or Once again about reception" (Tsyrukun, [http://mega.km.ru/cinema/Encyclop.asp?Topic=lvn\\_flm\\_4976](http://mega.km.ru/cinema/Encyclop.asp?Topic=lvn_flm_4976)).

But you never know... Maybe Konstantin Pipinashvili - the Moscow Cinematography Institute's student of Sergei Eisenstein - demonstrated the "coded" knowledge of the Western analogues of the genre, representation (reconsideration) of visual images and symbolism of "film noir" in (over)saturated colors.

Let's add here the skillful use of an enigmatic melody of A.Machavariani which was indeed avant-garde for Soviet film music of those years...

In short unlike the novel the film adaptation was much more popular. Not only half a century ago, but also nowadays, which is evidenced by the fact that a well-known author of "Video guide" Mishail Ivanov writes at his website ([videoguide.ru](http://videoguide.ru)): "It's a wonderful film, the classics of the genre. It's really soothing and cheering. Of course I watched several times when I was a child. But I could not resist and watched it this year for "Video guide".

### *Filmography*

**The Mystery of Two Oceans. USSR 1956.** Director Konstantin Pipinashvili. Screenwriters: Vladimir Alekseev, Nikolai Rozhkov, Konstantin Pipinashvili. Actors: Sergei Stolyarov, Igor Vladimirov, Sergey Golovanov, Peter Sobolewski, Antonina Maximova, Mikhail Gluzsky Paul Luspekaev, Sergei Komarov, and others.

#### *From the summary for the film "The Mystery of Two Oceans":*

The soviet motor ship "Arktika" sinks in the Atlantic Ocean under mysterious circumstances. At the same time the French motor ship

“Victoire” blows up in the Pacific Ocean. The crew of the super submarine “Pioneer” is to find out the causes of the disasters...

### *References*

- Adamov G.B.** (1939). *The Mystery of Two Oceans*. Moscow. <http://lib.ru/RUFANT/ADAMOW/tajna1.txt>
- Bar-Sella Z.** (1996). Prayer for the cup (About G. Adamov's works). *Words*. 1996. №1. pp.67-72. <http://www.rusf.ru/ao/ao-06/main/bar-sela.html>
- Bazalgette C.** (1995). *Key Aspects of Media Education*. Moscow: Association for Film Education, 51 p.
- Eco U.** (1995). *The Role of the Reader. Studies on the Semiotics of the Text*. St.Petersburg: Symposium, 502 p.
- Goldin M.M.** (2000). *The Governmental Control of Art. The Activity of the First Russian Ministry of Culture*. Moscow. <http://www.rpri.ru/min-kulture/MinKulture.doc>
- Ivanov M.** *The Mystery of Two Oceans*. [http://www.videoguide.ru/card\\_film.asp?idFilm=15501](http://www.videoguide.ru/card_film.asp?idFilm=15501)
- Kluger D.** (2006). Paradise Lost of Spy Novel. *Reality of Fiction*. 2006. № 8. <http://www.rf.com.ua/article/952>
- Postupalskaya M.** (1959). *G.B. Adamov. The Mystery of Two Oceans*. Moscow. <http://lib.ru/RUFANT/ADAMOW/tajna1.txt>
- Sorvina M.** (2007). *Undeclared War*. <http://www.kino-teatr.ru/kino/art/kino/a6/210/>
- The Mystery of Two Oceans. *Teacher's Newspaper*. 1957. № 42. April, 6.
- Tsyrkun N.** *The Mystery of Two Oceans*. [http://mega.km.ru/cinema/Encyclop.asp?Topic=lvn\\_flm\\_4976](http://mega.km.ru/cinema/Encyclop.asp?Topic=lvn_flm_4976)

## ***Amphibian man* – The Novel and the Film Adaptation: Cultural Mythology Analysis of Media Text**

Being bedridden by serious illness for years, the science fiction writer Alexander Belyaev (1884 – 1942) created a whole gallery of characters which do not fit into the traditional world with its political and social problems. On the one hand there were romantic heroes who can live underwater and fly like birds. On the other hand there were brilliant scientists who could conduct most dangerous scientific experiments, which are often beyond the conventional moral standards. The amazingly realistic feelings of professor Dowel's severed head were not imagined but taken by A. Belyaev from his own biography. The paralyzed had plenty of time to think over the plots of his books slowly and thoroughly. Unfortunately, the free flying of Ariel has remained a dream for A. Belyaev who starved to death in the suburbs of Leningrad occupied by Nazi...

The writer didn't live to see his works filmed. However the first film adaptation of his novel *Amphibian Man* (1961) immediately overcame the value of 60 million viewers which was previously insuperable in the Soviet period (for the first 12 months of demonstration in cinemas) and was successfully sold to dozens of countries all over the world. This can be explained not only because of unique underwater filming and charming duet of V. Korneev and A. Vertinskaya, but also because *Amphibian Man* with its theme of "responsibility for human life and destiny" became one of the symbols of the short period of "thaw" (Kharitonov, 2003).

In the "top ten" of Soviet films of the 1960s (Table 11) *Amphibian Man* took the honorable seventh place in the box office having pressed *War and Peace* and the first episode of *Elusive Avengers*. It was the only science fiction film among the top ten fiction films of the decade (three very popular in Russia comedies of Leonid Gaidai, four war and adventure film and one operetta).

### ***Table 11. "Top 10" hit parade of Soviet films of the 1960s***

1. **The Diamond Arm (1969)** by Leonid Gaidai. 76.7 million viewers.
2. **Prisoner of the Caucasus (1967)** by Leonid Gaidai. 76.5 million viewers.
3. **Wedding in Malinovka (1967)** by Andrew Tutyshkin. 74.6 million viewers.
4. **Operation "Y" (1965)** by Leonid Gaidai. 69.6 million viewers.
5. **Sword and Shield (1968)** by Vladimir Basov. 68.3 million viewers.

6. **The New Adventures of the Elusive (1969)** by E. Keosayan. 66.2 million viewers.
7. **Amphibian Man (1962)** by G.Kazansky and V. Chebotarev. 65.4 million viewers.
8. **War and Peace (1966)** by Sergei Bondarchuk. 58 million viewers.
9. **Strong of Spirit (1968)** by Victor Georgiev. 55.2 million viewers.
10. **Elusive Avengers (1967)** by Edmond Keosayan. 54.5 million viewers.

As D. Gorelov truly noticed the screen version of *Amphibian Man* became the “first super blockbuster of post-Stalin era. Soviet cinema never knew such success, which overshadowed *Feat of the Spy* and the like... If only the competent producer could see that ocean of gold which yielded *Amphibian Man*... But Chebotarev and Kazansky lived in the wild, ugly and merciless world of freedom, equality and fraternity. The critics scolded them for lightness in the sacred struggle against capital... For the first time “Soviet Screen” boldly forged the results of its annual readers’ contest and gave the first place to a dull and long dead drama... *Amphibian Man* was shifted to the third place and the readers were condescendingly scolded for their passion for tastelessness” (Gorelov, 2001).

The negative reaction of Soviet critics to G. Kazansky and V.Chebotarev’s film coincides with the harsh criticism of Belyaev’s novel. V.Revich reproached the writer in lack of talent and depravity of the scientific approach: “Belyaev was defamed but his works were published, and his science fiction spoiled readers’ tastes for a good long while” (Revich, 1998).

However the analysis of the artistic level of Belyaev’s novel and its screen version is a topic for another article. In this case we are interested in the following – Cultural Mythology Analysis of Media Texts, i.e. identification and analysis of mythologizing (including the so-called folklore sources – fairy tales, “urban legends”, etc.) plot stereotypes, themes, characters, etc. in the particular work.

V.Y.Propp (Propp, 1976), N.M.Zorkaya (Zorkaya, 1981), M.I.Turovskaya (Turovskaya, 1979), O.F.Nechay (Nechay, 1993) and M.V.Yampolskiy (Yampolsky, 1987) brought out clearly that for the total success of works of mass culture it is necessary that their creators take into consideration the folklore type of aesthetical perception, so “the archetypes of fairy tale and legend and the corresponding archetypes of folklore perception when meeting each other produce the effect of integral success of mass favorites” (Zorkaya, 1981, p.116).

It should be noted that researchers often mentioned the inseparability of folklore, fairy tales, legends and myths. V.Y. Propp was

convinced that from the historical point of view “a fairy tale in its morphological basis is a myth” (Propp, 1998, p.68). Moreover, “a myth cannot be formally distinguished from a fairy tale. Fairy tales and myths sometimes coincide so much that in ethnography and folklore such myths are often called fairy tales (Propp, 1998, p.124).

Indeed, the success with the audience is closely connected with the mythological layer of the work. “Strong” genres – thriller, science fiction, western always rest upon “strong” myths” (Yampolsky, 1987, p.41). The interconnection of unusual but “real” events is one of the fundamental archetypes (resting upon the deep-laid psychological structures which influence consciousness and subconsciousness) of fairy tales, legends. It is very important for massive popularity of media texts.

Having examined hundreds of fairy tale plots, V.Y. Propp singled out nearly 30 types of main events and characters with a limited set of their roles among which the specific characters and their functions are distributed in a certain way. Each of the characters/roles (a hero, a false hero, a sender, an assistant, an antagonist/villain, a grantor, a princess or her father), has its actions i.e. one or several functions (Propp, 1998, pp.24-49).

V.Y. Propp also proved the binary character of most of events/functions of the plot (shortage – elimination of shortage, prohibition – violation of prohibition, struggle – victory, etc.). At that “many functions are logically united in certain circles. Generally these circles correspond to the performers. These are action circles” (Propp, 1998, p.60).

Further researches (Eco, 1960; Zorkaya, 1981, 1994, etc.) proved that V.Y. Propp’s approaches are applicable to the analysis of a great number of media texts, including almost all products of mass media culture (literary, cinematographic, television, etc.).

Indeed, cultural mythology can easily be found many popular media texts. The echoes of myths and fairy tales about Odyssey, Cyclops, Sirens, Aladdin, Cinderella, Little Red Riding Hood, Baba Yaga, The Dragon Snake, Bluebeard, etc. can be found there to a more or less extent. Of course deliberately or not the audience (eg. schoolchildren) reaches for fabulous, fantastic action, mythological heroes...

Thus the success of media texts of popular culture is influenced by many factors: the basis on folklore and mythological sources, constancy of metaphors, orientation toward the consecutive realization of the steadiest plot schemes, the synthesis of natural and supernatural, the address to emotions through identification (the imaginary transformation into the characters, fusion with the atmosphere of the work), “magical power” of the characters, standardization (replication, unification, adaptation) of the ideas, situations, characters, etc., serial and mosaic character of the work,

compensation (the illusion of realization of cherished might-have-been dreams), happy ending, the use of such rhythmical organization of audiovisual media texts when not only the contents but also the order of frames influences the emotions of the audience; intuitive guessing of subconscious interests of the audience, etc..

Let's analyze A. Belyaev's novel *Amphibian Man* (1927) and its film adaptation (1961, screenwriters A. Golburt, A. Ksenofontov, A. Kapler, directed by G. Kazansky. V. Chebotarev) as it is a typical media text which rests upon folklore/mythological source (let's make a table with mythological and fairy tale stereotypes of the novel *Amphibian Man* and its film adaptation (on the basis of the researches of V.Y. Propp, N.M. Zorkaya, M.I. Turovskaya) (see Table 12).

**Table 12. Revelation of folklore and mythological stereotypes of media text**

<b>Key events (Propp, 1998, pp.24-49) of media texts which rest upon folklore/fairy tales/myths</b>	<b>Presence (+) or absence (-) of this event in the novel "Amphibian man" and its film adaptation</b>
The positive character leaves his home (departure)	+ (The Amphibian Man Ichthyander leaves the greenhouse conditions of his father's (professor Salvator's villa)
The positive character is addressed to with a prohibition (prohibition)	+ (The father prohibits his son who leaves only in the guarded villa and in the ocean to communicate with ordinary people)
The positive character violates the prohibition	+ (Ichthyander violates his father's prohibition, saves and falls in love with a young beauty Guttiere)
The negative character tries to conduct a reconnaissance (worming out) and gets the necessary information about the positive character (giving away)	+ (A villain named Zurita finds out the "sea devil's" hideout in order to catch him in a net)
The negative character tries to deceive the positive character to capture him or seize his property (deception/trick)	+ (The artful Zurita deceives the naïve Ichthyander. He catches him in a net and then promises to set him free if he gets pearls for him from the bottom of the ocean)
The positive character is amenable to fraud and thus unwillingly helps the enemy (aiding)	+ (Ichthyander believes his lies: "All that Zurita said seemed convincing and plausible to Ichthyander")
The negative character harms or damages one of the positive character's family members (harm) or one of the family members lack something (shortage).	+ (Zurita makes Guttiere to become his wife)
The positive character finds out the truth	+ (Guttierre tells Ichthyander

about the harm/shortage or he is asked/made to do something or he is sent somewhere (the connective moment) and so he begins to act/resist.	the truth about Zurita's fraud: "The young man had already left the water when he heard Guttierrez's muffled voice: "Zurita is lying! Save yourself, Ichthyander!> Ichthyander tries to counteract Zurita).
The positive character is being attacked/tested/ questioned, etc., and then he receives some magical aid/somebody helps him (the function of the grantor).	+ (Ichthyander is enclosed into a barrel with rotten water, but with the help of Professor Salvator and a warder he prepares to escape).
The initial trouble/shortage is liquidated (liquidation of trouble/shortage).	+ (Thanks to the warder's help Ichthyander escapes and swims away into the depths of the ocean bidding farewell to Guttiere)
The negative character is punished/annihilated (punishment).	+ (Guttiere breaks all relations with Zurita)
The positive character gets married and reigns or receives love and wealth as a gift (wedding)	- (The marriage of Ichthyander and Guttiere is impossible because he is doomed to live underwater. However an imaginary version of the harmony can be found in Ichthyander's dreams shown in the film adaptation of "Amphibian Man" when Ichthyander and Guttiere are swimming freely holding hands)

Relying upon the fact that the given media texts have the distinct folklore and mythological basis, let us try to single out in *Amphibian Man* the seven action circles according to V.Y. Propp's classification (Propp, 1998, pp.60-61):

1) the action circle of the antagonist/wrecker (wrecking, battle or other form of struggle with the hero, persecution) - insidious action of the greedy Zurita.

2) the action circle of the grantor/supplier – the action of Professor Salvator;

3) the action circle of the helper (the hero's spatial movements, liquidation of trouble or shortage, rescue from persecution, resolution of difficult problems, transfiguration of the hero) – the actions of minor characters who help Professor Salvator and Ichthyander;

4) the action circle of the sought character (exposure, recognition) – the actions of Guttiere whom Ichthyander is trying to find;

5) the action circle of the sender (the dispatch of the hero): in “Amphibian Man” Ichthyander sets off to the surface on his own, but he searches pearls at Zurita’s request;

6) the action circle of the hero (searches, the response to the grantor’s demands, wedding): At first Ichthyander is searching for Guttieri, then he is searching for pearls, but alas, he was never destined to reach the final wedding...

7) the action circle of the false hero (searches, the response to the grantor’s demands - always negative, and also a specific function – fraudulent claims): the actions of Zurita who fraudulently sends Ichthyander to search for pearls, and fraudulently tries to seize Guttieri (pretending to be her savior), etc.

As a result of this kind of analysis we can conclude that authors use almost all arsenal of mass success including folklore, fairy tale motives, they rest upon the functions of compensation, recreation, the aesthetical component which manifests in the professionalism of film direction, in cameraman’s work, in filigree stunts, in melodiousness of soundtrack, in actor’s technique and other factors that intensify entertainment and emotional appeal of the work.

The compositional preciseness is peculiar to the novel and to the screen version as well. At the same time the authors take into consideration the rules of “emotional pendulum” (the alternation of episodes which cause the audience’s positive and negative emotions).

Thus we can clearly determine that the authors/agencies managed to use the peculiarities of “primary” identification (with the scene of action of the media text) and “secondary” identification (with the characters of the media text).

Of course, to some extent the plot of *Amphibian Man* bears the imprint of the “Cold War”, of confrontation with “bourgeois world of cash” and its “false values” (especially this applies to the handsome Zurita). However in general it is of course an exotic folklore and fairy tale plot made on a brilliant melodramatic story.

### *Filmography*

**Amphibian Man. USSR, 1961.** Directors: Gennady Kazansky, Vladimir Chebotarev. Screenwriters: A. Golburt, A. Ksenofontov, A. Kapler. Actors: Vladimir Korneev, Anastasia Vertinskaya, Mikhail Kozakov, Nikolai Simonov, Vladlen Davydov and others.

**Awards:** International festival of science-fiction films in Trieste (1963) – Silver award. The contest of the magazine “Soviet Screen” (1962): readers /

viewers named this film among the 5 best films of the year, A. Vertinskaya and V. Korneev were among the 5 best actors of the year.

### *References*

- Eco, U.** (1960). Narrative Structure in Fleming. In: Buono, E., Eco, U. (Eds.). *The Bond Affair*. London: Macdonald, p.52.
- Fedorov A.V.** (2001). *Media Education*. Rostov: CVVR, 708 p.
- Fedorov A.V.** (2006). Media Education: Creative Tasks for Students and Schoolchildren. *Innovations in education*. 2006. N 4. pp.175-228.
- Fedorov A.V.** (2007). *The Development of Media Competence and Critical Thinking of Students of Pedagogical Institutions of Higher Education*. Moscow, 616 p.
- Gorelov D.** (2001). *First row-61: "Amphibian Man"*. <http://www.ozon.ru/context/detail/id/200781/>
- Kharitonov E.V.** (2003). "Amphibian Man" and after... In: Kharitonov E.V., Shcherbak-Zhukov A.V. *Miracle on the Screen: Soviet Science Fiction Films and Fairy Tales (1909-2002): Popular Encyclopedia*. Moscow: Institute of Film, 320 p. [http://www.fandom.ru/about\\_fan/kino/\\_st11.htm](http://www.fandom.ru/about_fan/kino/_st11.htm)
- Nechay O.F.** (1993). Film Education in the context of Fiction. *Specialist*. 1993. № 5. pp.11-13.
- Propp V.Y.** (1976). *Folklore and Reality*. Moscow: Iskusstvo, pp.51-63.
- Propp V.Y.** (1998). *Morphology of Fairy Tale. Historical Background of Fairy Tales*. Moscow: Labirynth, 512 p.
- Revich V.U.** (1998). *Legend of Belyaev*. Moscow: Institute of Oriental Studies, pp. 117-140. [http://www.fandom.ru/about\\_fan/revich\\_20\\_06.htm](http://www.fandom.ru/about_fan/revich_20_06.htm)
- Silverblatt, A.** (2001). *Media Literacy*. Westport, Connecticut – London: Praeger, 449 p.
- Turovskaya M.I.** (1979). Why does the Viewer Go to the Cinema. *Film Genres*. Moscow: Iskusstvo, 319 p.
- Yampolsky M.V.** (1987). Polemic Notes on the Aesthetics of Mass Films // *Records of the meeting of films critics*, October, 12-13, 1987. Moscow: Filmmakers' Union, pp.31-44.
- Zorkaya N.M.** (1994). *Folklore. Popular Literature. Screen*. Moscow.
- Zorkaya N.M.** (1981). *The Unique and the Replicated. Means of Mass Communication and Reproduced Art*. Moscow: Iskusstvo, 167 p.

## **“The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin”: the novel and its adaptation to media education lessons in the student audience**

**Introduction.** As we know, the demand for this or that literary material for film adaptations depend on many political, social and cultural factors. In this regard it is curious to conduct a comparative analysis of screen interpretations of a popular novel by Alexey N. Tolstoy (1883-1945) “The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin” (1927) in the context of media education. Here we shall use the methodology developed by U. Eco [Eco, 1998, p.209], A. Silverblatt [Silverblatt, 2001, p.80-81], L. Masterman [Masterman, 1985], C. Bazalgette [Bazalgette 1995], based on such key concepts of media education as media agencies, media/media text categories, media technologies, media languages, media representations and media audiences, because all these concepts are of direct relevance to value, ideological, market, structural and substantive, audio-visual, spatial and temporal aspects of the analysis of media products. It should be noted that this technology fits into the basic range of media educational goals of higher education, especially when training future culturologists, art historians, sociologists, linguists, psychologists and educators.

***Author’s ideology and moral principles in social and cultural context, market conditions that determined the concept and the process of creation of the media text (the dominant concepts: media agencies, media/media text categories, media technologies, media representations, media audience).***

After returning from a short emigration (1918-1923) “Red Count” A.N. Tolstoy apparently posed two main problems before himself: to earn positive reputation with the communist regime and significantly improve his financial situation in a fairly short period of time (and the NEP gave a lot of possibilities here). The trilogy “The Road to Cavalry”, started in 1922, was being written for a long while. And it was necessary to urgently publish some less ambitious though commercially attractive things. That’s how his fantastic story “Aelita” appeared (1923), quickly filmed by Y. Protazanov in 1924. The ideological function was indicated clearly – the communists are able to organize revolution not only on Earth but also on Mars...

Since the second half of 1925 A. N. Tolstoy began publishing another fiction adventure work in a magazine – the novel “the Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin” [Tolstoy, 1925-1927], which was apparently designed for the subsequent screen adaptation as well. The ideological background was similar to that of “Aelita”: firstly the exposure of the bourgeois world of “yellow devil”, and then the revolutionary uprising against the dictatorship of

the technocratic maniac Pyotr Petrovich Garin. In 1927 the ending was remade: in the first version [Tolstoy, 1927] after the miners' revolt under the leadership of Shelga the beauty Zoya dies and Garin disappears without a trace, whereas in the second version after the revolutionary uprising Zoya and Garin meet on the yacht "Arizona" and sail towards new adventures...

Later A.N. Tolstoy repeatedly changed the novel with perseverance worthy of a better use: in 1934 he partially shortened the text (in the edition of 1925-1927 there were lots of technical terms and blueprints), in 1936 he released an adaptation for children (with no mention of a brothel on Garin's island and other "adult" details). In 1937 the novel was revised again with the radical changes in the ending: the yacht "Arizona" suffered shipwreck and Garin and Zoya found themselves on an uninhabited island...

It seems that from the ideological point of view A.N. Tolstoy did all he could: now after the uprising of the "working masses" Garin didn't sail away with his beloved, but in retaliation had to while away the rest of his life feeding of seaweed and fish on a small piece of land in the middle of the ocean. But no: in 1939 the final edition of the "The Hyperboloid" was published [this "canonical" text is preserved in the edition: Tolstoy, 2007], in which the author forced Garin to steal the idea of the "apparatus" from engineer Mantsev thus even more increasing the negative image of Garin...

Meanwhile despite all the efforts to adapt the novel to the ideological "agenda", the Soviet filmmakers of the 1920-1950s were not interested in it. It would seem that the plot of "The Hyperboloid..." is cinematic in Hollywood style: the colorful characters are depicted vividly and brightly, the action takes unfolds rapidly in a mixture of detective, science fiction and parody genres.

The time for screening "The Hyperboloid" came in the 1960-1970es, at the peak of interest of the national cinema to science fiction, when not only cosmic stories were screened ("Planet of Storms", "Andromeda Nebula" etc.), but also film adaptations of A. Belyaev's novels ("The Amphibian Man", "Air Seller"), and the collections of science fiction stories and novels were selling like hot cakes in bookstores. Science fiction as a genre significantly inhibited by the era of late Stalinism of 1940-es – the beginning of 1950-es once again became not only legitimate, but also officially approved (naturally subject to the rules of the ideological game of the time). So the first film adaptation appeared – "The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin" (1965) by A. Gintsburg, and later the second one – "Failure of Engineer Garin" (1973) by L. Kvinihidze.

Of course, ideological clichés of Soviet times didn't spare A. Gintsburg's film as well: for example, the American billionaire Rolling is represented as an embodiment of the "yellow devil" of imperialism, while

communist Shelga is a crystal-honest romantic of the Soviet country. However, despite this, the Soviet press of the 1960-s welcomed A. Gintsburg's film very skeptically. For instance, critic V.A. Revich specializing in fiction genre wrote: "In the novel "The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin" A. Tolstoy perfectly reproduced the era of the 1920-es, the world-view of the writer who took the side of the young revolutionary country. In "The Hyperboloid" not scientific but social aspect is the most powerful: the mechanics of the bourgeois relations, stockjobbing, capitalist morality and economics. But this social aspect fell out of the film, with only simplistic detective aspect remaining [Revich, 1968, p.83]. After 16 years the same author returned to the analysis of this screen adaptation. This time he removed ideological pathos but once again stressed that "despite the eminent cast the film failed. The people who shot the screen version made a typical mistake. The desire not to miss the main story events leads to fluency – a character flashed, an event flew by – and on, and on, faster and faster; there is not enough screen time to peer into the facers, to understand the essence of the events" [Revich, 1984].

However the success with the audience (nearly 21 million people watched it during the first year of distribution) showed that the problems of "speed" of the media text didn't worry the audience. Moreover, perhaps the things that irritated V.A. Revich most in the first adaptation of "The Hyperboloid" served as an additional factor of attraction for the public drawn towards the rapidly developing action, detective intrigue and science fiction which is not burdened by ideology. Of course, at the same time we should keep in mind that the Soviet film market of 1960-es - 1970-es largely isolated the audience from spectacular Western films which gave the domestic entertaining films additional benefits.

Leonid Kvinikhidze's film "Failure of Engineer Garin" (1973) was shot in the format of TV series. Probably they were low on budget so the most expensive episodes (the construction of gold mines on the island, the destruction of the squadron of ships, etc.) were dropped out of the plot, and the fiction line of the novel was clearly in the background. Instead the ideological line of the Nazis who wanted to seize Garin's "apparatus" which was thought up by the scriptwriter S. Potepalov, was brought to the foreground.

It is difficult to judge the success of the "Failure..." because in the 1970-es in our country no "TV ratings" have been recorded. However, due to the fact that the number of TV series was extremely small at the time, there is no doubt that this film adaptation attracted no less viewers than A. Gintsburg's film.

*Narrative structure in the media text (the main concepts: “media/media text categories”, “media technologies”, “media languages”, “media representations”)*

During the discussion with the students we can conclude that the novel “The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin” as well as its screen adaptations is built in the traditional structure of action (fiction, detective) media texts. The plot is clearly divided into the entanglement (in Soviet Russia on the 1920s a series of mysterious events and murders occur, connected with Garin’s machine), the development of action (Garin transports the apparatus to the West, forces the billionaire Rolling to cooperate and meets his kept woman Zoya), climax (in various editions and screen adaptations of the novel it is either the destruction of European chemical plants by the deadly beam, or the construction of the gold mine on the island and destruction of the enemy squadron by the hyperboloid) and denouement (in various editions/adaptations: the rise of “revolutionary masses” on the island and the disappearance of Garin; his readiness for new adventures; the shipwreck of Garin’s yacht near an uninhabited island; and Garin’s death). Psychological and social motivations (in the novel as well as in its film adaptations) are given as a rule without deep nuances (exception – the original interpretation on Garin’s role in “Failure...” by O. Borisov).

Schematically the peculiarities of genre modifications, iconography, character ethics, problems of the novel “The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin” and its film adaptations can be represented as follows:

**Historical period, scene of action.** In general it is the second half of the 1920-es – Soviet Russia, Western Europe (mostly Paris), the seas, an island in the ocean.

**The setting, household goods.** Modest life, interiors and household goods in Soviet Russia, where the central object is an abandoned cottage near St. Petersburg, where Garin secretly conducts his experiments with the deadly beam. The rich office of billionaire Rolling. Luxurious setting of Garin’s empire on the “golden island”, comfortable yacht “Arizona”...

**Audio-visual techniques, iconography.** The visuals of color film “The Failure...” (1973) are rather standard, while the first black and white adaptation “The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin” is remarkable for its exquisitely fine color performed in the spirit of film noir (American and French criminal films of 1940-es – 1950-es with its grim motives of doom, fatalism and the elements of expressionism): play with linear light and shade in night scenes; contrasting variations of black and white in daytime scenes, the use of wide-angle lens, unusual camera angles, etc. I believe that the director Alexander Gintzburg (1907-1972), the former cameraman himself, who shot the legendary film “Two Soldiers” (1943), intentionally set this task

to the talented cameraman Alexander Rybin. The fast and nervous soundtrack composed by M. Vaynberg (1919-1996), who was already famous for his music to the films "The Cranes are Flying" (1957) and "The Last Inch" (1958), matches the visual style of the film. I suppose that namely the originality of audio-visual solution was evaluated by the jury of the International Fantastic Film Festival in Trieste (1966), which awarded the Grand Prize to A. Gintsburg's film.

The characters, their values, ideas, clothing, constitution, vocabulary, mimics, gestures. V.A. Revich justly noted that in the novel "The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin" we can "clearly trace mockery, ridicule in relation to most characters. Thus Pyotr Petrovich Garin, a "superman", a dictator and a villain is a typical action adventure character, but his ambition, lust for power, resourcefulness and immorality are served with such excesses that he is perceived as a parody of such character" [Revich, 1984]. Brilliant actor Evgeny Evstigneev (1926-1992) why played the role of Garin in the film adaptation in 1965 removed these excesses making the main character a psychologically convincing fanatic of the idea of conquering the world: intelligent, prudent and persistent, not devoid of irony. His character's vocabulary, mimics and gestures are laconic and are subject to the pragmatics of plot circumstances. For the time being his clothes are purely functional, and only on the "golden island" Garin allows himself to realize his design fantasies...

Another outstanding master Oleg Borisov (1929-1994) painted his Garin (in the adaptation of 1973) with different colors, which gave rise to the following ironic passage of the critic: "The engineer rants about lust for power, but in the film he turns out to be rather a gentle lad. Thought he killed two men, it was self-defense. He didn't blow up the plants. Yes, he took away the millionaire's mistress, but we must agree that this cannot be compared with delusional and truly fascist plans of Garin portrayed in the novel. The failure of this Garin and the failure of the small individualist who dreams to become rich with the help of his invention are, as they say, two big differences. Do you want us to see the novel's contemporization in such degradation of the protagonist?" [Revich, 1984].

In my opinion, O. Borisov's work received a clearly distorted assessment here. In "The Failure..." Borisov played not a "gentle lad", but a devilishly clever and shrewd cynic aspiring to conquer the world at any cost. No wonder his character has the ability to appear and disappear mysteriously, possesses the persistence of the tempter and the charm of seduction. Indeed the authors of "The Failure..." removed the "rough edges" of Tolstoy's interpretation of Garin from their adaptation. Not Garin, but Rolling directs the deadly beam at the plants. Garin doesn't abandon Mantsev to die in a

distant expedition... Also Garin doesn't create his "golden empire". Facing the fierce and powerful Nazi organization, O. Borisov's character perishes with his apparatus in the ocean...

Playing the role of Garin, O. Borisov demonstrated his rich arsenal of facial expressions and gestures, his unique plasticity and the ability for transformation. Compared to him Garin played by Evgeny Evstigneev is more harsh, cruel and predictable...

As for the main female character, Zoya played by Nonna Terentyeva (1942-1996) from "The Failure..." (1973) looks more spectacular in all aspects than Natalya Klimova in the film adaptation from 1965. Moreover, Zoya in "The Failure..." is presented less schematically than in A. Tolstoy's novel. In L. Kvinikhidze's film the demonic fanatic of world domination Garin finds a decent girlfriend. This female adventurer puts everything at stake: the men she encounters – Rolling, captain Yanson, and Garin himself – are only pawns in her own big game. There is kind of a sinister charm in Zoya, which reminds of the charm of Milady from "The Three Musketeers" [Revich, 1984].

As for the "positive" character, the communist Shelga, it seems to me that both in the novel and in its film adaptations he remains a pale "walking function" of the plot...

**Significant changes in lives of the characters.** The lives of the main characters – Garin, Zoya, Shelga and Rolling – changes from the moment they meet and enter into a (voluntary/forced) alliance. The culmination of these events in the final version of the novel and in its first film adaptation falls on the creation of Garin's "empire" on the "golden island". In L. Kvinikhidze's film the culminating events occur on the yacht "Arizona", on which a Nazi agent Shefer acts.

**Originated problem.** In the main version of A. Tolstoy's novel and its 1965 adaptation the main problem for Garin is an uprising of "working masses" on the island. In 1973 adaptation a Nazi plot became the main danger for Garin's plans.

**Searches for the solution of the problem.** Using a small hyperboloid from the yacht "Arizona" Zoya destroys the big hyperboloid on the "golden island". Garin arrives to her on a zeppelin (later versions of the novel and 1965 film adaptation). In Leonid Kvinikhidze's version it seems that Garin relies only on luck...

**The solution of the problem.** In the first versions of the novel A. Tolstoy allows Garin to disappear or rush to new adventures... In later versions of the novel and in A. Gintsburg's film adaptation the "solution" of the problem is the shipwreck of the yacht "Arizona", when Garin and Zoya

end up on an uninhabited island. In “The Failure...” Garin’s landing on the ocean shore ends with his death...

**P.S.** Despite the radical changes on political, social and cultural situation in Russia, the popularity of the novel “The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin” has not declined. For instance, its “sequel”, the novel “The Second Advent of Engineer Garin” was rather successful with the readers [Alko, 2001], also we can remember the unfinished attempt of Alexander Abdulov to make another film adaptation of the story about the failed ruler of the world (“Excommunicate”, 2008). And who knows, maybe someday we will see a Hollywood version of “The Hyperboloid...”

### ***Questions for the analysis of media texts in the student audience***

#### *Media agencies:*

What is the main purpose of the given media text? To what extent is this purpose achieved? With what characters did the authors of the media text wanted to identify you? What ideology do these characters express?

#### *Media/media text categories:*

To what genre and thematic category can we attribute this media text?

#### *Media languages:*

Why did the author of the media text construct this or that episode in this way? Why are certain items (including clothing, characters, etc.) shown in this particular way? What do these items tell us about the characters, their life, their relations with each other? In what way are dialogues and the language of the characters important for the development of action?

From whose point of view are the events shown in this or that episode of the media text? How are people and things depicted in this or that episode? Are there moments in the media text in which the proposed point of view helps to create the sense of danger or surprise? What is the role of light, color, sound, music in the media text?

#### *Media representations:*

Think about social, moral and ideological problems raised in this media text. In what way are they related to the political, social and cultural situation contemporary to this media text?

With whom does the author of the media text sympathize? How does he make the audience understand it? Why did you come to this conclusion?

What are the key episodes of this media text? Why do you think they are the key ones?

What do you think was selected to make this particular frame?

Do you think it is possible to insert additional episodes into this media text? If so, what episodes? In what part of the media texts they could have been inserted?

How do the changes in the way the character or situation is shown help the development of action in the media text? Were some facts about the characters, things or places of action hidden from the audience at first? Was it done to force tension or to solve mysteries or crimes?

In what scenes are the conflicts revealed in this media text?

Are there scenes of violence in this media text? If so, what is the difference in the depiction of violence in other media texts known to you?

Could this plot have ended earlier? What would have changed in our perception of this media text? What is the importance of the actual finale of this media text?

*Media audiences:*

For whom is this media text meant? For one or more types of audience?

How do the style and the contents of the media text influence the audience's understanding?

What is the role of gender social class, age and ethnicity in media perception of the audience?

Do you understand the information put in the advertisement of this media text?

What are the reasons for the success of these media texts with the audience? (genre, theme, the system of emotional overfalls, reliance on mythology, happy ending, the calculation for the maximum coverage of the audience's media preferences, etc.)?

How is the audience's interest to the narration maintained? Is it possible to trace how our attention grows from episode to episode?

What are the parameters needed to assess media texts (political, social, moral, philosophical, artistic, etc.)?

What abilities and skills does a person need to competently analyze media texts?

## Filmography

**The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin. Russia, 1965.** Director Alexander Gintsburg. Scriptwriters: Alexander Gintsburg, Joseph Manevich. Cameraman Alexander Rybin. Artists: Evgeny Galey, Mikhail Karyakin. Composer Moses Vaynberg. Cast: Evgeny Evstigneev, Natalia Klimova, Vsevolod Safonov, Mikhail Astangov, Yuri Sarantsev and others.

Audience: 20,8 millions viewers.

Grand Prize "Golden Seal of the City of Trieste" at the International (Italy, 1966).

**The Failure of Engineer Garin. Russia, 1973.** Director Leonid Kvinikhidze. Scriptwriter Sergey Potepalov. Cameraman: Vyacheslav Fastovich. Atrist: Boris Bykov. Composer Vladislav Uspensky. Cast: Oleg Borisov, Nonna Terentyeva, Alexander Belyavsky and others.

**Excommunicate / Garin. Russia, 2008.** Director Alexander Abdulov. Cast: Sergey Nikonenko, Sergey Stepanchenko, Elena Proklova, Evgenia Kryukova, Georgy Martirosyan and others.  
Film shooting was interrupted by A. Abdulov's death.

### References

- Alko, V. (2001). *The Second Advent of Engineer Garin*. Moscow: Poverenniy.
- Bazalgette, C. (1995). *Key Aspects of Media Education*. Moscow: Russian Association for Film Education, 51 pp.
- Eco, U. (1998). *The missing structure. An introduction to semiology*. St-Petersburg: Petropolis, 432 p.
- Fedorov, A.V. The Analysis of Cultural Mythology of Media Texts in Student Audience. *Distance and Virtual Education*. 2009. № 12. pp. 4-13.
- Fedorov A.V. Analysis of Cultural Mythology of Media Texts in the Student Audience. Case study // *Innovations in Education*. 2008. № 4. pp.60-80.
- Fedorov A.V. The Virtual World of Crime: The Analysis of the Detective Genre at the Media Education Lessons in the Student Audience // *Distance and Virtual Education*. 2011. № 11. pp.88-99.
- Masterman, L. (1985). *Teaching the Media*. London: Comedia Publishing Group, 341 p.
- Revich, V.A. (1968). Science fiction in cinematography. *Screen 1967-1968*. Moscow: Iskustvo, 1968. pp.82-86.
- Revich, V.A. (1984). "We Are Thrown Into Incredibility". In: *The collection of science fiction*. Issue 29. Moscow: Znaniye, pp. 196-210.
- Silverblatt, A. (2001). *Media Literacy*. Westport, Connecticut – London: Praeger, 449 p.
- Tolstoy, A.N. (1925-1927). The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin. *Krasnaya Nov*. 1925. № 7-9; 1926. № 4-9; 1927. № 2.
- Tolstoy, A.N. (2007). *The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin*. Moscow: AST Moscow.

## **Analysis of the stereotypes of Soviet film image of the war in a media education classroom**

### ***1. Introduction.***

Media education classes at university involve the analysis of media texts of various types and genres. The film analysis plays an important role. For instance, students examine films on the theme of the Second World War. This article offers the explanation of a possible technique of film analysis about the Second World War using the film by Valentin Vinogradov *Eastern Corridor* (USSR, 1966) as an example.

### ***2. The structure of the ideology and plot stereotypes of Soviet war-theme films made in the 1940s-1960s***

The structure of the ideology and plot stereotypes of Soviet war-theme films made in the 1940s-1960s was like the following:

**The historical period**, action taking place: any time of the war between 1941 and 1945, the USSR, Germany, more seldom – other countries.

**Environment**: military headquarters, vehicles (tanks, airplanes, ships, trucks, etc.), front line, trenches, dug-outs, of Soviet officers and soldiers; modest dwellings of civilian Soviet citizens in occupied territories and in the rear, more comfortable housing, military technics and property of German and/or Western characters.

**Methods of representation**: more or less realistic (especially it's true of films made after the second half of the 1950s) or conventionally grotesque (characteristic feature of comedies, filmed in the 1940s, and later Stalin period epics like *The Fall of Berlin*) picture of people's life during the war.

Majority of mainstream Soviet films on the war theme were based on uncomplicated dichotomies:

- 1) hostile and aggressive imperialistic “new order” of the Nazi's Germany vs. peaceful, friendly Soviet regime, the country of advanced workers, athletes, cheerful children and enthusiastic builders of the happy communist society;
- 2) positive, ideologically correct (i.e. true to communism and patriotic) characters vs. villains – Nazis and their toadies, with cannibal ideology of hatred towards everything non-Aryan;
- 3) heroism/self-sacrifice vs. aggression/treason;
- 4) honesty/sincerity vs. betrayal/guile;

5) plans (Soviet vs. Nazi) and results (defeat, although often put off for Nazis, victory, although often put off as well, for Soviet characters).

**Characters, their values, ideas, clothes, verbal and nonverbal language.** Positive characters are exponents of Soviet, communist ideas; negative characters embody anti-human, nazi, military ideas. As a rule, characters are not only divided by social, but also by material status. Nazi characters are usually shown as rude, violent people, well built, with rude language, evil faces, active gestures and unpleasant guttural voice timbres. They are dressed in military uniforms of Wehrmacht and SS; sometimes appear on the screen in their underwear (when running out of the house on fire or blown up dug out). Traitors-polizeis are shown cringing before nazi-masters: disgusting, often miserable appearance, violent, drunk, dumb faces, revolting mimics, shrill voices, dressed in stolen clothes, often out of size...

Soviet officers and soldiers are dressed of course poorer than Germans: during the battle/afterwards their uniform is covered with dust and dirt, at the time of the short breaks they try to look according to the regulations. In addition, certainly, a situation may occur when a soviet soldier gets a secret scout/intelligence assignment: in this case he is dressed like a nazi. Soviet characters may be shown on the screen either like good looking athletes or like ordinary people. The main accent is that while they are cruel to enemies, they are humane and sympathetic people. Their lexis may not be always correct according to the literature canons; however they have kind faces and pleasant voices. Soviet civilian people are shown mainly as victims of nazi cruelty, suffering from evil occupants and helping soviet soldiers and partizans. Workers in the rear in spite of all the difficulties give it all "for the front, for the victory".

**Crucial change in characters' lives:** evil characters (Nazis and their servants) begin to implement their antihuman ideas (aggression with weapons, mass murders of helpless civilians, explosions, bombarding, terror acts and other crimes).

**Problem occurring:** life of good (Soviet) characters, and life of the whole nation is under threat.

**Searching for the problem solution:** armed struggle of good characters against evil ones.

**Problem solution:** mass heroism of soviet nation, termination/arrest of evil Nazis, victor of good characters (intermediate or final), return to peaceful life.

Besides the victory of the soviet army over the Nazis not only was represented as the victory of the great nation, defending their motherland

from outer aggression, but also as the victory of the only true communist ideology, soviet regime over the fascists, imperialists, traitors, etc.

### **3. The line of media education literacy analysis**

Applying methodology, designed by Umberto Eco, let us distinguish three lines or systems which are meaningful in a film: an author's ideology; market conditions that determined its plot, and the process of script writing/creation; and story-telling techniques (Eco, 2005, c.209). This approach is to my mind correlates to the media texts analysis scheme by A. Silverblatt (Silverblatt, 2001; 2014) и C. Bazalgette (Bazalgette, 1995) based on the key media literacy concepts, such as media agencies, media/media text categories, media technologies, media languages, media representations and media audiences. All these concepts are directly linked to the ideological, market, and structural-contents aspects of media analysis.

As an example of the media text analysis we are going to examine the film by Valentin Vinogradov *Eastern Corridor* (1966), created contrary to the stereotypes of Soviet cinematic image of war. This will enable us to distinguish the ideological, social-historical context of the time when the film was made and its structure.

**Authors' ideology in a sociocultural context** (dominating concepts: media agency, media representation, media audience). By main authors of the film in our case I mean the director and script writer Valentin Vinogradov (1933-2011), script writer Oles Kuchar (1910-1996), and the camera man Yuri Marukhin (1938-2001).

By the time of filming *Eastern Corridor* (1966) Soviet cinema has collected a big number of moving pictures connected to the theme of the second world war (some of them – *Secretary of Regional Committee, She Defends Motherlands, Zoya, Two Soldiers, At 6 pm after the War, The Fall of Berlin, Feat of the Scout, Star, The Cranes are Flying, The Alive and the Dead, Ivan's Childhood, We're Taking the Fire*), including films of the “partisan” series, filmed in Belorussia (*The Girl is Looking for her Father, Cross the Cemetery*, and others). The most common genre was understandably, a drama, but rarely, it was a detective story, a melodrama or even a comedy.

The authors of the “Eastern Corridor” against the widespread stereotypes, and practically for the first time in the history of the Soviet cinema, offered a different ideological concept of the war theme: the war as the destruction of the humanistic origin on the whole. Of course, still in *Ivan's Childhood* (1962) by Andrei Tarkovsky (by the way, a fellow classmate of V. Vinogradov, who played one of the roles in Tarkovsky's course work) there was a piercing theme of the destructive influence of war of the psychology of a child. The *Eastern Corridor* authors go even further,

proving that the war is a both sides sharpened sword, crippling souls, hearts and bodies of all parties involved...

The title of the film is allegoric itself. It is known that Nazi's Germany on the eve of the World War the second insisted on Poland giving it the so-called "eastern corridor" one mile wide for free, extraterritorial communication with Konigsberg enclave. In 1939-1943 a kind of "eastern corridor" (supposedly, towards the world supremacy) for the Third Reich was not only Poland but most part of Eastern Europe, including Belorussian territory, of course. On the other hand, Baltic republics, western part of the Ukraine, Belorussia, and Poland in 1939-1941, and later during the war and after it, were viewed as the "eastern corridor" of the Soviet geopolitical power in Europe. In 1945 this corridor (in the slightly softened regime of the "social camp countries) included Poland, Czech Republic, Hungary, and other eastern European countries.

**Market conditions that promoted the idea, and the creation process of the media text** (key concepts: media agency, media categories, media technologies, media audiences).

The philosophical parable *Eastern corridor* was created in the times of the end of "the thaw", when Soviet artists got some (although limited and censored) freedom. Due to the fact that film industry as any other industry in the 1960s belonged to the state, the question of commercial profit from screening the film, although considered, was not the dominant one. There was so called state order on important for soviet ideology films, such as revolutionary, war, historical, and some other themes. It was only logical that within framework of the "war theme" they allowed some detective films like *Feat of the Scout* or *Far Away from Motherland*, and some films originally not aimed at the mass audience, but significant for a year's state thematic plan.

Thus undoubtedly *Eastern Corridor* was on the thematic plan of the "Belorus-film" studio, in the division of partisan dramas, telling about the heroic deeds of soviet people in occupation. However in the result the film turned out to be not like the studio bosses expected, and was met with hostility both by Party's officials and film critics.

That's how Vinogradov's film was evaluated, for example, in the article of 1968, written by Tatiana Ivanova: *Eastern Corridor* belongs to those films after watching one needs to read the synopsis: to understand the sequence of events, to make head or tail of it. It feels as if a simple picture was cut in many pieces, big and small, shuffled and shaken and was laid down in a new odd puzzle (curiously enough, Ivanova almost word for word anticipates the complaints of other critics about A. Tarkovsky's *Mirror* (1974) – A.F.). So is the general compositional structure and so is the artistic

expression of each episode. A suffering, lifted wooden arm is coming out of the streaming grain – turns out to be a cross, a cross with the broken wooden bar; an oddly twisted branch is filling the screen, is shown in different angles, is hypnotising our imagination – this is the beginning of the scene at the river. Really, a puzzle inside a puzzle. In the end, one can make head or tail in here. But one question remains, it's a question about the necessity of such a form, about the artistic justification of this mixture of violent naturalism and visual sophistication which reigns on the screen... There will be a lot of other scenes, but the very first one reveals something very significant for the general atmosphere of the film. It's the abundance of cruel effects. It's the extravagance of the entourage. It's a refined mastership of the camera man. Taken together – it's the aesthetization of naturalism" (Ivanova, 1968, p.94).

It is amazing that after two years of staying "on the shelf" (i.e. forbidden to be shown), the film *Eastern Corridor* however was shown on limited number of screens in 1968.

It seems that if the film had been presented at any European film festival in the late 1960s, it would have most surely enjoyed triumph like *The Cranes are Flying* or *Ivan's Childhood*. But, alas... After the film the career of Valentin Vinogradov obviously went down. The outstanding director's talent stayed unrealized, he had to surrender to creative compromises, making "ordinary cinema" (*Countrymen*, *White Dance*). A brilliant camera man Yuri Marukhin made a few more visually interesting films (*Lion's Grave*, *Night's Chronicle*), and then also gave up and began making standardized film works...

**The structure and techniques of story telling** (key concepts: media categories, media technologies, media language, media representation).

By the time of the filming *Eastern Corridor* besides ordinary, now forgotten films, there had been made such outstanding pictures as *The Cranes are Flying* (1957) by M. Kalatosov and S. Urusevsky, *The Soldier's Ballad* (1958) by G. Chukhrai, *Ivan's Childhood* (1962) by A. Tarkovsky, *The Alive and the Dead* (1963) by K. Simonov and A. Stolper. Each of these films breached the official cinema stereotypes: dramatic life of the "wrong" from the point of view of orthodox communists, Veronika (*The Cranes are Flying*), tragic image of underage front scout Ivan, whose childhood was taken away and who was turned into a ruthless revenger by the war (*Ivan's Childhood*), bitter episodes of horrible defeat of the Soviet army in summer 1941 (*The Alive and the Dead*)... However even these films didn't break the traditional codes of distinct division of characters into good and evil ones.

As S. Kusnetsov correctly observed, *Eastern Corridor* is a film about the partisan war in Belorussia, that makes you understand Soviet

censors who decided to protect their people from such a shock. It's not another war "eastern" with shootings and Lenin-style kind secretaries of partisan committees, but a hard and frightening mystic picture that leaves unrevealed not only such trifles, as who is the traitor, but also the motives of almost all characters, acting as if the field of unknown forces, directing them, transforming and in the end, killing them. A dark and mysterious film, some episodes of which become imprinted in one's memory forever, that may like a nightmare haunt you afterwards" (Kusnetsov, 1999). I can add that during the whole film, the authors create an ambivalent feeling of ominous reality and phantom non-reality of action.

Certainly, *Eastern Corridor* relied to a perceptible degree upon the achievements of the best films about the war. Like in *Ivan's Childhood*, the visual language of *Eastern Corridor* is notable for the sophisticated black-and-white graphics, permeated with the complicated play of space and symbolism. But this is the case when cinematic quotations (visual tunes of early Andrzej Wajda, Miklós Jancsó, Andrei Tarkovsky, war themes in the Czech "new wave" cinema (*The Fifth Rider is Fear*, *A Waggon to Vienna*, *Diamonds of the Night*), black-and-white parables by Ingmar Bergman of the late 1950s-early 1960s, etc.) have naturally entered the film, not damaging its existential meditativeness, philosophical and visual authenticity.

The expressive, dream-like style (torn montage with interrupted dialogues and events in the mode of French *nouvelle vague*, deep compositions of the shots, nervous, sharp camera movements, weird play of the lights and shadows, with all the spectrum of black and white tints) and the parable plot of Valentin Vinogradov film today fell subject to Roman Volobuev's sarcasm who decided that *Eastern Corridor* is *Reservoir Dogs* about Belorussian partisans, made under the impression by Bergman, new wave cinema, and who knows what, with Tariverdiev music and absolutely crazy camera work. Characters captured by fascists through flashbacks try to understand who betrayed them (by the way, not succeeding in that till the end). The film is based on two similarly riotous things – Christian symbols and a cold, pathologically as-if-Bergman erotics. A collaborationist rapes a peasant girl in the elevator, and the elevator is a former church, and she painfully crawls through the grain towards the cross. Insolent muscular fascists are going to torture a young Valentina Titova with electric shock, and order to take her clothes off... There is also a daughter of Jewish scientist, who looks like Anna Karina parody (the actress in lots of Jean-Luc Godard's 1960s films – A.F.) walking around wearing a short black dress and high heels. A concentration camp is more like a metaphorical thing – people come and go, almost voluntarily. One more thing – only fascists sit in the film. Good characters stand, casting shadows, or lie down with broken legs. If a

good character sits down, it almost means his change for the dark side. In the end the director goes mad: the extermination of the Minsk ghetto is shot in the form of expressionist mystery play, with a utterly foppish flight of the camera along a complicated trajectory, while in the background people are being drowned, and a naked young blond running to and fro, arguing with God in the front” (Volobuev, 2008).

However the ironic vigour of Roman Volobuev once again proves that Umberto Eco is right: “Texts, aimed at certain reactions of more or less distinct circle of readers (let it be children, “soap opera” fans, doctors, good citizens, youth subcultures, presbyterians, farmers, middle class women, divers, tender snobs or representatives of any other imaginable sociopsychological category), in fact are open for various “erroneous” decodings” (Eco, 2005, c.19).

That is why both the dislike of the *Eastern Corridor* by T. Ivanova in 1968, and its sarcastic seizure by R. Volobuev in 2008, do not cancel the opinion of Alexander Shpagin, which I share, too: “Valentin Vinogradov, and absolute heretic, has come 30 years ahead of his time...In the film *Eastern Corridor* the town occupied by Nazis is shown in the mode of the absurd carnival, where all customary signs and stereotypes are shifted from their usual places. Each act is twisted into nonsense, into chaos. It’s only the concentration camp that makes sense – there one can feel oneself among others, same as you, prisoners, and try to escape into the obscure and paranoid “freedom”, where everybody is suspected. “Give me another war!” – cries one of the characters thrown in prison, being unable to distinguish, who he is. The level of alienation is so high, that I wonder – something like this in the end of the 1960s one could only see in Czech cinema about war!” (Shpagin, 2005).

There is one inaccuracy in the Shpagin’s quote. The character captured by Nazis is crying out the phrase far more dangerous for the censorship: “Give me a normal war! Without hostages! So that nobody beats your bladder, so that nobody saws living rats into your guts!” This scream is becoming sharper in the context of the film because *Eastern Corridor* starts with the voice-over quotation of field-marshal W.Keitel encouraging nazi army to the most sadistic actions against soviet soldiers and partisans, because they were not obeying the rules of the “normal war”.

The authors of the film unambiguously assert that there is no such a thing as a “normal war”. It’s always inhuman, always oppresses a personality by violence and fear. Partisans in *Eastern Corridor* are afraid of and suspect everyone and are ready to kill anyone – a man or a woman – under a slightest shadow of doubt.

Here appears a counterpoint with the clear-cut voice-over, reading the victory news about feats of the partisans. But it's only on the radio where everything is simple and clear – black vs. white, heroes vs. enemies. In reality some nazi characters are different. One of them is the head of the prison, inclined to ironic philosophical dialogues about “a hangman and a victim” with his prisoner – an artist. A special authenticity of these scenes is contributed by the fact that this nazi is played by the Lithuanian Voldemars Akuraters, who had been first in the German army and then in Stalin prison. Among the partisans there is Lobach (played vividly by Regimantas Adomaitis, resembling a little bit Evgeny Urbansky in this part). He is a stranger everywhere, suspected of treason; he passionately goes inevitable deathwards.

Touching upon the approach to war in the Czech new wave cinema, Y. Lukesh has correctly noticed the importance of anti-mythological and disturbing themes in such films as *The Fifth Rider is Fear* (1964), *A Wagon to Vienna* (1966), *Diamonds of the Night* (1964). But this influence is especially vivid in the film *A Shop on the Square* (1966), directed by Jan Kadar and Elmar Klos, that made the audience face the principal dilemma of moral responsibility of a person, surrendering to authority (Lukesh, 2002). I suppose, *Eastern Corridor* emphasizes this problem again and again: the pressure of the Authority (Nazi, Soviet, partisan, and other), reaching its peak during the war, breaks down characters' lives, making them sacrifice, make impossible decisions, and in the result turning them all into puppets of the history.

Despite reproaches for graphic violence scenes, the authors show horrifying scenes of murders and tortures without blood details however creating emotionally terrifying effect. Especially the mass Holocaust scene, Jews drowning in seething water flows, is like a death itself versus the prayer.

In fact, the religious theme in *Eastern Corridor* sounds very bold. A beautiful character played by Valentina Titova, tells her husband, a sculptor, that he looks like Apostle Peter (the one who seized by the spiritual weakness three times gave up Christ). However after she was arrested the sculptor did not join partisans in the woods, thinking that thus he would betray the beloved woman. He shows the reproduction of the Michelangelo' fresco *The Last Judgment* to his friends, artists, and finds “his” face there – the face of the terrified sinner, who has no power either for struggle, or for denial, not even for life.

Religious symbols as if from the paintings of Renaissance epoch are felt in the composition of lots of shots, especially ones in the cathedral and in the former church, turned into the granary.

Bitter irony and allusions to intellectuals of the 1960s, lyrically praising “commissars in dusty helmets”, accompany the image of collaborationists in *Eastern Corridor*. The editor-in-chief of the local newspaper had probably written some pathos articles about the happy communist future short time before. Artistic bohemians is getting adjusted to the new regime.

A special place in *Eastern corridor* is taken by the female characters. Sexually attractive, ready for self-sacrifice, they remain unattainable (at least within the film shot) for male characters.

Valentin Vinogradov uses music totally contrasting the picture. Micael Tariverdiev melody has some light notes of hope, and what we see on screen is hopeless. Even the incredibly lucky escape of a partisan right from the Gestapo office is ruined by the accidental meeting with an old acquaintance who had long been spied on by the Nazis.

#### **4. Conclusion**

In my opinion, *Eastern Corridor* is the forerunner of not only a partisan drama by Alexei German *Road Control* (1971), but his phantasmagoric *Khrustalev, the car!* (1998). Moreover, some episodes of V. Vinogradov’s picture can be paralleled to not yet made at the time *Stars and Soldiers* (1967) by M. Jancsó and *Death of Gods* (1968) by Luchino Visconti with their fascinating plasticity of the shot and distanced erotism in orchestrating violence.

A lot of films and TV series about the war have been filmed in Russian over the last decade. These media texts authors are not influenced by censorship any longer, they reveal dramatic pages of the horrible 1940s for the audience. Still even compared to them, apparently criticized and forgotten, “Eastern Corridor”’s film language seems very modern. “Manuscripts” actually do not burn...

#### **References**

- Bazalgette, C. (1995). *Key aspects of media education*. Moscow: Publishing house of the Association of Film Education.
- Eco, U. (2005). *Role of the Reader. Explorations into Semiotics of Texts*. St.Petersburg: Symposium, 2005.
- Ivanova, T. (1970). “Difficult” – “more difficult” – “the most difficult”// *Screen 1969-1970* / Compiled by S. Chertok. Moscow: Art, pp.90-95.
- Kuznetsov, S. (1999). *Hodgepodge, idiots and those who were not there*. [http://gazeta.lenta.ru/culture/10-06-1999\\_tavr.htm](http://gazeta.lenta.ru/culture/10-06-1999_tavr.htm)
- Lukesh, Y. (2002). *Czech new wave (1960-1968)*. <http://www.cinematheque.ru/thread/13064>

- Shpagin, A. (2005). *Religion of war* // Cinema Art. № 6.  
<http://kinoart.ru/2005/n6-article12.html#5>
- Silverblatt, A. (2001). *Media Literacy*. Westport, Connecticut – London: Praeger.
- Silverblatt, A. (Ed.) (2014). *The Praeger Handbook of Media Literacy*. Santa Barbara, California and Oxford, England: Praeger.
- Volobuev, R. (2008). *Bergman and Robin*.  
<http://www.afisha.ru/blogcomments/2225/> 28.06.2008.

## *Ukrainian rebels of the 1940s - 1950s in the mirror of modern Ukrainian screen*

**Introduction.** World War II - one of the most difficult and controversial chapters in the history. The struggle of various rebel groups in western Ukraine, Poland, and the Baltic States in the second half of the 1940s - the first half of the 1950s was a terrible and controversial echo of this war. Naturally, the global screen reflected this painful subject (*Ashes and Diamonds* of A. Wajda, *Nobody Wanted to Die* of V. Žalakevičius, *Forest Violets* of K. Kiisk, *White Bird with a Black Mark* of Y. Ilienکو and others). For example, in the *White Bird with a Black Mark* (1970), a multi-faceted talent of Yuri Ilienکو manifested in the symbolism of folk images, metaphorical film language, in a refined plastic image, amazing musicianship. All this has been fused with a tragic time for the Ukraine of the 1930s – 1940s. Undoubtedly, the ideological orientation of the 1970s did not allow Y. Ilienکو to reveal the dramatic events of the pre-war, war and post-war years, when hostility separated people of one nation and language. However, despite this, the picture is remembered for its expressive drama, directing and bright actors' work.

However, manners change with the times, as they say... Moreover, for the last twenty years, the current director of Alexander Dovzhenko's studio and People's Artist of Ukraine, Oles Yanchuk, has shot a series of films (*Atentat. Assassination in Munich*, *The Undefeated*, and *The Company of Heroes*) entirely devoted to the activities of the Ukrainian Insurgent Army (UPA), Ukrainian nationalists of the 1930s - 1950s, and their leaders - Stephan Bandera (1909-1959) and Roman Shukhevych (1907-1950).

O. Yanchuk's appeal to this topic is completely justified: the abolition of the communist censorship and access to many archival documents could have brought significant benefits to comprehension of the tragic pages of Ukrainian history. However, in fact, we see the screen version of events with extremely idealized and decisively positive interpretation of UPA's activities.

Western researchers [Baraban, 2012, p. 312] write about romanticizing of S. Bandera, R. Shukhevych and their allies in the films by O. Yanchuk. It is obvious that when creating a cinematic hymn to UPA, A. Yanchuk and his co-authors (writers V. Portyak and M. Shayevich) had to convince the audience of the correctness of their position. Apparently, the easiest way to achieve this was to rely on the rich experience of Soviet films about partisans and undergrounders of the Great Patriotic War (*The Young Guard*, *Girl Seeks Father*, *Call the Fire for Ourselves*, *War under the Roofs*,

*Poem of Kovpak, Front Without Flanks, Front Beyond The Frontline*, et al.), since the stereotypes of these films dominated in the military theme on the USSR screen for forty years.

Presumably, O. Yanchuk did realize that the use of Soviet "guerrilla film series" stamps – i.e. replacing of the positive Soviet partisans / undergrounders with positive UPA rebels / guerrillas / undergrounders - would conquer the hearts of contemporary Ukrainian viewers and, potentially, the world's audience.

**Materials and methods.** Let us prove it with the help of case studies. On the one hand, we depend on media criticism that enables us to examine and evaluate a flexible range of diverse media relations, between media texts and the audience and the society as a whole [Korochensky, 2003, p. 8]. In addition, on the other hand, we rely on the methodology for media texts analysis proposed by Umberto Eco [Eco, 2005], Len Masterman [Masterman, 1997], and Arthur Silverblatt [Silverblatt, 2001]. We compare the trends and patterns of the above-mentioned films (including a socio-political analysis, analysis of stereotypes, an ideological analysis, an identification analysis, an iconographic analysis, a narrative analysis, the characters' analysis).

*Cinematographic stereotypes of Soviet feature films (dominant genre - drama) associated with a guerrilla theme*

*historical period, scene of action:* any period of time from 1941 till 1944, German-occupied Soviet territory, the USSR, Germany.

*furnishings, household items:* modest dwelling places and household items of ordinary Soviet characters, Spartan living conditions of Soviet partisans - dugouts, a scanty meal by the fire, shabby clothes, etc. Significantly better life conditions of the Nazi occupationists: they are dressed in good uniforms and eat well. Soviet partisans, undergrounders, when on duty can also be dressed in good clothes and live under more or less decent living conditions.

*methods of representation of reality:* idealized, since any deviations from positive characteristics of Soviet partisans (as happened, for example, in the film by Alexei German *Checks on the Roads*) were penalized by censorship. Similar censorship framework existed for the image of partisans' opponents - German occupationists and their collaborators: they were given only negative qualities.

*characters, their values, ideas, clothes, physique, vocabulary, facial expressions, gestures:* positive characters (guerrillas, undergrounders) with Soviet communist values and ideas; negative characters - German occupationists and their supporters - with inhumane, Nazi ideas. Separated by ideology and philosophy (communist, Nazi), characters are usually presented

according to the targets of a mediatext source: Nazi characters seem to be rude and violent fanatics (and sometimes sadists, rapists) with a primitive vocabulary, angry faces (and if they sometimes smile, their smiles being false and disgusting), an unpleasant and harsh tone of voice... Screen Nazi collaborators from the local population sometimes look even more disgusting. And, by contrast, Soviet partisans, undergrounders are shown exclusively on the positive side: as patriots. However, they are usually romantically in love with their wives and brides, adore children, protect and defend the civilian population. The Soviet characters sing soulful songs and dream of happy post-war prospects. Civilians in the Nazi-occupied territory are shown as poor, frightened, suffering, but still at any moment ready to help the guerrillas to hide the wounded, to share the last crust of bread.

*a significant change in the lives of the characters:* negative characters (the Nazis) suddenly attack the Soviet Union and attempt to bring to life their inhuman ideas (to occupy territories and to enslave the Soviet people).

*a problem:* the life of positive characters (as well as the life of the whole Soviet people) is at risk.

*finding a solution to the problem:* positive characters struggle with negative ones.

*solution:* the destruction / capture of negative characters, return to civilian life. Variant: the heroic death of some positive characters from ruthless hands of the Nazis.

Case studies of the films: *Young Guard (1948)*, *Girl Seeks Father (1959)*, *Call the Fire for Ourselves (1964)*, *War under the Roofs (1967)*, *Front Without Flanks (1974)*, *The Poem of Kovpak (1976)*, *Front Beyond The Frontline (1977)* and others.

*Cinematographic stereotypes of Ukrainian feature films by Oles Yanchuk (dominant genre - drama) associated with the rebel, partisan theme*

*historical period, scene of action:* any time interval from the 1930s till the 1950s, Ukraine, the USSR, Germany, Poland.

*furnishings, household items:* modest dwellings and household items of simple Ukrainian characters, Spartan living conditions of Ukrainian insurgents, guerrillas - dugouts, scanty meals by the fire, shabby clothes, etc. Significantly better living conditions of Nazis, Soviet and Polish invaders: they are dressed in good uniforms and eat well. Ukrainian nationalists - rebels, undergrounders, when on duty can also be dressed in good clothes and live under more or less decent living conditions.

*methods of representation of reality:* idealized, since any deviation from positive characteristics of Ukrainian UPA fighters can destroy the entire

structure of romanticized “Bandera series” by O. Yanchuk, and here the self-censorship of these media texts authors comes into action.

*characters, their values, ideas, clothes, physique, vocabulary, facial expressions, gestures:* positive characters (Ukrainian nationalists - insurgents, guerrillas, undergrounders) - national carriers of humanistic values and ideas of the Christian faith; negative characters - Soviet, German and Polish invaders with inhumane Communist and Nazi ideas. Separated by ideology, belief, religion / atheism, the characters tend to be presented according to the settings of the media source: Soviet and Polish characters (mostly undercover men, army men) are rude and cruel people (and sometimes sadists, rapists) with a primitive vocabulary, angry faces (and if they sometimes smile, they give a false smile), with unpleasant and harsh voice timbres...

Nazis on Yanchuk’s screen look less disgusting. In addition, since even Oles Yanchuk and his co-authors have to show (though in passing) that the Ukrainian nationalists of 1941-1942 actively collaborated with Nazis (in particular, Roman Shukhevych, who served in these years in the Wehrmacht army). By contrast, Ukrainian UPA fighters, guerrillas, undergrounders are shown exclusively in the positive way. In this case, they are usually romantically in love with their wives and brides, adore children, protect and defend the civilian population. They do not forget about the religious ceremonies, chorus soulful songs and dream of a happy future of independent Ukraine. Civilians in the occupied territory are shown as poor, frightened, suffering, but still at any moment ready to help Ukrainian UPA partisans, to provide a shelter to the wounded, share the last crust of bread.

*a significant change in the lives of characters:* negative characters (Communists, Nazis, the Poles) are unwilling to recognize the independence of Ukraine and try to realize their inhuman ideas (to occupy territories and to enslave the Ukrainian people).

*a problem:* the life of positive characters (as well as the life of the whole Ukrainian people) is at risk.

*finding a solution to the problem:* positive characters struggle with negative characters.

*solution to the problem:* extermination of a significant number of negative characters, but a greater part of UPA fighters and their leaders also die fighting against odds.

Case studies of the films: *Atentat. Assassination in Munich (1995)*, *The Undefeated (2000)*, *The Company of Heroes (2004)*.

The comparison between Soviet fiction films related to the theme of guerrillas and the cinematographic stereotypes of Oles Yanchuk’s feature films about UPA shows that they are based on a common stereotyped pattern which idealizes positive characters. However, with an important ideological

difference - Ukrainian nationalists who actually worked closely with Nazis in 1941-1942 are shown as exclusively positive heroes. It is clear that now the supporters of Bandera argue that they fought against the Stalinist and Communist regime and desired to release their people from it. However, the so-called "heroes" who wanted to "liberate their people" collaborated with Nazi Germany. It is well known now what kind of the so-called "liberation" Nazis were spreading and how much did Belarusian, Russian and other peoples (especially, Jewish) suffer.

One more thing. Can we say that the films by Oles Yanchuk about UPA activities were his personal initiative that does not coincide with the position of the Ukrainian leadership? Certainly not, since the pro-Bandera position of either *The Undefeated* (2000) or *The Company Of Heroes* (2004) (the production of these films was funded by various sponsors, mostly – from the West), fully correlates with the actions of the Ukrainian authorities: the installation of dozens of monuments and opening of museums to S. Bandera and R. Shukhevych, renaming of a number of Ukrainian city streets in their honor (not to mention the fact that during his presidency Victor Yushchenko awarded Bandera and Shukhevych the titles of Heroes of Ukraine).

As for the artistic level of *Atentat. Assassination in Munich* (1995), *The Undefeated* (2000), and *The Company of Heroes* (2004), the Ukrainian media criticism, even nationalistic, rated this level as very low. For example, O. Bryukhovetskaya believes that *The Company of Heroes* is a naive movie, and, like any naive, it has its charm. In fact, this is an amusement ride movie, in which the narrative logic is almost entirely absent [Bryukhovetskaya, 2004], and E. Cherednychenko writes that this film "resembles a paper certificate that passed an incredible number of institutions and whereby became blue with the same number of seals and stamps" [Cherednychenko, 2004]. The personality of the OUN Bureau head is painted in one color – ideally immaculate. And what kind of person Bandera was, as a matter of fact we did not understand then, since according to Yanchuk, everything human is alien to him" [Cherednychenko, 2004].

A. Rutkowski believes that Oles Janchuk in *The Undefeated* (2000) "persistently stigmatizes and exposes the Soviet totalitarianism, but he does it as he was taught, i.e. in the Soviet style - elementary, conformally, indiscriminately, without any remorse" [Rutkowski, 2000].

In my opinion, the artistic level of Oles Yanchuk's films could have been warmer - despite all their idealizing and posterizing there are well-played parts, skillfully stylized Soviet partisan representation, and musical emotionality. However, let us not forget that, Yanchuk's films are primarily ideological, propaganda products, not art. And in this guise, being frequently rerun on Ukrainian TV channels they probably fulfill their mission, i.e. offer

a distorted, one-sided version of complicated and contradictory events of the 1930s - 1950s both to the younger and older generations.

**Conclusions.** The tragic and controversial events of the war years, of course, need adequate understanding. However, in Oles Yanchuk's movies *Atentat. Assassination in Munich (1995)*, *The Undefeated (2000)*, *The Company of Heroes (2004)* the images of the Bandera movement are romanticized and idealized. In fact, they are copies of idealized images of heroic partisans from screen series of Soviet times, which, alas, does not contribute to an objective analysis of the subject.

### References

- Baraban, E. (2012). Forget the War: Wartime Subjectivity in Post-Soviet Russian Films. *Canadian Slavonic Papers / Revue canadienne des slavistes*. 2012. Vol. LIV, NN 3–4, pp. 295-317.
- Bryukhovetskaya, O. *The Company Of Heroes* - hit of the season? *Mirror Weeks*. 2004. № 36. [http://gazeta.zn.ua/CULTURE/zheleznaya\\_sotnya\\_hit\\_sezona.html](http://gazeta.zn.ua/CULTURE/zheleznaya_sotnya_hit_sezona.html)
- Cherednychenko, E. "Glory to Ukraine? No bazaar!". Independence Day was held pre-premiere screening of the film Oles Yanchuk *The Company Of Heroes*. *Mirror weeks*. 2004. № 35. [http://gazeta.zn.ua/CULTURE/slava\\_ukrayini\\_nema\\_bazaru\\_v\\_den\\_nezavisi\\_mosti\\_sostoyalsya\\_predpremiernyy\\_pokaz\\_filma\\_olesya\\_yanchuk.html](http://gazeta.zn.ua/CULTURE/slava_ukrayini_nema_bazaru_v_den_nezavisi_mosti_sostoyalsya_predpremiernyy_pokaz_filma_olesya_yanchuk.html)
- Eco, U. *The role of the reader. Studies on the semiotics of text*. St. Petersburg: A Symposium, 2005. .
- Korochensky, A.P. *Media criticism in theory and practice of journalism*. Ph.D. dis. St.Petersburg, 2003.
- Masterman, L. (1997). A Rational for Media Education. In: Kubey, R. (Ed.) *Media Literacy in the Information Age*. New Brunswick (U.S.A.) and London (UK): Transaction Publishers, pp.15-68.
- Rutkowski, A. Party activists and cinema. *Mirror Weeks*. 2000. № 45. [http://gazeta.zn.ua/CULTURE/partaktiv\\_i\\_ego\\_kino.html](http://gazeta.zn.ua/CULTURE/partaktiv_i_ego_kino.html)
- Silverblatt, A. (2001). *Media Literacy*. Westport, Connecticut – London: Praeger, 449 p.

## REFERENCES

- 5 Days of War: Box Office. 2011. <http://www.imdb.com/title/tt1486193/business>
- Action Plan to Strengthen anti-American Propaganda in the Nearest Future. (1949). Moscow: RCHIDNI. F.17, op.132, d.224: 48-52.*
- Adamov, G.B. (1939). *The Mystery of Two Oceans*. Moscow. <http://lib.ru/RUFANT/ADAMOW/tajna1.txt>
- Akimova, O. (2001). Mister X. Travels in a Circle. in Robbe-Grillet A. *Collected works*. St. Petersburg: Symposium, 5-10.
- Alchik A. A. Robbe-Grillet's Virtual Labyrinths // Foreign Literature. 1997, 12.
- Alko, V. (2001). *The Second Advent of Engineer Garin*. Moscow: Poverenniy.
- Ashin G.K., Midler A.P. (1986). *In the Clutches of Spiritual Oppression*. Moscow: Mysl, 253.
- Asratyan E. (1949). Glory of Russian Science. *Literaturnaya Gazeta*. 1949. February, 12.
- Babitsky, P. and Rimberg, J. (1955). *The Soviet Film Industry*. N.Y.: Praeger.
- Bar-Sella Z. (1996). Prayer for the cup (About G. Adamov's works). *Words*. 1996, 1: 67-72. <http://www.rusf.ru/ao/ao-06/main/bar-sella.html>
- Barthes. R. (1993). *Ouevres completes*. Paris: Éd. du Seuil, 1993.
- Bazalgette, C. (1995). *Key Aspects of Media Education*. Moscow: Association for Film Education.
- Berdyayev, N.A. (1990). Man's Destiny in the Contemporary World. *Noviy Mir*, 1: 207-232.
- Berger, A.A. (2005). *To see is to believe. Introduction to visual communication*. Moscow: Viljams.
- Blanchot. M. (1959). *Le livre a venir*. Paris: Gallimard.
- Bogomolov, Y.A. (1989). Cinema for Every Day... *Literaturnaya Gazeta*, 24: 11.
- Born American: Box Office*. 1986. <http://www.the-numbers.com/movies/1986/OBOAM.php>
- Bowker, J. (ed.) (1991). *Secondary Media Education: A Curriculum Statement*. London: BFI.
- Brashinsky, M. (2001). *Frantic. Afisha*. Jan, 1.
- Buckingham, D. (2002). Media Education: A Global Strategy for Development. Policy Paper for UNESCO. In: Buckingham, D. at al. (eds.). *Youth Media Education*. Paris: UNESCO Communication Development Division. CD.

- Buckingham, D. (2003). *Media Education: Literacy, Learning and Contemporary Culture*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
- Bykov, D.L. (2010). Christie'an Country. *Izvestiya*, Sept, 14. <http://www.izvestia.ru/bykov/article3146076/>
- Chinaev, V. (2010). *Commentary to the Film "The Little School Orchestra"* <http://www.kino-teatr.ru/kino/movie/sov/79870/forum/#1052555>. 17.01.2010
- Corliss, R. (1990). Dyna-Films Attack. *Video Ace Express*, 1: 8.
- Demin, V.P. (1966). *Film Without Intrigue*. Moscow: Iskusstvo.
- Demin, V.P. (1977). *First Person: Artist and Screen Arts*. Moscow: Iskusstvo.
- Dolmatovskaya G.E. (1976). Historical Fact and Its Ideological Interpretation in Modern Cinema. in Baskakov V.E. (ed.) *The Screen and Ideological Struggle*. Moscow: Iskusstvo, 214-228.
- Eco U. (1998). *Lack of Structure. Introduction to Semiology*. St. Petersburg: Petropolis, 432 p.
- Eco U. (2005). *The Role of the Reader. Studies on the Semiotics of the Text*. St. Petersburg: Symposium, 502 p.
- Eco, U. (1960). Narrative Structure in Fleming, p.52 in: Bueno, E., Eco, U. (eds.). *The Bond Affair*. London: Macdonald.
- Eco, U. (1976). *A Theory of Semiotics*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Eco, U. (1995). *The Role of the Reader. Studies on the Semiotics of the Text*. St.Petersburg: Symposium.
- Eco, U. (1998). *The missing structure. An introduction to semiology*. St.Petersburg: Petropolis.
- Fedorov, A.V. *Transformation of Russia's image on the Western screen*. Moscow: Information for All, 2010.
- Fedorov, A.V. (2001). *Media Education*. Rostov: CVVR.
- Fedorov, A.V. (2003). Media Education and Media Literacy: Experts' Opinions. In: *MENTOR. A Media Education Curriculum for Teachers in the Mediterranean*. Paris: UNESCO.
- Fedorov, A.V. (2004). The specificity of media education of students of pedagogical institutes of higher education. *Pedagogica*, 4: 43-51.
- Fedorov, A.V. (2004). Media Educational Practices in Teacher Training. *Pedagogica*, 4: 43-51.
- Fedorov, A.V. (2006). Media Education: Creative Tasks for Students and Schoolchildren. *Innovations in education*, 4: 175-228.
- Fedorov, A.V. (2007). *The Development of Media Competence and Critical Thinking of Students of Pedagogical Universities*. Moscow: ICO "Information for All".

Fedorov, A.V. (2008). Analysis of Cultural Mythology of Media Texts in the Student Audience. *Innovations in Education*, 4: 60-80.

Fedorov, A.V. (2009). Comparative Analysis of Media Stereotypes of the Times of the “Cold War” and Ideological Confrontation (1946-1991). *Media Education*, 4: 62-85.

Fedorov, A.V. (2009). The Analysis of Cultural Mythology of Media Texts in Student Audience. *Distance and Virtual Education*, 12: 4-13.

Fedorov, A.V. (2010). Umberto Eco and the Semiotic Theory of Media Education. *Innovations in Education*, 5: 56-61.

Fedorov, A.V. (2011). *Structural Analysis of Media Texts: Stereotypes of Soviet Cinematic Image of War and V. Vinogradov's Film "Eastern Corridor"* (1966). In: *Problems of Cultural Studies*, № 6, pp.110-116.

Fedorov, A.V. (2011). The Virtual World of Crime: The Analysis of the Detective Genre at the Media Education Lessons in the Student Audience. *Distance and Virtual Education*, 11: 88-99.

Fedorov, A.V. (2012). *Analysis of Audiovisual Media Texts*. Moscow, 182 p.

Fedorov, A.V. (2012). The Contemporary Mass Media Education in Russia: In Search for New Theoretical Conceptions and Models. *Acta Didactica Napocensia*, 1: 53-64.

Freud, S. (1907). Delusion and Dream in Jensen's “Gradiva”. <http://tainoe.o-nas.info/index.php/books/72-freid07>

Freud, S. (1990). Dissatisfaction with the Culture. *Cinema Art*. 12: 18-31.

Fried, R.M. (1998). *The Russian are coming! The Russian are coming!* N.Y., Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Gapon L.A. (1997). Text as Corporeal Object (A. Robbe-Grillet's creative work). *Omsk University Bulletin*, 4: 74-76. <http://www.omsu.omsereg.ru/vestnik/articles/y1997-i4/a074/article.html>

Gapon, L.A. (1998). *Poetics of Alain Robbe-Grillet's Novels: Structure and Functioning of Literary Text*. Ph. D. Dis. St. Petersburg, 1998.

Gapon, L.A. (1999). “Ideal Text” and “Ideal Reader” in Alain Robbe-Grillet's Novels. *Philological Yearbook*, 2.

[http://www.univer.omsk.su/trudy/fil\\_ezh/n2/gapon.html](http://www.univer.omsk.su/trudy/fil_ezh/n2/gapon.html)

German, M. (1989). Political salon of the 30-es. Roots and shoots. *Harsh drama of the people*. Moscow, 481-482.

Gladilshchikov Y. (1997). If to Believe Hollywood Movies, We Are the “Evil Empire” Again. *Itogi*. 1997. 10.

Goldin M.M. (2000). *The Governmental Control of Art. The Activity of the First Russian Ministry of Culture*. Moscow. <http://www.rpri.ru/min-kulture/MinKulture.doc>

Golovskoy, V. (1987). Art and Propaganda in the Soviet Union, 1980-5. in: Lawton, A. (ed.). *The Red Screen. Politics, Society, Art in Soviet Cinema*. London and New York: Routledge, 264-274.

Golubev, A.V. (2008). "Russia can rely only on itself": the idea of the future war in the Soviet society of the 1930-es. *Russian History*, 5: 172-191.

Gorelov, D. (2001). *First row-61: "Amphibian Man"*. <http://www.ozon.ru/context/detail/id/200781/>

Grigorieva, O.I. (2008). *Formation of the image of Germany by the Soviet propaganda in 1933-1941*. Ph.D. dis. Moscow.

Gudkov L. (2005). Ideologeme of "Enemy": "Enemies" as Mass Syndrome and Mechanism of Social and Cultural Integration. *The image of enemy*. Moscow.

Hart, A. (1997). Textual Pleasures and Moral Dilemmas: Teaching Media Literacy in England. in: Kubey, R. (ed.) *Media Literacy in the Information Age*. New Brunswick (U.S.A.) and London (UK): Transaction Publishers, 199-211.

Haynes, J.E. (1966). *Red Scare or Red Menace?* Chicago: I.R.Deer.

Ivanov, M. *The Mystery of Two Oceans*.

[http://www.videoguide.ru/card\\_film.asp?idFilm=15501](http://www.videoguide.ru/card_film.asp?idFilm=15501)

Ivanyan E.A. (2007). *When Muses Speak. History of Russian-American Cultural Ties*. Moscow: International Relationships.

Jones, D.B. (1972). Communism and the Movies: A Study of Film Content. in: Keen, S. (1986). *Faces of the Enemy*. San Francisco: Harper and Row.

Jones, D.B. (1972). Communism and the Movies: A Study of Film Content. In: Cogley, J. (Ed.). *Reporting on Blacklisting. Vol. I. The movies*. New York: Arno.

Kartseva E.N. (1987). *Hollywood: the Contrasts of the 1970s*. Moscow: Iskusstvo.

Keen, S. (1986). *Faces of the Enemy*. San Francisco: Harper and Row.

Kenez, P. (1992). *Cinema and Soviet Society, 1917-1953*. Cambridge, N.Y.: Cambridge University Press.

Kharitonov, E.V. (1909-2002). The Space Odyssey of Pavel Klushantsev. in: Kharitonov, E.V., Scherbak-Zhukov, A.V. *Miracle on the Screen: Soviet Science Fiction Films and Fairy Tales (1909-2002): Popular Encyclopedia*. Moscow: Institute of Film. [http://www.fandom.ru/about\\_fan/kino/\\_st03.htm](http://www.fandom.ru/about_fan/kino/_st03.htm)

Kharitonov, E.V. (2003). "Amphibian Man" and after... in: Kharitonov, E.V., Shcherbak-Zhukov, A.V. *Miracle on the Screen: Soviet Science Fiction Films and Fairy Tales (1909-2002): Popular Encyclopedia*. Moscow: Institute of Film. [http://www.fandom.ru/about\\_fan/kino/\\_st11.htm](http://www.fandom.ru/about_fan/kino/_st11.htm)

- Khosta, M., Verkhovskiy, A., Vladimirov, V. (2002). Spy Movie. <http://daily.sec.ru/dailypblshow.cfm?rid=15&pid=5544&pos=1&stp=50>*
- Klimontovich, N. (1990). They Are Like Spies. *Cinema Art*. 11.
- Kluger, D. (2006). Paradise Lost of Spy Novel. *Reality of Fiction*, 8. <http://www.rf.com.ua/article/952>
- Kolesnikova A.G. (2008). Knights of the “Cold War” (the Image of Enemy in Soviet Action Films of the 1960-1970s. *Klio*. 2008, 3: 144-149.
- Kovalov O. (2003). The Star above the Steppe: America in the Mirror of Soviet Cinema. *Cinema Art*. 2003, 10: 77-87.
- Kudryavtsev, S.V. (1989). *Frantic*. <http://www.kinopoisk.ru/level/3/review/894027/>
- Kudryavtsev, S.V. (1999). *Bring Back Enemies on the Screen!* 26.05.1999. <http://kino.km.ru/magazin/view.asp?id=498B04888AC411D3A90A00C0F0494FCA>
- Kulikov, I. (2007). *Duska*. [http://project.insysltd.ru/pls/www/movies.htm?action=1&value=7&p\\_value2=22665#70](http://project.insysltd.ru/pls/www/movies.htm?action=1&value=7&p_value2=22665#70) 13.11.2007.
- Kulikov, I. (2008). *Forget the Albanian*. Sept, 19. <http://www.film.ru/article.asp?id=5454>
- Kuznetsova, M. (2005). If the war is tomorrow... Defensive films of the 1930-es. *Historian and artist*, 2: 17-26.
- Lacourbe, R. (1985). *La Guerre froide dans le cinema d'espionnage*. Paris: Henri Veyrier.
- LaFeber, W. (1980). *America, Russia and the Cold War: 1945-1980*. N.Y.: J.Wiley, 1980.
- LaFeber, W. (1990). *America, Russia and Cold War*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- Lauren, N. (2000). *L'Oeil du Kremlin. Cinema et censure en URSS sous Stalin (1928-1953)*. Toulouse: Privat.
- Lawton, A. (2004). *Imaging Russia 2000. Films and Facts*. Washington, DC: New Academia Publishing.
- Levering, R. B. (1982). *The Cold War, 1945-1972*. Arlington Heights: Harlan Davidson.
- Lomakin Y. (1947). *To Deputy Minister of Foreign Affairs of the USSR comrade Vyshinsky A.Y. from CG of the USSR in New York Y. Lomakin*. Dec., 23, 1947. RGASPI, f.17, op.128, d.408: 242-246.
- Lotman, Y.M. (1992). Articles on Culture Semiotics and Topology. Vol.1. in *Selected articles in 3 volumes*. Tallin: Alexandra, 1992.
- Lyubarskaya, I. (2007). *Duska*.

[http://project.insysltd.ru/pls/www/movies.htm?action=1&value=7&p\\_value2=22665#70](http://project.insysltd.ru/pls/www/movies.htm?action=1&value=7&p_value2=22665#70). 08.11.2007.

Margolit, E.Y. (2002). Like in a mirror. Germany is the Soviet cinema between 1920-30-es. *Film Studies Notes*, 59. <http://www.kinozapiski.ru/article/253/>

Margolit, E.Y. (2010). "The Little School Orchestra": *Première of the Old Film*. <http://www.operaforums.ru/opera-news/18340-2259-malenkiy-shkolnyy-orkestr-premera-starogo-filma>. 8.12.2010.

Masterman, L. (1985). *Teaching the Media*. London: Comedia Publishing Group.

Masterman, L. (1997). A Rational for Media Education. in: Kubey, R. (ed.) *Media Literacy in the Information Age*. New Brunswick (U.S.A.) and London (UK): Transaction Publishers, 15-68.

Mayzel, V.S. (2011). *Artistic Models of Memory in Alain Resnais' and Alain Robbe-Grillet's Cinematography of 1950-1980-es*. Ph.D. dis. St. Petersburg.

Morov, A. (1939). "Tankers". *Pravda*, Feb, 14.

Mosejko, A. (2009). Transformation of the Russian Image in the West in the Context of the Culture of the last third of the 20th Century. *Social Sciences and Modern Times*, 2: 23-35.

Nabokov, V. (1990). *Strong opinions*. NY: Vintage Books.

Nabokov, V. (1997). *Collected Works of the American Period*. Vol. 2. St. Petersburg: Symposium.

Namkina, L.N. (2005). *Soviet Propaganda of the Period of the "Cold War": Methodology and Effective Technology*. *Acta Diurna*, 3. [http://psujourn.narod.ru/vestnik/vyp\\_3/ne\\_cold.html](http://psujourn.narod.ru/vestnik/vyp_3/ne_cold.html)

Narinsky, M.M. (2006). The Origins of the Cold War. in: Zdravomyslova, O.V. (ed.) *From Fulton to Malta: how the cold war started and ended. Gorbachev's readings*. Moscow: Gorbachev Foundation, 161-171.

Nechay, O.F. (1993). Film Education in the context of Fiction. *Specialist*, 5: 11-13.

Nevezhin, V.A. *Soviet propaganda and ideological preparation for the war (second half of the 1930-es – the beginning of 1940-es)*. Ph.D. dis. Moscow, 1999.

Nusinova, N. (2003). *When We Return to Russia... Russian-language Cinematography Abroad*. Moscow: NIIK, Eisenstein Center, 464 p.

Pechatnov V.O. (2006). *From Union to Cold War: US-Soviet Relations in 1945 - 1947*. Moscow: MGIMO.

*Philosophical Encyclopedic Dictionary*. Moscow, 1983.

Postupalskaya. M. (1959). *G.B. Adamov. The Mystery of Two Oceans*. Moscow. <http://lib.ru/RUFANT/ADAMOW/tajna1.txt>

- Potter, W.J. (2001). *Media Literacy*. Thousand Oaks – London: Sage Publication.
- Predal, R. (1988). Alain Robbe-Grillet. in: 900 cineastes francais d'aujourd'hui. Paris: Cerf, 414-416.
- Propp, V.Y. (1976). *Folklore and Reality*. Moscow: Iskusstvo.
- Propp, V.Y. (1998). *Morphology of Fairy Tale. Historical Background of Fairy Tales*. Moscow: Labirynt.
- Razlogov, K.E. (1991). Paradoxes of Commercialization. *Screen and scene*, 9: 10.
- Revich, V.A. (1968). Science fiction in cinematography. *Screen 1967-1968*. Moscow: Iskusstvo, 1968, 82-86.
- Revich, V.A. (1984). "We Are Thrown Into Incredibility". In: *The collection of science fiction*. Issue 29. Moscow: Znaniye, 196-210.
- Revich, V.A. (1998). *Legend of Belyaev*. Moscow: Institute of Oriental Studies. [http://www.fandom.ru/about\\_fan/revich\\_20\\_06.htm](http://www.fandom.ru/about_fan/revich_20_06.htm)
- Robbe-Grillet, A. (1996). *Project for a Revolution in New York*. Moscow: Ad Marginem. 1996.
- Robbe-Grillet, A. (1997). *The House of Assniation*. St. Petersburg: Chernyshev's Publishing House.
- Robbe-Grillet, A. (1999). *Labirynt*. St. Petersburg: Azbuka.
- Robbe-Grillet, A. (2000). Angelica, or Charms. *Foreign Literature*, 1.
- Robbe-Grillet, A. (2000). Last Year in Moscow... *Film Studies Notes*, 46. <http://www.kinozapiski.ru/ru/print/sendvalues/598/>
- Robbe-Grillet, A. (2001). *Collected Works*. St. Petersburg: Symposium, 2001.
- Robbe-Grillet, A. (2002). Every Writer Goes His Own Way and Goes It to the End. *Foreing Literature*, 3. <http://magazines.russ.ru/inostran/2002/3/rgr-pr.html>
- Robbe-Grillet, A. (2005). *Romanesques*. Moscow: Lodomir, 2005.
- Robin, R.T. (2001). *The Making of the Cold War Enemy*. Princeton, Oxford: Princeton University Press.
- Ryabchikov, N. (2007). *Duska*. <http://project.insy ltd.ru/pls/www/movies.htm?action=1&value=>
- Ryklin, M.K. (1996). Revolution on Wallpaper. in Robbe-Grillet A. *Project for a Revolution in New York*. Moscow: Ad Marginem, 7-26.
- Savelyeva, I.V. (2008). *A. Robbe-Grillet's Creative Work (1965-2001) and Strategy of Novel Renewal*. Ph.D. dis. Samara, 2008.
- Shaternikova, M.S. (1999). The Origins of McCarthyism. *Vestnik*, 9. <http://www.vestnik.com/issues/1999/0427/win/shater.htm>
- Shaw, T. (2006). *British Cinema and the Cold War*. N.Y.: I.B.Tauris.

Shaw, T. and Youngblood, D.J. (2010). *Cinematic Cold War: The American and Soviet Struggle for Heart and Minds*. Lawrence: University Press of Kansas.

Shemyakin Y.G. (2009). The Dynamics of Perception of the image of Russia in the Minds of Western Civilization // *Social Sciences and Modern Times*, 2: 5-22.

Shenin S.Y. (2003). *The History of the Cold War*. Saratov: Saratov State University, 32 p.

Shklovsky, V.B. (1929). *On Theory of Prose*. Moscow: Federation.

Silverblatt, A. (2001). *Media Literacy*. Westport, Connecticut – London: Praeger.

Solntseva, A. (2007). They Sing Cordially... *News Time*. 2007. <http://www.kinopressa.ru/news/297.html>

Sorvina, M. (2007). *Undeclared War*. <http://www.kino-teatr.ru/kino/art/kino/a6/210/>

Stelling, J. (2007). Interview: Tasbulatova D. Duska Stelling. *Ogoniok*, 48. <http://www.ogoniok.com/5024/27/>

Stelling, J. (2007). *Russians Live Here and Now*. [http://news.bbc.co.uk/go/pr/fr/-/hi/russian/entertainment/newsid\\_7015000/7015351.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/go/pr/fr/-/hi/russian/entertainment/newsid_7015000/7015351.stm)

Stishova E., Sirivlya N. (2003). Nightingales at the 17<sup>th</sup> Street (Materials of the discussion on anti-Americanism in Soviet cinema, Pittsburg University, May, 2003). *Art of Cinema*, 10: 5-21.

Strada, M.J. (1989). A Half Century of American Cinematic Imagery: Hollywood's Portrayal of Russian Characters, 1933-1988. *Coexistence*, 26: 333-350.

Strada, M.J. and Troper, H.R. (1997). *Friend or Foe?: Russian in American Film and Foreign Policy*. Lanham, Md., & London: The Scarecrow Press.

Tarasov, A. (2001). *X Land*. <http://www.left.ru/2001/33/tarasov46.html>

The Mystery of Two Oceans (1957). *Teacher's Newspaper*, 42. April, 6.

Todorov, T. (1977). *The poetics of prose*. Paris: Ithaca.

Tokarev V.A. (2006). Soviet military utopia of the eve of the World War II. *Europe*, 1: 97-161.

Tolstoy, A.N. (1925-1927). The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin. *Krasnaya Nov*. 1925, 7-9; 1926, 4-9; 1927, 2.

Tolstoy, A.N. (2007). *The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin*. Moscow: AST Moscow.

Tsyrkun, N.A. (1996). *The Mystery of Two Oceans*.

<http://www.afisha.ru/movie/170269/review/146557/>

- Tsyrukun, N.A. (2008). But no Way for Rowan... *Cinema Art*. 2008. № 1. <http://www.kinoart.ru/magazine/01-2008/repertoire/tsyrukun0801/>
- Turovskaya, M.I. (1979). Why does the Viewer Go to the Cinema. *Film Genres*. Moscow: Iskusstvo.
- Turovskaya, M.I. (1993). Soviet Films of the Cold War. in: Taylor, R. and Spring, D. (eds.). *Stalinism and Soviet Cinema*. London and New York: Routledge, 131-141.
- Turovskaya, M.I. (1996). Films of the "Cold War". *Cinema Art*, 9: 98-106.
- Turovskaya, M.I. (2003). *Blow up*. Moscow: MIK.
- Usov, Y.N. (1989). *Film education as means of aesthetical upbringing and artistic development of schoolchildren*. Ph.D. dis. Moscow, 1989.
- Westad, O.A. (2007). *The Global Cold War*. Cambridge: University Press.
- Whitfield, S.J. (1991). *The Culture of the Cold War*. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Vasilchenko, A. (2010). *Projector of Doctor Goebbels*. *Cinematograph of the Third Reich*. Moscow: Vetche, 320 p.
- Vern, J. (2010). *Michael Strogoff*. St. Petersburg: Azbuka Classic.
- Vinogradov, V.V. (2010). *Styles of French Cinema*. Moscow: Canon+, Rehabilitation.
- Vishnyakov, A.G. (2011). Poetics of the New French Novel. Ph.D. dis. Moscow, 2011.
- Vishnyakov, A.G. (2010). The Concept of War and Violence in the New French Novel. *Military University Bulletin*. 1: 109-114.
- Worsnop, C.M. (1994). *Screening Images: Ideas for Media Education*. Mississauga: Wright Communication.
- Worsnop, C.M. (2000). *Levels of Critical Insight in 16-year-old Students*. <http://www.elan.on.ca/jfolder/worsnop%20critinsight.htm>
- Yampolsky, M.V. (1987). Polemic Notes on the Aesthetics of Mass Films. *Records of the Meeting of Fims Critics*, October, 12-13, 1987. Moscow: Filmmakers' Union, 31-44.
- Yurenev R.N. (1950). Let Us Win! *Soviet Art*. 1950. June, 27.
- Zelvensky, S. (2011). War of the Roses. *Afisha.ru*, June, 15. <http://www.afisha.ru/article/9563/>
- Zorkaya, N.M. (1981). *The Unique and the Replicated. Means of Mass Communication and Reproduced Art*. Moscow: Iskusstvo.
- Zorkaya, N.M. (1994). *Folklore. Popular Literature. Screen*. Moscow: Iskusstvo.
- Zverev, A. (1991). *Agatha Christie* / <http://www.syshiki.com/agata-kristi.html>

### *About the Author*

Prof. Dr. Alexander Fedorov is the former President of Russian Association for Film & Media Education (2003-2014). Now he is the deputy director for science of Anton Chekov Taganrog Institute (Russia), editor-in-chief of Russian Journal “Media Education” (Moscow).

He also has taught at the Russian New University. He is the member of Russian Academy of Cinematographic Arts & Sciences, Russian Union of Filmmakers, CIFEJ (International Center of Films for Children and Young People, Canada) and FIPRESCI.

He holds a MA degree from Russian Institute of Cinematography (VGIK, 1983), Ph.D.(1986) and Ed.D.(1993) degrees with an emphasis in media education from Russian Academy of Education (Moscow).

Postdoctoral affiliation: guest professor and visiting senior research scholar in: *Central European University* (Budapest, Hungary: 1998, 2006), *Kassel University* (Germany, 2000, grant DAAD), *Humboldt University* (Berlin, Germany, 2005, grant DAAD), *Maison des sciences de l'homme* (Paris, France, 2002, 2009, grants MSH), *Kennan Institute* (The Woodrow Wilson Center, Washington, D.C., U.S., 2003), *Mainz University* (2010), *University of Frankfurt* (2014).

He received the scientific grants/fellowships from: *Federal Target Program of the Russian Ministry of Education and Science* (2010-2012), *Program of the Russian Ministry of Education and Science “Development of Science University Potential”* (2006-2008), *Russian President Program for Leading Scientific Schools* (2003-2005), *MacArthur Foundation (USA)* (1997, 2003-2004), *Russian Foundation for Humanities* (1999-2010); *President of Russian Federation Cultural Foundation* (2002), *The Russian Ministry of Education The Program “Russian Universities”* (2002); *Soros Foundation (USA): 1) Research Support Scheme* (2000-2002); *2) Program “Civil Society”* (1998-1999); *3) HESP-CDC - Course Development Competition* (1998); *Education Program for the best text of university lectures* (1997); *Switzerland Scientific Foundation* (2000); *Russian Ministry of Education: research in humanities area* (1997-2000), etc.

He was the speaker in the many international media and media education/literacy conferences: *World United Nation Forum ‘Alliance of civilizations’* (Media Literacy Section, Madrid, 2008), *Council of Europe Conference “Media Literacy”* (Graz, Dec. 2007), *International Media Literacy Conference* (Prague, Apr. 2007), *UNESCO Media Education Conference* (Paris, June, 2007), *Information Technologies International Conference* (Moscow, May, 2007), *International Conference E-Citizen*. (Moscow, Feb. 2006), *UNESCO Conference on the Information Society*

(St.Petersburg, May, 2005), Conference of Association for Media and Technology in Education, Concordia University (Montreal, Canada, May, 2003), National Media Education Conference: 'Literacy & Liberty' (AMLA: Alliance for Media Literate America) (Baltimore, U.S., June, 2003), World Congress 'Toys, Games and Media', University of London, Institute of Education (London, UK, Aug. 2002), The Council of Europe: Hearing on Internet Literacy (Strasbourg, France, March 2002), 3rd World Summit on Media for Children (Thessaloniki, Greece, March 2001), International Council for Educational Media ICEM-CIME - Conference 'Pedagogy and Media' (Geneva, Switzerland, Nov. 2000), World Summit 2000: Children, Youth and the Media - Beyond the Millennium (Toronto, Canada, May 2000), AGORA European Children's Television Center Summit (Thessaloniki, Greece, June, 1999), Educating for the Media and the Digital Age: UNESCO International Conference (Vienna, Austria, UNESCO, Apr. 1999), World Media Education/Literacy Summit (Sao-Paulo, Brazil, May 1998), Media & Science Forum (Montreal, Canada, Oct. 1997), Youth and the Media, Tomorrow: UNESCO International Conference (Paris, France. UNESCO, Apr. 1997) and many others.

He is the author of 500 articles and 25 books about media culture, media education and literacy

### **List of Selected Publications of Prof. Dr. Alexander Fedorov**

- Fedorov, A. (1993). The Screen Arts and the Students Youth. In: *The Problems of Film Education Now*. Moscow: Association for Media Education, p.100-104.
- Fedorov, A. (1994). The Russian Screen since 1960. *Audience (USA)*, N 179, p. 20-22.
- Fedorov, A. (1995). Film & TV – The Features of Mass Culture. *Audience (USA)*, N 184, p. 40-41.
- Fedorov, A. (1995). Filmclubs Yesterday & Today. *Audience (USA)*, N 183, p. 15-17.
- Fedorov, A. (1996). Crime on the Russian Screen. *Audience (USA)*, N 186, p. 14-16.
- Fedorov, A. (1998). The Problem of Aesthetic Education of Students' Youth on the Screen Arts' Material. In: *Psychological & Pedagogical Problems of the Personality's Formation in the Education Systems*. Moscow: International Academy of Education, p. 129-138.
- Fedorov, A. (1999). Cinema Art in the Structure of Russian Modern Media Education. In: *Educating for the Media and Digital Age*. Vienna: Austrian Federal Ministry of Education and Cultural Affairs & UNESCO, p. 100-105.

- Fedorov, A. (1999). Media Education in Russia. In: *Educating for the Media and Digital Age*. Vienna: Austrian Federal Ministry of Education and Cultural Affairs & UNESCO, p. 93-95.
- Fedorov, A. (1999). The Cinema Market: What about Russia? *Canadian Journal of Communication*, N 24, p. 141-142.
- Fedorov, A. (2000). En Sammenlignende Analyse Mellom Tyskland og Russland. *Media I Skole og Samfunn* (Norway), N 4, p. 38-41.
- Fedorov, A. (2000). The Digital Media Challenge & Russian Media Education. In: *Pedagogy and Media: The Digital Shift*. Geneva: ICEM-CIME, p. 21.
- Fedorov, A. (2000). The Terminology of Media Education. *Art & Education*, N 2, p. 33-38.
- Fedorov, A. (2000). Ungdom og Russisk Filmvold. *Media I Skole og Samfunn* (Norway), N 1, p. 16-23.
- Fedorov, A. (2001). A Russian Perspective. *Educommunication* (Belgium), N 55, p. 92-95.
- Fedorov, A. (2001). Media and Media Education. *Alma Mater*, N 11, p. 15-23.
- Fedorov, A. (2001). Media Education in France. *Alma Mater*, N 3, p. 46-47.
- Fedorov, A. (2001). Problems of Audiovisual Perception. *Art & Education*, N 2, p. 57-64.
- Fedorov, A. (2001). Violence on the TV and Russian Youth. *Journal of Russian Foundation for Humanities*, N 1, p. 131-145.
- Fedorov, A. (2001). Von der Filmpadagogie zur Medienpädagogik. *MERZ: Medien + Merziehung* (Germany), N 4, p. 256-261.
- Fedorov, A. (2002). Media Education in Canada. *Higher Education in Russia*, N 1, p. 116-118.
- Fedorov, A. (2002). Media Education in Russia. *Alma Mater*. N 7, p. 29-32.
- Fedorov, A. (2002). Media Education in Secondary School in Russia. In: Hart, A, & Suss, D. (Eds.) *Media Education in 12 European Countries*. Zurich: The Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, p. 100-110.
- Fedorov, A. (2002). Problems of Media Perception and Audience's Development in the Area of Media Culture. *Practical Psychology*, N 2, p.84-89.
- Fedorov, A. (2002). Russian Cinema: Very Short History. *Total DVD*, N 5, 38-45.
- Fedorov, A. (2003). Elektroniske og digitale medier og russiske barn: Problemet med lovregulering. *Tilt* (Norway), N 4, p. 22-23.
- Fedorov, A. (2003). Media Education and Media Literacy: Experts' Opinions. In: *MENTOR. A Media Education Curriculum for Teachers in the Mediterranean*. Paris: UNESCO.
- Fedorov, A. (2003). Mediebegivenheter I Washington. *Tilt* (Norway), N 3, 27-28.
- Fedorov, A. (2004). Austrian Issue in the Mirror of Russian Media (1945-1955). *Medienimpulse* (Austria), N 12, p. 37-39.

- Fedorov, A. (2004). The Influence of TV & Screen Violence on the American Children Audience. *U.S. and Canada: Economics, Policy and Culture*, N 1, p. 77-93.
- Fedorov, A. (2004). The Right of the Child and Violence on the Screen. *Monitoring*, N 2, p. 87-93.
- Fedorov, A. (2004). The Specific Nature of Media Education for Students of Teachers Training Institutes. *Pedagogica*, N 4, p. 43-51.
- Fedorov, A. (2004). Violence on the Screen. *Chelovek*, N 5.
- Fedorov, A. (2005). Media Education, Media Literacy, Media Criticism and Media Culture. *Higher Education in Russia*, N 6, p. 134-138.
- Fedorov, A. (2005). Russia/Media Education in Europe. *Media Education Journal*. (Scotland). N 37, p.20-21.
- Fedorov, A. (2005). The Basic Tendencies of Western Media Education Development in 70s-80s of XX Century. *Journal of Russian Foundation for Humanities*, N 1, p.180-191.
- Fedorov, A. (2006). Analys of Media Stereotypes on Media Education Lessons in Student's Audience. In: *Innovations in Education*. N 6, p.24-38.
- Fedorov, A. (2006.) Media Education Must Become Part and Parcel of the Curriculum. In: *Thinking Classroom*. Vol.7. N 3, p.25-30.
- Fedorov A. (2007). Theological Theory of Media Education. In: *Innovations in Education*. N 11, p.96-101.
- Fedorov A. (2007). Classification Parameters of the Development of Media Literacy of Students. In: *Journalism and the world of culture*. S-Petersburg: S-Petersburg State University, p. 137-149.
- Fedorov, A. (2007). Media as One of Opposition Ways Against Negative Influence of Screen Violence on the Minor Audience. In: *Youth and Society*, N 1, p. 110-116.
- Fedorov, A. (2007). Critical Analysis of Media Violence Text on the Media Education Lessons for University Students. In: *Media Education*. N4, p.29-54.
- Fedorov, A. (2007). Critical Thinking Development in the Media Education: Basic Conceptions. *Innovations in Education*. 2007. N 4, p.30-47.
- Fedorov, A. (2007). Development of Media Education in the Modern Time. In: *Innovations in Education*. N 3, p. 40-51.
- Fedorov, A. (2007). Media Competence of Personality: From Terminology to Levels. In: *Innovations in Education*. N 10, p.75-108.
- Fedorov, A. (2007). Media Study in the Classroom: Creative Assignments for Character Analysis. *Thinking Classroom*. 2007. N 3. p.13-19.
- Fedorov, A. (2007). Media Violence and Young Audience (NGO Russian Association for Film and Media Education Survey). In: *International NGO Journal*. Vol. 2 (3), p. 041-050.

- Fedorov, A. (2007). Model of Pedagogical University Students' Media Competence and Critical Thinking Development on the Media Education Lessons. In: *Innovations in Education*. N 7, p. 107-116.
- Fedorov, A. (2007). Semiotic Analysis on the Media Education Lessons for University Students. In: *Education World*. 2007, N 4, p. 207-217.
- Fedorov, A. (2008). Structural Media Texts' Analysis on the Media Education Lessons in the University. In: *Innovations in Education*. 2008. N 6.
- Fedorov, A. (2008). Culture Mythology Analysis of Media Texts on the Lessons for Students. In: *Innovations in Education*. N 4, p. 60-80.
- Fedorov, A. (2008). Iconographic Analysis of Media Texts on the Media Education Lessons for University Students. In: *Innovations in Education*, N 2, p. 73-90.
- Fedorov, A. (2009). Autobiographical Analysis on the Media Education Lessons in the University. In: *Innovations in Education*. (Moscow). N 1, p.118-128.
- Fedorov, A. (2009). Media Education in Russia: A Brief History. In: Marcus Leaning (Ed.). *Issues in Information and Media Literacy: Criticism, History and Policy*. Santa Rosa, California: Informing Science Press, p.167-188.
- Fedorov, A. (2009). Basic Media Education Models. In: *Mediateque and World*. (Moscow). 2009. N 1, **24-28**. N 2.
- Fedorov, A. (2010). Mass Media Education: Professionals and Neophytes. In: *Innovations in Education*. 2010. N 8, p. 102-119.
- Fedorov, A. (2010). Media Educational Practices in Teacher Training. *Acta Didactica Napocensia*. 2010, Vol. 3, N 3, p.57-70.
- Fedorov, A. (2010). Russian Media education Literacy Centers in the 21st Century. In: *The Journal of Media Literacy* (USA). 2010. Vol. 57. NN 1-2, p.62-68.
- Fedorov, A. (2010). Umberto Eco and Semiotic Theory of Media Education. In: *Innovations in Education*. 2010. N 5, p. 56-61.
- Fedorov, A. (2011). Alfabetización mediática en el mundo. *Infoamérica*. 2011. N 5, p.7-23. [http://www.infoamerica.org/icr/icr\\_05.htm](http://www.infoamerica.org/icr/icr_05.htm)
- Fedorov, A. (2011). Assessment of Students' Media Competence: Test Results Analysis. *Acta Didactica Napocensia*. 2011, vol.4, N 4, p.67-81. <http://adn.teaching.ro>
- Fedorov, A. (2011). Modern Media Education Models. *Acta Didactica Napocensia*. 2011, vol.4, N 1, p.73-82. <http://adn.teaching.ro>
- Fedorov, A. (2012). "The Hyperboloid of Engineer Garin": the Novel and its Adaptation to Media Education Lessons in the Student Audience // *European Researcher*. 2012. Vol. 30. № 9-3, p.1579-1584.
- Fedorov, A. (2012). Elevers grep om detektivfortellinger // *Tilt*. 2012. N 1. Mediepedagogene <http://www.mediepedagogene.no/elevs-grep-om-detektivfortellinger>

- Fedorov, A. (2012). Media education in Russia and Ukraine: comparative analysis of the present stage of development (1992-2012) // *PedActa*. 2012. Vol. 2. N 1. <http://dppd.ubbcluj.ro/pedacta/>
- Fedorov, A. (2012). The Production Dynamics of Western Films Connected with 'The Soviet/Russian Topic'. *Film International*. 2012. N 2, p.53-64.
- Fedorov, A. (2012). Russia and Ukraine: Media Literacy Education Approaches // *European Researcher*. 2012. Vol. 30. № 9-3, p.1556-1578.
- Fedorov, A. (2012). The Hermeneutical Analysis of the Soviet Fantasy Genre of the 1950s – 1960s and Its American Screen Transformation in Media Studies in a Student Audience. *European researcher*. 2012. N 11-3, p.2042-2055.
- Fedorov, A. (2012). The Analysis of Stereotypes of Politically Engaged Media Texts in Media Studies in Student Audience (by the Example of Renny Harlin's films "Born American" (1986) and "Five Days of War" (2011)). *European researcher*. 2012. N 11-3, p.2037-2041.
- Fedorov, A. (2012). The Contemporary Mass Media Education In Russia: In Search For New Theoretical Conceptions And Models. *Acta Didactica Napocensia*. 2012. N 1, p.53-64.
- Fedorov, A. (2013) The Image of the West on the Soviet Screen in the Era of the "Cold War": Case Studies. *European Researcher*. 2013. N 2. Vol.3, p. 497-507.
- Fedorov, A. (2013). The Ideological, Structural Analysis of the Russian Image Representation in the Cold War Times' Film 'White Nights'. *European researcher*. 2013. N 4, Vol. 3, p.1044-1050.
- Fedorov, A. (2013). The Image of Russia on the Western Screen: the Present Stage (1992–2013). *European researcher*. 2013. N 4, Vol. 3, p. 1051-1064.
- Fedorov, A. (2014). Analysis of Media Stereotypes of the Russian Image in Media Studies in the Student Audience (example: the screen versions of Jules Verne's Novel "Michael Strogoff") // *European Researcher*, 2014, Vol.(83), № 9-2, pp. 1718-1724.
- Fedorov, A. (2014). Hermeneutic Analysis of Soviet Feature Films of 1941-1942 on the Military Theme // *European Researcher*. 2014, Vol. 69, N 2-2, p.358-371.
- Fedorov, A. (2014). Media Education in Russia: Past and Present // *European Researcher*. 2014, Vol. 67, N 1-2, p.168-175.
- Fedorov, A. (2014). Media Education Literacy in the World: Trends // *European Researcher*. 2014, Vol. 67, N 1-2, p.176-187.
- Fedorov, A. (2014). Moscow Media Education Centers for Non-professionals in the Media Fields // *European Researcher*. 2014, Vol. 69, N 2-2, p.176-187.
- Fedorov, A. (2014). Nazi Feature Films on the Russian Topic: Hermeneutic Analysis // *European Journal of Social and Human Sciences*, 2014, Vol. 3, № 3, pp.111-117.

- Fedorov, A. (2014). Russian and Western Media Literacy Education Models // *European Researcher*, 2014, Vol. 73, № 4-2, pp. 764-780. URL: [http://www.erjournal.ru/journals\\_n/1399226869.pdf](http://www.erjournal.ru/journals_n/1399226869.pdf)
- Fedorov, A. (2014). Russian Image on the Federal Republic of Germany Screen // *European Researcher*. 2014, Vol. 77, N 6-2, p.1194-1212.
- Fedorov, A. (2014). The Opinions of Russian School Students and Teachers about Media Violence // *European Researcher*, 2014, Vol. 73, № 4-2, pp. 781-804.
- Fedorov, A. (2014). Russia. In: Silverblatt, A. (Ed.). *The Praeger Handbook of Media Literacy* (in 2 volumes). Santa Barbara, California and Oxford, England : Praeger, 2014, pp.918-929.
- Fedorov, A. (2014). Ukraine. In: Silverblatt, A. (Ed.). *The Praeger Handbook of Media Literacy* (in 2 volumes). Santa Barbara, California and Oxford, England : Praeger, 2014, pp.941-946.
- Gilbert, L., Fedorov, A. (2004). A Model for Media Education Research in Russia and the US. *Educational Media International*, Vol. 41, N 2, p. 157-162.
- Fedorov, A. Grigory Rasputin in the mirror of western screen // *European journal of social and human sciences*. 2015. № 1 (5). c. 24-27.
- Fedorov, A., Levitskaya, A. Media Literacy Function in Critical Blogs // *European Researcher*, 2015, Vol.(93), Is. 4, pp. 331-334.
- Fedorov, A., Levitskaya, A. (2015). The framework of Media Education and Media criticism in the contemporary world. The opinion of international experts. *Comunicar*, n 45. DOI 10.3916/c45-2015-11
  - Fedorov, Alexander. *Media literacy education*. Moscow, 2015. 577 p.
  - Fedorov, Alexander. *Russia in the Mirror of the Western Screen*. Moscow, 2015. 117 p.

**Prof. Dr. Alexander Fedorov** [1954alex@mail.ru](mailto:1954alex@mail.ru)